DRAFT • DECEMBER 2018

Environmental Impact Report for the Lower Klamath Project License Surrender Volume I

State Clearinghouse No. 2016122047





This page left blank intentionally.

Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Lower Klamath Project License Surrender

Volume I

Prepared by:
Stillwater Sciences
2855 Telegraph Avenue # 400
Berkeley, CA 94705

Prepared for:

State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

December 2018



This page left blank intentionally

The Lower Klamath Project License Surrender Draft Environmental Impact Report is being made available to the public in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act. Public Comments are due on Tuesday, February 26, 2019.

Visit Lower Klamath Project License Surrender Project Web Site (https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/water_quality_cert/low er_klamath_ferc14803.shtml) where you can:

- View and download an electronic copy of the Draft EIR.
- View or download a list of libraries and other locations where hardcopies of the Draft EIR are available for review.

To receive future email notifications regarding the Lower Klamath Project, please subscribe to the "Lower Klamath Project License Surrender" email subscription list under "Water Rights".

Instructions on how to sign up for the State Water Board's Email Subscription List are outlined below:

- Visit: http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/resources/email_subscriptions/ swrcb subscribe.shtml#rights
- 2. Provide your name and email in the required fields.
- 3. In the categories below the email and name fields, select "Water Rights," then "Lower Klamath Project License Surrender."
- 4. Click on the "Subscribe" button.
- 5. An email will be sent to you. You must respond to the email message(s) to confirm your membership on the selected list(s).

Suggested Citation:

California State Water Resources Control Board. 2018. Lower Klamath Project License Surrender Draft Environmental Impact Report. Prepared by State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, CA. December.



This page left blank intentionally.

Table of Contents

	CRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	
EX	ECUTIVE SUMMARY	
	Proposed Project Location	
	Proposed Project Objectives	
	Proposed Project	
	Dam and Powerhouse Deconstruction	
	Reservoir Drawdown	
	Reservoir Sediment Deposits and Erosion During Drawdown	
	Restoration within the Reservoir Footprint	
	Restoration of Upland Areas Outside of the Reservoir Footprint	
	Fish Hatcheries	
	City of Yreka Water Supply Pipeline Relocation	
	Other Project Components	
	Land Disposition	ES-8
	Summary of Proposed Project Effects, Potential Impacts, and Potential	
	Cumulative Impacts	
	Effects Found to be Beneficial	
	Significant Unavoidable Adverse Impacts	
	Cumulative Impacts	
	Alternatives to the Proposed Project	
	No Project Alternative	
	Partial Removal Alternative	
	Continued Operations with Fish Passage Alternative	ES-17
	Three Dam Removal Alternative	
	Two Dam Removal Alternative	
	No Hatchery Alternative	
	Public Involvement and Agency Consultation	
	Areas of Controversy	
	Issues to be Resolved	
4	References	
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Authorization, Purpose, and Use of EIR	
	1.1.1 CEQA Guidance Regarding State Boundaries	
	1.3 Scope and Content of the EIR	
	1.4 EIR Process Overview	
	1.5 Public Involvement and Agency Consultation in Preparing Draft EIR.	
	1.5.1 Scoping Meetings	
	1.5.2 Scoping Comments	
	1.5.3 Agency and Tribal Consultation	
2	PROPOSED PROJECT	
_	2.1 Project Objectives	
	2.2 Project Location	
	2.3 Existing Lower Klamath Project Features	
	2.3.1 J.C. Boyle Dam and Associated Facilities	
	2.3.2 Copco No. 1 Dam and Associated Facilities	
	2.3.3 Copco No. 2 Dam and Associated Facilities	
	2.3.4 Iron Gate Dam and Associated Facilities	
	2.4 Surrounding Land Ownership and Land Use	
	— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

i

	2.5 Su	ırrounding Land Cover	2-16
	2.6 Pr	oject Background	2-20
	2.6.1	Water Conflicts in the Klamath River Basin	2-20
	2.6.2	Relationship with Klamath Hydroelectric Project	2-22
	2.6.3	Klamath Settlement Agreements	
	2.6.4	Prior/Related Environmental Reviews	
	2.7 Pr	oposed Project	2-25
	2.7.1	Dam and Powerhouse Deconstruction	2-30
	2.7.2	Reservoir Drawdown	
	2.7.3	Reservoir Sediment Deposits and Erosion During Drawdown	2-60
	2.7.4	Restoration Within the Reservoir Footprint	
	2.7.5	Restoration of Upland Areas Outside of the Reservoir Footprint	2-77
	2.7.6	Hatchery Operations	
	2.7.7	City of Yreka Water Supply Pipeline Relocation	
	2.7.8	Other Project Components	2-87
	2.7.9	KHSA Interim Measures	
		Land Disposition and Transfer	
		ended Uses of the EIR	
		eferences	
3		IMENTAL SETTING, IMPACTS, AND MITIGATION MEASURES	
		roduction	
	3.1.1	Area of Analysis	
	3.1.2	Environmental Setting	
	3.1.3	Significance Criteria	
	3.1.4	Impact Analysis Approach	
	3.1.5	Potential Impacts and Mitigation	3-2
	3.1.6	Summary of Available Hydrology Information for the Proposed	
	0.4.7	Project	
	3.1.7	References	
		ater Quality	
	3.2.1	Area of Analysis	
	3.2.2	3	
	3.2.3	Significance Criteria	
	3.2.4	Impact Analysis Approach	
	3.2.5 3.2.6	Potential Impacts and MitigationReferences	
	3.3 Aq 3.3.1	juatic ResourcesArea of Analysis	
		Environmental Setting	
	3.3.2		
	3.3.4	Significance Criteria Impact Analysis Approach	
	3.3.5	Potential Impacts and Mitigation	3-259 3-266
	3.3.6	References	
		ytoplankton and Periphyton	
	3.4.1	Area of Analysis	
	3.4.1		
	3.4.2	Significance Criteria	
	3.4.4	Impact Analysis Approach	
	3.4.5	Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
		References	0 440

3.5 Te	rrestrial Resources	3-453
3.5.1	Area of Analysis	3-453
3.5.2	Environmental Setting	3-457
3.5.3	Significance Criteria	
3.5.4	Impact Analysis Approach	
3.5.5	Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
3.5.6	References	
	ood Hydrology	
3.6.1	Area of Analysis	
3.6.2	Environmental Setting	
3.6.3	<u> </u>	
3.6.4	Significance Criteria Impacts Analysis Approach	
3.6.5	Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
3.6.6	References	
	oundwater	
3.7.1	Area of Analysis	
3.7.2	Environmental Setting	
3.7.3	Significance Criteria	
3.7.4	Impacts Analysis Approach	3-662
3.7.5	Potential Impacts and Mitigation	3-663
3.7.6	References	3-665
3.8 Wa	ater Supply/Water Rights	3-667
3.8.1	Area of Analysis	
3.8.2	Environmental Setting	
3.8.3	Significance Criteria	
3.8.4	Impacts Analysis Approach	
3.8.5	Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
3.8.6	References	
	Quality	
3.9.1	Area of Analysis	
3.9.2	Environmental Setting	
3.9.3	<u> </u>	
3.9.4	Significance Criteria	
	Impact Analysis Approach	
3.9.5	Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
3.9.6	References	
	eenhouse Gas Emissions	
	Area of Analysis	
	Environmental Setting	
	Significance Criteria	
	Impact Analysis Approach	
	Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
	References	
3.11 Ge	eology, Soils, and Mineral Resources	3-733
	Area of Analysis	
	Environmental Setting	
	Significance Criteria	
	Impacts Analysis Approach	
	Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
	References	3-776

3.12 Historical Resources and Tribal Cultural Resources	
3.12.1 Area of Analysis	
3.12.2 Environmental Setting	
3.12.3 Significance Criteria	
3.12.4 Impact Analysis Approach	3-814
3.12.5 Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
3.12.6 References	3-854
3.13 Paleontologic Resources	3-861
3.13.1 Area of Analysis	
3.13.2 Environmental Setting	
3.13.3 Significance Criteria	
3.13.4 Impacts Analysis Approach	
3.13.5 Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
3.13.6 References	
3.14 Land Use and Planning	
3.14.1 Area of Analysis	
3.14.2 Environmental Setting	
3.14.3 Significance Criteria	
3.14.4 Impact Analysis Approach	
3.14.5 Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
3.14.6 References	
3.15 Agriculture and Forestry Resources	
3.15.1 Area of Analysis	
3.15.2 Environmental Setting	
3.15.3 Significance Criteria	
3.15.4 Impact Analysis Approach	
3.15.5 Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
3.15.6 References	
3.16 Population and Housing	
3.16.1 Area of Analysis	
3.16.2 Environmental Setting	
3.16.3 Significance Criteria	
3.16.4 Impact Analysis Approach	
3.16.5 Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
3.16.6 References	
3.17 Public Services	
3.17.1 Area of Analysis	
3.17.2 Environmental Setting	
3.17.3 Significance Criteria	
3.17.4 Impact Analysis Approach	
3.17.5 Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
3.17.6 References	
3.18 Utilities and Service Systems	
3.18.1 Area of Analysis	
3.18.2 Environmental Setting	
3.18.3 Significance Criteria	
3.18.4 Impact Analysis Approach	
3.18.5 Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
3 18 6 References	3-931

3.19 Aesthetics	
3.19.1 Area of Analysis	3-933
3.19.2 Environmental Setting	3-936
3.19.3 Significance Criteria	3-951
3.19.4 Impact Analysis Approach	3-951
3.19.5 Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
3.19.6 References	
3.20 Recreation	3-969
3.20.1 Area of Analysis	
3.20.2 Environmental Setting	3-971
3.20.3 Significance Criteria	
3.20.4 Impact Analysis Approach	
3.20.5 Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
3.20.6 References	
3.21 Hazards and Hazardous Materials	
3.21.1 Area of Analysis	
3.21.2 Environmental Setting	
3.21.3 Significance Criteria	
3.21.4 Impact Analysis Approach	
3.21.5 Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
3.21.6 References	
3.22 Transportation and Traffic	
3.22.1 Area of Analysis	
3.22.2 Environmental Setting	
3.22.4 Impact Analysis Approach	
3.22.5 Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
3.22.6 References	
3.23 Noise	
3.23.1 Area of Analysis	
3.23.2 Environmental Setting	
3.23.3 Significance Criteria	
3.23.4 Impact Analysis Approach	
3.23.5 Potential Impacts and Mitigation	
3.23.6 References	
3.24 Cumulative Effects	
3.24.1 Introduction	
3.24.2 Water Quality	
3.24.3 Aquatic Resources	
3.24.4 Phytoplankton and Periphyton	
3.24.5 Terrestrial Resources	
3.24.6 Flood Hydrology	
3.24.7 Groundwater	
3.24.8 Water Supply/Water Rights	
3.24.9 Air Quality	3-1185
3.24.10 Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
3.24.11 Geology, Soils, and Mineral Resources	
3.24.12 Historical Resources and Tribal Cultural Resources	3-1194
3.24.13 Paleontologic Resources	3-1198
3.24.14 Land Use and Planning	3-1199
3.24.15 Agriculture and Forestry	3-1201

	3.24.16	1	
	3.24.17		
	3.24.18	Utilities and Service Systems	3-1206
	3.24.19		
	3.24.20		
	3.24.21	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	
	3.24.22	Transportation and Traffic	3-1216
	3.24.23		
	3.24.24		
4		ΓΙVES	
		rnatives Selection/Overview	
		Alternatives Selection	
		Project Alternative	
		Introduction	
		Water Quality	
		Aquatic Resources	
		Phytoplankton and Periphyton	
		Terrestrial Resources	
		Flood Hydrology	
		Groundwater	
		Water Supply/Water Rights	
		Air Quality	
		Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
		Geology, Soils, and Mineral Resources	
		Historical Resources and Tribal Cultural Resources	
		Paleontologic Resources	
		Land Use and Planning	
		Agriculture and Forestry Resources	
		Population and Housing	
		Public Services	
		Utilities and Service Systems	
		Aesthetics	
		Recreation	
		Hazards and Hazardous Materials	
		Transportation and Traffic	
	4.2.23		
		ial Removal Alternative	
		Introduction	
		Water Quality	
		Aquatic Resources	
		Phytoplankton and Periphyton	
		Terrestrial Resources	
		Flood Hydrology	
		Groundwater	
		Water Supply/Water Rights	
		Air Quality	
		Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
		Geology, Soils, and Mineral Resources	
		Historical and Tribal Cultural Resources	
		Paleontologic Resources	
	4.3.14	Land Use and Planning	4-92

		Agriculture and Forestry Resources	
		Population and Housing	
	4.3.17	Public Services	4-93
	4.3.18	Utilities and Service Systems	4-94
	4.3.19	Aesthetics	4-94
	4.3.20	Recreation	4-95
	4.3.21	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	4-96
		Transportation and Traffic	
		Noise	
4.4		ntinued Operations with Fish Passage Alternative	
	4.4.1	Introduction	4-99
		Water Quality	
		Aquatic Resources	
	4.4.4	Phytoplankton and Periphyton	
	4.4.5	Terrestrial Resources	
	4.4.6	Flood Hydrology	
	4.4.7	Groundwater	
	4.4.8	Water Supply/Water Rights	
	4.4.9	Air Quality	
		Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
		Geology, Soils, and Mineral Resources	
		Historical Resources and Tribal Cultural Resources	
		Paleontologic Resources	
		Land Use and Planning	
		Agriculture and Forestry Resources	
		Population and Housing	
		Public Services	
		Utilities and Service Systems	
		Aesthetics	
		Recreation	
		Hazards and Hazardous Materials	
		Transportation and Traffic	
		Noise	
4.5	Tw	o Dam Removal Alternative	4-181
	4.5.1	Introduction	4-181
	4.5.2	Water Quality	4-186
	4.5.3	Aquatic Resources	
	4.5.4	Phytoplankton and Periphyton	4-223
	4.5.5	Terrestrial Resources	4-228
	4.5.6	Flood Hydrology	4-230
	4.5.7	Groundwater	4-231
	4.5.8	Water Supply/Water Rights	4-231
	4.5.9	Air Quality	4-232
	4.5.10	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	4-233
		Geology, Soils, and Mineral Resources	
		Historical Resources and Tribal Cultural Resources	
		Paleontologic Resources	
		Land Use and Planning	
		Agriculture and Forestry Resources	
		Population and Housing	
		Public Services	
	1.0.17	1 MDIIU DOI FIUUU 11111111111111111111111111111111	601

	4.5.18	Utilities and Service Systems	4-239
	4.5.19	Aesthetics	4-239
	4.5.20	Recreation	4-240
	4.5.21	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	4-242
	4.5.22	Transportation and Traffic	4-243
		Noise	
4.6		ee Dam Removal Alternative	
	4.6.1	Introduction	
	4.6.2	Water Quality	
	4.6.3	Aquatic Resources	
	4.6.4	Phytoplankton and Periphyton	4-207 1-285
	4.6.5	Terrestrial Resources	
	4.6.6		
		Flood Hydrology	
	4.6.7	Groundwater	
		Water Supply/Water Rights	
	4.6.9	Air Quality	4-292
		Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
		Geology, Soils, and Mineral Resources	
		Historical Resources and Tribal Cultural Resources	
		Paleontologic Resources	
		Land Use and Planning	
		Agriculture and Forestry Resources	
		Population and Housing	
	4.6.17	Public Services	4-296
	4.6.18	Utilities and Service Systems	4-296
	4.6.19	Aesthetics	4-296
	4.6.20	Recreation	4-297
		Hazards and Hazardous Materials	
		Transportation and Traffic	
		Noise	
4.7		Hatchery Alternative	
		Introduction	
		Water Quality	
		Aquatic Resources	
	4.7.4	Phytoplankton and Periphyton	
		Terrestrial Resources	
		Flood Hydrology	
	4.7.7	Groundwater	
		Water Supply/Water Rights	
	4.7.9	Air Quality	4-317
	4.7.10	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	4-317
		Geology, Soils, and Mineral Resources	
		Historical Resources and Tribal Cultural Resources	
		Paleontologic Resources	
		Land Use and Planning	
	4.7.15	Agriculture and Forestry Resources	4-320
	4.7.16	Population and Housing	4-320
		Public Services	
		Utilities and Service Systems	
		Aesthetics	
		Recreation	

		Hazards and Hazardous Materials	
		ransportation and Traffic	
		loise	
_		natives References	4-325
5		QUIRED CEQA DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION OF	
		ID ECONOMIC FACTORS	
		ersible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	
	5.2 Grow	vth Inducing Impacts	5-1
	5.3 Area	s of Controversy and Issues Raised by Agencies and the Public	5-3
		al and Economic Factors Under CEQA	5-3
		Consideration of Economic Information for Resources Potentially	- 4
		Affected by Dam Removal	5-4
		Consistency Under CEQA With Respect to Consideration of	- 44
		Economic or Social Factors	
	5.5 Refe	rences	5-12
Lia	t of Tobloo		
	t of Tables	Cummany of Impacts and Mitigation Magazines	-0.06
	ole ES-1. ole ES-2.	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	:5-20
ıaı	Die ES-2.	Areas of Controversy and Issues Raised by Agencies and the Public	=C 22
Tal	ole 1.5-1.	Public Scoping Meetings – Dates and Locations.	
	ole 1.3-1.	Lower Klamath Project Dam and Powerhouse Components.	
	ole 2.3-1.	Proposed Lower Klamath Project Schedule	
	ole 2.7-1.	Copco No. 1 Dam and Powerhouse Decommissioning and	2-21
ıaı	JIG 2.1-2.	Removal Proposal	2-31
Tal	ole 2.7-3.	Estimated quantities of waste disposal for full removal of Copco No	
ıaı	JIC 2.1-5.	Dam	
Tal	ole 2.7-4.	Copco No. 2 Dam and Powerhouse Removal Proposal.	
	ole 2.7-5.	Estimated quantities of waste disposal for full removal of Copco	72
ı uı	310 2.7 0.	No. 2 Dam	2-44
Tal	ole 2.7-6.	Iron Gate Dam and Powerhouse Removal and Decommissioning	
	0.0 2 0.	Proposal	2-45
Tal	ole 2.7-7.	Estimated quantities of waste disposal for full removal of Iron Gate	
		Dam.	
Tal	ole 2.7-8.	Workforce Projections for Dam Removal for the Proposed Project.	
	ole 2.7-8.	Range of Release Flows from Reservoirs due to Drawdown	
	ole 2.7-9.	Stored Sediment in the Klamath Hydroelectric Project, Fall 2009	
	ole 2.7-10.	Estimated Amount of Sediment in the Lower Klamath Project	
		Reservoirs in 2020.	2-68
Tal	ole 2.7-11.	Estimated Amount of Sediment Anticipated to Erode with Dam	
		Removal	2-69
Tal	ole 2.7-12.	Existing Goals and Proposed Hatchery Production for Operations	
		at Iron Gate and Fall Creek Hatcheries.	2-80
Tal	ole 2.7-13.	Summary of Other Project Components	2-87
	ole 2.7-14.	Recreation Facilities scheduled for removal under the Proposed	
		Project	2-94
Tal	ole 2.7-15.	Recreation Facilities retained with potential modification under the	
		Proposed Project	2-94
Tal	ole 2.7-16.	Primary Local and Regional Access Roads	
Tal	ole 2.7-17.	Water Quality Monitoring Plan Parameters	2-98

Table 2.7-18.	KHSA Interim Measures Relevant to California Under Existing	
	Conditions and the Proposed Project.	.2-103
Table 3.1-1.	Minimum Klamath River Discharge below Iron Gate Dam under	
	the 2013 BiOp Flows	3-5
Table 3.1-2.	Average Monthly Flow at Iron Gate Dam for 2013 Joint Biological	
	Opinion and KBRA Operations Criteria	3-6
Table 3.1-3.	Average Monthly Flow at Keno Dam for 2013 Joint Biological	
1 4510 0.1 0.	Opinion and KBRA Operations Criteria	3-7
Table 3.2-1.	River Mile Locations of Klamath River Features Relevant to the	5-1
1 able 3.2-1.		2 47
T 0 0 0	Water Quality Analysis	3-17
Table 3.2-2.	Designated Beneficial Uses of Water in the Water Quality Area of	
	Analysis	3-45
Table 3.2-3.	Water Bodies Included on the 303(d) List within the Water Quality	
	Area of Analysis	3-47
Table 3.2-4.	California Surface-Water Quality Objectives Relevant to the	
1 45.0 0.2	Proposed Project	3-49
Table 3.2-5.	Minimum Dissolved Oxygen Concentrations in mg/L Based on	0 10
1 abie 3.2-3.		2 54
T 11 000	Percent Saturation Criteria.	3-51
Table 3.2-6.	California Marine Water Quality Objectives Relevant to the	
	Proposed Project	
Table 3.2-7.	Hoopa Valley Tribe Surface-Water Quality Objectives	3-53
Table 3.2-8.	Yurok Tribe Surface-Water Quality Objectives Relevant to the	
	Proposed Project	3-54
Table 3.2-9.	Yurok Tribe Water Temperature Numerical Criteria	
Table 3.2-10.	California Cyanobacteria Harmful Algal Bloom Trigger Levels for	0 07
1 abie 3.2-10.		2.64
T-1-1- 0 0 44	Human Health	3-61
Table 3.2-11.	Yurok Tribe Posting Guidelines for Blue-Green Algae Public	
	Health Advisories	3-62
Table 3.2-12.	Estimated Range of Sediment Volume Transported by Sediment	
	Jetting During Drawdown Compared to Total Sediment Volume	
	Anticipated to Erode with Dam Removal	3-86
Table 3.2-13.	Summary of Model Predictions for SSCs in the Klamath River	
	Downstream from Iron Gate Dam for the Proposed Project During	
	Dam Removal Years 1 and 2	3-98
Table 3.2-14.		5-90
Table 3.2-14.	Estimated Short-term Immediate Oxygen Demand and	
	Biochemical Oxygen Demand by Month for Modeled Flow and	
	SSCs Immediately Downstream from Iron Gate Dam Under the	
	Proposed Project	.3-122
Table 3.2-15.	Potential General Treatment and Therapeutic Chemicals Used at	
	California Department of Fish and Wildlife Hatcheries	3-169
Table 3.3-1.	Special-status Aquatic Species Documented in the Vicinity of the	
1 45.0 0.0 1.	Proposed Project and Included in Aquatic Resources Analysis	3-105
Table 3.3-2.	Historical and Recent Status of Klamath River Anadromous Fish	
		.5-191
Table 3.3-3.	Life-history Timing of Fall-run Chinook Salmon in the Klamath	
	River Basin Downstream of Iron Gate Dam.	.3-203
Table 3.3-4.	Life-history Timing of Spring-run Chinook Salmon in the Klamath	
	River Basin Downstream of Iron Gate Dam. Peak Activity is	
	Indicated in Black	.3-205
Table 3.3-5.	Life-history Timing of Coho Salmon in the Klamath River Basin	
. 45.0 0.0 0.	Downstream of Iron Gate Dam. Peak Activity is Indicated in	
	•	2 200
	Black	.ა-∠∪ၓ

Table 3.3-6.	Life-history Timing of Summer Steelhead in the Klamath River Basin Downstream of Iron Gate Dam.	3-211
Table 3.3-7.	Life-history Timing of Fall-and Winter-run Steelhead and Rainbow Trout in the Klamath River Basin Downstream of Iron	211
	Gate Dam	3-212
Table 3.3-8.	Life-history Timing of Pacific Lamprey in the Klamath River Basin	0 212
	Downstream of Iron Gate Dam	3-214
Table 3.3-9.	Life-history Timing of Green Sturgeon in the Klamath River Basin	
	Downstream of Iron Gate Dam	
Table 3.3-10.	Ceratomyxa Shasta Genotypes in the Klamath Basin	
Table 3.3-11.	Hatchery releases and adult returns under the Proposed Project.	
Table 3.4-1.	2016 California Cyanobacteria Harmful Algal Bloom Trigger Levels for Human Health.	3-402
Table 3.4-2.	Daily Mean Nutrient Loads at Mainstem Klamath River and Major	
	Tributary Sites Calculated Using the Five Different Methods to	
	Estimate Daily Nutrient Concentrations	.3-424
Table 3.5-1.	Vegetation Types Documented in the Primary Area of Analysis	
	for Terrestrial Resources	3-458
Table 3.5-2.	Comparison of Historical and Current Wet Habitat Types at	
	Copco Nos. 1 and 2 and Iron Gate Reservoirs.	.3-468
Table 3.5-3.	Invasive Plants Documented Within and in the Vicinity of the	
	Primary Area of Analysis for Terrestrial Resources	.3-473
Table 3.5-4.	Special-status Plant Species with the Potential to Occur in the	
	Primary Area of Analysis for Terrestrial Resources	3-480
Table 3.5-5.	Suitable Habitat and Occurrence Information for Special-status	
	Wildlife Species	3-501
Table 3.5-6.	Summary of Proposed Project Components and Recommended	
T 0.5.7	Terrestrial Measures.	3-531
Table 3.5-7.	Noise Disturbance Buffers and Seasonal Timing Restrictions for	2 5 4 0
Table 2.5.0	Nesting Raptors.	3-540
Table 3.5-8.	Evidence of Bat Use at Structures Based on June 2017	2 5 4 7
Table 2.5.0	Reconnaissance and Available Information from 2018 Surveys	3-547
Table 3.5-9.	Disturbance Distances for the Northern Spotted Owl During the Breeding Period.	2 554
Table 3.6-1.	USGS Gages on the Klamath River.	
Table 3.6-1.	Historical Monthly Average Flows in Wetter Years during Water	5-590
1 abie 5.0-2.	Years 1961–2009 on the Klamath River	
Table 3.6-3.	Annual and Seasonal Daily Flows.	
Table 3.6-4.	Klamath River Reservoir Information.	
Table 3.6-5.	Average Spillage at J.C. Boyle, Copco No. 1, and Iron Gate	
Table 5.0 5.	Dams from January 2, 1990 through December 5, 2004	3-604
Table 3.6-6.	Monthly Discharge Statistics for Klamath River gages	
Table 3.6-7.	Ramping Rate Requirements for Iron Gate Dam	
Table 3.6-8.	Iron Gate Dam Target Flow Release Criteria According to the	010
1 4510 0.0 0.	2013 Biological Opinion	3-612
Table 3.6-9.	Monthly Discharge Statistics for USGS Gages along the Lower	
-	Klamath River and for the Shasta, Scott, Salmon, and Trinity	
	Rivers	3-616
Table 3.6-10.	Minimum Releases for Trinity River Restoration	
Table 3.6-11.	Annual Flood Frequency Analysis on Klamath River for 10-Year	
	to 100-Year Flood Events	3-622

Table 3.6-12.	Flood Attenuation of Iron Gate and Copco No. 1 Reservoirs on	0.004
T-bl- 0.7.4	Flows at RM 193.	3-631
Table 3.7-1.	Abbreviations Used to Characterize Well Logs in Cross-sections.	3-647
Table 3.7-2.	Well Parameters for Copco No. 1 and Copco No. 2 Reservoir	0.050
T-bl- 0.7.0	Wells used in Cross-sections A, B, C, D, and M	3-653
Table 3.7-3.	Well Parameters for Iron Gate Reservoir ¹ Wells used in	2 660
Table 3.8-1.	Cross-sections E, G, and H Summary of Water Right Listings from California's Electronic	3-000
1 able 3.0-1.	Water Rights Information Management System that list the	
	Klamath River or One of the California Lower Klamath Project	
	Reservoirs as the Water Source	3-672
Table 3.9-1.	Summary of Annual Ambient Air Quality Data.	
Table 3.9-2.	Attainment Status Summary, Siskiyou County	
Table 3.9-3.	Summary of 2015 Estimated Emissions Inventory for Siskiyou	0 002
1 4510 0.0 0.	County	3-694
Table 3.9-4.	Uncontrolled Emissions Inventories for the Proposed Project	
Table 3.9-5.	Uncontrolled Emissions Inventories for Dam and Powerhouse	
	Deconstruction.	3-704
Table 3.9-6.	Uncontrolled Emissions from Restoration Activities	
Table 3.9-7.	Uncontrolled Emissions from Relocation and Demolition of	
	Recreation Facilities.	3-706
Table 3.9-8.	Uncontrolled Emissions from Construction of the Yreka Water	
	Supply Pipeline.	3-707
Table 3.10-1.	Lower Klamath Project Dam Complexes	
Table 3.10-2.	Uncontrolled Direct GHG Emissions Inventories for the Proposed	
	Project	3-725
Table 3.11-1.	Earthquake and Fault Information.	3-737
Table 3.11-2.	Mineral Resource Sites within the Area of Analysis for Geology	
	and Soils	3-741
Table 3.11-3.	Estimated Annual Sediment Delivery to the Klamath River	3-749
Table 3.11-4.	Sediment stored in Lower Klamath Project reservoirs, Fall 2009	
Table 3.11-5.	Physical Properties of Reservoir Sediment	3-758
Table 3.11-6.	Estimated Amount of Sediment in the Lower Klamath Project	
	Reservoirs in 2020.	
Table 3.11-7.	Estimated Amount of Sediment Erodible with Dam Removal	3-768
Table 3.12-1.	Non-confidential Historic-period Cultural Resources within the	
	Area of Analysis.	
Table 3.13-1.	Hornbrook Formation Geologic Unit and Fossil Descriptions	
Table 3.13-2.	Paleontologic Potential	3-869
Table 3.14-1.	Land Ownership in Acres within the Area of Analysis for Land	
	Use and Planning.	3-877
Table 3.15-1.	Summary of Agricultural Land Conversion in Siskiyou County,	
T 11 0 15 0	2012–2014	
Table 3.15-2.	Upland tree habitats and mapped between the Oregon-California	
T-1-1- 0 40 4	state line and Iron Gate Dam	
Table 3.16-1.	Siskiyou County Housing Units	
Table 3.19-1.	Visual Resource Inventory Matrix.	ა-ყა9
Table 3.20-1.	Public Lands Offering Recreational Opportunities in the Area of	2 070
Table 2 20 2	Analysis for Recreation.	3-9/2
Table 3.20-2.	Rivers Providing Recreational Fishing Opportunities in the	2 074
	Region	5-914

Table 3.20-3.	Rivers with Whitewater Boating Opportunities in the Region	3-975
Table 3.20-4.	Comparison of Lower Klamath Project Reservoirs with Lakes	
	and Reservoirs in the Region.	3-976
Table 3.20-5.	Keno Impoundment/Lake Ewauna Developed Recreation	
	Facilities	3-978
Table 3.20-6.	Acceptable Flow Ranges for Various River-Based Activities for	
1 abic 3.20-0.	Reaches of the Klamath River	
T-1-1- 0.00 7		
Table 3.20-7.	Hell's Corner Reach Developed Recreation Facilities	3-985
Table 3.20-8.	River-Based Recreation Opportunities in the Middle Klamath	
	River, Between Iron Gate Dam and the Confluence with the	
	Trinity River	3-987
Table 3.20-9.	Estimated Number of Recreational Salmon Angler Days and	
	Chinook Salmon Harvest on the Klamath River, 2001–2015	3-989
Table 3.20-10.	Estimated Number of Recreational Steelhead Angler Days on	
	Klamath River (excluding the Trinity River), 2003–2008	
Table 3.20-11.	J.C. Boyle Reservoir Developed Recreation Facilities	
Table 3.20-12.	Copco No. 1 Reservoir Developed Recreation Facilities	
Table 3.20-13.	Iron Gate Reservoir Developed Recreation Facilities.	3-993
Table 3.20-14.	Comparison of 1981 Flows to the Acceptable Range for	
	Whitewater Boating and Fishing.	3-998
Table 3.20-15.	Estimated Abundance of Fish Species at the 1981 Wild and	
	Scenic River Designation.	3-1001
Table 3.21-1.	Hazards-related Discussion Found Elsewhere in this EIR	3-1029
Table 3.21-2.	Hazardous Materials Spill Report	
Table 3.21-3.	2010–2015 Battalion 2 Fire Causes.	
Table 3.21-4.	2010–2018 Incident Information.	
Table 3.22-1.	Local and Regional Access Roads within the Traffic and	0 1000
1 abie 5.22-1.		2 1050
T-bl- 0.00 0	Transportation Area of Analysis	
Table 3.22-2.	Maximum Daily Volume Thresholds for Roadway Classes	
Table 3.22-3.	Siskiyou Transportation and General Express Routes	
Table 3.22-4.	Transportation-related Discussion Found Elsewhere in this El	R3-1068
Table 3.22-5.	Workforce Projections for Dam Removal for the Proposed	
	Project	
Table 3.22-6.	Vehicle Trips for the Import/Export of Materials for the Propos	ed
	Project	
Table 3.23-1.	Existing Noise Levels at Residential Receptors near	
	Construction Sites.	3-1086
Table 3.23-2.	Existing Daytime Peak Hour Leq along Proposed Haul and	0 1000
1 abie 5.25-2.		2 1007
T-1-1- 0.00 0	Commute Routes.	
Table 3.23-3.	Siskiyou County General Plan Maximum Allowable Noise Lev	
	from Construction Equipment.	3-1090
Table 3.23-4.	Construction Operations, Equipment Types, and Their Noise	
	Levels	3-1092
Table 3.23-5.	Equipment Types for which Siskiyou County Maximum	
	Allowable Noise Levels Exceed Typical Equipment Noise	
	Levels	3-1094
Table 3.23-6.	Summary of Noise Levels from Construction Activities	
. 4510 0.20 0.	Compared to Existing	3-1005
Table 3 23-7	Summary of Vibration from Construction Activities	
1.401E .3 7.3=7	SUMMARY OF VIOLAHOR HOME CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES	.5-1099

Table 3.23-8.	Hauling and Construction-Related Traffic Noise from Off-site Hauling and Construction Worker Commuting for the Proposed Project	.3-1100
Table 3.24-1.	List of Planned, Approved, or Reasonably Foreseeable Projects that Would Potentially Result in Related or Cumulative Effects	
Table 4.2-1.	When Combined with the Proposed Project	.3-1106
	Proposed Project	4-19
Table 4.3-1.	Copco No. 1 Dam and Powerhouse Removal Under the Partial Removal Alternative.	4-76
Table 4.3-2.	Copco No. 1 Features to be Retained and New or Different	170
	Construction Activities Under the Partial Removal Alternative as Compared with the Proposed Project	4-77
Table 4.3-3.	Copco No. 2 Dam and Powerhouse Removal Under the Partial	
T-1-1- 4.0.4	Removal Alternative.	4-78
Table 4.3-4.	Copco No. 2 Features to be Retained and New or Different Construction Activities Under the Partial Removal Alternative as	
	Compared with the Proposed Project	4-80
Table 4.3-5.	Iron Gate Dam and Powerhouse Removal Under the Partial	
-	Removal Alternative.	4-81
Table 4.3-6.	Iron Gate Features1 to be Retained and New or Different Construction Activities Under the Partial Removal Alternative as	
	Compared with the Proposed Project	
Table 4.3-7.	Uncontrolled Daily Emissions for the Partial Removal Alternative	4-90
Table 4.4-1.	Workforce Projections for Continued Operations with Fish Passage Alternative.	<i>4</i> -101
Table 4.4-2.	Summary of Peak Daily Emissions for Construction Activities for	
	the Continued Operations with Fish Passage Alternative	
Table 4.5-1.	Estimated Construction Workforce for the Two Dam Removal	4 405
Table 4.5-2.	Alternative Total Uncontrolled Daily Emissions from the Two Dam Removal	4-100
14510 1.0 2.	Alternative	4-232
Table 4.7-1.	Natural and Hatchery smolts and adult returns in Klamath River	
	under the No Hatchery Alternative	
Table 5.4-1.	Land Use Breakdown.	
Table 5.4-2.	Single-family Homes on Copco No. 1 and Iron Gate Reservoirs	5-9
List of Figures		
Figure ES-1.	Klamath Basin and Mainstem River Reaches	
Figure ES-2.	Proposed Project Boundary - California Portion	
Figure 2.2-1.	Regional Location Klamath Basin and Mainstem River Reaches	2-3
Figure 2.2-2.		
Figure 2.2-3. Figure 2.2-4.	Upper Klamath Basin Reaches Proposed Project Boundary	
Figure 2.2-5.	Proposed Project Boundary – California Portion	
Figure 2.3-1.	J.C. Boyle Dam and Associated Facilities.	
Figure 2.3-2.	Example Flows in Peaking Reach downstream from J.C. Boyle	0
5	Powerhouse	2-11

Figure 2.3-3.	Copco No. 1 Dam and Copco No. 2 Dam and Associated	
	Facilities	2-12
Figure 2.3-4.	Iron Gate Dam and Associated Facilities	2-15
Figure 2.5-1.	Surrounding Land Ownership	2-17
Figure 2.5-2.	Surrounding Siskiyou General Plan Zoning Classifications	
Figure 2.5-3.	Surrounding Land Cover.	
Figure 2.7-1.	Distribution and Life-History Timing of Aquatic Species in the	0
1 iguic 2.7-1.	Klamath Basin. Source: CDM Smith	2-29
Figure 2.7-2.	Copco No. 1 and Copco No. 2 Dam Removal Features and Limits	∠-∠9
rigule 2.1-2.		0.05
F: 0.7.0	of Work	
Figure 2.7-3.	Lower Klamath Project Access Overview.	
Figure 2.7-4.	Iron Gate Dam Removal Features and Limits of Work	
Figure 2.7-5.	Copco No. 1 Reservoir Bathymetry	
Figure 2.7-6.	Iron Gate Reservoir Bathymetry.	2-56
Figure 2.7-7.	J.C. Boyle Reservoir Estimated Average Sediment Thickness and	
	Sample Site Locations	2-62
Figure 2.7-8.	Copco Reservoir Estimated Average Sediment Thickness and	
J	Sample Site Locations	2-63
Figure 2.7-9.	Iron Gate Reservoir Estimated Average Sediment Thickness and	
ga.o o.	Sample Site Locations	2-64
Figure 2.7-10.	Examples of Restored Habitat Types and Components	
•	Restoration Actions Identified for the Copco No. 1 Reservoir Area.	
Figure 2.7-11.		
Figure 2.7-12.	Restoration actions identified for the Iron Gate Reservoir area	2-76
Figure 2.7-13.	Iron Gate Hatchery Existing Features and Proposed	o - o
	Modifications.	2-79
Figure 2.7-14.	Bogus Creek Existing Flow and Proposed Flow Diversion to	
	Support Production at Iron Gate Hatchery for Eight Years	
	Following Dam Removal	2-80
Figure 2.7-15.	Fall Creek Hatchery Existing Features and Proposed	
	Modifications	2-83
Figure 2.7-16.	Proposed Non-consumptive Fall Creek Hatchery Water Diversions	
· ·	to Support Production at the Fall Creek Hatchery Following Dam	
	Removal, Historical Fall Creek Flow Measured at USGS	
	11512000 Downstream of the City of Yreka Diversion, Historical	
	City of Yreka Diversion, and Fall Creek Minimum Flow	
	Requirement Downstream of Compliance Point.	2-84
Figure 2 7 17	Alignments for Yreka Waterline Replacement - Klamath River	2-04
Figure 2.7-17.		2.06
F: 0.7.40	Crossing Conceptual Alternatives	
Figure 2.7-18.	Parcel B Lands – California Portion	2-109
Figure 3.1-1.	Monthly Flow Exceedance Curves at Iron Gate Dam for the	
	KBRA Flows and 2013 Joint Biological Opinion Flows	3-10
Figure 3.1-2.	Monthly Flow Exceedance Curves at Keno Dam for the KBRA	
	Flows and 2013 Joint Biological Opinion Flows	3-11
Figure 3.2-1.	Klamath River Reaches Included in the Area of Analysis for Water	
· ·	Quality	
Figure 3.2-2.	General Seasonal Pattern of Thermal Stratification, Dissolved	
g	Oxygen Concentrations, and Algae Blooms in Relatively Deep,	
	Productive Reservoirs in Temperate Climates, With Darker Green	
	Shading in Surface Waters Representing a Higher Intensity of Alga	20
	Growth	৩-।9

Figure 3.2-3	Simulated Hourly Water Temperature Downstream from Iron Gate Dam Based on Year 2004 for Existing Conditions Compared to Hypothetical Conditions without J.C. Boyle, Copco No. 1, Copco	
Figure 3.2-4	No. 2, and Iron Gate Dams Vertical Profiles of pH and Dissolved Oxygen Measured During 2007 in Copco No 1. Reservoir at the Log Boom and Iron Gate Reservoir at the Log Boom	.3-24
Figure 3.2-5.	Longitudinal Analysis of Summer Chlorophyll-a Concentrations from 2005–2007 Along the Klamath River	
Figure 3.2-6	Klamath River Estuary Sediment Sampling Site Locations	
Figure 3.2-7.	Predicted Water Temperature at the Oregon-California State Line for the Klamath River TMDL Scenarios Similar to the Proposed	
	Project and Existing Conditions.	.3-75
Figure 3.2-8.	Simulated Hourly Water Temperature Downstream from Iron Gate Dam Based on Year 2004 for Existing Conditions Compared to Hypothetical Conditions without J.C. Boyle, Copco No. 1, Copco	
		.3-78
Figure 3.2-9.	Simulated Hourly Water Temperature Immediately Upstream of the Scott River Confluence Based on Year 2004 for Existing	
	Conditions Compared to Hypothetical Conditions without J.C.	2 70
Figure 3.2-10.	Boyle, Copco No. 1, Copco No. 2, and Iron Gate Dams	.5-79
1 igule 3.2-10.	Salmon River Confluence Based on Year 2004 for Existing	
	Conditions Compared to Hypothetical Conditions without J.C.	
	Boyle, Copco No. 1, Copco No. 2, and Iron Gate Dams	3-79
Figure 3.2-11.	Suspended Sediment Concentrations Modeled at J.C. Boyle	.0 7 3
1 iguro 0.2 1 1.	Reservoir Under the Proposed Project Assuming Typical Dry	
	Hydrology	.3-88
Figure 3.2-12.	Suspended Sediment Concentrations Modeled at J.C. Boyle	
	Reservoir Under the Proposed Project Assuming Median	
	Hydrology	.3-89
Figure 3.2-13.	Suspended Sediment Concentrations Modeled at J.C. Boyle Reservoir Under the Proposed Project Assuming Typical Wet	
	Hydrology	.3-89
Figure 3.2-14.	Sediment Concentration Downstream of Copco No. 1 Reservoir	
9	During Drawdown Using SRH-2D v3 Under Three Hydrological	
	Scenarios.	.3-92
Figure 3.2-15.	SSCs Modeled Downstream from Iron Gate Dam Under the	
J	Proposed Project Assuming Typical Dry Hydrology	.3-97
Figure 3.2-16.	SSCs Modeled Downstream from Iron Gate Dam Under the	
-	Proposed Project Assuming Median Hydrology	.3-97
Figure 3.2-17.	SSCs Modeled Downstream from Iron Gate Dam Under the	
	Proposed Project Assuming Typical Wet Hydrology	.3-98
Figure 3.2-18.	Comparison of Annual TP and TN Concentrations from Iron Gate	
	Dam to Turwar for June–October and July–September 2007–2008:	
	Measured Current Conditions, Dams-Out Estimate using	
	Calculated Percent Retention Rates by Reach, and Dams-Out	
	Estimate using Percent Retention Rates Predicted by the	
	Empirical Relationship between Reach Inflow Concentration	140
	and Retention	5- I TO

Figure 3.2-19.	Predicted Dissolved Oxygen at the Oregon-California State Line for the Klamath River TMDL Scenarios Similar to the Proposed Project and Existing Conditions	2 126
Figure 3.2-20.	Predicted Dissolved Oxygen Downstream from Iron Gate Dam for the Klamath River TMDL Scenarios Similar to the Proposed Project and Existing Conditions	
Figure 3.2-21.	Predicted Dissolved Oxygen Downstream from the Mainstem Confluence with the Shasta River for the Klamath River TMDL Scenarios Similar to the Proposed Project and Existing	
Figure 3.2-22.	Conditions Predicted Dissolved Oxygen at Seiad Valley for the Klamath River TMDL Scenarios Similar to the Proposed Project and Existing Conditions	
Figure 3.2-23.	Predicted Dissolved Oxygen Just Upstream of the Confluence with the Trinity River for the Klamath River TMDL Scenarios	
Figure 3.2-24.	Similar to the Proposed Project and Existing Conditions	
Figure 3.2-25.	Modeled Existing Conditions Predicted Klamath River pH Immediately Downstream from Iron Gate Dam for the Klamath River TMDL Scenarios Similar to the	
Figure 3.2-26.	Proposed Project and the No Project Alternative Predicted Klamath River pH upstream of the Scott River for the Klamath River TMDL Scenarios Similar to the Proposed Project	
Figure 3.2-27.	and the No Project Alternative	
Figure 3.3-1.	Study Reaches within the Area of Analysis for Aquatic Resources.	
Figure 3.3-2.	Lifecycle of Ceratomyxa shasta. Source: NMFS 2012	.3-240
Figure 3.3-3.	Lifecycle of <i>Ichthyophthirius multifis</i>	.3-245
Figure 3.3-4.	Perry et al. Modeled Time Series of Average Daily Mean Water Temperature Predicted at Iron Gate Dam Under the Proposed	2 274
Figure 3.3-5.	Project and Existing Conditions. PacifiCorp Simulated hourly Water Temperatures Below Iron Gate Dam Based on a Dry Water Year for Existing Conditions Compared to the Proposed Project, and USEPA Water	
Figure 3.4-1.	Temperature Criteria for Salmonid Growth and Migration	.3-275 .3-391
Figure 3.4-2.	Dense Summer and Fall Blue-green Algae Bloom in Iron Gate Reservoir with Higher Concentrations of Blue-green Algae Occurring Along the Shoreline of the Reservoir in Slower Moving	
		.3-394
Figure 3.4-3.	Blue-green Algae Bloom Along the Copco No. 1 Shoreline on 7/13/2005.	.3-394
Figure 3.4-4.		.3-396
Figure 3.4-5.	•	.3-397
Figure 3.4-6.	Microscopic view of Anabaena flos-aquae, recently renamed	
	Dolichospermum flos-aquae	
Figure 3.4-7.	Microscopic views of <i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i>	.3-400

Figure 3.4-8.	Blue-green algae Microcystis aeruginosa bloom	
Figure 3.4-9	Lifecycle of Ceratomyxa shasta	.3-405
Figure 3.4-10.	Blue-green Algae Transport From the Upper Klamath Lake into	
	the Upper Klamath River	.3-406
Figure 3.4-11.	Total Phytoplankton Biovolume in mm ³ /L from June 1 to	
	September 30 for the Years 2001 to 2004	.3-407
Figure 3.4-12.	Microcystis aeruginosa Biovolume in um ³ /mL from July to	
	October for the Years 2001 to 2004	.3-409
Figure 3.4-13.	Biovolume and Percent Biovolume of Microcystis aeruginosa	
	Above, Within, and Downstream from Copco No. 1 and Iron Gate	
	Reservoirs During 2005	.3-411
Figure 3.4-14.	Relative Proportion of the <i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i> Population	
	Comprised of Two Microcystis aeruginosa Genetic Types at Sites	
	in the Klamath River and Reservoirs.	.3-412
Figure 3.4-15.	Microcystis aeruginosa Density and Microcystin Concentration	
J	Variations Between Open Water and Along the River Edge in the	
	Klamath River.	.3-416
Figure 3.4-16.	Microcystis aeruginosa Cell Density in the Klamath River	
9		.3-421
Figure 3.5-1.	Area of Analysis for Terrestrial Resources.	
Figure 3.5-2.	Historical Vegetation Types in Copco No. 1 and Copco No. 2	
94.0 0.0 2.	Reservoirs.	.3-469
Figure 3.5-3.	Historical Vegetation Types in Iron Gate Reservoir.	.3-470
Figure 3.5-4.	Current Vegetation Types within a 300-foot Buffer of Copco No.	.0 170
riguic 5.5 4.	1 and Copco No.2 Reservoirs.	.3-471
Figure 3.5-5.	Current Vegetation Types within a 300-foot Buffer of Iron Gate	.0 47 1
1 igule 5.5-5.	Reservoir	.3-472
Figure 3.5-6.	Bighorn Sheep 2017 Collar Data for the Klamath Basin.	_
Figure 3.5-7.	Western Pond Turtle Suitable Basking and Nesting Habitat in	.5-411
rigule 3.5-7.		
	Green and Purple and Potential Aquatic Overwintering Habitats	
	in Water Depths of Less Than Two Meters in Yellow at Copco	.3-565
Figure 2.F.0	No. 1 Reservoir	.3-363
Figure 3.5-8.	Western Pond Turtle Suitable Basking and Nesting Habitat in	
	Green and Purple and Potential Aquatic Overwintering Habitats	
	in Water Depths of Less Than Two Meters in Yellow at Iron Gate	0.500
Fig 0. 0. 4	Reservoir	.3-566
Figure 3.6-1.	Flood Hydrology Area of Analysis	.3-588
Figure 3.6-2.	Mean Daily Flows (cubic feet per second) for the Klamath River	
	at the USGS Gage at Keno for Three Different Water Years,	
	Generally Representing Drier, More Normal, and Wetter	
=	Conditions.	.3-592
Figure 3.6-3.	Mean Daily Flows (cubic feet per second) for the Klamath River	
	at the USGS Gage Near Fall Creek for Three Different Water	
	Years, Generally Representing Drier, Normal, and Wetter	
	Conditions.	.3-594
Figure 3.6-4.	Comparison of Mean Daily Flows Recorded at Keno Historically	
	with More Recent Conditions	.3-595
Figure 3.6-5.	Mean Monthly Precipitation Across the Klamath River	
		.3-597
Figure 3.6-6.	Daily Average Flows at Five USGS Stream Gages on the	
	Klamath River	.3-599

Figure 3.6-7.	Discharge for the Klamath River at Keno Dam, 1961–2015	.3-602
Figure 3.6-8.	Discharge for Klamath River Downstream from J.C. Boyle	
F : 0.00	Powerhouse, 1959–2015	.3-605
Figure 3.6-9.	Discharges for Klamath River Downstream from Iron Gate Dam,	0.000
F: 0.0.40	1963–2015	.3-608
Figure 3.6-10.	Discharges for Klamath River Downstream from Iron Gate Dam,	0.000
Figure 0.0.44	2009–2015.	.3-608
Figure 3.6-11.	Discharge for Klamath River at Seiad Valley, 1963–2015	
Figure 3.6-12.	Discharge for Klamath River at Orleans, 1963–2015	.3-615
Figure 3.6-13.	Daily Inflow from the Trinity River at the Confluence with the	2 640
Figure 2.6.14	Klamath River, 1963–2015 Discharge for Klamath River at Klamath, 1963–2015	
Figure 3.6-14.	Groundwater Area of Analysis	
Figure 3.7-1.	Regional Groundwater Map	
Figure 3.7-2.	Locatable Wells within 2.5 Miles of Copco No. 1 and Copco No.	.3-042
Figure 3.7-3.	2 Reservoirs and Cross-section Locations	2 6/6
Figure 3.7-4.	Copco No. 1 Reservoir, Cross-Section A-A Depicting	.3-040
rigule 3.7-4.	Groundwater Elevations and Stratigraphy Characterized in	
	Wells	.3-648
Figure 3.7-5.	Copco No. 1 Reservoir, Cross-Section B-B Depicting	.5-0-0
1 iguic 3.7-3.	Groundwater Conditions and Stratigraphy Characterized in	
	Wells	.3-649
Figure 3.7-6.	Copco No. 1 Reservoir, Cross-Section C-C Depicting	.5-0-5
1 19410 0.7 0.	Groundwater Conditions and Stratigraphy Characterized in	
	Wells	.3-650
Figure 3.7-7.	Copco No. 1 Reservoir, Cross-Section D-D Depicting	.0 000
1 19410 0.7 7.	Groundwater Conditions and Stratigraphy Characterized in	
	Wells	.3-651
Figure 3.7-8.	Copco No. 1 Reservoir, Cross-Section M-M Depicting	
9	Groundwater Conditions and Stratigraphy Characterized in	
	Wells	.3-652
Figure 3.7-9.	Locatable Wells within 2.5 Miles of Iron Gate Reservoir and	
J	Cross-section Locations	.3-655
Figure 3.7-10.	Locatable Wells within 2.5 Miles of Iron Gate Reservoir and	
	Cross-section Locations	.3-656
Figure 3.7-11.	Iron Gate Reservoir, Cross-Section E-E Depicting Groundwater	
	Conditions and Stratigraphy Characterized in Wells	.3-657
Figure 3.7-12.	Iron Gate Reservoir, Cross-Section G-G Depicting Groundwater	
	Conditions and Stratigraphy Characterized in Wells	.3-658
Figure 3.7-13.	Iron Gate Reservoir, Cross-Section H-H Depicting Groundwater	
	Conditions and Stratigraphy Characterized in Wells	.3-659
Figure 3.8-1.	Water Supply/Water Rights Area of Analysis	.3-668
Figure 3.8-2.	Water Supply/Water Rights Area of Analysis includes portions of	
	the Klamath Irrigation Project within California	.3-669
Figure 3.9-1.	Area of Analysis for Air Quality	.3-686
Figure 3.9-2.	Particulate Matter California Ambient Air Quality Standards	
	Designations	
Figure 3.10-1.	Area of Analysis for Greenhouse Gas Emissions	.3-714
Figure 3.10-2.	California GHC Emission Sources, in Million Metric Tons of	
	CO ₂ e	3-716

Figure 3.11-1.	Geomorphic Provinces in the Klamath Basin and Geomorphic	
	Reaches within the Area of Analysis for Geology and Soils	.3-734
Figure 3.11-2.	Mineral Resource Sites within the Area of Analysis for Geology	
	and Soils	.3-740
Figure 3.11-3.	Surficial geology at Copco No. 1 Reservoir	.3-743
Figure 3.11-4.	Surficial geology at Iron Gate Reservoir.	.3-745
Figure 3.11-5.	Particle Size Parameters from Pebble Counts of the Klamath	
9	River Bed Surface Downstream of Iron Gate Dam.	.3-752
Figure 3.11-6.	Flow and Corresponding Return Period at which Bed Mobilization	
. igaio oi i i oi	Begins Under Existing Conditions.	
Figure 3.11-7.	J.C. Boyle Reservoir Estimated Sediment Thickness and Sample	.0 700
riguic 3.11-7.	Site Locations	3-755
Figure 3.11-8.	Copco Reservoir Estimated Sediment Thickness and Sample	.5-755
rigule 3.11-6.		2.756
Figure 2 44 0	Site Locations	.3-730
Figure 3.11-9.	Iron Gate Reservoir Estimated Sediment Thickness and Sample	0.757
F: 0.44.40	Site Locations	
	Results of slope failure analysis at Copco No. 1 Reservoir	.3-764
Figure 3.11-11.	Volume of Sediment Eroded from Reservoirs in the Hydroelectric	
	Reach During 2020 Drawdown Beginning in January	.3-768
Figure 3.11-12.	Annual Predicted Sediment Delivery to the Pacific Ocean Under	
	the Proposed Project and Existing Conditions by Water Year	.3-770
Figure 3.11-13.	Reach-Averaged Erosion in the Hydroelectric Reach during a	
	Representative Wet Water Year	.3-771
Figure 3.11-14.	Simulated Bed Composition from Copco No. 2 to Iron Gate	
Ü	Reservoirs during Two Successive Representative Dry Water	
	Years During and After Drawdown.	3-771
Figure 3.11-15.	Reach Averaged Bed Elevation Change for Two Successive	
1 19410 0.11 10.	Wet, Median, or Dry Water Years Following Reservoir	
		.3-772
Figuro 3 11-16	Simulated Bed Composition from Iron Gate Dam to Bogus Creek	.0-112
1 igule 5.11-10.	during Two Successive Dry Water Years Following Reservoir	
		2 772
Figure 0 44 47	Drawdown	.5-775
Figure 3.11-17.	Simulated D50 from Iron Gate Dam to Bogus Creek during	
	Successive Wet, Median, and Dry Water Years Following	0 1
	Reservoir Drawdown	
Figure 3.12-1.	Area of Analysis for Historical and Tribal Cultural Resources	.3-784
Figure 3.12-2.	Area of Analysis Subarea 1 for Historical and Tribal Cultural	
	Resources	.3-785
Figure 3.12-3.	Area of Analysis Subarea 2 for Historical and Tribal Cultural	
	Resources	.3-786
Figure 3.12-4.	Area of Analysis Subarea 3 for Historical and Tribal Cultural	
	Resources	.3-787
Figure 3.12-5.	Area of Analysis Subarea 4 for Historical and Tribal Cultural	
9	Resources	.3-788
Figure 3.12-6.	Traditional Homelands of the Shasta People	
Figure 3.12-7.	Discharge (flow) for Klamath River Downstream from J.C. Boyle	.0 .00
94.0 0.12 7.	Powerhouse, 1959–2015	3-820
Figure 3.13-1.	Area of Analysis for Paleontologic Resources.	
		.0-002
Figure 3.13-2.	Late Cretaceous Hornbrook Formation mapped along the	2 065
Figure 0 4 4 4	Klamath River	
Figure 3.14-1.	Land Use and Planning Area of Analysis	.ა-8/6

Figure 3.14-2.	Surrounding Land Ownership	
Figure 3.14-3.	Siskiyou County Land Use by Zoning Classification	3-880
Figure 3.14-4.	Road Maintenance Responsibility within the Land Use and	
· ·	Planning Area of Analysis	3-884
Figure 3.15-1.	Agricultural and Forestry Resources Area of Analysis	
Figure 3.15-2.	Farmland classification along the Klamath River from Interstate	
1 iguio 0.10 2.	5 to the Oregon-California state line	3-803
Figure 3.17-1.	Diagram of Typical Dry Hydrant System	
Figure 3.17-1.		
•	Locations of Potential Dry Hydrants for Copco No. 1 Reservoir	
Figure 3.17-3.	Locations of Potential Dry Hydrants for Iron Gate Reservoir	
Figure 3.19-1.	Aesthetics Area of Analysis.	
Figure 3.19-2.	Views of Klamath River Downstream of Iron Gate Dam	
Figure 3.19-3.	Views of Klamath River from Tree of Heaven River Access Boat	
	Ramp	
Figure 3.19-4.	Views of Klamath River from Stateline Takeout	3-944
Figure 3.19-5.	Views of Klamath River from Fishing Access #5	3-945
Figure 3.19-6.	Copco Lake at Mallard Cove Recreation Area during Low and	
J	High Pool Conditions	3-948
Figure 3.19-7.	Iron Gate Reservoir at Long Gulch Recreation Area during Low	
	and High Pool Conditions.	3-949
Figure 3.19-8.	View of Copco No. 1 Powerhouse and Copco No. 2 Dam	
Figure 3.19-9.	Iron Gate Dam Before Removal and a Simulation of What the	0 000
1 igule 5.13-3.	Facility Could Look Like After Dam Removal Except for	
	Landform/Vegetation Restoration Details Which Were Not	
	Known at the Time of Simulation	3-962
Figure 2 40 40		
Figure 3.19-10.		
	Facility Could Look Like After Full Removal Except for	
	Landform/Vegetation Restoration Details Were Not Known at the	
F: 0.00.4	Time of Simulation	3-963
Figure 3.20-1.	Area of Analysis for Klamath River Corridor and Regional	
	Recreation Opportunities	
Figure 3.20-2.	California Stateline to Copco No. 1 Reservoir Recreation Area	
Figure 3.20-3.	Klamath Wild and Scenic River Corridor	
Figure 3.21-1.	School Sites Near the Project Area.	.3-1032
Figure 3.21-2.	Map of CALFIRE Fire Hazard Severity Zones with Proposed	
	Project Boundary Depicted	.3-1040
Figure 3.22-1.	Traffic and Transportation Area of Analysis	.3-1058
Figure 3.23-1.	Proposed Project Access Overview	.3-1080
Figure 3.23-2.	Primary Haul Routes from Lower Klamath Project Dam Sites	.3-1081
Figure 3.23-3.	Decibel Scale and Common Noise Sources	
Figure 3.23-4.	Copco 1 and 2 Noise Receptor	
Figure 3.23-5.	Iron Gate Noise Receptors	
Figure 3.24-1.	Proposed Project Modeled Drawdown Flow Downstream of Iron	.0 1000
1 19410 0.21 1.	Gate Dam and Iron Gate Reservoir Elevation for Representative	
	Wet and Above Normal Water Year Types	
Figure 2 24 2		.5-1155
Figure 3.24-2.	Proposed Project Modeled Drawdown Flow Downstream of	
	Iron Gate Dam and Iron Gate Reservoir Elevation for	0 4454
Fig. 4 5 4	Representative Median and Dry Water Year Types	.ა-1154
Figure 4.5-1.	Simulated Hourly Water Temperature Downstream from Iron	
	Gate Dam Based on Year 2004 for Current Conditions	
	Compared to Hypothetical Conditions: without Iron Gate, Copco	

	No. 1 and 2, and J.C. Boyle Dams and without Iron Gate and Copco No. 1 and 2 Dams4-188
Figure 4.6-1.	
	Gate Dam Based on Year 2004 for Current Conditions
	Compared to Hypothetical Conditions: without Iron Gate,
	Copco No. 1 and 2, and J.C. Boyle Dams and without Iron
	Gate and Copco No. 1 and 2 Dams4-252
List of Appe	ndices
Appendix A	Notice of Preparation and Scoping Report for Lower Klamath Project
	License Surrender Environmental Impact Report
Appendix B	Definite Plan
Appendix C	Water Quality Supporting Technical Information
Appendix D	Water Quality Environmental Effects Determination Methodology
	Supplemental Information
Appendix E	An Analysis of Potential Suspended Sediment Effects on Anadromous
	Fish in the Klamath Basin
Appendix F	An Analysis of Potential Bedload Sediment Effects on Anadromous Fish in
	the Klamath Basin
Appendix G	Vegetation Communities in the Area of Analysis
Appendix H	Rare Natural Communities Documented in the Project Vicinity
Appendix I	Terrestrial Scoping Quadrangles
Appendix J	Terrestrial Special-Status Plant and Wildlife Scoping List
Appendix K	Flood Hydrology
Appendix L	Groundwater
Appendix M	Water Supply/Water Rights
Appendix N	Air Quality Impacts
Appendix O	Greenhouse Gases
Appendix P	Shasta Nation Confidential Tribal Cultural Appendix
Appendix Q	Shasta Indian Nation Confidential Tribal Cultural Appendix
Appendix R	Aesthetics Pearaging Supporting Technical Information
Appendix S	Recreation Supporting Technical Information
Appendix T	Noise and Vibration Impact Analysis Alternatives
Appendix V	KHSA 2012 EIS/EIR Section 3.12 Tribal Trust
Appendix V Appendix W	Additional Information Incorporated by Reference
	ACCIONALE LINALIGIUM INCOLONIAIGU DA NEIGIGIUG