	Table 4-1. Responses to Comments			
Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response	
2300	1	What on earth are you people trying to do? Let the people in Southern California deal with the water system that it is today. Look to the sea for water!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2301	1	Can't grow the world's food without water! Have the south save water they let run into the ocean! Desalination? Better spent on desalination than Brown's bullet train!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2302	1	Water board is out of touch with reality. I invite any board member to travel to a third world country and see hungry people and then come back and try to justify this insane proposal. Get real and tell us the truth of the actual motive behind this crazy idea to steal our water.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2302	2	Just because you are appointed to Water Board does not allow you to ignore the local people that will be drastically impacted. Wake up and start over!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2303	1	People and our economy should be your first priorities in this. Take away our water and we cannot thrive in our communities. Fish are not human and we come before anything period. You will not save the salmon. What is killing the salmon are the invasive fish that are in our rivers already.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2303	2	Leave our water alone period. We the people have spoken. You have your jobs because of us, not the fish. We pay your salaries. We will not hesitate to do what it takes to get you fired. Do what we the people want, not what other big business wants you to do.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2304	1	I oppose what you are trying to do! Make those desalinization machines work in Southern California.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2305	1		Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2306	1	The water in question belongs to the citizen residents, not fish, nor the ocean. People come first! If not, it is time to eliminate you illogical bastards in our government!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2307	1	More water storage benefits all interests.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2308	1	Please consult in good faith with the local authorities and water consultants and quit trying to starve the Central Valley water supplies.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2308			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

	Table 4-1. Responses to Comments			
Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response	
2309	1	Please stop regulating and taking northern California water for southern California. It is needed for agriculture.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2310	1	Stop trying to put us farmers out of business!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2311	1	Quit taking the water and sending it to Los Angeles. They abuse water rationing more than all of us who try to conserve.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2312	1	I am 70 years old and have never missed voting in an election as long as I've been eligible to vote. I remember all the times our precious water has been diverted south, away from our communities and farms. This is nothing less than theft and will be remembered from now on when we vote.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2313	1	We need more storage! Stop the Water Board's controls on this.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2314	1	I urge the water board to consider settlement offers as alternatives to its unreasonable proposal.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2314	2	Sending more water from our rivers to the Delta will do nothing to increase the fish population. It's much better to include predator suppression and habitat restoration.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2314	3	I am against the Delta Tunnels as well because as an agricultural community we must keep enough of our water here to grow the food we produce and employ the people we employ.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2315	1	The valley supplies food to the U.S., without water it will hurt the economy which is farming, farmers need water to grow the food obviously, this should never be political, our government very rarely does the right thing and will have to change soon.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2316	1	Remember what happened to Owen Valley in the central Sierra at the turn of the century through the 1920s and 30s that sucked Owens Lake dry to send water to Los Angeles. Remember that during this last drought that all southern CA reservoirs were full and no water shortage was in place in southern CA.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2317	1	Fill the lakes and reservoirs first to get and be able to keep water in storage, and then release what's leftover [sic] down the rivers. I know that's totally the opposite of the way liberals think, they like to spend, spend, spend and hope they can make it back in the future.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general	

Cmt#	Comment	Response
	Conservatives like myself save up until we can afford what we want, then we buy it. That's way [sic] this state is in such bad conditions, it's being run by a bunch of liberal idiots. Let's try turning this around, and save all the water possible to grow the food that's needed by people.	comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1	We depend on our farmers and the agricultural land to supply food to families. If you take away our water, any percentage of it, we all lose.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1	We need more oversight before the precious asset we have in California, water, is dispersed for the sake of fish over families and farming!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1	It is clear our regulatory agencies are not equipped to protect our interests because they are in need of a watchdogespecially MID of late.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1	It's time to stop increasing the poverty levels in the Central Valley through bad public policy. Think of our children, our future please! There is more to California than the coast, LA, and the Bay Area.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1	We cannot afford this in our valley.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1	Our farmers need our water more!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1	We need to keep our water for our agriculture.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1	The population in the LA basin area is unsustainable and has been for decades. Tulare County, specifically Porterville/Terra Bella had A1 water rights when the CA Aqueduct was first built. We need those rights back. LA needs to build their own reservoirs and quit stealing water from the San Joaquin Valley. Farming is more important than filling swimming pools and watering acres of lush lawns and golf courses in southern CA.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1	Without water who will grow our nation's and the world's food?	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
	1 1 1 1 1 1	away our water, any percentage of it, we all lose.  We need more oversight before the precious asset we have in California, water, is dispersed for the sake of fish over families and farming!  It is clear our regulatory agencies are not equipped to protect our interests because they are in need of a watchdogespecially MID of late.  It's time to stop increasing the poverty levels in the Central Valley through bad public policy. Think of our children, our future please! There is more to California than the coast, LA, and the Bay Area.  We cannot afford this in our valley.  Ue rarmers need our water more!  We need to keep our water for our agriculture.  The population in the LA basin area is unsustainable and has been for decades. Tulare County, specifically Porterville/Terra Bella had A1 water rights when the CA Aqueduct was first built. We need those rights back. LA needs to build their own reservoirs and quit stealing water from the San Joaquin Valley. Farming is more important than filling swimming pools and watering acres of lush lawns and golf courses in southern CA.

	Table 4-1. Responses to Comments				
Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response		
2327	1	What kind of insanity is it to take much-needed water from the part of the state where much of the food is raised, permanently placing restrictions on our usage while the rest of the state gets a free ride and has all the water they want to waste as they choose. Let's get some clearer thinking people in here and do things right!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2328	1	Save our farms!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2329	1	Please keep our water locally. We are counting on you to protect us.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2330	1	I do oppose taking any more water from our rivers. Along with farming and living there are habitats that will be impacted in a negative way.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2331	1	We have to keep our water for the farmers and our own well-being.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2332	1	Water for food for people, not fish.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2333	1	While cities need some relief and we need to affect some changes to ease the impact on native species, we also must be mindful that this valley is one of the world's major food producing areas.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2334	1	As cattlemen, water availability and prices are stretching us thin.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2335	1	As an ag pumper (no surface water) there will be no chance to be sustainable.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2336	1	This valley feeds too many to have its water cut backwhat are you thinking?	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2337	1	Need to quit screwing with Mother Nature.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		

Table 4-1. Responses to Comments			
Cmt#	Comment	Response	
1	Don't make this once lush and green valley worse, Modesto is already a dust bowl! I am voting no on this ballot issue and encouraging others to do the same.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
1	I am against this plan by the State Water Board to redirect water from our rivers to the Delta. We are already struggling to maintain our production of crops and meat for consumption by the whole world. Please listed to the people who know about what is needed for this valley.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
1	You're killing our family business, our beautiful land, and what makes California great. Shame on you.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
1	Farmers need water to grow food. No water=no food. Get your priorities straight.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
1	Stop this insanity, the water is for the farmers the very reason the reservoirs were put in place to begin with.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2	If you had any sense you would stop the bullet train project that goes nowhere and build a couple of desalination plants with that money.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
1	I feel the wants and needs of the farmers, workers, and communities in the Valley are not being adequately considered with the adoption of these new water regulations. It would be better, for all the involved citizens of the state, if the different factions started meeting together in different teams and different times to try to reach a more equitable approach to this problem.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
1	Sending more water south from northern and central CA rivers is a death sentence for California.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
1	How can the board make such decisions when we have already been reduced to 5% allocations in the federal districts with Shasta at above "normal" storage capacities?	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
1	100% of the flow is not going to return the salmon to these rivers. Look at the science developed by Fishbio.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
1	San Francisco & Los Angeles should be putting in desalination plants. We need the water	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general	
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Don't make this once lush and green valley worse, Modesto is already a dust bow!! I am voting no on this ballot issue and encouraging others to do the same.  I am against this plan by the State Water Board to redirect water from our rivers to the Delta. We are already struggling to maintain our production of crops and meat for consumption by the whole world. Please listed to the people who know about what is needed for this valley.  You're killing our family business, our beautiful land, and what makes California great. Shame on you.  Farmers need water to grow food. No water=no food. Get your priorities straight.  Stop this insanity, the water is for the farmers the very reason the reservoirs were put in place to begin with.  If you had any sense you would stop the bullet train project that goes nowhere and build a couple of desalination plants with that money.  I feel the wants and needs of the farmers, workers, and communities in the Valley are not being adequately considered with the adoption of these new water regulations. It would be better, for all the involved citizens of the state, if the different factions started meeting together in different teams and different times to try to reach a more equitable approach to this problem.  Sending more water south from northern and central CA rivers is a death sentence for California.  How can the board make such decisions when we have already been reduced to 5% allocations in the federal districts with Shasta at above "normal" storage capacities?	

1 1	to raise food and keep the salinity out of the Delta. Even a blind person can see that.  Stop taking our precious water, just because some lobbyist has done a good job lying to you! We are always facing a drought here in the Valley, and we grow the worlds food!!! Take back the wasted water pouring into the Bay and watering folf courses in S. California.  Stop this illegal water grab. We in the Valley depend on our water supply. This area has been the hardest hit with the draught.	comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.  Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
	Stop taking our precious water, just because some lobbyist has done a good job lying to you! We are always facing a drought here in the Valley, and we grow the worlds food!!! Take back the wasted water pouring into the Bay and watering folf courses in S. California.  Stop this illegal water grab. We in the Valley depend on our water supply. This area has	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
	you! We are always facing a drought here in the Valley, and we grow the worlds food!!!  Take back the wasted water pouring into the Bay and watering folf courses in S. California.  Stop this illegal water grab. We in the Valley depend on our water supply. This area has	comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments, for responses to comments that either make a general comment regarding the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
2	We supply the world with food, and if you take that water, we will not be able to sustain. We are forced to shower every other day, don't flush toilets and let our trees die, just so So. Cal. can have their beautiful green golf courses. So Cal has the money, opportunity and experience with taking that ocean water and cleaning it for potable water, let them do that. Edison provides the SoCal folks.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1	We need to look at more than flushing water to solve all the issues the delta faces. More storage is needed for future generations and our ability to feed ourselves. We are significant and the impacts can be mitigated if we have a comprehensive plan.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1	We need to address predator fish, invasive species, additional water storage and the true economic impact on our community. We are significant and those impacts can be avoided.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1	Whatever the plan turns out to be. It is fatally compromised by the lack of transparency in its making. Clearly the Board has its own agenda, and this will threaten the usefulness of any of its findings.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1	Our farmers need all the water they currently receive.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1	My job depends on Agriculture. We need water to feed people.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1	Are you kidding me? This plan will destroy the most productive farmland on earth. We will end up buying our food from 3rd world countries. Is that what you want? It must be if this plan moves forward.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1		experience with taking that ocean water and cleaning it for potable water, let them do that. Edison provides the SoCal folks.  We need to look at more than flushing water to solve all the issues the delta faces. More storage is needed for future generations and our ability to feed ourselves. We are significant and the impacts can be mitigated if we have a comprehensive plan.  We need to address predator fish, invasive species, additional water storage and the true economic impact on our community. We are significant and those impacts can be avoided.  Whatever the plan turns out to be. It is fatally compromised by the lack of transparency in its making. Clearly the Board has its own agenda, and this will threaten the usefulness of any of its findings.  Our farmers need all the water they currently receive.  My job depends on Agriculture. We need water to feed people.  Are you kidding me? This plan will destroy the most productive farmland on earth. We will end up buying our food from 3rd world countries. Is that what you want? It must be

	Table 4-1. Responses to Comments				
Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response		
2356	1	Valley politicians must represent and voice the people of the valley, their constituents, rather than the conflicting opinions of others. Our representatives need to draft and pass a plan that does not withhold valley water from the valley.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2357	1	This valley and our communities need this water to sustain the well being of our economy. The water that you covet for the salmon provides jobs for those of us who live in this valley and food for people all over the world. I realize that the preservation of all wildlife has a lasting effect on humanity, but you as environmentalist seem to have left out the well being of one species, Homo sapiens. I have grown up and lived in this valley well over 60 years and agriculture has been the economical backbone San Joaquin Valley. This should have priority over salmon!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2358	1	If they choose to live in a big city then they need to figure out how to make it work themselves, not steal all of our water. We are trying to conserve ourselves. Our ranches and farms are much more important than swimming pools and green lawns.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2359	1	The proposed Bay-Delta plan is insanity at its best, and completely disingenuous at its worst. The purported reason for taking up to 40% of the state's stored water from the San Joaquin River watershed (including the Stanislaus, Merced, and Tuolumne Rivers) is for fish preservation. For whatever reason, the fish populations have NOT recovered, despite the insane water policies of the state of California since controlled releases began	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
		in 2009. The REAL reason for the proposed increase in releases is to push back anticipated			
2360	1	Leave our water alone and put it back in our lakes and reservoirs.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2361	1	Stop diverting water from our rivers altogether. This is politics as usual in Sacramento, and it's about time we stop these fools from ruining our State. We need a "Trump Like" candidate to take over our State and govern from a "people first" not "special interest first" position. I oppose the plan to divert more water! I oppose the current plan of diverting any water!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2362	1	Is it any wonder (at all) why the people have SERO trust in our leaders any more. It is sad to see what has happen to this great Country, and its issues like this that make it clear that California is at the front-of-the-bus as our Nation careens off the cliff of hopelessness.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		

		Table 4-1. Response	es to Comments
Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response
2363	1	Desalinization must be done - plants need to be fed.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
2364	1	We need more water. Flushing it through the Delta with no positive result is a waste of resources.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
2365	1	The Central Valley feeds the world. Stop stealing our water.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
2366	1	Please involve local stakeholders in any plan moving forward.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
2366	2	My job and livelihood depends on a strong agricultural economy in California, home of the most efficient farming and processing operations in the World. Keep it here!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
2367	1	Do not take our water for the eastern slope. Let them manage on what they have we do.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
2368	1	Please don't divert our water from our rivers, the farmers need this water to keep growing our crops, and the animals and people need the food we grow.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
2369	1	Do not divert our water, we need it for our crops.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
2370	1	We need to protect our valley and the ag industry that provides so much of the U.S.'s food.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
2371	1	I lived in Owens Valley and saw how destructive taking that valley's water to cater to southern CA's voracious demand for more and more water.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
2372	1	Beverly Hills and LA do not grow food for the nation like Central Valley. Quit thinking you can keep sending so much water to them without dire consequences.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
2373	1	Southern California has already destroyed California's fertile crescent, the Owens Valley, by taking its water and now yet another greedy proposal from the Central Valley that grows	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.

	Table 4-1. Responses to Comments				
Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response		
		food in abundance.			
2374	1	I firmly oppose the State Water Board's plan to divert water for our rivers. It is totally unacceptable.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2374	2	There are hundreds of miles of seashore in California and it is a great source of water for the rest of the state. So utilize it and leave us alone.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2375	1	I agree with Adam Gray, it is vital to save our water in the Central Valley. The money wasted by the regulatory bodies does nothing to help our cities and businesses related to agriculture. It is time to save the people that need the water or we will be looking at a series of ghost towns in the valley.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2376	1	Keep our water in Stanislaus County. Don't drain our Lake Melones, Tulac [sic], and Don Pedro. Save our grown water. Our City, the other supply we have, is grown water.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2376	2	Southern California, try making a deal with a pipeline from Hoover Dam Lake water supply. It's a shorter route, as we need our water for agriculture and the already growing population in the northern California area.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2377	1	I have a well, my only source of water. No city water out here.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2378	1	Watch the Israeli water technology and how they have excess water due to desalination and water recycling. Wait to see if the bill passes to help states with water concerns, which is 10 billion dollars that can be used to help California instead of deleting our water. Israel said if California does nothing they will die. Israel also said that if they can do this so can California. This is life or death!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2379	1	It should not be too difficult to give water to everyone.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2380	1	We need the economic impact from the salmon and smelt that helps our valley. Save the water and help get the valley back to where it should be.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2381	1	When the cost of saving an individual animal should be no more than the value of that individual animal to the ecosystem which it inhabits. Humans need to survive too! The environmental laws are having a devastating impact on humans making them endangered.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general		

	Table 4-1. Responses to Comments				
Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response		
		Change the laws so that the San Joaquin Valley doesn't become extinct.	comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2382	1	Let us divert more water from the California canal during the spring runoff into new holding ponds that can help to mitigate the water being pumped out of our aquifers and can be used as a water source when the Delta water is flowing slower.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2383	1	If you really want to address the problem of declining salmon populations you must first address the nonnative predatory fish population in the Delta and the rivers. You must do this before you decimate the lives of the people in this region by sending that much water down the river.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2384	1	How does the Water Board/the State of California expect us to cope with increasing the minimum way for ag and change in the overtime law for ag. How are we supposed to pay for all this with no water! My livelihood, my family's livelihood, my business relies on water!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2385	1	I oppose southern CA, or any other interest, taking our local Delta water.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2385	2	Central Valley farmers, citizens, and environmental needs are most important. Big business and special interest simply needs to look at the negative impact to what is happening to the Colorado River. Or what San Francisco's Hetch Hetchy impact means to the greater good. Farmers need to learn how to farm smarter, people need to get rid of their pools, lawns, etc., before taking from what is not theirs to take.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2386	1	We at Perez Farms would urge the board to consider the devastating outcome of this proposal on our communities. Drinking water quality, groundwater levels, and economic impact should all be considered. Most families in the communities that will be impacted by this proposal are dependent on the success of agriculture. In this long season of drought, we have diligently worked to minimize the amount of water needed to grow food for our community.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2387	1	At worst, theft of water is a crimeat best it's a clear case of eminent domain. Farmers must be fairly compensated for property confiscated and livelihoods lost.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2388	1	To the State Water Resources Control Board: please consider settlement offers as alternatives to its unreasonable proposal.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		

	Table 4-1. Responses to Comments				
Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response		
2389	1	Stop the tunnels. Keep our water. No water, no farms, no food, no jobs! Stop the bullet train too! We need investing on water reservations!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2390	1	Enough of gouging the valley water for someone's financial gain. We need our water for our farmers and people.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2391	1	Enough of lining the pockets of the rich, with the suffering of the Central Valley's farmers and citizens.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2392	1	We need our water to feed our nation and our families.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2393	1	How can you possibly think you are helping human beings when you take our water away from farmers who grow our food? And that in turn means farm workers have no jobs, no paychecks, and no food for their families. How can you possibly believe you are helping us? This is just plain wrong. Please be honest and do things that help our fruit basket of the world stay productive. How do you expect the farmers to have enough money to even pay their taxes? Shame on you who do these cruel things!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2394	1	Please, these plans will hurt all those who work in agriculture as well as all of us who have our own wells on our home property. Stop and think about the needs of folks who live in the valley. There may be more votes in the Bay Area, but that does not make it fair for them to ignore the valley residents!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2395	1	Please don't let our God-given water go back out to sea. We need our valley's crops for survival.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2396	1	Please consider all the humans who will be hurt, farmers, workers, folks who live here, unable to water their lawns. What is being done for jobs for folks who depend on agriculture work? I fail to see how this is going to help San Joaquin people, we may not have the votes, but that does not mean we should be ignored. Vote for water for the valley, please!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2397	1	Don't steal our water. It's ours to conserve, not to give away!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.		
2398	1	Stop stealing water from the valley! It might come to pass that you won't get any food!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general		

	Table 4-1. Responses to Comments			
Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response	
			comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	
2399	1	The San Joaquin Valley is in a short water supply situation and to use this water to prop up the Delta makes no sense. Southern CA needs to go to desalination for its future water needs. Let's not make another Owens Valley out of northern California.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.	