Exhibit CAW-030T

~~KDM~~
262, (27-01)

California-American Water Company

Monterey Division
50 Ragsdale Dr., Suite 100, P.O. Box 951 • Monterey, CA 93942-0951

Judith L. Almond
Vice President & Manager

443-151

July 12, 2000

Mr. Harry Schueller
Chief, Division of Water Rights
State Water Resources Control Board
901 P Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-2000

RE: SWRCB Order No. WR 95-10
April - June Quarterly Report

Dear Mr. Schueller:

As a condition of the subject order, we are filing herewith our ***quarterly*** report for the period of April 1, 2000 through June 30, 2000 updating the status of Condition Nos. 2, 3(a), 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 12, including the supporting backup information for each condition.

Enclosed and made part of this report is the ***monthly*** report required under Condition Nos. 3(b) and 5. Also included are the following data reports:

1. Carmel Valley Wells - Production Water Year
2. Carmel Valley and Seaside Production - Water Year to Date
3. Water Supply and Budget
4. Cover letter from study sent under separate cover as referenced in Condition No.'s 7 & 8.

Very truly yours,

Judith L. Almond

JLA/sr
Enclosure

Administration (831) 646-3201
Customer Service (831) 646-3200
Fax (831) 375-4367

Exhibit CAW 030T

cc: P. Coulston
D. Fuerst
G. Haas
T. Jones, Jr.
D. Stephenson
M. Lucca
J. Driscoll, Esq.
L. Weiss, Esq.
D. Laredo, Esq.
F. Farina, Esq.
D. Armanasco
P. Ma

SWRCB - ORDER NO. WR 95-10
Quarterly Report - April/June 2000

ORDER CONDITION NO. 2

Cal-Am shall diligently implement one or more of the following actions to terminate its unlawful diversions from the Carmel River: ***(1) obtain appropriate permits for water being unlawfully diverted from the Carmel River,*** *(2) obtain water from other sources of supply and make one-for-one reductions in unlawful diversions from the Carmel River, provided that water pumped from the Seaside aquifer shall be governed by Condition 4 of this Order, not this condition, and/or (3) contract with another agency having appropriate rights to divert and use water from the Carmel River.*

Response No. 2.1:

Cal-Am continues to pursue acquisition of permits to legalize diversions from the Carmel River. The Draft SEIR - 2 for the Carmel River Dam and Reservoir Projected is expected to be released for public comment by the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District in the third quarter of 2000.

ORDER CONDITION NO. 3

(a) Cal-Am shall develop and implement an urban water conservation plan. In addition, Cal-Am shall develop and implement a water conservation plan based upon best irrigation practices for all parcels with turf and crops of more than one-half acre receiving Carmel River water deliveries from Cal-Am. Documentation that best irrigation practices and urban water conservation have already been implemented may be substituted for plans where applicable.

(b) Urban and irrigation conservation measures shall remain in effect until Cal-Am ceases unlawful diversions from the Carmel River. Conservation measures required by this Order in combination with conservation measures required by the District shall have the goal of achieving 15 percent conservation in the 1996 water year and 20 percent conservation in each subsequent year.[23] To the extent that this requirement conflicts with prior commitments (allocations) by the District, the Chief, Division of Water Rights shall have the authority to modify the conservation requirement. The base for measuring conservation savings shall be 14,106[24] AFA. Water conservation measures required by this order shall not supersede any more stringent water conservation requirement imposed by other agencies.

Response No. 3(a):

Cal-Am *Urban Water Management Plan* was heard at the January 27, 2000 meeting of the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District. Vote for acceptance of the Plan was unanimous with no public opposition.

Cal-Am continues to work with the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District to develop a database of "water budgets" deemed appropriate and necessary usage for Peninsula consumers. This is a requirement of the MPWMD's *Expanded Water Conservation and Standby Water Rationing Plan.*

On March 1, 2000 the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District announced Stage 3 of the *Expanded Water conservation and Standby Water Rationing Plan.* This is the catalyst for the California Public Utilities Commission to approve Cal-Am's "conservation rates." Those rates received CPUC approval on March 16, with implementation effective April 1, 2000. Each customer received individual letter detailing what their bill during the same period last year, will be this year, under the new rate presuming that the usage is the same. April was the first full month under the conservation rates, therefore, it was the May bills that consumer's experienced bill calculations that rewarded the low end users and significantly impacted high end users.

ORDER CONDITION NO. 3

(a) Cal-Am shall develop and implement an urban water conservation plan. In addition, Cal-Am shall develop and implement a water conservation plan based upon best irrigation practices for all parcels with turf and crops of more than one-half acre receiving Carmel River water deliveries from Cal-Am. Documentation that best irrigation practices and urban water conservation have already been implemented may be substituted for plans where applicable.

(b) Urban and irrigation conservation measures shall remain in effect until Cal-Am ceases unlawful diversions from the Carmel River. Conservation measures required by this Order in combination with conservation measures required by the District shall have the goal of achieving 15 percent conservation in the 1996 water year and 20 percent conservation in each subsequent year.[23] To the extent that this requirement conflicts with prior commitments (allocations) by the District, the Chief, Division of Water Rights shall have the authority to modify the conservation requirement. The base for measuring conservation savings shall be 14,106[24] AFA. Water conservation measures required by this order shall not supersede any more stringent water conservation requirement imposed by other agencies.

Response No. 3(b):

For the nine months of the water year October, 1999 through September 2000, the established goal for the Carmel Valley was 7674.0 AF. Actual production for the nine month period from both surface and well diversions was 8245.5 AF, or 7.45 percent over goal. The overall production, which includes the Seaside Basin was 1465.5 AF, or 4.02 percent under the total nine month system goal of 1527.0 AF.

ORDER CONDITION NO. 4

Cal-Am shall maximize production from the Seaside aquifer for the purpose of serving existing connections, honoring existing commitments (allocations), and to reduce diversions from the Carmel River to the greatest practicable extent. The long-term yield of the basin shall be maintained by using the practical rate of withdrawal method.

Response No. 4:

During the nine months of water year October 1999 through September 2000, Cal-Am extracted 2304.0 AF. from the Seaside Basin. The plan is to maximize Seaside extraction's up to a goal of 4000 AF. Cal-Am's management of Seaside Basin extraction's is based on the Memo of Agreement between the MPWMD, Cal-Am and California Department of Fish and Game, adopted as part of the MPWMD's Water Supply Strategy by the board of directors. The agreement includes the relaxation of the basin during the winter months to allow recharge and maximization during the summer months. Cal-Am will continue this water management plan which will assist in maintaining the production goal limits for the Carmel Valley Basin and with the continuation of river flows to the Lagoon.

As previously reported, Cal-Am has a conservation agreement with U.S. Fish and Wildlife for the protection of the red-legged frog for operations in the Carmel Valley Basin during the water year 1998-1999. U.S Fish and Wildlife has agreed to extend the agreement, but wants to make some revisions. We anticipated a final document in April.

Regrettably, National Marine Fisheries Services has indicated that they cannot enter into an Agreement to defer development of a full Habitat Conservation Plan that includes the two operating dams on the Carmel River. It was the Company's desire to contractually agree to develop an HCP once a determination of what Project(s) will occur on the River, i.e.: construction of the Carmel River Dam and Reservoir Project and/or removal of the San Clemente Dam. Otherwise, it is most likely that any plan will require significant and costly modification once project(s) on the River are selected. This extra process will impact rates to our consumers. A meeting is scheduled between Company officials and representatives of NMFS on July 19, 2000.

ORDER CONDITION NO. 5

Cal-Am shall satisfy the water demands of its customers by extracting water from its most downstream wells to the maximum practicable extent, without degrading water quality or significantly affecting the operation of other wells.

Response No. 5:

Cal-Am is including in this third water year quarterly report the monthly production data for June 2000 from specific sub-units in the Carmel Valley via Carmel Valley wells.

Carmel Valley Filter Plant produced 29.9 AF, with 77.6 AF from Aquifers No. 1 and No. 2; Water West - 2.6 AF; Aquifer No. 3 - 714.9 AF; Aquifer No. 4 - 133.8 AF. Total production for the month of June was 958.8 AF. Applying an adjustment of -.3 AF for the Begonia Iron Removal Plant Backwash, brings the net production to 958.5 AF in June 2000.

Status of wells:

Lower Carmel Valley Wells

Rancho Canada - On Line
San Carlos - On Line
Cypress - On Line
Pearce - On Line
Schulte - On Line
Manor - On Line
Begonia #2 - On Line
Berwick 7 - Out of Service for rehabilitation. Will be back on line mid 2000.
Berwick 8 - On Line

Upper Carmel Valley Wells

All upper wells are in normal summer use pattern. By Memorandum of Agreement with the MPWMD and Department of Fish & Game, these wells do not get run during summer months except for maintenance purposes.

Panetta 1 - Off Line (run 8hrs/day for 7 days only for maintenance purposes)
Panetta 2 - Off Line (run 8hrs/day for 7 days onlyfor maintenance purposes)
Garzes 3 - Off Line (run 8hrs/day for 7 days only for maintenance purposes)
Garzes 4 - Off Line (run 8hrs/day for 7 days only for maintenance purposes)
Los Laureles 5 - Off Line
Los Laureles 6 - Off Line
Scarlett 8 - Off Line
Robles - Off Line
Russell 2 - Off Line
Russell 4 - Off Line

ORDER CONDITION NO. 6

Cal-Am shall conduct a reconnaissance level study of the feasibility, benefits, and costs of supplying water to the Carmel Valley Village Filter Plant from its more nearby wells downstream of the plant. The objective of supplying water from the wells is to maintain surface flow in the stream as far downstream as possible by releasing water from San Clemente Dam for maintenance of fish habitat. The results of the study and recommendations shall be provided to the District and DF&G for comment.

Response No. 6:

In accordance with the terms of Order No. 98-04, the Reconnaissance-Level Feasibility Study of the Operational Reconfiguration of Lower Carmel Valley Wells has been completed and was submitted to the State Board on June 21, 1999.

It is our understanding that SWRCB staff will be issuing their findings within the next quarter.

ORDER CONDITION NO. 7

Cal-Am shall evaluate the feasibility of bypassing early storm runoff at Los Padres and San Clemente Dams to recharge the subterranean stream below San Clemente Dam in order to restore surface water flows in the river at an earlier date. The results of the study and recommendations shall be provided to the District and CDF&G for comment.

Response No. 7:

Cal-Am hired Entrix to finalize the subject studies. The completed studies were mailed to the SWRCB on July 5, 2000.

ORDER CONDITION NO. 8

Cal-Am shall conduct a study of the feasibility, benefits, and costs of modifying critical stream reaches to facilitate the passage of fish. The study shall be designed and carried out in consultation with DF&G and the District. The results of the study and recommendations shall be provided to the district and DF&G for comment.

Response No. 8:

Cal-Am hired Entrix to finalize the subject studies. The completed studies were mailed to the SWRCB on July 5, 2000.

ORDER CONDITION NO. 12

Within 90 days of the date of this order, Cal-Am shall submit for the approval of the Chief, Division of Water Rights:

(a) A compliance plan detailing the specific actions which will be taken to comply with condition 2 and the dates by which those action will be accomplished;

(b) An urban water conservation plan;

(c) An irrigation management plan.

Response 12(a):

We have been informed by the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (MPWMD) that the new anticipated date for release of the Draft SEIR-2 for the Carmel River Dam and Reservoir Project for public comment will be in the fourth quarter of 2000.

Plan B, the alternative being developed by the California Public Utilities Commission as a result of legislation passed by Assemblyman Keeley (AB 1182) is in progress. A public workshop is scheduled for August 2, 2000 in Monterey.

The MPWMD recently voted to merge the analysis of Plan B in the Carmel River Dam and Reservoir Project. The Board position is that a more extensive analysis will result in a E.I.R. that will be certifiable and, from which a solution to the water supply problem may be selected.

CALIFORNIA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY
Monterey Division 443
S.C. DAM & CARMEL VALLEY WELLS
Production Water Year (AF)
1999-00

Date	CVFP	Aquifer 1	Aquifer 2	Water West	Aquifer 3	Aquifer 4	Total Production	BIRP Backwash	Net Production
Oct 1999	32.0	77.8	0.0	11.1	479.6	224.2	824.7	(1.1)	823.6
Oct 1998	159.4	0.2	50.3	52.6	500.2	206.4	969.1	(0.2)	968.9
Nov 1999	7.3	68.6	0.0	11.0	346.9	225.8	659.6	(2.6)	657.0
Nov 1998	185.1	1.5	52.6	53.1	156.7	174.7	623.7	1.2	624.9
Dec 1999	15.0	80.2	0.0	10.7	346.4	240.6	692.9	0.1	693.0
Dec 1998	149.9	4.4	14.0	14.2	321.0	52.9	556.4	(1.2)	555.2
Jan 2000	21.8	70.7	0.0	4.8	601.6	205.3	904.2	(1.0)	903.2
Jan 1999	115.4	12.7	5.3	27.8	540.4	39.0	740.6	(3.6)	737.0
Feb 2000	24.2	55.5	0.0	32.4	685.7	45.0	842.8	(0.1)	842.7
Feb 1999	68.1	17.1	0.0	78.0	576.3	55.4	794.9	(3.4)	791.5
Mar 2000	20.2	76.0	0.0	36.2	875.1	0.0	1,007.5	(0.6)	1,006.9
Mar 1999	167.3	3.0	0.0	83.8	604.5	17.9	876.5	(0.3)	876.2
Apr 2000	35.2	80.8	0.0	34.6	1,070.1	0.1	1,220.8	(0.0)	1,220.8
Apr 1999	147.8	5.1	0.0	80.7	789.6	38.0	1,061.2	(0.3)	1,060.9
May 2000	33.3	83.2	0.0	21.2	1,001.4	1.9	1,141.0	(1.4)	1,139.6
May 1999	141.0	0.0	0.0	21.5	743.0	155.5	1,061.0	(4.2)	1,056.8
Jun 2000	29.9	77.6	0.0	2.6	714.9	133.8	958.8	(0.3)	958.5
Jun 1999	109.1	0.0	0.0	11.6	654.1	91.0	865.8	(0.9)	864.9
Jul 2000							0.0		0.0
Jul 1999	88.5	26.5	0.0	28.1	894.4	0.0	1,037.5	(2.7)	1,034.8
Aug 2000							0.0		0.0
Aug 1999	27.5	81.8	0.0	19.1	626.8	215.1	970.3	(2.5)	967.8
Sep 2000							0.0		0.0
Sep 1999	25.7	79.0	0.0	9.8	525.7	206.6	846.8	(2.4)	844.4
Total	218.9	670.4	0.0	164.6	6,121.7	1,076.7	8,252.2	(7.0)	8,245.3

* Figures Shaded - 99/00 Water Year

California-American Water Company
Monterey Division
Carmel Valley & Seaside Production
Water Year to Date 99-00

Month		San Clemente Dam Surface Water	Carmel Valley Wells	Water West Wells	Seaside Wells	TOTAL
06/00	CF	1,534,713	40,109,757	110,460	22,084,287	63,839,217
	1000 G	11,480	300,042	826	165,202	477,551
	AF	35.2	920.8	2.5	507.0	1,465.5
Adjustment:- SC Dam (+) 5.34 AF & Russell #2 (-) 5.34 AF (to correct March 00)						
W-Y-T-D	CF	9,765,272	342,269,043	7,162,157	100,370,100	459,566,572
	1000 G	73,051	2,560,349	53,576	750,822	3,437,799
	AF	224.2	7,857.6	164.4	2,304.2	10,550.4

California-American Water Company
Monterey Division
Carmel Valley & Seaside Production
Water Year to Date 99-00

Month		San Clemente Dam Surface Water	Carmel Valley Wells	Water West Wells	Seaside Wells	TOTAL
02/00	CF	1,052,412	34,241,892	1,409,787	0	36,704,091
	1000 G	7,873	256,147	10,546	0	274,566
	AF	24.2	786.1	32.4	0.0	842.7
W-Y-T-D	CF	4,367,831	163,315,472	3,047,776	67,067,002	237,798,081
	1000 G	32,675	1,221,684	22,799	501,697	1,778,855
	AF	100.3	3749.3	70.0	1539.6	5,459.2
03/00	CF	878,267	41,427,912	1,575,740	7,831	43,889,750
	1000 G	6,570	309,902	11,787	59	328,318
	AF	20.2	951.1	36.2	0.2	1,007.7
W-Y-T-D	CF	5,246,098	204,743,384	4,623,516	67,074,833	281,687,831
	1000 G	39,245	1,531,586	34,586	501,756	2,107,173
	AF	120.5	4,700.4	106.2	1,539.8	6,466.9
04/00	CF	1,534,886	50,140,380	1,504,730	6,900	53,186,896
	1000 G	11,482	375,076	11,256	52	397,866
	AF	35.2	1,151.1	34.5	0.2	1,221.0
W-Y-T-D	CF	6,780,984	254,883,764	6,128,246	67,081,733	334,874,727
	1000 G	50,727	1,906,662	45,842	501,808	2,505,039
	AF	155.7	5,851.5	140.7	1,540.0	7,687.9
05/00	CF	1,449,575	47,275,522	923,451	11,204,080	60,852,628
	1000 G	10,844	353,645	6,908	83,812	455,209
	AF	33.3	1,085.3	21.2	257.2	1,397.0
Adjustment:	Lower CV Wells - Deducted BIRP Backwash (.58 AF for 3/00 & .02 AF for 4/00)					
W-Y-T-D	CF	8,230,559	302,159,286	7,051,697	78,285,813	395,727,355
	1000 G	61,571	2,260,308	52,750	585,620	2,960,248
	AF	189.0	6,936.8	161.9	1,797.2	9,084.9

California-American Water Company
Monterey Division
Carmel Valley & Seaside Production
Water Year to Date 99-00

Month		San Clemente Dam Surface Water	Carmel Valley Wells	Water West Wells	Seaside Wells	TOTAL
10/99	CF	1,394,974	33,997,137	483,511	23,561,035	59,436,657
	1000 G	10,435	254,316	3,617	176,249	444,617
	AF	32.0	780.5	11.1	540.9	1,364.5
W-Y-T-D	CF	1,394,974	33,997,137	483,511	23,561,035	59,436,657
	1000 G	10,435	254,316	3,617	176,249	444,617
	AF	32.0	780.5	11.1	540.9	1,364.5
11/99	CF	318,241	27,820,314	478,160	19,985,775	48,602,490
	1000 G	2,381	208,110	3,577	149,504	363,572
	AF	7.3	638.7	11.0	458.8	1,115.8
W-Y-T-D	CF	1,713,215	61,817,451	961,671	43,546,810	108,039,147
	1000 G	12,816	462,426	7,194	325,753	808,189
	AF	39.3	1,419.2	22.1	999.7	2,480.3
12/99	CF	654,725	29,068,670	466,169	19,237,795	49,427,359
	1000 G	4,898	217,449	3,487	143,909	369,743
	AF	15.0	667.3	10.7	441.6	1,134.6
W-Y-T-D	CF	2,367,940	90,886,121	1,427,840	62,784,605	157,466,506
	1000 G	17,714	679,875	10,681	469,662	1,177,932
	AF	54.3	2,086.5	32.8	1,441.3	3,614.9
01/00	CF	947,479	38,187,459	210,149	4,282,397	43,627,484
	1000 G	7,088	285,662	1,572	32,035	326,357
	AF	21.8	876.7	4.8	98.3	1,001.6
W-Y-T-D	CF	3,315,419	129,073,580	1,637,989	67,067,002	201,093,990
	1000 G	24,802	965,537	12,253	501,697	1,504,289
	AF	76.1	2,963.2	37.6	1,539.6	4,616.5

WATER SUPPLY STRATEGY AND BUDGET APRIL - JUNE 2000

Carmel River Reservoirs: Diversion and Release Schedule Assuming Above Normal Inflow Conditions

(All Values in Acre-Feet, except as indicated)

	Oct-1999	Nov-1999	Dec-1999	Jan-2000	Feb-2000	Mar-2000	Apr-2000	May-2000	Jun-2000	Jul-2000	Aug-2000	Sep-2000	Totals WY 2000
Los Padres Reservoir													
Inflow	248	504	448	6,803	17,481	7,735	7,280	2,730	1,274				44,303
Outflow													
Evaporation	25	13	3	4	6	21	28	38	41				179
Spillage	0	0	0	5,827	17,101	7,099	6,657	2,077	155				38,717
Release (Fish Ladder)	443	406	377	400	374	615	595	615	602				4,425
Release (Outlet)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				0
Release (Notch)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	476				476
Total Storage													
Beginning of Month	1,063	843	929	997	1,569	1,569	1,569	1,569	1,569				
End of Month	843	929	997	1,569	1,569	1,569	1,569	1,569	1,569				
Between Reservoirs													
Inflow	46	85	188	2,489	9,352	3,315	3,120	1,170	546				20,311
Outflow													
Evapotranspiration	37	5	16	13	13	37	53	74	63				310
Private Usage	5	(18)	2	2	2	2	5	7	8				16
San Clemente Reservoir													
Inflow	447	503	547	8,501	26,812	10,990	10,314	3,781	1,708				63,603
Outflow													
Evaporation	11	5	3	7	4	3	5	6	7				49
Spillage	36	75	37	7,488	25,864	9,973	9,328	2,761	1,017				56,578
Diversion (Filter Plant)	32	7	15	22	24	31	30	31	30				222
Release (Valve)	164	178	307	307	288	307	297	307	297				2,475
Release (Fish Ladder)	123	178	123	615	575	615	595	615	297				3,736
Leakage	61	59	61	61	58	61	59	61	59				543
Total Storage													
Beginning of Month	147	147	147	147	147	147	147	147	147				
End of Month	147	147	147	147	147	147	147	147	147				
Total Release	404	492	529	8,472	26,784	10,956	10,279	3,745	1,871				63,332
Mean Daily Release in cfs	6.6	8.3	8.6	138	486	178	173	61	28				
Mean Daily Diversion in cfs	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5				
Mean Daily Diversion from Russell Wells	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5				

Notes:

1. The minimum pool requirements at Los Padres and San Clemente Reservoirs are 91 acre-feet @ elevation 980 ft and 74 acre-feet @ elevation 516 ft, respectively.
2. Projected inflow for the April 2000 through June 2000 period is based on the expectation that unimpaired flows at San Clemente Dam will be 30% above the median historical flows (1902-96).
3. Calculated inflow to San Clemente Reservoir is distributed 70% above Los Padres Dam and 30% between Los Padres and San Clemente Dams.
4. Estimated evaporation is based on average monthly reservoir surface area and gross monthly evaporation rates developed by US Army Corps of Engineers (1981).
5. Diversion rate of 0.5 cfs at San Clemente Dam is for purpose of analysis. Maximum average diversion of 4.0 cfs is allowed during this period, but not expected.

California-American Water Company

Monterey Division
50 Ragsdale Dr., Suite 100, P.O. Box 951 • Monterey, CA 93942-0951

July 5, 2000

Ms. Katherine Mrowka, P.E.
State Water Resources Control Board
901 P Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Order No. WR 95-10
Conditions 7 and 8

Dear Ms. Mrowka:

Enclosed find one copy of the each of the two referenced studies. In accordance with the Order, a copy of the studies is also being forwarded to the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District and CA Department of Fish & Game.

If you require additional information or would like to discuss this matter further, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Marc Lucca

Marc A. Lucca, P.E.

MAL/ce
Enclosures

xc: J. Almond
P. Coulston – CA DFG w/ enc.
D. Fuerst – MPWMD w/ enc.

Administration
(831) 646-3201

Customer Service
(831) 646-3200

Fax
(831) 375-4367