

ROSSMANN AND MOORE, LLP

Attorneys at Law

380 HAYES STREET, SUITE ONE
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102 USA
TEL (01)(415) 861-1401 FAX (01)(415) 861-1822
www.landwater.com

ROGER B. MOORE
ADMITTED IN CALIFORNIA
rbm@landwater.com

ANTONIO ROSSMANN
ADMITTED IN CALIFORNIA
NEW YORK AND
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
ar@landwater.com

JENNIFER L. SEIDENBERG
ADMITTED IN CALIFORNIA
js@landwater.com

August 5, 2009

Via email and U.S. mail

Dorothy Rice
Executive Director, California State Water Resources Control Board
1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Water Quality Certification for the Department of Water Resources Oroville Facilities (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Project No. 2100): Butte County FERC filings

Dear Director Rice:

Butte County's July 29, 2009 comment letter noted that the Oroville Facilities have cost the county "millions of dollars annually in uncompensated environmental and service costs." That statement is illuminated in Butte's comments on DWR's EIR for the Oroville project, and in numerous filings before FERC, including the documents noted on the attached list. The FERC docket, including these filings, is available on the FERC website, <http://www.ferc.gov/>.

Respectfully submitted,

/s./

Roger B. Moore
Counsel to Butte County

Cc: Russ Kanz

FERC Oroville Facilities Docket P-2100

<u>Date</u>	<u>Title of Filing, Appendices, Attachments, Exhibits</u>
4/21/05	Motion of County of Butte, CA for Order Requiring Conduct of Socioeconomic Impact Studies Attachment 1: Memo from P. McIntosh to DWR Regarding DWR Report on Fiscal Impact on Oroville Facilities Project
5/9/05	Answer of County of Butte, CA concerning Socioeconomic Impact Studies Attachment 1: Regional and Economic Studies, Comments of Ebeling on DWR Socioeconomic Studies filed on 5/2/05 Attachment 2: Resource Action Identification Form
2/15/06	Operational Impacts of the Oroville Facilities on Butte County, CA Appendix A: Assumptions and Definitions Appendix B: Tools for Projection of Future Expenses Not Considered by this Report Appendix C: Other State and Federal Revenues Exhibit 1: Sales Tax Revenue Exhibit 2: State Parks and Recreation Calls for Service Exhibit 3: Costs for Law Enforcement Services Exhibit 4: Costs for Fire Stations Exhibit 5: Cost to Construct Fire Station Exhibit 6: Costs for Replacing Fire Vehicles Exhibit 7: Radio System Recommendations Exhibit 8: Cost Estimate for Recreational Road Maintenance Plan Exhibit 9: Proposed PM&E Exhibit 10: Recreational Road Maintenance Plan Exhibit 11: Dam Failure Map Exhibit 12: Cost for New Emergency Operations Center Exhibit 13: EOC Staff Chart Exhibit 14: Civilian Unemployment Socioeconomic Impacts of the Oroville Facilities on Butte County, CA Attachment A: Dr. Yazdani's Qualifications Attachment B: History of Big Ben Powerhouse and Las Plumas
3/30/06	Butte County, CA Motion for Leave To Intervene & Comments on Application for New License Exhibit A: Proposed License Articles Exhibit B: FMY Associates "Analysis of the Annual Value of Power Generation, March 2006"

- 4/26/06 Butte County, CA Comments in Oroville Facilities Project to & Contest of DWR Settlement Agreement for Licensing of Oroville Facilities & Request for Evidentiary Hearing
Appendix A: DWR's Recreation Visitor Day Statistics
Appendix B: Proposed Additional License Articles
- 4/27/06 Errata:
Exhibit 10 of Operational Impacts of Oroville Facilities on Butte County: "Butte County PM&E #8-Road Improvements" omitted from original filing;

Exhibit 10, titled "Butte County Recreation Road Maintenance Plan" should be part of Exhibit 8
- 6/26/06 Butte County, CA Motion for Leave to Answer & Answer to Response of DWR, State Water Contractors and Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
Appendix A: Butte County Roads Diagrams
Appendix B: Comments of FMY Associates on DWR Filings, June 2006
- 10/25/06 Letter from P. McIntosh to FERC, "Costs for Fatalities at Lake Oroville"
- 12/18/06 Harvey Rose: Assessment of Butte County's Methods and Assumptions Used to Determine Operational Impacts of Oroville Facilities on Butte County. December 2006
- 12/19/06 All in one filing:
1. Response of Butte County, CA to DEIS for Oroville Facilities
2. Comments of Butte County on DEIS
3. Comments of FMY Associates on DEIS for Hydro Licensing
4. Regional and Economic Studies Response to DEIS for Oroville Facilities, Ebeling and Shockley
- 1/10/07 Clarification letter for 12/18/06 Harvey Rose: Assessment of Butte County's Methods and Assumptions Used to Determine Operational Impacts of Oroville Facilities on Butte County. December 2006
- 1/18/07 Butte County Response to December 2006 Report of CH2M Hill concerning the Health and Human Services Impacts of the Oroville Project

- 2/1/07 Letter containing "New Information on Dam Security at Oroville Facilities Project:
(Folsom Dam Agreement)
- 3/12/07 Answer of Butte County, CA to DWR Comments and Motion for Hearing to Resolve Disputes
of Material Fact
Exhibit A: Butte County Response to February 2007 Filing of DWR Concerning
Socioeconomic Impacts of Oroville Facilities Project
Exhibit B: Comments on 2/8/07 Response of the DWR to Comments on DEIS,
3/8/07 Harvey Rose
- 6/29/07 Comments of Butte County, CA on Legal and Factual Errors in the FEIS for Oroville
Facilities Project

Comments of Butte County on FEIS
- 10/19/07 Letter of Senator Barbara Boxer
- 11/8/07 Motion to Strike and Answer of Butte County, California to Improper Filing of State Water
Contractors Regarding Relicensing of California Department of Water Resources Oroville
Facilities
- 5/22/09 Emergency Complaint of Butte County, California Against the California Department of
Water Resources for the Immediate Reimbursement of Public Protection Costs for the Oroville
Facilities Project and Request for Fast-Track Processing
- 5/22/09 Affidavits of Bill Connelly and Gregory G. Iturria