

**From:** "Robert Cerello" <dionor888@yahoo.com>  
**To:** "AB2121Policy@waterboards.ca.gov" <AB2121Policy@waterboards.ca.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 23, 2008 1:52 PM  
**Subject:** Comments on the Draft North Coast Instream Flow Policy

April 23, 2008  
Chair & Members Tam Doduc  
1001 I Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Tam Doduc,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft North Coast Instream Flow Policy. As all Californians know, the state's salmon and steelhead fisheries are in trouble. One of the biggest problems is clear: too many of our rivers and streams simply lack the water to support fish. I urge you to take decisive action with the Instream Flow Policy to conserve stream flows and to help put salmon and steelhead back on the road to recovery.

The final Policy must include a few basic things: scientifically-based criteria to limit diversions when stream flows drop below a certain level, measures to guard against the cumulative effects of multiple diversions, restrictions on new on-stream reservoirs, mandates for universal monitoring and reporting of both water diversions and stream flows, and provisions to periodically review the effectiveness of the Policy.

The Policy should take a broad, watershed-scale approach to the problem. It should provide incentives for landowners to go above and beyond the minimum required by the Policy, including measures to improve stream flows during the critical dry season. The State Water Board also needs to establish strong programs to ensure compliance with the law. Finally, the Board should conduct an honest assessment of the funding and staffing needed to implement the Policy and request adequate resources to get the job done.

With those steps, the Instream Flow Policy can be a crucial step forward for conservation in California. After more than 15 years of hard work by Trout Unlimited and others, it is time to finalize the Policy and get about the business of bringing back the fish.

The populations of trout and other fish in our rivers, lakes and streams have already been dangerously harmed. Reversing the acts that caused such harm is obviously your first priority.

Adopting scientists' category-level definitions--provided by independent, reputable scientists and not politicians--as to what step-by-step procedures, maintained watershed, eco-zone conditions and human actions are required, exactly these--are the second component in an "Act" that will create results...

The fish cannot wait for mystical inspiration to strike unrealistic commissars presiding over an environmental degradation. neither can California's residents. We need scientific reparation now, and you as the state's leaders in this area must listen to those scientists who can tell you what the state of the state is, what we need to do and exactly how to do it.

Thank you.

2008 APR 25 PM 4:07  
DIV OF WATER RIGHTS  
SACRAMENTO  
THE WATER RESOURCES  
CONTROL BOARD

Sincerely

Robert M. Cerello  
3955 Park Blvd Apt 1402  
San Diego, CA 92103-3531