


commentletters - FW: Re: AB 2121 (2004), consideration by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB)

From: "Sandy Sloan" <sloan@mcn.org>
To: <commentletters@waterboards.ca.gov>
Date: 3/25/2010 2:21 PM
Subject: FW: Re: AB 2121 (2004), consideration by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB)



Sandra L. Sloan,
PO Box 353
The Sea Ranch, CA 95497

Subject: Fw: Re: AB 2121 (2004), consideration by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB)

Jeanine Townsend, Clerk to the Board:

I am writing this email in opposition to AB 2121. I have also sent a similar email to my State Senator, Leland Yee and Assemblyman, Jerry Hill. My voting district is in Belmont, California, but I have a second home at The Sea Ranch, California which will be adversely effected economically and by lack of water by this Bill. I feel and the Sea Ranch Association feels that the proposed policy in this bill made the assumption that all rivers are the same and one size fits all. We are most concerned about the effect on the Gualala River where we receive the water for the Sea Ranch Water Company for our residents. Our Association and Water Company believe the proposed policy should be revised to require that economic and other impacts to our current water users be taken into account . Currently there is no such provision to take such impacts on The Sea Ranch into account and the criteria appears to be focused exclusively on fish and instream flow. Instead, the policy should be evaluated by recognizing both the burdens as well as the benefits. The Sea Ranch Association would like to present site specific studies as alternatives and I urge the Board to consider hearing these alternatives before taking final action. Thank you for your consideration.

Sandra L. Sloan