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## I. INTRODUCTION

Agriculture is an important component of California's economy. In 2002, California agriculture generated about $\$ 28$ billion value at the farm level (ERS-USDA) for the state's growers and ranchers. This was about 13 percent of the United States farm income that year. Thus, California is an important part of the nation's food and fiber supply.

California is the sole supplier of many specialty crops but it is also an important food purveyor to many nations of the world.

Of California’s $\$ 28$ Billion output in 2002, about $\$ 20$ Billion of this income was produced by the crops sector. Of concern in this study is the potential impact increased salinity of the available irrigation water might have on yields of select California field crops. Specific emphasis is on the economic impacts of reduced yields on grain corn, corn silage and dry edible beans in San Joaquin County's Delta region.

The specific study area includes corn and beans grown in the Banta Carbona Irrigation District and dry edible beans grown in the Banta Carbona Irrigation District (BCID) and the southern San Joaquin Delta region of San Joaquin County. More specifically, we looked at corn and beans grown in Banta Carbona Irrigation District. These acreages were irrigated with surface water. In 2003, 403 acres of corn were grown in the Banta Carbona Irrigation District. This acreage was irrigated with surface water. During that year BCID had 2,301 acres of dry edible beans irrigated with surface water. It was assumed that there were 4,346 acres of dry beans grown in the southern San Joaquin Delta region during 2003 which were irrigated with surface water.*
*Actual 2003 dry bean acreages grown in the southern San Joaquin Delta area were not available. However, there were 10,540 acres of beans grown in the South Delta area in 1996. It was assumed that dry bean acreages declined in the South Delta at the same rate dry bean acreages declined in San Joaquin County. Dry bean acreages declined by 58.77 percent from 1996 to 2003 in San Joaquin County (See Appendix - Acres). Thus, the southern San Joaquin Delta had 4,346 acres of beans in 2003. (10540 x 41.32\%=4346 acres)

It should be noted that in 1996 about 75 percent of the beans grown in the southern Delta were irrigated with surface water. (Six maps-Vernalis - Lathrop Quadrangles) Thus, our estimate of acreages of beans irrigated with water from San Joaquin River would be less than 4,346 acres.

## II. PURPOSE OF STUDY

This study is being conducted to evaluate the economic impact reduced yields might have as a result of increasing the salinity of irrigation water.

The grain corn, corn silage and dry edible bean crops grown in San Joaquin County, California were selected for the study. These crops were selected because they were included in earlier studies measuring salinity levels and crop yields in the San Joaquin Delta area. The area of study is the corn and bean acreage of the Vernalis and adjacent areas that use surface water irrigation from the San Joaquin River.

## III. SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY

San Joaquin County, California is located in the northern portion of the San Joaquin Valley. The area includes the very fertile San Joaquin Delta area. Of the 738,000 acres of land located in the San Joaquin Delta area, an estimated 24 percent or 177,120 acres are located in San Joaquin County. There are about 133,170 acres of this Delta land in agricultural use. (San Joaquin Council of Governments)The county has 808.8 thousand acres in farms and an area of 1400 square miles. In 2003, the county had an estimated population of 630,600 people.

San Joaquin County is a leader in agricultural production. In 2003, the county had a gross agricultural output valued at $\$ 1.4$ Billion. (Ag Commissioner) This ranked seventh of the 58 California counties. The county produces a wide array of agricultural products. In 2003, milk was the highest valued product produced. San Joaquin dairy farmers received \$257 million for milk that year. (Ag Commissioner) Of the $\$ 1.4$ Billion 2003 farm income, $\$ 140$ million of this was made up of field crops.

This study however is concerned with the acreage in the Banta Carbona Irrigation District and the South Delta area of corn and dry edible beans irrigated with San Joaquin River.

## The San Joaquin County Dairy Industry:

An important part of the analysis of this study is the relationship of the corn crop to the County's dairy industry. Dairy is in a growth mode in San Joaquin County.

In 1985, there were 66,000 dairy cows in the county but by 2003 this number had increased to 106,162. (CDFA - Dairy Statistics) (See the following graph)

San Joaquin County Dairy Cow Numbers


While cow numbers have been increasing, San Joaquin County dairy farm numbers have decreased to 151 farms in 2003 (CDFA).

The average herd size in 2003 was 703 cows. That is up from the average herd size of 318 cows in 1987.

To support this dairy herd requires a substantial feed supply. Dairy cow nutritional requirements vary by animal size and productive capacity. However, a rather conventional daily dairy cow ration consists of:

| Alfalfa Hay | 10 pounds |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corn Silage | 36 pounds |
| Shelled Corn | 21 pounds |
| Soybean Meal or <br> (Almond hulls /cotton seed) | 7.5 pounds |

Select trace minerals and vitamins

Corn is an important ingredient in the dairy cow ration (See the following graph).


## IV. GRAIN CORN

Grain corn is an important crop in San Joaquin County, California. In 2002, San Joaquin County grew more acres of grain corn then any other county in the state. (U.S. Census of Agriculture)

Corn is grown in this county by an estimated 106 farmers with an average acreage of 497 acres (2002 Census of Agriculture). San Joaquin County has historically produced a substantial part of California's corn crop. In 2003, this county produced 35 percent of the state’s crop for which the growers received $\$ 21$ million.

Corn is usually planted in March with about 34,000 seeds per acre. Harvesting is done in August and September.

Corn is a vital part of California's agriculture because of its use for feed by the poultry and dairy industries. In addition to the state's production, about 3 million tons are imported annually from Nebraska.

Comparatively, San Joaquin County yields greatly exceed the grain corn yields obtained in both Nebraska and the United States. (See the following graph)
GRAIN CORN YIELDS (1990-2003)


## IV. GRAIN CORN <br> (Continued)

## COST OF PRODUCTION:

UC Extension Farm Advisors estimated the following cost to produce grain corn in the San Joaquin Valley.These costs are based on 2003 prices and are considered to be typical grain corn production costs for the San Joaquin Valley:

| PRODUCTION | DOLLARS <br> PER ACRE |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total Cultural Costs | 488 |
| Harvest Costs | 89 |
| Interest on Capital | 16 |
| Total Operating Costs | 594 |
| Overhead Costs | 160 |
| Total Cash Costs | 754 |
| Expected Yield per Acre: | 5.00 Tons |

Cost Per Ton
\$150.80

## IV. GRAIN CORN

(Continued)

## INDUSTRY STRUCTURE:



# San Joaquin County <br> 106 Growers <br> 46,700 Acres grown <br> 440 Acres - Ave acreage 

To Dealers or Livestock
Producers
75-85\% - To Livestock
Feed (Dairy - Poultry)
5\% - To Food
Manufactures

10\% To Industrial Uses

## IV. GRAIN CORN <br> (Continued)

## GOVERNMENTAL PROGRAMS:

Grain corn is covered under a United States Government program which is administered by the Farm Service Agency of the United States Department of Agriculture. The objective is to stabilize the price and incomes of corn growers.

Under the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002, eligible owners, operators, land lords, tenants, and sharecroppers can participate in the program. To be eligible a grower must be registered with the local Farm Service Agency and have a base production acreage. A normal base yield for each supported crop is also established for each grower.

The Support Program consists of a "direct payment" and a counter cyclical payment.
The direct payment for each crop year is calculated by using 85 percent of farms base acreage times the farms direct payment yield times the direct payment rate. The 2004 direct payment rate is $\$ .28$ per bushel or $\$ 10$ per ton. As an example, a grower with a base acreage of 100 acres of grain corn would have 85 payment acres. If this grower had a base yield of 4.9 tons per acre, the direct payment would be:

> 85x 4.90x \$10. =\$4165.

The second part of this program is the counter cyclical payment. This payment is based on the difference between an established target price (annual) and the established "market year average" price. For 2004, the target price for grain corn is $\$ 2.63$ per bushel or $\$ 93.92$ per ton. The 2004, MYA price for corn grain is $\$ 2.43$ per bushel or $\$ 86.70$ per ton. Thus a grower would receive $\$ 7.22$ per ton for grain corn grown on 85 percent of the base acreage times the normal yield.

Both of these programs are designed to enhance income with out encouraging growers to expand acreages.

# IV. GRAIN CORN <br> (Continued) 

The 2004 Grain Corn Payments:

Corn growers were eligible, in 2004, for the following payments:
There are 35.71 bushels per ton.

| Target Price | $\$ 2.63$ per Bushel | $\$ 93.92$ per Ton |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Market Year Average <br> Price (2004) | $\$ 2.43$ per Bushel | $\$ 86.70$ per Ton |
|  |  |  |
| Counter Cyclical Payment | $\$ .21$ per Bushel | $\$ 7.22$ per Ton |
| Direct Payment | $\$ .28$ per Bushel | $\$ 10.00$ per Ton |
| Total | $\$ .49$ per Bushel | $\$ 17.22$ per Ton |

Per Ton Payment ..... $\$ 17.22$

## IV. GRAIN CORN <br> (Continued)

## A HYPOTHETICAL CASE:

As an example, a grain corn grower in 2004, with a historical yield of five tons per acre would be paid both a direct payment and a counter cyclical payment. This payment would be made on only 85 percent of the grower's base acreage.

Assuming a base acreage 100 acres the following payments would be received:

| Direct Payment: | 85 acres $\times 5.00 \times \$ 10.00=\$ 4,250$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Counter Cyclical Payment: | $85 \times 5.00 \times \$ 7.22=\$ 3,068$. |
| Total Governmental Payment: | $\$ 7,319$ |
| Per Acre Payment for 85 Acres: <br> Per Ton Payment of 85 Acres <br> (5 ton/Acre Yield) | $\$ 86.11$ |
|  | $\$ 17.22$ |

## PRICES:

Corn prices vary daily depending on the economic conditions. It is also traded on the Chicago Board of Trade.

In December, 2004, California grain dealers in the Stockton area were paying between $\$ 4.98$ and $\$ 5.10$ per hundred weight delivered to the Stockton - Modesto area. This equates to $\$ 99.60$ to $\$ 102$ pr ton.

Surveyed California grain dealers indicated they usually buy a 100-110 carload lot (unit train) of corn from Nebraska. Transportation charges (In 2004) varied from $\$ 20$ to $\$ 29$ per ton from Nebraska. A rail car holds 100 tons.

The March, 2005 corn contracts for March, 2005 delivery, were selling on the Chicago Board of Trade on January 13, 2005 for $\$ 2.005$ per bushel. This translates into $\$ 71.60$ per ton. The 2004 United States crop was a record high 11.8 Billion bushels.

San Joaquin County corn growers received $\$ 95$ per ton for their 2003 crop. Adding the governmental payment of the $\$ 17.22$ per ton would have given these growers a price of $\$ 112.22$ per ton for their 2003 crop.

Nebraska corn prices have averaged about \$2.19 per bushel (\$78.20 per ton) since 1996. Yields have average about 3.74 tons per acre over eight years since 1996.

Reported cost of corn production for "Heartland-United States" growing areas is $\$ 360$ per acre. Thus, the Nebraska cost of production is about $\$ 96$ per ton.

## IV. GRAIN CORN <br> (Continued)

## COMPARATIVE RETURNS:

Comparing returns by San Joaquin County growers and Nebraska dealers indicates corn is a marginal crop in both growing areas:

| Nebraska | Per Ton |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cost of Production <br> Returns per Ton <br> Add: <br> Governmental Payment per ton | $\$ 96.00$ |
| Net | $\$ 71.60$ |
|  | $\underline{\mathbf{( - \$ 7 . 2 2}}$ |
| San Joaquin County | Per Ton |
| Cost of Production <br> Returns per Ton <br> Add: <br> Governmental Payment per ton | $\underline{\$ 150.80}$ |
| Net | $\mathbf{( - \$ 3 5 . 0 0}$ |

It must be noted, however, that Nebraska corn may have a $\$ 29.00$ per ton shipping charge to California.

The $\$ 150.80$ estimated cost of producing grain corn in Central California was estimated by University of California Farm Advisors. This agency included in the cost a $\$ 160$ per acre overhead cost (depreciation, liability insurance, repairs, office expense). Not all growers use new equipment and, thus, have less depreciation and their office is their pick - up truck. If the $\$ 160$ per acre were removed from the cost of production the per ton cost of production would be $\$ 118.80$ per ton (a $\$ 32.00$ per ton reduction).

## IV. GRAIN CORN <br> (Continued)

## Why Do San Joaquin County Growers Grow Grain Corn?

The estimated negative returns are probably the reason less corn is being grown in the county. But, for many growers they probably do not experience a negative $\$ 38.58$ per ton. While corn is a marginal crop the advantages of a local supply also have value. Some of these reasons are dependability of supply and better product control.

In some previous years when feed prices "spiked" upward the dairy farmers that made money were those who grew their own feed. Also, some of the low prices of imported corn are only available with a "unit train" purchase of a minimum of 100 carload lots. This is very large feed inventory especially for a dairy with 250 cows.

## TRENDS:

1. Grain corn acreages have declined in San Joaquin County over the past two decades but at a slower rate than in California.
2. San Joaquin County corn yields are generally higher than the state average and the Sacramento Valley yields.
3. Generally, California grain corn sells at a higher price than the United States average price.
4. The San Joaquin County share of the California grain corn crop has been increasing since 1980.
5. The value of the San Joaquin County corn crop has been decreasing just as has the value of California corn relative to the United States crop's value.

## V. CORN SILAGE

Corn silage is also an important field crop for California growers. In 2002, there were 2,012 California corn silage growers (U.S. Census of Agriculture). The average grower had 196 acres.

There were 175 San Joaquin County corn silage growers in 2002; the average grower had 183 acres of corn silage. (U.S. Census of Agriculture)

Corn silage acreages vary from year to year but there are about 350 thousand acres grown in California. In 2003 about 40 thousand acres were grown in San Joaquin County. This was about 12 percent of the state's output.

Corn silage is usually considered a bulky low value product. Thus, it is usually grown in the proximity of the dairy on which it is fed. It would also be difficult to replace any reduction of local supplies because of the relatively high cost of transporting corn silage.

This crop is usually planted in May with about 32,000 seeds per acre. Harvesting is done in September.

San Joaquin County is an important supplier of corn silage. It usually produces 10 to 14 percent of the State's supply. Probably one of the reasons corn silage is grown extensively in the county are the yields obtained. Since 1990, San Joaquin County corn silage yields have averaged 27.8 tons per acre. The United States yields during that period were 15.1 tons per acre (See the following graph).



## V. CORN SILAGE <br> (Continued)

## Industry Structure

The marketing channel for corn silage is short and direct. It is usually either grown on the dairy which feeds it or it is grown by a grower located adjacent to the dairy that buys it, in most cases where silage is bought, the grower will deliver under five miles, with a delivery charge for delivery at greater distances.

## Cost of Production

Cash costs for corn silage grown in San Joaquin County are estimated by the U.C. Cooperative Extension Services to be:

| Cultural Costs <br> (Includes seed and production costs) | $\$ 467$ | per acre |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Harvest Costs | $\$ 210$ | per acre |
| Cash Overhead Costs | $\$ 248$ | per acre |

Total Cash Cost Per Acre \$925

Total cash cost per ton
$\$ 33.00$

## V. CORN SILAGE <br> (Continued)

In 2003, San Joaquin County corn silage growers produced a product valued at $\$ 20.00$ per ton. Universities of California Farm Advisors, however, estimate it costs $\$ 33.00$ per ton to grow this crop. Why then would farmers continue to grow corn silage?

Corn silage is an important dairy feed. It is the lowest cost of all roughages and it was previously stated that a daily cow ration usually consists of 36 pounds. While it was priced at $\$ 20.00$ per ton in 2003, the value to a dairyman is greater than this or they would not grow it. Thus, the negative returns do not reflect the actual economic net to the dairy on which it is fed.

## Trends:

1. There has been an increasing acreage of corn silage grown in California and the San Joaquin County.
2. The average acres grown per farm have been increasing.
3. San Joaquin County yields usually exceed those of California and of the United States. A normal yield for San Joaquin County is about 28 tons per acre or 184 percent of the United States yield.
4. San Joaquin County usually produces slightly over one million tons of corn silage annually.

## VI. DRY EDIBLE BEANS

Dry edible beans are grown in both California and several other areas of the United States. California produces about eight percent of the nation's output.

There were 385 California farms that grew dry beans excluding dry lima beans in 2002. An estimated 58,420 acres were grown that year. (US Census of Agriculture) In addition 44,546 acres of lima beans were grown that year. An estimated 242 growers produced lima beans in 2002. (US Census of Agriculture)

San Joaquin County reported 52 growers of dry edible beans (excluding Lima varieties) and 30 growers of Lima beans in 2002. In 2003, California bean growers grew 75 thousand acres of dry edible beans. San Joaquin County accounted for 9.4 thousand acres, or 12.5 percent of the states total.

The California dry bean industry has slowly gone through a transition. California acreages grown in 1980 totaled 213 thousand acres but by 2003 these acres had declined to 75 thousand. (See the following graph).

While San Joaquin County is an important dry edible bean grower, its averages have declined at about the same rate as have acreages in California (See the following graph). By 2003, San Joaquin County acreages had declined to 9.4 thousand acres.

Much of this acreage change has been attributed to increased dry bean production in the lower production cost regions such as North Dakota, Washington and Texas.

Several factors have had a possible negative effect on the San Joaquin County bean industry. First, in 1998, the Tri Valley Growers Cooperative officially filed for bankruptcy. This was a major bean canning facility. This was an important outlet for bean growers in the area. Secondly, the economic returns from dried beans have been marginal causing growers to grow more profitable crops.


## VI. DRY EDIBLE BEANS (Continued)

Just as the acreages have changed, so too, has the composition of the crop. There are many varieties of beans grown in California; However, the state has become a "Specialty Bean" producer. By 2003, over 80 percent of the dry bean crop was made up of four varieties; Blackeyed (27 percent), Baby Lima (22 percent), Large Lima (19 percent), and Garbanzo (12 percent). (See the following graph).

San Joaquin County has historically produced about 13 to 15 percent of California’s dry bean crop. The South Delta region is an important production area of the county. The 2,301 acres grown in 2003 in the Banta Carbona Irrigation District is about 24 percent of the county's acreage. A substantial part of the state's Baby Lima output is grown in the south Delta region.

A large part of this Baby Lima crop is exported to Japan (Dry Bean Board).
A very important characteristic of the San Joaquin County dry bean industry has been the high yields these growers get. Generally, dry bean yields in San Joaquin County exceed those of California and the United States. (See the following graph). The severe downward spike of yields in 1998 can be attributed to the influence of adverse weather.

California Dry Bean Varieties As A Percent Of Crop

DRY EDIBLE BEAN YIELDS (1990-2003)

YEARS
VI. DRY EDIBLE BEANS (Continued)

## Industry Structure

## Growers:

385 in California
(Excluding Limas)
52 San Joaquin County (Excluding Limas)

242 Lima growers In California

30 Lima growers in In San Joaquin County

Dealers and
Warehouses
Market and store beans

## VI. DRY EDIBLE BEANS <br> (Continued)

## Industry Organizations

Dry Bean Advisory Board-
(Market Order)
Dinuba, CA

## Functions:

Sponsors research, marketing, grading, inspection and promotional programs

## Assessments

Dealers
Producers (For all types)

Varietals Councils (additional)

California Bean Shippers Association
Sacramento, California
\$ 0.01 / Hundred Weight
\$ 0.17 / Hundred Weight

Various Rates

Consists of
Dealers Warehouses
And Exporters

# VI. DRY EDIBLE BEANS <br> (Continued) 

## Cost of Production

The UC Davis Farm Advisors estimated production cost for Black eyed and Baby Limas grown in the San Joaquin Valley.

## Per acre Costs

| Cultural Costs | $\$ 472$ | $\$ 268$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Harvesting Costs | $\$ 190$ | $\$ 273$ |
| Overhead Costs | $\$ 185$ | $\$ 65$ |
| Total Cash Costs | $\$ 847$ | $\$ 605$ |
| Expected Yield/acre (lbs) | 2500 | 2800 |
| Cost per Pound | $\$ .34$ | $\$ .22$ |

## VI. DRY EDIBLE BEANS (Continued)

These costs of production data suggest that bean grower would experience negative returns from growing beans. The cited cost data were developed by University of California Farm Advisors. This group estimated the overhead cost to be $\$ 185$ per acre to cover depreciation, taxes, insurance, office costs, etc. Not all growers have this much overhead. If the $\$ 185$ were deducted the cost of production for Baby Limas would be $\$ .26$ per pound. This would result in a positive return of $\$ .06$ per pound or $\$ 150$ per acre.

Also, in January, 2005, it was reported that bean prices had escalated to $\$ .40$ per pound. This price increased was caused by a demand increase generated by the Iraq War. Beans are an aid - program food item. Industry observers indicated that bean prices usually increase during all recent wars.

## VI. DRY EDIBLE BEANS <br> (Continued)

## Consumption:

Americans consume about 7.33 pounds annually of dry edible beans per capita. This is down from the 11 pounds consumed in 1950 but up from the 5.4 pounds eaten in 1984.

About 45 percent of the total United States consumption consists of the Pinto variety. This is the bean used in "Refried Beans" and Hispanic foods.

The export market is the primary outlet for the North Dakota crop. Leading foreign markets are Mexico, United Kingdom and Canada. In 2002, California growers exported dry beans valued at $\$ 10.4$ million. During that year most of the exports were Baby Limas that were exported to Japan for bean paste.

## VI. DRY EDIBLE BEANS (Continued)

## Trends

1. San Joaquin County is a primary producer of the Baby Lima variety. Japan is the major market for California exports (Dry Dean Advisory Broad)
2. San Joaquin County is an important producer of California's dry edible bean supply.
3. Dry edible bean acres have declined in San Joaquin County at about the same rate as they have in California.
4. San Joaquin County dry bean yields are substantially above the California yields.
5. Dry edible bean prices received by San Joaquin County growers have changed little since 1980.
6. San Joaquin County dry bean production represents from 12 to 20 percent of the state's production.

## VII DEMAND CHARACTERTICS

## Grain Corn:

Most grain corn grown in California is used to feed the livestock and poultry industries.
There are an estimated 1.7 million dairy cows in California living on 2,125 dairy farms. About one in five United State's dairy cows live in California.

Cheese is the most important product made from this milk. Historically, about three fourths of the cheese consumed in California was imported from Wisconsin. California cheese production has more than doubled since 1992. A record 1.83 billion pounds were produced in 2003. This output is expected to continue in a growth mode.

In 2002 there were 178 dairy farms in San Joaquin County with 104,000 cows. In the adjacent county, Stanislaus, there were 359 dairy farms with 163,000 cows. Dairying has been in a growth mode in the San Joaquin County area.

In 2002 there were about 20 million egg producing chickens, 809 million broiler chickens raised, and 17 million turkeys produced in California. This is also an industry that is expanding production. (NASS - USDA)

In 2002, there were 83 San Joaquin County farms reporting producing poultry. (U.S. Census of Agriculture) These farms received $\$ 33.8$ million from poultry sales that year.

Clearly, dairy and poultry are important and growing industries in California and in San Joaquin County. Thus, the demand for corn will likely increase.

## Dry Edible Beans:

The United States capita consumption of dry edible beans has slowly increased in recent years. Bean consumption is greatest in the western and southern states of the nation.

Dry beans are an excellent low cost source of protein and historically were a staple of lower income households. Today, beans are an important component of fast food Hispanic food outlets.

Dry beans are on the healthful food list. Too, the increasing relative share of the United States population made up of Hispanics suggests that dry bean consumption will likely continue in a growth mode.

The demand for dry beans usually increases during times of war (Dry Bean Board).

## VIII. ECONOMIC IMPACTS

Grain corn, silage corn, and beans are important crops in San Joaquin County, and any changes in their production levels will affect the overall level of economic activity in the region. The first type of effect is labeled direct effects, which is a reduction in income and employment in the crop sectors where production is reduced. For example, a reduction in the yields of corn silage will directly affect the revenues received by those who grow corn silage, and employment in the corn silage industry may also decrease.

Indirect effects are those "spillover" economic effects that result from changes in the sectors anticipating changes in output (e.g. San Joaquin County agriculture). These indirect effects are the result of changes in income, spending and employment in those sectors that are linked to agriculture. Most often, researchers focus on the "backward" linkages that are affected by a change in the study industry. These backward linkages reflect the changes in purchases from supplier industries (e.g. fertilizer, fuel, farm implements) in the production process. ${ }^{1}$

Induced effects are the economic effects of changes in household and government spending that is the result of the direct and indirect changes in the original sector.

Most analyses focus on the dollar effects of changes in economic activity. It is also possible, however, to estimate the direct and indirect employment effects of changes in the original sectors. These analyses usually provide estimates of the increase or decrease in the number of jobs in relation to changes in final demand; e.g. the number of jobs gained or lost for every $\$ 1$ million changes in overall economic activity. An alternative approach is to estimate the number of indirect jobs lost or gained for every job that is created or lost in the study sectors.

There are a variety of regional economic (primarily input-output) models that provide the analytical capability to assess economic impacts of projected changes in specific sectors of a local economy. The two most frequently cited are RIMSIL, maintained by the U.S. Department of Commerce, and IMPLAN, originally developed by the U.S. Forest Service and the University of Minnesota. IMPLAN is currently maintained and marketed by the Minnesota IMPLAN Group, Inc., and is provided on a fee basis.

[^0]
## VIII. ECONOMIC IMPACTS (Continued)

For the purposes of this study, several studies were reviewed that employed the IMPLAN model (www.implan.com). It seems to be widely used and accepted by a variety of public and private organizations. In order to provide precise estimates of the overall economic impacts of reductions in yields to the study crops, a detailed calibration of the model to San Joaquin County would have been required. This type of analysis is beyond the scope and time frame of this study. We have therefore opted to use the multipliers - or ratios between direct, indirect and total effects - of studies previously published.

The study which provided IMPLAN multipliers most directly related to the study crops was conducted by Mississippi State University "Economic Impacts from Agricultural Production in Mississippi" Although the economic structure of that state is obviously different than that of San Joaquin County, the use of the multipliers can provide a general - rather than precise estimate of the overall economic effects of reductions in agricultural production. Moreover, a review of the various studies conducted during different time periods and in widely disparate geographic regions indicates that the multipliers for these agricultural sectors and crops tend to be very similar.

Based on these multipliers the economic loss was based on the following multipliers:

## Grain Corn <br> 2.109

Corn Silage
2.124

## Dry Beans <br> 2.256

## VIII. ECONOMIC IMPACTS (Continued)

Of concern in this study is the economic impact that would occur if yields were reduced by 10 percent on the corn and dry bean acreages in the Banta Carbona Irrigation District (BCID) located in the South Delta of San Joaquin County. In addition, the losses likely for a 10 percent yield reduction in the South Delta for dry beans were also made.

Data available made no distinction between grain corn and corn silage. Therefore, the assumption was made that the South Delta corn acreage would be divided between grain corn and corn silage at the same ratio that existed for these crops in San Joaquin County in 2003.

The following acreages were assumed for this analysis:

86,800 Acres of corn grown in San Joaquin County in 2003
46,700 Acres of grain corn grown in San Joaquin County in 2003 (53.8 percent of corn acres)

40,100 Acres of corn silage in San Joaquin County in 2003
(46.2 percent of corn grown)

403 Acres of corn grown in BCID in 2003
217 Acres of grain corn grown in BCID in 2003 (53.8 percent)
183 Acres of corn silage grown in BCID in 2003 (46.2 percent)

The following corn acreages are used to calculate the expected losses for the BCID acreages:
Grain Corn Acres 217
Corn Silage Acres 186
For dry beans, losses were also calculated for a 10 percent reduction of yields in the South San Joaquin Delta area.

The dry bean acreage assumed irrigated with surface water in 2003 was (See page2):
Dry beans 4,346 acres

## IX. LOSSES ASSOCIATED WITH A 10 PERCENT YIELD REDUCTION

Based on the estimated acreage of grain corn, corn silage, and dry edible beans grown in the Banta Carbona Irrigation District in 2003, the following losses might be incurred with a 10 percent reduction in the yields of these crops.

Data in Table 1 reflects the per acre losses associated with a 10 percent yield reduction. These losses are based on San Joaquin County prices received for these three crops.

Using the assumed economic multipliers, a ten percent yield reduction would result in an economic loss of $\$ 407,404.00$ for the Banta Carbona Irrigation District corn and dry bean 2003 crops. (Table 2 and 3)

When a 10 percent yield reduction is assumed for the dry bean acreage grown in South Delta of San Joaquin County the loss is $\$ 512,975.00$ for the 2003 crop. (Table 4)

## Table 1:

## Ten Percent Hypothetical <br> Reduction of Yields

|  | San Joaquin Co | 10 Percent | Unit | Per Acre |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CROPS | Yields per Acre | Reduced Yield | Value | Value |


| Grain Corn | 4.63 Tons | .46 Tons | $\$ 112.22$ | $\$ 51.62$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Silage Corn | 27.4 Tons | 2.74 Tons | $\$ 20.00$ | $\$ 54.80$ |
| Dry Beans | 2180 Pds. | 218 Pds. | $\$ .32$ | $\$ 69.76$ |

## IX. LOSSES ASSOCIATED WITH A 10 PERCENT YIELD REDUCTION <br> (Continued)

Table 2:

| Losses Associated With A Ten Percent <br> Yields Reduction - Banta Carbona Irrigation Distric |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CROPS | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Loss } \\ & \text { per Acre } \end{aligned}$ | Acres Grown | Value of Loss |
| Grain Corn | \$51.62 | 217 | \$11,202 |
| Silage Corn | \$54.80 | 186 | \$10,193 |
| Dry Beans | \$69.76 | 2301 | \$160,518 |

Table 3:
Economic Impact Of A Ten Percent Yield Loss, Banta Carbona Irrigation District, San Joaquin County

| Crops | Value of Loss | Multiplier | Economic Loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Grain Corn | $\$ 11,202$ | 2.109 | $\$ 23,625$ |
| Silage Corn | $\$ 10,193$ | 2.124 | $\$ 21,650$ |
| Dry Beans | $\$ 160,518$ | 2.256 |  |
|  |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  | $\$ 407,404$ |

## Table 4:

# Economic Impact Of A Ten Percent Yield Loss For Dry Beans, South Delta, San Joaquin County 2003 Estimated Acreages 

CharacteristicAcres Grown*(4346 x 75\%)3,259.50
Loss Per Acre ..... \$69.76
(218 Pounds x \$.32)
Value of Loss (10 Percent Yield Loss) ..... \$227,382.72
Loss Multiplier ..... 2.256
Value of Economic Loss in
South Delta San Joaquin CountyDry Bean Crop, 2003\$512,975.
*There were an estimated 4,346 acres of dry beans grown in the South Delta. But, it was assumed only 75 percent of this acreage was irrigated with surface water.


## CORN - GRAIN <br> NUMBER OF FARMS GROWING <br> CALIFORNIA AND SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY 1982-2002

| YEAR | NUMBER OF CORN FARMS IN CALIF. | ACRES GROWN IN CALIFORNIA | AVERAGE SIZE OF FARM | NUMBER OF CORN FARMS SAN JOAQUIN CO | ACRES GROWN SAN JOAQUIN CO. | AVERAGE SIZE OF FARM SAN JOAQUIN CO. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 1396 | 302,084 | 216 | 203 | 63,979 | 315 |
| 1987 | 1076 | 158,323 | 147 | 147 | 37,645 | 256 |
| 1992 | 731 | 148,616 | 203 | 115 | 47,265 | 411 |
| 1997 | 958 | 256,292 | 267 | 110 | 57,909 | 526 |
| 2002 | 592 | 168,354 | 284 | 106 | 52,748 | 497 |

SOURCE:

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA AND UNITED STATES 1980-2003

YEAR

1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003

## SAN JOAQUIN

 COUNTY 166,816
70,000
71,500
40,100
78,000
72,300
61,000
51,700
44,600
54,800
42,800
35,100
43,200
56,200
67,680
40,430
57,270
68,000
59,000
56,000
56,500
57,800
47,600
46,700

CALIFORNIA 2

270,000
275,000
330,000
260,000
375,000
320,000
250,000
221,000
187,000
185,000
160,000
115,000
150,000
170,000
180,000
150,000
220,000
265,000
245,000
185,000
205,000
160,000
150,000
130,000

## UNITED STATES 3 (MILLION ACRES)

72.9
74.5
72.7
51.5
71.9
75.2
68.9
59.5
58.3
64.8
66.9
68.8
72.1
62.9
72.5
65.2
72.6
72.7
72.6
70.5
72.4
68.8
69.3
71.1

SOURCE:

1. COUNTY AG COMM ANNUAL REPORTS 2.CDFA
3.NASS-USDA

## CORN - GRAIN YIELDS PER ACRE SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA AND UNITED STATES 1980-2003

| YEAR | SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CALIFORNIA } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | UNITED STATES 3 |  | SAN JOAQUIN CO PERCENT OF CALIF. | CALIFONRIA AS PERCENT OF U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TONS PER ACRE | TONS PER ACRE | BUSHELS PER ACRE | TONS PER ACRE |  |  |
| 1980 | 4.4 | 3.78 | 91.0 | 2.55 | 116.40\% | 148.20\% |
| 1981 | 3.89 | 3.64 | 108.9 | 3.05 | 106.9 | 119.3 |
| 1982 | 4.5 | 3.64 | 113.2 | 3.17 | 123.6 | 114.8 |
| 1983 | 9.92 | 3.58 | 81.1 | 2.27 | 277.1 | 157.7 |
| 1984 | 4.47 | 3.81 | 106.7 | 2.99 | 117.3 | 127.4 |
| 1985 | 4.7 | 4.06 | 118.0 | 3.30 | 115.8 | 123 |
| 1986 | 4.62 | 4.26 | 119.4 | 3.34 | 108.5 | 127.5 |
| 1987 | 4.7 | 4.48 | 119.8 | 3.35 | 104.9 | 133.7 |
| 1988 | 4.46 | 4.06 | 84.6 | 2.37 | 109.9 | 171.3 |
| 1989 | 4.64 | 4.48 | 116.3 | 3.26 | 103.6 | 137.4 |
| 1990 | 4.32 | 4.48 | 118.5 | 3.32 | 96.4 | 134.9 |
| 1991 | 4.67 | 4.48 | 108.6 | 3.04 | 104.2 | 147.4 |
| 1992 | 5.07 | 4.62 | 131.5 | 3.68 | 109.7 | 125.5 |
| 1993 | 5.04 | 4.62 | 100.7 | 2.82 | 109.1 | 163.8 |
| 1994 | 5.2 | 4.76 | 138.6 | 3.88 | 109.2 | 122.7 |
| 1995 | 4.97 | 4.48 | 113.5 | 3.18 | 110.9 | 140.9 |
| 1996 | 4.48 | 4.48 | 127.1 | 3.56 | 100 | 125.8 |
| 1997 | 5.14 | 4.76 | 126.7 | 3.55 | 108 | 134.1 |
| 1998 | 4.5 | 4.48 | 134.4 | 3.76 | 100.4 | 119.1 |
| 1999 | 4.95 | 4.76 | 133.8 | 3.75 | 104 | 126.9 |
| 2000 | 5.13 | 4.76 | 136.9 | 3.83 | 107.8 | 124.3 |
| 2001 | 4.76 | 4.76 | 138.2 | 3.87 | 100 | 123 |
| 2002 | 5.2 | 4.76 | 129.3 | 3.62 | 109.2 | 131.5 |
| 2003 | 4.63 | 4.76 | 142.3 | 3.98 | 97.3 | 119.6 |

SOURCE:

1. COUNTY AG. COMM. ANNUAL REPORTS
2. CDFA
3. NASS - USDA

## CORN - GRAIN

PRICES
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA AND UNITED STATES 1980-2003

| YEAR | SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY 1 \$ PER TON | CALIFORNIA <br> 2 <br> \$ PER TON | UNITED STATES 3 |  | SAN JOAQUIN CO PERCENT OF CALIF. | CALIFONRIA AS PERCENT OF U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \$ PER BUSHELS | \$ PER TON |  |  |
| 1980 | 128 | 144.64 | 3.11 | 111.06 | 88.50\% | 130.20\% |
| 1981 | 122 | 119.64 | 2.50 | 89.28 | 102.0 | 134.0 |
| 1982 | 103 | 122.14 | 2.55 | 91.06 | 84.3 | 134.1 |
| 1983 | 139 | 144.65 | 3.21 | 114.63 | 96.1 | 126.2 |
| 1984 | 117 | 119.64 | 2.63 | 93.92 | 97.8 | 127.4 |
| 1985 | 104 | 107.14 | 2.23 | 79.63 | 97.1 | 134.5 |
| 1986 | 80 | 76.79 | 1.50 | 53.57 | 104.2 | 143.3 |
| 1987 | 84 | 86.07 | 1.94 | 69.28 | 97.6 | 124.2 |
| 1988 | 116 | 112.86 | 2.54 | 90.70 | 102.8 | 124.4 |
| 1989 | 107 | 107.14 | 2.36 | 84.28 | 99.9 | 127.1 |
| 1990 | 108 | 108.21 | 2.28 | 81.42 | 99.8 | 132.9 |
| 1991 | 106 | 110.71 | 2.37 | 84.63 | 95.7 | 130.8 |
| 1992 | 103 | 101.79 | 2.07 | 73.92 | 101.2 | 137.7 |
| 1993 | 117 | 113.93 | 2.50 | 89.28 | 102.7 | 127.6 |
| 1994 | 105 | 106.43 | 2.26 | 80.70 | 98.7 | 131.9 |
| 1995 | 121 | 141.07 | 3.24 | 115.70 | 85.8 | 121.9 |
| 1996 | 119 | 120.36 | 2.71 | 96.77 | 98.9 | 124.4 |
| 1997 | 123 | 108.93 | 2.43 | 86.78 | 112.9 | 125.5 |
| 1998 | 100 | 95.00 | 1.94 | 69.28 | 105.3 | 137.1 |
| 1999 | 83 | 89.29 | 1.82 | 64.99 | 93.0 | 137.4 |
| 2000 | 88 | 87.14 | 1.85 | 66.06 | 101.0 | 131.9 |
| 2001 | 92 | 87.50 | 1.97 | 70.35 | 105.1 | 124.4 |
| 2002 | 102 | 100.00 | 2.32 | 82.85 | 102.0 | 120.7 |
| 2003 | 95 | 103.00 | 2.45 | 87.49 | 92.2 | 117.7 |

SOURCE:

1. COUNTY AG. COMM. ANNUAL REPORTS
2. CDFA
3. NASS - USDA

## CORN - GRAIN PRODUCTION <br> SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA AND UNITED STATES 1980-2003

| YEAR | SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY 1 | CALIFORNIA <br> 2 | UNITED <br> STATES 3 | UNITED STATES 3 | SAN JOAQUIN CO PERCENT OF CALIF. | CALIFONRIA AS PERCENT OF U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THOUSAND TONS | THOUSAND TONS | BILLION BUSHELS | THOUSAND TONS |  |  |
| 1980 | 294 | 1,021 | 6.6 | 184,822 | 28.80\% | 0.55\% |
| 1981 | 272 | 1,001 | 8.1 | 232,002 | 27.2 | 0.43 |
| 1982 | 322 | 1,201 | 8.2 | 226,827 | 26.8 | 0.53 |
| 1983 | 157 | 932 | 4.2 | 117,614 | 16.8 | 0.79 |
| 1984 | 349 | 1,428 | 7.7 | 196,024 | 24.4 | 0.73 |
| 1985 | 340 | 1,299 | 8.9 | 249,229 | 26.2 | 0.52 |
| 1986 | 282 | 1,064 | 8.2 | 229,628 | 26.5 | 0.46 |
| 1987 | 243 | 990 | 7.1 | 198,823 | 24.5 | 0.5 |
| 1988 | 199 | 759 | 4.9 | 137,216 | 26.2 | 0.55 |
| 1989 | 254 | 829 | 7.5 | 210,025 | 30.6 | 0.39 |
| 1990 | 185 | 717 | 7.9 | 221,227 | 25.8 | 0.32 |
| 1991 | 164 | 515 | 7.5 | 210,025 | 31.8 | 0.25 |
| 1992 | 219 | 693 | 9.5 | 266,031 | 31.6 | 0.26 |
| 1993 | 283 | 785 | 6.3 | 176,421 | 36.1 | 0.44 |
| 1994 | 352 | 857 | 10.1 | 282,834 | 41.1 | 0.3 |
| 1995 | 200 | 672 | 7.4 | 207,224 | 29.8 | 0.32 |
| 1996 | 256 | 986 | 9.2 | 257,630 | 26 | 0.38 |
| 1997 | 349 | 1,261 | 9.2 | 257,630 | 27.7 | 0.49 |
| 1998 | 130 | 1,098 | 9.8 | 274,432 | 11.8 | 0.4 |
| 1999 | 269 | 881 | 9.4 | 263,232 | 30.5 | 0.33 |
| 2000 | 289 | 976 | 9.9 | 277,233 | 29.6 | 0.35 |
| 2001 | 275 | 762 | 9.5 | 266,032 | 36.1 | 0.29 |
| 2002 | 247 | 714 | 8.9 | 249,230 | 34.6 | 0.29 |
| 2003 | 216 | 619 | 10.1 | 282,834 | 34.9 | 0.22 |

SOURCE:

1. COUNTY AG. COMM. ANNUAL REPORTS
2. CDFA
3. NASS - USDA

## CORN - GRAIN VALUE OF PRODUCTION SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA AND UNITED STATES 1980-2003

| YEAR | SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY ${ }_{1}$ | CALIFORNIA | UNITED STATES 3 | SAN JOAQUIN CO PERCENT OF CALIF. | CALIFONRIA AS PERCENT OF U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MILLION DOLLARS | MILLION DOLLARS | MILLION DOLLARS |  |  |
| 1980 | 37.7 | 147.6 | 20,554 | 25.50\% | 0.72\% |
| 1981 | 33.2 | 119.8 | 20,200 | 27.7 | 0.59 |
| 1982 | 33.2 | 146.7 | 21,641 | 22.6 | 0.68 |
| 1983 | 22.5 | 134.8 | 13,553 | 16.7 | 0.99 |
| 1984 | 40.8 | 170.9 | 20,143 | 23.9 | 0.85 |
| 1985 | 35.2 | 139.2 | 19,519 | 25.3 | 0.71 |
| 1986 | 22.5 | 81.7 | 12,507 | 27.5 | 0.65 |
| 1987 | 20.4 | 85.2 | 14,107 | 23.9 | 0.6 |
| 1988 | 23.2 | 85.6 | 12,661 | 27.1 | 0.68 |
| 1989 | 27.2 | 88.8 | 17,912 | 30.6 | 0.5 |
| 1990 | 19.9 | 77.6 | 18,192 | 25.6 | 0.43 |
| 1991 | 17.4 | 57.0 | 17,861 | 30.5 | 0.32 |
| 1992 | 22.6 | 64.6 | 19,723 | 35 | 0.33 |
| 1993 | 33.1 | 89.4 | 16,035 | 37 | 0.56 |
| 1994 | 36.9 | 91.2 | 22,874 | 40.5 | 0.4 |
| 1995 | 24.2 | 94.8 | 24,202 | 25.5 | 0.39 |
| 1996 | 30.6 | 118.6 | 25,149 | 25.8 | 0.47 |
| 1997 | 42.8 | 137.4 | 22,351 | 31.1 | 0.61 |
| 1998 | 13.0 | 104.3 | 18,922 | 12.5 | 0.55 |
| 1999 | 22.4 | 78.6 | 17,103 | 28.5 | 0.46 |
| 2000 | 25.3 | 85.0 | 18,499 | 29.8 | 0.46 |
| 2001 | 25.4 | 66.6 | 18,888 | 38.1 | 0.35 |
| 2002 | 25.3 | 71.4 | 20,974 | 35.4 | 0.34 |
| 2003 | 20.6 | 63.8 | 24,803 | 32.3 | 0.26 |

SOURCE:

1. COUNTY AG. COMM. ANNUAL REPORTS
2. CDFA
3. NASS - USDA

# GRAIN CORN YIELDS UNITED STATES <br> NEBRASKA, CALIFORNIA AND SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY <br> (1990-2003) TONS PER ACRE 

| YEAR | UNITED <br> STATES | NEBRASKA | CALIFORNIA | SAN JOAQUIN <br> COUNTY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TONS PER | TONS PER | TONS PER | TONS PER |

SOURCE:

1. COUNTY AG. COMM. ANNUAL REPORTS
2. CDFA
3. NASS - USDA


## CORN SILAGE <br> NUMBER OF GROWERS

CALIFORNIA AND SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY 1982-2002

| YEAR | NUMBER OF GROWERS IN CALIF. | ACRES GROWN IN CALIFORNIA | AVERAGE SIZE OF FARM | NUMBER OF GROWERS SAN JOAQUIN CO | ACRES GROWN SAN JOAQUIN CO. | AVERAGE SIZE OF FARM SAN JOAQUIN CO. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 1995 | 198,342 | 99 | 185 | 24,092 | 130 |
| 1987 | 1784 | 191,357 | 107 | 157 | 19,391 | 124 |
| 1992 | 1717 | 218,113 | 127 | 178 | 26,149 | 147 |
| 1997 | 1985 | 314,357 | 158 | 201 | 32,864 | 164 |
| 2002 | 2012 | 393,694 | 196 | 175 | 31,950 | 183 |
| SOURCE: <br> US CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## CORN SILAGE ACRES HARVESTED <br> SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA AND UNITED STATES 1980-2003

| YEAR | SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY | CALIFORNIA <br> 2 | UNITED STATES 3 | SAN JOAQUIN CO PERCENT OF CALIF. | CALIFONRIA AS PERCENT OF U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THOUSAND ACRES | THOUSAND ACRES | THOUSAND ACRES |  |  |
| 1980 | 28.7 | 178.0 | 9,299 | 16.1\% | 1.9\% |
| 1981 | 24.3 | 193.0 | 8,307 | 12.6\% | 2.3\% |
| 1982 | 24.1 | 213.0 | 8,252 | 11.3\% | 2.6\% |
| 1983 | 23.0 | 175.0 | 7,808 | 13.1\% | 2.2\% |
| 1984 | 21.5 | 190.0 | 7,535 | 11.3\% | 2.5\% |
| 1985 | 20.0 | 225.0 | 7,155 | 8.9\% | 3.1\% |
| 1986 | 24.2 | 243.0 | 6,418 | 10.0\% | 3.8\% |
| 1987 | 21.2 | 196.0 | 5,994 | 10.8\% | 3.3\% |
| 1988 | 22.2 | 182.0 | 8,301 | 12.2\% | 2.2\% |
| 1989 | 22.2 | 189.0 | 6,606 | 11.7\% | 2.9\% |
| 1990 | 20.0 | 210.0 | 6,123 | 9.5\% | 3.4\% |
| 1991 | 21.5 | 210.0 | 6,140 | 10.2\% | 3.4\% |
| 1992 | 24.2 | 220.0 | 6,069 | 11.0\% | 3.6\% |
| 1993 | 25.4 | 225.0 | 6,823 | 11.3\% | 3.3\% |
| 1994 | 27.0 | 235.0 | 5,717 | 11.5\% | 4.1\% |
| 1995 | 41.3 | 280.0 | 5,321 | 14.8\% | 5.3\% |
| 1996 | 23.1 | 275.0 | 5,607 | 8.4\% | 4.9\% |
| 1997 | 32.4 | 315.0 | 6,054 | 10.3\% | 5.2\% |
| 1998 | 31.3 | 350.0 | 5,913 | 8.9\% | 5.9\% |
| 1999 | 32.9 | 335.0 | 6,037 | 9.8\% | 5.5\% |
| 2000 | 33.6 | 330.0 | 6,082 | 10.2\% | 5.4\% |
| 2001 | 37.6 | 315.0 | 6,142 | 11.9\% | 5.1\% |
| 2002 | 39.7 | 390.0 | 7,122 | 10.2\% | 5.5\% |
| 2003 | 40.1 | 355.0 | 6,528 | 11.3\% | 5.4\% |

SOURCE:

1. COUNTY AG. COMM. ANNUAL REPORTS
2. CDFA
3. NASS - USDA

## CORN SILAGE YIELDS PER ACRE SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA AND UNITED STATES 1980-2003

| YEAR | SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY 1 | CALIFORNIA | UNITED STATES 3 | SAN JOAQUIN CO PERCENT OF CALIF. | CALIFONRIA AS PERCENT OF U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TONS PER ACRE | TONS PER ACRE | TONS PER ACRE |  |  |
| 1980 | 23.0 | 21.0 | 12.0 | 109.50\% | 175.00\% |
| 1981 | 23.0 | 20.5 | 14.2 | 112.2 | 144.4 |
| 1982 | 22.5 | 21.5 | 14.3 | 104.7 | 150.3 |
| 1983 | 23.0 | 21.0 | 12.3 | 109.5 | 170.7 |
| 1984 | 22.0 | 21.0 | 13.9 | 104.8 | 151.1 |
| 1985 | 22.8 | 22.0 | 14.3 | 103.6 | 153.8 |
| 1986 | 26.0 | 24.0 | 14.1 | 108.3 | 170.2 |
| 1987 | 29.3 | 26.0 | 14.4 | 112.7 | 180.6 |
| 1988 | 22.4 | 24.0 | 9.5 | 93.3 | 252.6 |
| 1989 | 26.3 | 24.0 | 13.0 | 109.6 | 184.6 |
| 1990 | 25.1 | 25.0 | 14.2 | 100.4 | 176.1 |
| 1991 | 26.7 | 25.0 | 13.2 | 106.8 | 189.4 |
| 1992 | 26.8 | 25.0 | 14.4 | 107.2 | 173.6 |
| 1993 | 27.7 | 25.0 | 11.9 | 110.8 | 210.1 |
| 1994 | 27.0 | 25.0 | 15.8 | 108.0 | 158.2 |
| 1995 | 27.1 | 25.0 | 14.7 | 108.4 | 170.1 |
| 1996 | 27.4 | 25.0 | 15.4 | 109.6 | 162.3 |
| 1997 | 30.3 | 26.0 | 16.1 | 116.5 | 161.5 |
| 1998 | 31.2 | 25.0 | 16.1 | 124.8 | 155.3 |
| 1999 | 27.6 | 26.0 | 15.8 | 106.2 | 164.6 |
| 2000 | 28.5 | 26.0 | 16.8 | 109.6 | 154.8 |
| 2001 | 28.9 | 26.0 | 16.6 | 111.2 | 156.6 |
| 2002 | 27.6 | 26.0 | 14.4 | 106.2 | 180.6 |
| 2003 | 27.4 | 26.0 | 16.2 | 105.4 | 160.5 |
| SOURCE: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. COUNTY AG. COMM. ANNUAL REPORTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. CDFA |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. NASS - USDA |  |  |  |  |  |

## CORN SILAGE PRICE PER TON

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA AND UNITED STATES 1980-2003

| YEAR | SAN JOAQUIN <br> COUNTY 1 | CALIFORNIA 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\quad$ UNITED STATES 3

NA = NOT AVAILABLE

SOURCE:

1. COUNTY AG. COMM. ANNUAL REPORTS
2. CDFA

## CORN SILAGE PRODUCTION

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA AND UNITED STATES 1980-2003

| YEAR | SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY ${ }_{1}$ | $\underset{2}{\text { CALIFORNIA }}$ | UNITED STATES 3 | SAN JOAQUIN CO PERCENT OF CALIF. | CALIFONRIA AS PERCENT OF U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THOUSAND TONS | THOUSAND TONS | THOUSAND TONS |  |  |
| 1980 | 694 | 3,738 | 111,990 | 18.6\% | 3.30\% |
| 1981 | 581 | 3,957 | 117,891 | 14.7 | 3.4 |
| 1982 | 584 | 4,580 | 117,782 | 12.8 | 3.9 |
| 1983 | 579 | 3,675 | 96,238 | 15.8 | 3.8 |
| 1984 | 527 | 3,990 | 104,491 | 13.2 | 3.8 |
| 1985 | 545 | 4,950 | 102,664 | 11 | 1.8 |
| 1986 | 637 | 5,832 | 90,227 | 10.9 | 6.5 |
| 1987 | 563 | 5,096 | 86,442 | 11 | 5.9 |
| 1988 | 509 | 4,186 | 78,911 | 12.2 | 5.3 |
| 1989 | 543 | 4,536 | 86,111 | 12 | 5.3 |
| 1990 | 474 | 5,250 | 86,820 | 9 | 6.0 |
| 1991 | 579 | 5,250 | 81,216 | 11 | 6.5 |
| 1992 | 608 | 5,500 | 87,663 | 11.1 | 6.3 |
| 1993 | 663 | 5,625 | 81,131 | 11.8 | 6.9 |
| 1994 | 783 | 5,875 | 90,170 | 13.3 | 6.5 |
| 1995 | 1,147 | 7,000 | 78,181 | 16.4 | 9.0 |
| 1996 | 637 | 6,875 | 86,581 | 9.3 | 7.9 |
| 1997 | 914 | 8,190 | 97,192 | 11.2 | 8.4 |
| 1998 | 880 | 8,750 | 95,479 | 10 | 9.2 |
| 1999 | 926 | 8,710 | 95,479 | 10.6 | 9.1 |
| 2000 | 971 | 8,580 | 102,156 | 11.3 | 8.4 |
| 2001 | 1,123 | 8,190 | 101,992 | 13.7 | 8.0 |
| 2002 | 1,192 | 10,140 | 102,293 | 11.8 | 9.9 |
| 2003 | 1,137 | 9,230 | 105,864 | 12.3 | 8.7 |

SOURCE:

1. COUNTY AG. COMM. ANNUAL REPORTS
2. CDFA
3. NASS - USDA

## CORN SILAGE YIELDS <br> UNITED STATES CALIFORNIA AND SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY

 (1990-2003) TONS PER ACRE| YEAR | UNITED STATES | CALIFORNIA | SAN JOAQUIN <br> COUNTY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TONS PER ACRE | TONS PER ACRE | TONS PER ACRE |
| 1990 | 14.20 | 25.00 | 25.10 |
| 1991 | 13.20 | 25.00 | 26.70 |
| 1992 | 14.40 | 25.00 | 26.80 |
| 1993 | 11.90 | 25.00 | 27.70 |
| 1994 | 15.80 | 25.00 | 27.00 |
| 1995 | 14.70 | 25.00 | 27.10 |
| 1996 | 15.40 | 25.00 | 27.40 |
| 1997 | 16.10 | 26.00 | 30.30 |
| 1998 | 16.10 | 25.00 | 31.20 |
| 1999 | 15.80 | 26.00 | 27.60 |
| 2000 | 16.80 | 26.00 | 28.50 |
| 2001 | 16.60 | 26.00 | 28.90 |
| 2002 | 14.40 | 26.00 | 27.60 |
| 2003 | 16.20 | 26.00 | 27.40 |

SOURCE:

1. COUNTY AG. COMM. ANNUAL REPORTS
2. CDFA
3. NASS - USDA

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { APPENDIX } \\
\text { DRY } \\
\text { EDIBLE } \\
\text { BEANS }
\end{gathered}
$$

## DRY BEANS

NUMBER OF FARMS GROWING
CALIFORNIA AND SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY 1982-2002

## EXCLUDES DRY LIMA BEANS

| YEAR | NUMBER OF DRY BEAN FARMS IN CALIF. | ACRES GROWN IN CALIFORNIA | AVERAGE SIZE OF FARM | NUMBER OF DRY BEAN FARMS SAN JOAQUIN CO | ACRES GROWN SAN JOAQUIN CO. | AVERAGE SIZE OF FARM SAN JOAQUIN CO. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 1120 | 133,022 | 119 | 146 | 17,242 | 118 |
| 1987 | 978 | 110,959 | 113 | 168 | 23,370 | 139 |
| 1992 | 690 | 79,735 | 116 | 123 | 13,589 | 110 |
| 1997 | 413 | 60,153 | 146 | 56 | 6,191 | 111 |
| 2002 | 385 | 58,420 | 152 | 52 | 4,913 | 95 |

## DRY LIMA BEANS <br> NUMBER OF GROWERS

CALIFORNIA AND SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY 1982-2002

| YEAR | NUMBER OF GROWERS IN CALIF. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACRES } \\ \text { GROWN IN } \\ \text { CALIFORNIA } \end{gathered}$ | AVERAGE SIZE OF FARM | NUMBER OF GROWERS SAN JOAQUIN CO | ACRES GROWN SAN JOAQUIN CO. | AVERAGE SIZE OF FARM SAN JOAQUIN CO. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 375 | 82,542 | 220 | 45 | 9,956 | 221 |
| 1987 | 264 | 42,989 | 163 | 31 | 6,879 | 222 |
| 1992 | 301 | 47,031 | 156 | 39 | 7,609 | 195 |
| 1997 | 386 | 70,201 | 182 | 52 | 12,565 | 242 |
| 2002 | 242 | 44,546 | 184 | 30 | 7,098 | 237 |

SOURCE:
US CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

## DRY EDIBLE BEANS ACRES HARVESTED <br> SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA AND UNITED STATES 1980-2003

| YEAR | SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY 1 | CALIFORNIA <br> 2 | UNITED <br> STATES 3 | SAN JOAQUIN CO PERCENT OF CALIF. | CALIFORNIA AS PERCENT OF U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THOUSAND ACRES | THOUSAND ACRES | THOUSAND ACRES |  |  |
| 1980 | 27.8 | 213.0 | 1,859 | 13.1\% | 11.5\% |
| 1981 | 28.2 | 224.0 | 2,270 | 12.6\% | 9.9\% |
| 1982 | 36.1 | 210.0 | 1,777 | 17.2\% | 11.8\% |
| 1983 | 23.0 | 143.0 | 1,139 | 16.1\% | 12.6\% |
| 1984 | 23.7 | 184.0 | 1,460 | 12.9\% | 12.6\% |
| 1985 | 28.9 | 178.0 | 1,481 | 16.2\% | 12.0\% |
| 1986 | 19.2 | 155.0 | 1,495 | 12.4\% | 10.4\% |
| 1987 | 20.6 | 168.0 | 1,665 | 12.3\% | 10.1\% |
| 1988 | 24.3 | 147.0 | 1,353 | 16.5\% | 10.9\% |
| 1989 | 26.6 | 180.0 | 1,651 | 14.8\% | 10.9\% |
| 1990 | 25.9 | 166.0 | 2,084 | 15.6\% | 8.0\% |
| 1991 | 20.6 | 150.0 | 1,914 | 13.7\% | 7.8\% |
| 1992 | 20.9 | 110.0 | 1,530 | 19.0\% | 7.2\% |
| 1993 | 24.5 | 106.0 | 1,618 | 23.1\% | 6.6\% |
| 1994 | 16.2 | 131.0 | 1,831 | 12.4\% | 7.2\% |
| 1995 | 24.1 | 137.0 | 1,896 | 17.6\% | 7.2\% |
| 1996 | 22.8 | 123.0 | 1,751 | 18.5\% | 7.0\% |
| 1997 | 24.2 | 132.0 | 1,759 | 18.3\% | 7.5\% |
| 1998 | 22.3 | 105.0 | 1,918 | 21.2\% | 5.5\% |
| 1999 | 19.6 | 132.0 | 1,881 | 14.8\% | 7.0\% |
| 2000 | 21.7 | 112.0 | 1,617 | 19.4\% | 6.9\% |
| 2001 | 15.2 | 85.0 | 1,250 | 17.9\% | 6.8\% |
| 2002 | 10.6 | 89.0 | 1,739 | 11.9\% | 5.1\% |
| 2003 | 9.4 | 75.0 | 1,347 | 12.5\% | 5.6\% |

SOURCE:

1. COUNTY AG. COMM. ANNUAL REPORTS
2. CDFA
3. NASS - USDA

## DRY EDIBLE BEANS <br> YIELD PER ACRE <br> SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA AND UNITED STATES 1980-2003

| YEAR | SAN <br> JOAQUIN <br> COUNTY | CALIFORNIA <br> POUNDS PER <br> ACRES | POUNDS PER <br> ACRES | UNITED <br> STATES 3 | SAN JOAQUIN <br> CO PERCENT <br> OF CALIF. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACRES PER |  |  |  |  |  | | CALIFORNIA AS |
| :---: |
| PERCENT OF |
| U.S. |

## DRY EDIBLE BEANS PRICES <br> SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA AND UNITED STATES 1980-2003

| YEAR | SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY ${ }_{1}$ | $\underset{2}{\text { CALIFORNIA }}$ | UNITED STATES 3 | SAN JOAQUIN CO PERCENT OF CALIF. | CALIFORNIA AS PERCENT OF U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DOLLARS PER CWT. | DOLLARS PER CWT. | DOLLARS PER CWT. | DOLLARS PER CWT. | DOLLARS PER CWT. |
| 1980 | 30.65 | 32.70 | 27.60 | 93.7\% | 118.5\% |
| 1981 | 31.15 | 28.70 | 21.00 | 108.5\% | 136.7\% |
| 1982 | 20.25 | 23.30 | 14.20 | 86.9\% | 164.1\% |
| 1983 | 33.60 | 32.50 | 22.40 | 103.4\% | 145.1\% |
| 1984 | 31.95 | 29.20 | 18.70 | 109.4\% | 156.1\% |
| 1985 | 24.45 | 22.30 | 17.60 | 109.6\% | 126.7\% |
| 1986 | 31.30 | 28.50 | 19.10 | 109.8\% | 149.2\% |
| 1987 | 27.85 | 27.50 | 16.50 | 101.3\% | 166.7\% |
| 1988 | 36.45 | 36.20 | 29.90 | 100.7\% | 121.1\% |
| 1989 | 36.95 | 34.80 | 28.50 | 106.2\% | 122.1\% |
| 1990 | 27.35 | 30.90 | 18.50 | 88.5\% | 167.0\% |
| 1991 | 29.40 | 26.20 | 15.60 | 112.2\% | 167.9\% |
| 1992 | 28.25 | 24.40 | 19.90 | 115.8\% | 122.6\% |
| 1993 | 34.60 | 35.20 | 24.60 | 98.3\% | 143.1\% |
| 1994 | 33.15 | 34.80 | 22.50 | 95.3\% | 154.7\% |
| 1995 | 34.90 | 34.80 | 20.80 | 100.3\% | 167.3\% |
| 1996 | 41.00 | 38.50 | 23.50 | 106.5\% | 163.8\% |
| 1997 | 35.85 | 29.40 | 19.30 | 121.9\% | 152.3\% |
| 1998 | 33.60 | 36.10 | 19.00 | 93.1\% | 190.0\% |
| 1999 | 28.25 | 27.00 | 16.40 | 104.6\% | 164.6\% |
| 2000 | 26.35 | 26.80 | 15.50 | 98.3\% | 172.9\% |
| 2001 | 30.85 | 31.20 | 22.10 | 98.9\% | 141.2\% |
| 2002 | 34.65 | 32.00 | 17.10 | 108.3\% | 187.1\% |
| 2003 | 32.00 | 34.70P | 17.80 | 92.2\% | 194.9\% |
| $\mathrm{P}=$ Preliminary |  |  |  |  |  |

SOURCE:

1. COUNTY AG. COMM. ANNUAL REPORTS
2. CDFA
3. NASS - USDA

## DRY EDIBLE BEANS <br> PRODUCTION

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA AND UNITED STATES 1980-2003

| YEAR | SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY 1 | CALIFORNIA <br> 2 | UNITED STATES 3 | SAN JOAQUIN CO PERCENT OF CALIF. | CALIFORNIA AS PERCENT OF U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THOUSAND CWT. | THOUSAND CWT. | THOUSAND CWT. |  |  |
| 1980 | 593 | 3,813 | 26,729 | 15.6\% | 14.3\% |
| 1981 | 589 | 4,105 | 32,751 | 14.3\% | 12.5\% |
| 1982 | 576 | 3,585 | 25,563 | 16.1\% | 14.0\% |
| 1983 | 389 | 2,412 | 15,520 | 16.1\% | 15.5\% |
| 1984 | 434 | 3,099 | 21,070 | 14.0\% | 14.7\% |
| 1985 | 666 | 3,563 | 22,298 | 18.7\% | 16.0\% |
| 1986 | 402 | 2,862 | 22,960 | 14.0\% | 12.5\% |
| 1987 | 436 | 3,138 | 26,031 | 13.9\% | 12.1\% |
| 1988 | 522 | 2,885 | 19,253 | 18.1\% | 15.0\% |
| 1989 | 554 | 3,357 | 23,729 | 16.5\% | 14.1\% |
| 1990 | 778 | 3,058 | 32,379 | 25.4\% | 9.4\% |
| 1991 | 472 | 3,235 | 33,765 | 14.6\% | 9.6\% |
| 1992 | 456 | 2,376 | 22,615 | 19.2\% | 10.5\% |
| 1993 | 552 | 2,210 | 21,862 | 25.0\% | 10.1\% |
| 1994 | 460 | 2,771 | 28,950 | 16.6\% | 9.6\% |
| 1995 | 552 | 2,740 | 30,689 | 20.1\% | 8.9\% |
| 1996 | 492 | 2,325 | 27,912 | 21.2\% | 8.3\% |
| 1997 | 554 | 3,000 | 29,370 | 18.5\% | 10.2\% |
| 1998 | 358 | 1,554 | 30,418 | 23.0\% | 5.1\% |
| 1999 | 452 | 2,455 | 33,146 | 18.4\% | 7.4\% |
| 2000 | 472 | 2,059 | 26,543 | 22.9\% | 7.8\% |
| 2001 | 320 | 1,496 | 19,610 | 21.4\% | 7.6\% |
| 2002 | 228 | 1,762 | 30,312 | 12.9\% | 5.8\% |
| 2003 | 207 | 1,762 | 22,515 | 11.7\% | 7.8\% |

SOURCE:

1. COUNTY AG. COMM. ANNUAL REPORTS
2. CDFA
3. NASS - USDA

## DRY EDIBLE BEANS <br> VALUE OF PRODUCTION <br> SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA AND UNITED STATES 1980-2003



SOURCE:

1. COUNTY AG. COMM. ANNUAL REPORTS
2. CDFA
3. NASS - USDA

# DRY EDIBLE BEAN YIELDS UNITED STATES CALIFORNIA AND SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY (1990-2003) IN POUNDS PER ACRE 

| YEAR | UNITED STATES | CALIFORNIA | SAN JOAQUIN <br> COUNTY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | POUNDS PER ACRE | POUNDS PER ACRE | POUNDS PER ACRE |
| 1990 | 1553 | 1842 | 2000 |
| 1991 | 1764 | 2157 | 2300 |
| 1992 | 1478 | 2160 | 2180 |
| 1993 | 1351 | 2085 | 2260 |
| 1994 | 1581 | 2120 | 2400 |
| 1995 | 1618 | 2000 | 2300 |
| 1996 | 1594 | 1890 | 2160 |
| 1997 | 1670 | 2270 | 2280 |
| 1998 | 1586 | 1480 | 1600 |
| 1999 | 1762 | 1860 | 2300 |
| 2000 | 1642 | 1840 | 2180 |
| 2001 | 1569 | 1760 | 2100 |
| 2002 | 1743 | 1980 | 2160 |
| 2003 | 1672 | 1980 | 2180 |

SOURCE:

1. COUNTY AG. COMM. ANNUAL REPORTS
2. CDFA
3. NASS - USDA

[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some studies also try to capture the forward linkages, or value- added linkages, that represent the original industry's output that passes downstream through the supply chain. This type of analysis requires a more thorough analysis of downstream industries such as food processing in the region than if feasible in this study. The result is that the estimates of direct and indirect economic impacts of changing agricultural production levels should be considered conservative.

