

**Table 4-1. Responses to Comments**

Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response
1600	1	Please consider promoting an aquaduct to redirect water resulting from eastern hurricanes to Los Angeles and have those residents pay for it. If we can afford oil pipelines, surely we can pay for an aquaduct to send unwanted water to reservoirs in Southern California instead of using our vital Northern California wa	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1601	1	Please find a way to replenish the valley aquifer as well. We watch every winter millions of gallons of water drain to rivers and straight to the ocean and not allowed to let soak into the ground. Take into account that 150 years ago there were no paved roads with curbs and drains. No homes with drains o	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1602	1	The Sustainability Act (AB 1739, SB 1168 and SB 1319) has not taken into effect. Any actions by the SWRCB at this point without informing or explaining to those parties affected is not practicing fiduciary responsibility for the state of California.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1603	1	When is the state going to limit the predatory fish population such as bass?? The valley was historically dry during our productive summer ag months. The people improved things with year round water and now the state takes it away.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1604	1	Stop putting fish before the people who grow our food!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1605	1	Build and/or raise more storage dams!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1606	1	It is hard to believe that anyone would deliver such a devastating blow to one region in favor of another. We have children and grandchildren and I wish you believed that their lives mattered too. You may be discriminating against our children.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1607	1	Better to (1) fight predatory fish, (2) implement habitat improvements, (3) restructure timing of river flows.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1608	1	California needs to revisit the original 1957 California Water Plan which included provisions for more dams in California's North Coast to add more storage for drought years dams. Additionally, the sprawling wealthy coastal cities should be made to foot the bill and build proportional desalination plants to support their population. There must be generational-length planning for water needs, and not reactionary short-sighted planning.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1609	1	Has the proposal determined the effect of higher flows on the water available for farming and treatment for domestic use?  How much water would have been available for farming during the recent or previous droughts?	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1610	1	How can we pass our land and crops to our heirs? With this drastic water reduction, there may be no viable crops left! Health and lives first. You are NOT listening to us. We need water! Are you trying to destroy agriculture? We feed the nation.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1611	1	The Central Valley of California is getting crushed by state and federal regulations, such as the EPA's Waters of the U.S. rule. As the agricultural heartland of America, the Valley	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general

**Table 4-1. Responses to Comments**

Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response
		ensures that the food supply for both the nation and the world remains plentiful, but this is getting harder to do as the industry is conti [comment submitted incomplete]	comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1612	1	Much more transparency and input should be given locally, so the heavy hand of the State will not come crashing down on our water and our future! Water is life. Our lives should not be controlled by those who would steal our water.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1613	1	The agriculture industry is a major part of our economy in the valley. If you remove its key asset, water, then you will devastate the industry which is already suffering due to the past few years of drought. If you kill agriculture, you kill the economy, if you kill the economy, you kill jobs and state revenue.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1614	1	I think it's important to understand that these policies are actually hurting the environment and the fish they are claiming to protect. By pulsing out billions of gallons of water last year these policies caused a 75% decline in the very trout they were claiming it would benefit. No trout went to sea and [comment submitted incomplete]	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1615	1	Why must we go without water so the south can have more? Why can't we build several small fish hatcheries on ALL our rivers and "truck" the fish west to improve survival rate? Why are we not building "Ocean" solar desalination island and pumping the water back up to our "mountain" reservoirs? Why can't the farmers h [comment submitted incomplete]	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1616	1	It's truly sad that or great state is so obsessed with regulating itself into its own demise. How can you put fish in front of humans?	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1617	1	This is going to have a very negative impact on not just our valley, but on agriculture. I'm sure you're aware that we feed almost all of the world. This will greatly affect our economy. I beg you to reconsider this. Make our country great again by stopping this ridiculous idea.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1618	1	The State Water Board (aided and abetted by Congress) have already adequately demonstrated that they value marine life conservation above human preservation by depriving valley farmers of the resources needed to feed a large part of the US population. Ironically, while destroying valley crop farming, they have yet [comment submitted incomplete]	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1619	1	If the State was serious about increasing the amount of water flowing through the Tuolumne River they would restore the Hetch Hetchy waster back into the Tuolumne River where it belongs, instead of unnaturally bypassing the river for the benefit of San Francisco.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1620	1	I feel that this proposal is being pushed forward without any scientific information to support it. Yes there is a fish decline but it can not be justified that the water flows are what is causing the problem. What did the fish do when there were no dams and the rivers stopped flowing with cold temps in the summers t [comment cut off].	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1621	1	Not only will agricultural workers and farmers be affected but grocery bills for the average person will skyrocket! No local produce means imported vegetables, possibly grown using banned pesticides. This will cost consumers \$\$\$\$\$\$ [sic] and possibly their health!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.

**Table 4-1. Responses to Comments**

Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response
1622	1	The board needs to focus more on our city's citizens versus our so called "endangered" fish.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1623	1	If approved the Waterboard will be responsible for the devastation of our region. This not only affects the farming community but also the urban people. Their pool of jobs will be lessened and their power bills will go up. The elderly and poor will be negatively affected.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1624	1	We employ 20 people year round and 40 more people seasonally for about 5 months in harvesting crops, plus we grow almonds. If you reduce water flows and the crops don't have enough water we will be out of business along with all our employees. All the businesses that we buy from to support and run our business w [comment cut off]	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1625	1	Agriculture, not sport-fishing is the backbone of California's economy. Please reconsider increasing water flows! The human and economic impact Statewide, Nationwide & Worldwide would be huge. Don't take anymore of the water from our rivers and reservoirs.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1626	1	Following prime agricultural land should be a national security issue. Being dependent on foreign sources for such a basic need would put us in a dangerous position. On top of that, increased foreign sourcing quite likely will not face the stringent environmental protection offered by California. In essence, w	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1627	1	You really need to let farmers have water too. Agriculture is absolutely necessary for our survival--that's you also State Water Board!!!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1628	1	The water farmers use grows food for this country and the world. Fish should not take priority over that great responsibility. Farmers are stewards of the environment. My family has farmed the same land for nearly a century. We will and must fight this effort to take away our resources and put fish before humans.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1629	1	central valley is already dealing with drought and less water means no agriculture, more expensive produce and more other problems.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1630	1	I find this to be deplorable. This does not leave a legacy for our grand children it puts all the burden on our poor. We need common sense judgment that values human life first.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1631	1	Opening up the dam and letting more water out is NOT going to help the fish much at all. The problem to focus on is the predators that have been added to the situation. Meanwhile, letting water out of our reservoirs will cause TREMENDOUS TROUBLE for those who live here. . . How would Environmentalists like to have their	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1632	1	There is no one who lives in the affected area Who will not be harmed by this proposal. Without taking care of the predation problem it will do very little to help the fish. It will do great harm to people who live in this region. It is a disservice to both the people of this area and to the fish you are trying to protect.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1633	1	This is an evil plan that will actually destroy our valley, our way of life, our families and destroy the nation's food production. Please consider the following FACTS from USGS: More than 250 different crops are grown in the Central Valley with an estimated value of \$17	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.

**Table 4-1. Responses to Comments**

Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response
		billion per year Approximately 75% of the	
1634	1	We do not have enough water as it is. What about the fish at Don Pedro? Save the fish at Don Pedro!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1635	1	Stop stealing our farmers water. Central and Northern CA farmers feed our Nation.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1635	2	If you were really concerned about the Salmon you would cut back in the Bass?	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1636	1	CDFG need to be part of the solution. Return the striped bass limit and size restriction, 3 fish and 12 inches (back to previous levels, mid 70s when fishery decline was due to pollution and bad water quality which is much improved due to local water coalition work and more industrial pollution control). Or propose a	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1637	1	What does the State think is going to happen to CA agriculture with no water??? Not only are food supplies going to suffer, but ag is super important to the CA economy!!!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1638	1	If it's the fish (Salmon & Trout) you're trying to save, get rid of the Bass Fish that were introduced to our waters many years ago and are not a native fish; "Tuolumne River: 93 percent of juvenile salmon consumed by predators!", "There is a disconnect between the Water Board's theory about what might help the Delta [Note: comment is shown as submitted]	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1639	1	You are more valuable than fish - people first.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1640	1	I'm an almond farmer 22 years 11.4 acres, a single women, purchase this place myself and now I'm a senior, handicapped. I need my almonds for income. Water Wells are expensive to install. If I don't receive enough canal water my trees will die. If that happens I will lose my property and income. I can't afford to [Note: comment is shown as submitted]	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1641	1	Stop stealing our water. We need it for agriculture. No water, no food.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1643	1	I was not here at the time but I remember hearing something about the dams being put in for irrigation.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1644	1	I oppose the Bay-Delta plan because it ignores the needs of farmers and consumers, and prioritizes animals above humans.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1644	2	For years there have been calls for more water being repurposed to protect wildlife. Why have none of the solutions worked? It's time to think of a common sense solution, like controlling predators.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.

**Table 4-1. Responses to Comments**

Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response
1645	1	<p>If MID &amp; TID are working to achieve expectations at the local level, why would you, at the state level, not encourage &amp; support them instead of forcing your plan on them?</p> <p>Accomplishments are almost always more successful when built from the ground up, as opposed to being imposed from the top down. I challenge you, [Note: comment is shown as submitted]</p>	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1646	1	<p>You want the river flows to go back to the 1880's before our Dams on the Merced and Tuolumne Rivers were built without any recognition of what life was like back then. Are you willing to go back to horse and buggies, no cars, no airplanes, no modern medicine, no electrical grid, no computers, no central heating or air conditioning in buildings and homes? The dam on the Tuolumne River was paid for by farmers who watched all the water flowing to the Pacific Ocean go past their non-irrigated fields where they could only grow dry land grain crops depending on rainfall during the winter.</p>	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1646	2	<p>The, 2015 crop report, value of the crops, to the farmers, of Stanislaus County is over \$3,879,330,000 (three billion, eight hundred seventy nine million, three hundred thirty three thousand dollars ) paid to the growers who produced those crops at the farmstead without any value added processing to those amounts.</p>	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1646	3	<p>It comes across as the State Water Board have arrived at the proposed policy and are not open to any other scientific proven alternatives to the fish problem of declining numbers and severely discounted the economic impact to Stanislaus County. Steelhead, thank you California Department of Fish &amp; Wildlife, eat large amounts of salmon fry as they try to swim to the Pacific Ocean. All of the river water flushes have not proven effective to increase the Salmon populations so you seem to think that more will solve the problem. That demonstrates a lack of logic on the Board's part.</p> <p>Is this the way to compensate for the water that will flow through Governor Brown's Twin Tunnels diverting the historic delta flows? Resulting in additional salt water intrusion that would move further east from the San Francisco Bay if the Twin Tunnels are built?</p>	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1646	4	<p>This proposed policy runs counter the ground water recharge which is required by the State of California. Irrigation of farmland percolates to the ground water aquifer which raises the water table.</p>	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1647	1	<p>Please look at a globe and find this valley. It's not that big. This is the most important agriculture area in the world. Please treat it correctly so we can feed the world.</p>	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1648	1	<p>When do people become more important then fish? Please don't do this!!!!</p>	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1649	1	<p>In order to provide for the state and jobs, we need the water. Raise water tax or build more dams.</p>	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1650	1	<p>It takes water away from agriculture thus increases produce prices and reduces the communities ability to fight off another drought. It seems to me the goal of the California Water Board ought to be to keep these reservoirs at maximum capacity and the board</p>	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.

**Table 4-1. Responses to Comments**

Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response
		ought to be creating more desalination.	
1652	1	The scientific study for this proposal should also include the impact of reduced agricultural output not only on this region but all of California, the United States and even the rest of the world.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1653	1	Not another Owens Valley!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1654	1	There has to be a better way. This could kill the Central Valley production in the ag sector, which is one of the reasons California is the 6th biggest economy in the world.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1655	1	This regulatory, government-created drought will affect one of the most stable income sectors in this state -agriculture. In rural disadvantaged communities that rely agriculture to survive, this unimpaired flow proposal will cripple them.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1656	1	Food before fish. If you get hungry enough, you will eat every single one of those fish. Keep cutting water to ag and it will happen.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1657	1	As State Water Board members, you should know that people, farms, and jobs are more important than fish. What other solutions have you seriously considered?	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1658	1	The Central Valley feeds the nation. Do not place the preservation of fish/wildlife at a greater importance than agricultural interests. The two can co-exist with equal importance.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1659	1	Choose one million people over 50,000 fish.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1660	1	Agriculture is the staple of all business in the valley. Sending more water down the river will only insure that agriculture stops and we run out of water on lean years. When that happens business will leave and jobs will be lost.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1660	2	There should be more dams built and more water held back for drought years.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1661	1	Growing food is not wasting water. Keep the groundwater reservoirs filled. This is as good as an open air reservoir without the negative effects of killing wildlife.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1662	1	Our valley is a resource that contributes not only to all California residents, but the entire world. If you don't consider a state water plan that supports this resource you will create a negative impact that will ripple throughout the nation.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1663	1	Save our water. With global warming, the ocean is rising anyway and no amount of water is going to keep the ocean's salt water from getting into the delta.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1664	1	Please do not degrade and devalue the community I live in and choose to raise my family in. This is completely unjust to my community in order to upgrade another community. My child lives in this community and has a right to have water for drinking and showers. Do not	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.

**Table 4-1. Responses to Comments**

Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response
		waste taxpayer money on lawyers to [comment cut off].	
1665	1	I invite the State Water Board staff to drive down Highway 99 and have a look for themselves the amount of food that comes from this valley. Please don't turn it into a dust bowl; ruining lives, our economy and our nation's food supply.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1666	1	If this is passed people will lose jobs and food to feed families. Call it what you want: the waters to fill the Governor's tunnels.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1667	1	Dear State Water Board of California : Please hold special consideration for full water access to Central Valley farmers. We know and understand the various environmental and other considerations for withholding or diverting water supplies, especially in a time of drought.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1668	1	The Central Valley is already considered, by the federal government, one of the two most economically-depressed areas in the U.S. Destroying our biggest industry by taking more of our water will vastly increase the stress on the state's social relief efforts and agencies, as unemployment rises drastically.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1669	1	Reducing water for food means increasing prices for shrinking quantities of food and increased burden on the backs of low and limited-income people.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1670	1	Another cost is loss in property value to farmers along the rivers who depend on water from the river to produce food for our growing population. No water, no production, loss land value! Loss of tax base. California has 10% of the nation's population and about of 1/3 of population on subsidiary.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1671	1	The existing water models may no longer hold in the face of climate change. Decisions like this need to be made with the fewest "sacred cows" as possible. Apply the best science around the greatest range of approaches possible to guarantee a long range, sustainable water policy that respects and takes into account [comment cut off].	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1672	1	Please consider the farmers and the impact on the food supply.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1673	1	It is important to maintain the water flow to our families and our farms. This region supplies food to people all around the world. The decision to take water away from the Central Valley affects not just us but those we serve.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1674	1	FISHBIO did a study and this move to reduce the flow of water by the state would only save nine salmon. Nine salmon vs. \$4 billion in damage to the valley and possible damage to the irreplaceable groundwater supply doesn't make sense. So we know this about sending water to L.A. and there are other ways [comment cut off].	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1675	1	Regulators must reconsider how such policies devastatingly impact Mexican-American communities. Unemployment in such communities are already stubbornly high.  Another attempt to change flows based on political science will undoubtedly result in grave unintended consequences for all.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.

**Table 4-1. Responses to Comments**

Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response
1676	1	If you want to eat, our farmers need water. Forget the fish, go to the store and buy it.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1677	1	Please consider compromise in decision-making. We must all share the limited and precious water resources. This is best solved by conservation i.e. groundwater recharging, use of reclaimed water. It is not just regulating water flows. Not Salmon vs agriculture. It is about sharing.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1678	1	Our farmers' ability to feed the state and nation are critical!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1679	1	We further petition the State Water Board to conduct a full California Environmental Quality Act Environmental Impact Report and reject the SED report. Before any changes are made to the conservation objectives in our Bay Delta Plan, a full impact report is required to analyze all water quality, economic, and [comment cut off]	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1680	1	Our food supply is threatened. Do these people want to import their food?!?	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1681	1	Water is a precious commodity and we need an ample supply to grow our crops, provide jobs, have a quality of life that people will want to move to and live in California. We are the bread basket to the world!! No water equals no food and we will be doomed worse than Venezuela with people fighting for groceries.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1682	1	Save our drinking water and agribusiness, which benefits the state and beyond. Stop the political shell games and think of measures which benefit all.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1683	1	We need the water here in the valley for now and for the future for our children and grandchildren and great grandchildren. My great grandparents and grandparents were farmers and I remember how hard it was for them. Please do not take our water.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1684	1	This impacts families that have farmed land for generations, contributes to our economy, and provides jobs. Water belongs to the people and shouldn't be controlled by the few.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1685	1	Please protect the water supply of those that live in the valley. Our farmers need it to feed us all.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1686	1	This proposal is outrageous! Who is more important, non-native fish or people, and resources that give income to those people? Wake up and see the harm this will do to the valley and the state!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1687	1	We the people are fed up with the corruption of system! You are NOT allowed to restrict our water supply and or fine us for using it while selling it to others for more profit!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1688	1	Working toward solutions to the detrimental issues that global warming is causing, such as the drought in California, is the answer. The answer is not to continuously put a Band-Aid on the issues by sending our water elsewhere. The time is now to save our future generations from terrible consequences of our actions today.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.



**Table 4-1. Responses to Comments**

Ltr#	Cmt#	Comment	Response
1689	1	This initiative has nothing to do with fish. It's an obvious water grab. Please stop treating us like we are idiots. It's quite obvious what the Governor is doing: robbing Peter to pay Paul.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1690	1	Need to focus our attention on how we can convert sea water, our biggest water resource, into water that we can use safely for drinking and irrigation. Israel has done it, so why can't we? The rich agricultural land we have here in the Central Valley will suffer, jobs will be lost and we will break off at the San Andreas Fault.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1691	1	Water is a natural resource given to us by God above. It is not something that is for sale but used for food and the needs of the people in our valley. Please understand that our farming communities need this commodity to sustain their families and families around the world.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1692	1	Please comment on the impact this proposal would have on the availability of water for schools in the affected counties. If there are any negative impacts, what is the State's proposal to mitigate these impacts and not cause any financial impact to the County Office of Education and the School Districts?	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1693	1	Please don't redirect our water. MID/TID customers paid for the infrastructure and maintenance of these waterways. Why should it go to Southern California to waste on "beautiful yards"? Keep our water where it belongs, in our reservoirs and in Northern Cal!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1694	1	Thousands of jobs in the Central Valley depend on the dairy and almond industries, including mine. Please don't cripple agriculture.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1695	1	Apparently the basis for the State Water Resources Control Board's proposed actions is not accurate, and the Board's reliance on bad data is not appropriate. At a minimum the Board needs to commission an independent study that takes into consideration all consequences of its proposed actions before unilaterally moving [comment cut off].	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1696	1	Please consider the economic impact of current and future generations of farmers. This nation is able to perform so efficiently because of a safe, abundant, and affordable food supply - much of which comes from the Central Valley of California. With the proposed changes, agriculture and the livelihoods that surround [comment cut off]	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1697	1	Water in the Central Valley is necessary for the production of the food that is grown for our entire country.	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.
1698	1	My job relies on farming and if this goes through. I will be out of business. I will lose my home and I'm not EVEN a farmer!	Please see Master Response 1.1, General Comments for responses to comments that either make a general comment on the plan amendments or do not raise significant environmental issues.