

Tauriainen, Andrew@Waterboards

From: Barnum, Alex@EPA </O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BARNUM, ALEX@521BB59E-FC2E-4308-A9B5-B95D699E1E5E45E>
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2015 2:54 PM
To: Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards; Moran, Timothy@Waterboards
Cc: Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards; O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
Subject: RE: Water Availability Notification
Attachments: draft curtailment lifting press release 9 15 15 (3) ct ab.docx

Try this. It's more explicit that the reason is reduced demand.

From: Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2015 2:12 PM
To: Barnum, Alex@EPA; Moran, Timothy@Waterboards
Cc: Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards; O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
Subject: FW: Water Availability Notification

What do you think? I frankly am uncomfortable saying that we expect (or hope) that water will remain available through the remainder of the season. We could add a "due to reduced diversion" in the first paragraph, but it is already in the title of the lyrics announcement.

From: Marcus, Felicia@Waterboards
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2015 2:06 PM
To: Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards
Cc: Marcus, Felicia@Waterboards; Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards; O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
Subject: Re: Water Availability Notification

Great to hear. I think you could be more explicit and that would be helpful to water rights holders and media.

Sent from my iPad

On Sep 17, 2015, at 1:59 PM, "Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards" <Caren.Trgovcich@waterboards.ca.gov> wrote:

Principally decreased demand which is mentioned in the third paragraph. Our hope is that water will remain available, but that is why we added the paragraph that we are watching conditions and will notify right holders if anything changes.

From: Marcus, Felicia@Waterboards
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2015 1:37 PM
To: Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards
Cc: Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards; O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
Subject: Re: Water Availability Notification

Hi, is this because of rain or decreased demand? Is it likely to remain lifted or may it be re curtailed? I think that should be clear in the announcement.

Sent from my iPad

On Sep 17, 2015, at 1:33 PM, "Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards" <Caren.Trgovcich@waterboards.ca.gov> wrote:

FYI – later today or tomorrow, we plan to distribute the attached lyris announcement and an accompanying press release announcing that there is now sufficient water available to meet the demand of pre-1914 water right holders with a priority date of 1903 or later in the Sacramento and Feather River watersheds and the Delta. These water right holders were notified on June 12th that our analysis showed that there was insufficient water available to support their priority of right. Please let Tom or I know if you have any questions.

Caren Trgovcich
Chief Deputy Director
State Water Board
(916) 341-5727
ctrgovcich@waterboards.ca.gov

<Sacramento Pre-1914 Release - Lyris Draft (3) (4) ct.docx>