ATTACHMENT B

Data Tampering in Cal Am's Water Supply Project

by Ron Weitzman President, Water Ratepayers Association of the Monterey Peninsula

SOME BACKROUND INFORMATION

According to a CONCUR, Inc. study commissioned by the Coastal Commission in 2014, regarding slant wells, "the long-term performance of the technology has yet to be confirmed." Because of the CDO deadline at the end of this year, we do not have the time for long-term testing.

Hired by Cal Am, Geoscience has used a model to estimate the long-term performance of slant wells and to evaluate the accuracy of the model.

WRAMP has discovered data tampering in that evaluation.

Geoscience used relevant information in its model to estimate water elevation.

Water elevation is important because lowering water elevation near the shore increases saltwater intrusion.

Error is the difference between an actual measurement and an estimate of it.

Tampering with data means manipulating the data to reduce the error variation beyond what the model itself is able to do in order to make a bad model look better. Unless someone tampers with the data, the errors will have zero correlation with the estimates, no matter how good or bad the estimates are.

The California Public Utilities Commission provided me data showing Geoscience estimates and errors of over 5,000 actual water elevation measurements from the 180-, 400- and 900-foot aquifers.

Cal Am's test well draws its water from the 180-foot and the Dune Sand aquifers.

We are now going to look at the data for the 180foot and the 900-foot aquifers, the 900-foot aquifer first.

The 900-foot Aquifer Shows No Data Tampering



Correlation = 0.02Relative Error = 14.6 PercentNote.Hydrogeologists consider a model to be goodif the Relative Error is less than 10.0 Percent.

What Does the 180-foot Aquifer Show?



Correlation = -0.45 Relative Error = 11.2 Percent

If no data tampering exists, a correlation as far from zero as -0.45 can occur by chance once in 444 trillion trillion trillion trillion times.

Tampering with the 900-foot Aquifer Data Makes a Bad Model Look Good



Correlation = -0.30Relative Error = 8.5 PercentNote.Hydrogeologists consider a model to be goodif the Relative Error is less than 10.0 Percent.