SAC/380709/091310009 (NOD 7-2011.DOC)

To:		Office of Planning and Researchay 03 2017 From:	State Water Resources Control Board	
		For U. S. Mail:	Division of Water Rights	
		P.O. Box 3044 STATE CLEARINGHOUSE	1001 I Street, 14 th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814	
		Sacramento, CA 95812-3044	Kristen Gangl, Project Manager	
		Cacramento, OA 33012-3044	(916) 323-9389 or	
			kristen.gangl@waterboards.ca.gov	
			ncy (if different than above):	
			Sacramento Municipal Utility District	
			P.O. Box 15830, Mail Stop K203 Sacramento, CA 95852-0830	
			Mr. David Hanson	
			(916) 732-6703	
SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public Resources Code.				
State Clearinghouse Number (if submitted to State Clearinghouse): 2015072021				
Valve	Proje	tle: Water Quality Certification Amendment for the New Sla ect (Project), Upper American River Hydroelectric Project (roject No. 2101		
		ocation (include county): The Slab Creek Dam facility is I River.	ocated in El Dorado County on the South Fork of the	
Project Description: The Project is located immediately below Slab Creek Dam on the South Fork American River,				
approximately 50 miles east of Sacramento, and three miles north of the town of Camino. Sacramento Municipal Utility District				
(SMU	(SMUD) proposes to use fiber sacks filled with washed sand and gravel to construct a temporary cofferdam, in lieu of rubber			
water-filled bladders referenced in Condition 12 of the existing Project water quality certification. The use of fiber sacks will hel				
ensure the cofferdam is stable and tall enough to withstand high flows. Additionally, the cofferdam would be left in place within				
	the South Fork American River for the duration of the major excavation and construction, approximately 15 months. Retention			
of the cofferdam throughout the winter will help avoid the inundation of newly excavated foundation areas and the transport of disturbed soils into the South Fork American River. Runoff within the confines of the cofferdam would be captured and pumper				
to a settling tank to remove suspended solids prior to release back to the South Fork American River. SMUD asserts the				
proposed changes will protect water quality objectives more effectively than the original language of Condition 12.				
		advise that the State Water Resources Control Board (Res	AND	
projec			determinations regarding the above-described project:	
1.	The	Project [□ will ☑ will not] have a significant effect on the e	nvironment.	
2.	☐ An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.			
		Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursua		
		The project is covered by an existing Negative Declaration.		
3.		itional mitigation measures [□ were ☑ were not] made a co	ondition of the approval of the project to reduce any	
	pote	otentially significant impacts to less-than-significant.		
4.	Wate	s no unmitigated significant impacts were identified that fall within the State Water Board's approval authority, the State ater Board [☐ has ☑ has not] adopted a Statement of Overriding Considerations for this project.		
5.	Find	lings [□ were ☑ were not] made by the Lead Agency purs	uant to the provisions of CEQA.	
appro	ved F	lead agency, determined that "the proposed change to Co Final MND [Mitigated Negative Declaration]" for the UARP or Board has independently determined that no subsequen	water quality certification. As a responsible agency, the	
the U	ARP	ertify that the □ Final CEQA Supplement with comments a water quality certification and record of project approval is Water Rights, 1001 I Street, 14 th Floor, Sacramento, CA 9	available to the General Public at: State Water Board,	
Signa	ture ((Public Agency) Bamas Harward	Title Executive Director	
Date	_5	Date received for filing at C	DPR	
		ed: Sections 21083, Public Resources Code. Revised 2005 ection 21000-21174, Public Resources Code.		