





#### State Water Resources Control Board

**TO:** BBID ACLC and WSID Draft CDO Hearing Teams

FROM: Andrew Tauriainen

Attorney for Prosecution Team
OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT

**DATE:** December 4, 2015

SUBJECT: PROSECUTION TEAM COMMENTS ON BIFURCATION AND PHASING OF

THE BBID ACLC AND WSID DRAFT CDO HEARINGS

The Prosecution Team appreciates the Hearing Officers' consent to bifurcate the BBID ACLC and WSID Draft CDO hearings in order to consolidate the water availability portions of the hearings. The Prosecution Team offers the following clarification to the comments submitted November 24, 2015, and makes the following additional comments.

#### Clarification Regarding Phase 1 and Phase 2 Issues

Upon further reflection, the Prosecution Team agrees with the other parties that issues regarding water availability should be strictly limited to the joint Phase 1 hearing. Such limitation provides for a fair hearing and promotes efficiency by creating one record on water availability, minimizing the number of required hearing days for water availability witnesses, and by eliminating overlap of issues between phases. Broadly restated, Phase 1 should address whether water was available for WSID's license or BBID's claimed pre-1914 right during the relevant periods, and the Phase 2 hearings should focus on all remaining elements of the alleged violations and proposed remedies with the assumption that water was <u>not</u> available.

The Prosecution Team's November 24, 2015, comments therefore require clarification. Notably, those comments suggest that the BBID Phase 2 hearing should address whether there was water available for BBID to divert under its claimed pre-1914 appropriative right during the alleged violation period. This question should be adjudicated in Phase 1. The Prosecution Team proposes to further clarify and revise the Phase 1 purposes and issues as follows:

Phase 1: The purpose of Phase 1 is to receive evidence, testimony and briefing regarding the availability of water to serve water rights claimed by WSID or BBID during the relevant periods. Key Issues: 1) Was water available for diversion under WSID's post-1914 license during the relevant period framed in the Draft CDO? 2) Was water available for diversion under BBID's claimed pre-1914 appropriative right during the relevant period framed in the ACLC?

The Phase 2 purposes and key issues described in the Prosecution Team's November 24 email are adequate after removing those issues referencing water availability and adding the assumption that water was not available for WSID's license or BBID's claimed pre-1914 right during the relevant periods. Such an assumption is implied in the parties' proposed schedule

FELICIA MARCUS, CHAIR | THOMAS HOWARD, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR



and in Mr. Buckman's November 30 email, but it should be made explicit in the revised hearing notices. Any potential inefficiency in holding the Phase 2 hearings prior to the Phase 1 ruling is outweighed by the need to fully and expeditiously resolve both enforcement matters.

## Richard Morat Evidence and Testimony

The Prosecution Team does not object to allowing Mr. Morat to present his policy statement, evidence, testimony and any cross-examination during the week of March 21 through 25, 2016, whether his issues are considered to be Phase 1, BBID Phase 2, or both.

## **Schedule and Motions In Limine**

The Prosecution Team agrees that the deadlines currently in place for the BBID ACLC hearing should serve for all phases of the bifurcated proceedings. However, the Prosecution Team notes that the current BBID schedule does not provide deadlines for motions in limine. Although the Prosecution Team will continue to seek stipulations regarding facts and evidence with BBID and WSID to streamline the presentation of evidence, motions in limine may be necessary for any unresolved issues. The Prosecution Team suggests that such motions should be subject to reasonable page limits, and filed along with written opening statements no later than February 29, 2016, with any opposition due on March 5, 2016.

#### **Pre-Hearing Conferences**

The current BBID schedule provides a second pre-hearing conference on February 8, 2016. The Prosecution Team suggests that the WSID Hearing Officer also conduct allow for a second pre-hearing conference. The Prosecution Team further suggests that the second prehearing conference on Phase 1 issues be conducted jointly by the BBID and WSID Hearing Officers, with separate second pre-hearing conferences conducted for each Phase 2 the same day.

#### **Exhibit Indexes and Submittals**

The Prosecution Team respectfully requests that the Hearing Officers state their preference regarding the parties' Exhibit Indexes and submittals. Specifically, do the Hearing Officers prefer that each party submit one Exhibit Index to cover all phases, or should each party submit a separate Exhibit Index for each phase? The Prosecution Team suggests that the record will be simpler and more easily managed if each party submits one Exhibit Index to cover all phases.

Sincerely,

Andrew Tauriainen
Office of Enforcement

Attorney for Prosecution Team

cc: Service Lists (attached)

## SERVICE LIST OF PARTICIPANTS BYRON-BETHANY IRRIGATION DISTRICT ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY HEARING

(09/02/15; Revised 09/10/15; Revised 10/06/16; Revised 10/22/15)

	rised 10/06/16; Revised 10/22/15)
Division of Water Rights	Byron Bethany Irrigation District
Prosecution Team	Daniel Kelly
Andrew Tauriainen, Attorney III	Somach Simmons & Dunn
SWRCB Office of Enforcement	500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000,
1001 I Street,	Sacramento, CA 95814
16th Floor	dkelly@somachlaw.com
Sacramento, CA 95814	
andrew.tauriainen@waterboards.ca.gov	
Patterson Irrigation District	City and County of San Francisco
Banta-Carbona Irrigation District	Johnathan Knapp
The West Side Irrigation District	Office of the City Attorney
Jeanne M. Zolezzi	1390 Market Street, Suite 418
Herum\Crabtree\Suntag	San Francisco, CA 94102
5757 Pacific Ave., Suite 222	jonathan.knapp@sfgov.org
Stockton, CA 95207	
jzolezzi@herumcrabtree.com	Robert E. Donlan
	Ellison, Schneider & Harris LLP
	2600 Capitol Ave, Suite 400
	Sacramento, CA 95816
	(916) 447-2166
	red@eslawfirm.com
Central Delta Water Agency	California Department of Water Resources
Jennifer Spaletta	Robin McGinnis, Attorney
Spaletta Law PC	PO Box 942836
PO Box 2660	Sacramento, CA 94236-0001
Lodi, CA 95241	robin.mcginnis@water.ca.gov
jennifer@spalettalaw.com	
Dante Nomellini and Dante Nomellini, Jr.	
Nomellini, Grilli & McDaniel	
ngmplcs@pacbell.net	
dantejr@pacbell.net	
Richard Morat	San Joaquin Tributaries Authority
2821 Berkshire Way	Valeri Kincaid
Sacramento, CA 95864	O'Laughlin & Paris LLP
rjmorat@gmail.com	2617 K Street, Suite 100
	Sacramento, CA 95814
	vkincaid@olaughlinparis.com
	lwood@olaughlinparis.com
South Delta Water Agency	State Water Contractors
John Herrick, Esq.	Stefani Morris, Attorney
4255 Pacific Ave., Suite 2	1121 L Street, Suite 1050
Stockton, CA 95207	Sacramento, CA 95814
jherrlaw@aol.com	smorris@swc.org
Dean Ruiz, Esq.	
Harris, Perisho & Ruiz, Attorneys at Law	
3439 Brookside Road, Suite 210	
Stockton, CA 95219	
dean@hprlaw.net	
<u>ucan emphawinct</u>	

# SERVICE LIST OF PARTICIPANTS WEST SIDE IRRIGATION DISTRICT CEASE AND DESIST ORDER HEARING

(October 8, 2015)

Division of Water Rights	The West Side Irrigation District
Prosecution Team	Jeanne M. Zolezzi
Andrew Tauriainen, Attorney III	Karna Harrigfeld
SWRCB Office of Enforcement	Janelle Krattiger
1001 I Street,	Herum\Crabtree\Suntag
16th Floor	5757 Pacific Ave., Suite 222
Sacramento, CA 95814	Stockton, CA 95207
andrew.tauriainen@waterboards.ca.gov	jzolezzi@herumcrabtree.com
	kharrigfeld@herumcrabtree.com
	jkrattiger@herumcrabtree.com
State Water Contractors	Westlands Water District
Stefani Morris, Attorney	Daniel O'Hanlon
1121 L Street, Suite 1050	Rebecca Akroyd
Sacramento, CA 95814	Kronick Moskovitz Tiedemann & Girard
smorris@swc.org	400 Capitol Mall, 27 <sup>th</sup> Floor
	Sacramento, CA 95814
	dohanlon@kmtg.com
	rakroyd@kmtg.com
	Philip Williams of Westlands Water District
	pwilliams@westlandswater.org
South Delta Water Agency	Central Delta Water Agency
John Herrick, Esq.	Jennifer Spaletta
4255 Pacific Ave., Suite 2	Spaletta Law PC
Stockton, CA 95207	PO Box 2660
jherrlaw@aol.com	Lodi, CA 95241
	jennifer@spalettalaw.com
S. Dean Ruiz	
Harris, Perisho & Ruiz	Dante Nomellini and Dante Nomellini, Jr.
3439 Brookside Rd, Ste. 210	Nomellini, Grilli & McDaniel
Stockton, CA 95219	ngmplcs@pacbell.net
dean@hprlaw.net	dantejr@pacbell.net
City and County of San Francisco	San Joaquin Tributaries Authority
Johnathan Knapp	Valeri Kincaid
Office of the City Attorney	O'Laughlin & Paris LLP
1390 Market Street, Suite 418	2617 K Street, Suite 100
San Francisco, CA 94102	Sacramento, CA 95814
jonathan.knapp@sfgov.org	vkincaid@olaughlinparis.com
California Department of Water Resources	Byron Bethany Irrigation District
Robin McGinnis, Attorney	Daniel Kelly
PO Box 942836	Somach Simmons & Dunn
Sacramento, CA 94236-0001	500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000,
robin.mcginnis@water.ca.gov	Sacramento, CA 95814
	dkelly@somachlaw.com
	·