

STREAM INVENTORY REPORT

MINOR CREEK

INTRODUCTION

A stream inventory was conducted during the summer of 1995 on Minor Creek. The inventory was conducted in two parts: habitat inventory and biological inventory. The objective of the habitat inventory was to document the habitat available to anadromous salmonids in Minor Creek. The objective of the biological inventory was to document the presence and distribution of juvenile salmonid species.

The objective of this report is to document the current habitat conditions, and recommend options for the potential enhancement of habitat for chinook salmon, coho salmon and steelhead trout. Recommendations for habitat improvement activities are based upon target habitat values suitable for salmonids in California's North Coast streams.

WATERSHED OVERVIEW

Minor Creek is a tributary to Redwood Creek, located in Humboldt County, California (Map 1). Minor Creek's legal description at the confluence with Redwood Creek is T07N R03E S28. Its location is 40°57'38" north latitude and 123°50'08" west longitude. Minor Creek is a second order stream and has approximately 6.5 miles of blue line stream according to the USGS Lord-Ellis Summit 7.5 minute quadrangle. Minor Creek drains a watershed of approximately 11.6 square miles. Elevations range from about 730 feet at the mouth of the creek to 2800 feet in the headwater areas. Redwood/Douglas fir mixed conifer forest dominates the watershed. The watershed is primarily privately owned with some Six Rivers national forest and is managed for timber production. Vehicle access exists via State Route 299.

METHODS


The habitat inventory conducted in Minor Creek follows the methodology presented in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual* (Flosi and Reynolds, 1991 rev. 1994). The Northwest Emergency Assistance Program (NEAP) Members and California Conservation Corps (CCC) Technical Advisors that conducted the inventory were trained in standardized habitat inventory methods by the California Department of Fish and Game (DFG). This inventory was conducted by a two-person team.

MINUK CREEK (HUMBOLDT CO.)

T7N R3E S28

40°N 57' 38" 123°W 50' 08"

QUAD: LORD-ELLIS SUMMIT



Minor Creek

HABITAT INVENTORY COMPONENTS

A standardized habitat inventory form has been developed for use in California stream surveys and can be found in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. This form was used in Minor Creek to record measurements and observations. There are nine components to the inventory form.

1. Flow:

Flow is measured in cubic feet per second (cfs) at the bottom of the stream survey reach using standard flow measuring equipment, if available. In some cases flows are estimated.

2. Channel Type:

Channel typing is conducted according to the classification system developed and revised by David Rosgen (1985 rev. 1994). This methodology is described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Channel typing is conducted simultaneously with habitat typing and follows a standard form to record measurements and observations. There are five measured parameters used to determine channel type: 1) water slope gradient, 2) entrenchment, 3) width/depth ratio, 4) substrate composition, and 5) sinuosity.

3. Temperatures:

Both water and air temperatures are measured and recorded at every tenth habitat unit. The time of the measurement is also recorded. Both temperatures are taken in degrees Fahrenheit at the middle of the habitat unit and within one foot of the water surface.

4. Habitat Type:

Habitat typing uses the 24 habitat classification types defined by McCain and others (1988). Habitat units are numbered sequentially and assigned a type identification number selected from a standard list of 24 habitat types. Dewatered units are labeled "dry". Minor Creek habitat typing used standard basin level measurement criteria. These parameters require that the minimum length of a described habitat unit must be equal to or greater than the stream's mean wetted width. Channel dimensions were measured using hip chains, range finders, tape measures, and stadia rods. Pool tail crest depth at each pool unit was measured in the thalweg. All measurements were in feet to the nearest tenth.

5. Embeddedness:

The depth of embeddedness of the cobbles in pool tail-out reaches is measured by the percent of the cobble that is surrounded or buried by fine sediment. In Minor Creek, embeddedness

Minor Creek

was ocularly estimated. The values were recorded using the following ranges: 0 - 25% (value 1), 26 - 50% (value 2), 51 - 75% (value 3) and 76 - 100% (value 4). Additionally, a value of 5 was assigned to tail-outs deemed unsuited for spawning due to inappropriate substrate particle size, having a bedrock tail-out, or other considerations.

6. Shelter Rating:

Instream shelter is composed of those elements within a stream channel that provide salmonids protection from predation, reduce water velocities so fish can rest and conserve energy, and allow separation of territorial units to reduce density related competition. The shelter rating is calculated for each fully-described habitat unit by multiplying shelter value and percent cover. Using an overhead view, a quantitative estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered is made. All cover is then classified according to a list of nine cover types. In Minor Creek, a standard qualitative shelter value of 0 (none), 1 (low), 2 (medium), or 3 (high) was assigned according to the complexity of the cover. Thus, shelter ratings can range from 0-300 and are expressed as mean values by habitat types within a stream.

7. Substrate Composition:

Substrate composition ranges from silt/clay sized particles to boulders and bedrock elements. In all habitat units, dominant and sub-dominant substrate elements were ocularly estimated using a list of seven size classes and recorded as a one and two respectively.

8. Canopy:

Stream canopy density was estimated using modified handheld spherical densimeters as described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Canopy density relates to the amount of stream shaded from the sun. In Minor Creek, an estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered by canopy was made from the center of approximately every unit. In addition, the area of canopy was estimated ocularly into percentages of coniferous or deciduous trees.

9. Bank Composition and Vegetation:

Bank composition elements range from bedrock to bare soil. However, the stream banks are usually covered with grass, brush, or trees. These factors influence the ability of stream banks to withstand winter flows. In Minor Creek, the dominant composition type and the dominant vegetation type of both the right and left banks for each unit were selected from the habitat inventory form. Additionally, the percent of each bank covered by vegetation was estimated and recorded.

Minor Creek

BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY

Biological sampling during stream inventory is used to determine fish species and their distribution in the stream. In Minor Creek fish presence was observed from the stream banks, and four sites were electrofished using a Smith-Root Model 12 electrofisher. These sampling techniques are discussed in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*.

DATA ANALYSIS

Data from the habitat inventory form are entered into Habitat, a dBASE 4.2 data entry program developed by Tim Curtis, Inland Fisheries Division, California Department of Fish and Game. This program processes and summarizes the data, and produces the following six tables:

- Riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types
- Habitat types and measured parameters
- Pool types
- Maximum pool depths by habitat types
- Dominant substrates by habitat types
- Mean percent shelter by habitat types

Graphics are produced from the tables using Quattro Pro. Graphics developed for Minor Creek include:

- Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by percent occurrence
- Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by total length
- Total habitat types by percent occurrence
- Pool types by percent occurrence
- Total pools by maximum depths
- Embeddedness
- Pool cover by cover type
- Dominant substrate in low gradient riffles
- Percent canopy
- Bank composition by composition type
- Bank vegetation by vegetation type

Minor Creek

HABITAT INVENTORY RESULTS

* ALL TABLES AND GRAPHS ARE LOCATED AT THE END OF THE REPORT *

The habitat inventory of July 19-20, 26-27, 31, and August 1-3, 1995, was conducted by Nancy Pearson, Phil Reig and Dave Allen (NEAP). The total length of the stream surveyed was 14,046 feet with an additional 666 feet of side channel.

Flows were not measured on Minor Creek.

Minor Creek is an F4 channel type for the first 2,245 feet of stream reach surveyed. F4 channels are entrenched, meandering, riffle/pool channels on low gradients with high width/depth ratios and gravel-dominant substrates.

The next 2,018 feet is a B3 channel type. B3 channel types are moderately entrenched, moderate gradient, riffle dominated channels with infrequently spaced pools, very stable plan and profile, stable banks and are cobble dominant.

The next 522 feet is an A2 channel type. A2 channel types are steep, narrow, cascading step-pool streams with high energy/debris transport associated with depositional soils and are boulder dominant.

The next 1,041 feet returns to an F4 channel type. The next 268 feet returns to a B3 channel type.

The next 6,921 feet is an F3 channel type. F3 channel types are entrenched meandering riffle/pool channels on low gradients with high width/depth ratio and are cobble dominant.

The next 840 feet returns to a B3 channel type. The last 191 feet returns to an A2 channel type.

Water temperatures taken during the survey period ranged from 57 to 66 degrees Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 65 to 86 degrees Fahrenheit.

Table 1 summarizes the Level II riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types. Based on frequency of **occurrence** there were 31% riffle units, 45% flatwater units, and 25% pool units (Graph 1). Based on total **length** of Level II habitat types there were 26% riffle units, 53% flatwater units, and 21% pool units (Graph 2).

Minor Creek

Fifteen Level IV habitat types were identified (Table 2). The most frequent habitat types by percent occurrence were high gradient riffles, 18%; step runs, 15%; and pocket water, 14% (Graph 3). Based on percent total length, step runs made up 21%, runs 14%, and high gradient riffles 13%.

A total of 63 pools were identified (Table 3). Scour pools were most frequently encountered at 75% and comprised 71% of the total length of all pools (Graph 4).

Table 4 is a summary of maximum pool depths by pool habitat types. Pool quality for salmonids increases with depth. Forty-nine of the 63 pools (78%) had a depth of two feet or greater (Graph 5).

The depth of cobble embeddedness was estimated at pool tail-outs. Of the 63 pool tail-outs measured, 24 had a value of 1 (38%); 35 had a value of 2 (56%); 4 had a value of 3 (6%); none had a value of 4 or 5 (Graph 6). On this scale, a value of 1 indicates the highest quality of spawning substrate.

A shelter rating was calculated for each habitat unit and expressed as a mean value for each habitat type within the survey using a scale of 0-300. Riffle habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 17, flatwater habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 14, and pool habitats had a mean shelter rating of 20 (Table 1). Of the pool types, the main channel pools had the highest mean shelter rating at 28. Scour pools had a mean shelter rating of 18 (Table 3).

Table 5 summarizes mean percent cover by habitat type. Boulders are the dominant cover type in Minor Creek. Large woody debris are lacking in nearly all habitat types. Graph 7 describes the pool cover in Minor Creek.

Table 6 summarizes the dominant substrate by habitat type. Small cobble was the dominant substrate observed in 13 of the 25 low gradient riffles measured (52%). Large cobble was the next most frequently observed dominant substrate type and occurred in 40% of the low gradient riffles (Graph 8).

The mean percent canopy density for the stream reach surveyed was 89%. The mean percentages of deciduous and coniferous trees were 97% and 3%, respectively. Graph 9 describes the canopy in Minor Creek.

For the stream reach surveyed, the mean percent right bank vegetated was 71.3%. The mean percent left bank vegetated was 72.0%. The dominant elements composing the structure of the stream banks consisted of 2.2% bedrock, 27.3% boulder, 16.7% cobble/gravel, and 53.9% sand/silt/clay (Graph 10). Deciduous trees were the dominant vegetation type observed in 66.7% of the units surveyed. Additionally, .4% had coniferous trees as the dominant vegetation, including down trees, logs, and root wads (Graph 11).

Minor Creek

BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY RESULTS

Four sites were electrofished on October 3, 1995, in Minor Creek. The sites were sampled by Chris Coyle (CCC) and Mike Delvin (PCFWWRA).

The first site included habitat unit 17, a lateral scour pool boulder - formed pool located approximately 965 feet above the creek mouth. This site had an area of 1,098 sq ft and a volume of 1,318 cu ft. The site yielded three coho, eleven steelhead, a Pacific giant salamander and an unidentified frog.

The second site sampled included habitat units 48 through 50, a corner pool and pocket water, approximately 2,670 feet from the confluence with Redwood Creek. This site had an area of 2,474 sq ft and a volume of 3260 cu ft. The site yielded one coho, and nineteen steelhead.

The third site sampled included habitat unit 077, a corner pool located approximately 4,181 feet above the creek mouth. The site had an area of 1,482 sq ft and a volume of 2,668 cu ft. The site yielded twenty-one steelhead.

The fourth site sampled included habitat units 130 and 131, two lateral scour pools, one root wad enhanced and one boulder formed, located approximately 7,239 feet above the creek mouth. The site had an area of 545 sq ft and a volume of 491 cu ft. The site yielded three steelhead.

DISCUSSION

Minor Creek is a F4 channel type for the first 2,245 feet of stream surveyed. The suitability of F4 channel types for fish habitat improvement structures is as follows: F4 channel types are good for bank-placed boulders; fair for low-stage weirs, single and opposing wing deflectors, channel constrictors, and log cover; and poor for medium-stage weirs and boulder clusters.

Minor Creek is a B3 channel type for the next 2,018 feet of the stream surveyed. The suitability of F4 channel types for fish habitat improvement structures is as follows: B3 channel types are excellent for low-stage plunge weirs, boulder clusters and bank placed boulders, single and opposing wing deflectors and log cover; and good for medium-stage plunge weirs.

Minor Creek is an A2 channel type for the next 522 feet of the stream surveyed. A2 channel types are generally not suitable for fish habitat improvement structures.

Minor Creek

Minor Creek returns to a F4 channel type for the next 1,041 feet of the stream surveyed.
Minor Creek returns to a B3 channel type for the next 268 feet of the stream surveyed.

Minor Creek is a F3 channel type for the next 6,921 feet of the stream surveyed. F3 channel types are good for bank-placed boulders, single and opposing wing deflectors; fair for low-stage weirs, boulder clusters, channel constrictors and log cover; and poor for medium-stage weirs.

Minor Creek returns to a B3 channel type for the next 840 feet of the stream surveyed.
Minor Creek returns to an A2 channel type for the last 191 feet of the stream surveyed.

The water temperatures recorded on the survey days July 19-20, 26-27, 31, and August 1-3, 1995, ranged from 57 to 66 degrees Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 65 to 86 degrees Fahrenheit. Minor Creek seems to have temperatures favorable to salmonids. To make any further conclusions, temperatures would need to be monitored throughout the warm summer months, and more extensive biological sampling would need to be conducted.

Flatwater habitat types comprised 53% of the total **length** of this survey, riffles 26%, and pools 21%. The pools are relatively deep, with 49 of the 63 (78%) pools having a maximum depth greater than 2 feet. In general, pool enhancement projects are considered when primary pools comprise less than 40% of the length of total stream habitat. In first and second order streams, a primary pool is defined to have a maximum depth of at least two feet, occupy at least half the width of the low flow channel, and be as long as the low flow channel width. Installing structures that will increase or deepen pool habitat is recommended for locations where their installation will not be threatened by high stream energy.

Four of the 63 pool tail-outs measured had embeddedness ratings of 3, 4 or 5. Twenty-four had a 1 rating. Cobble embeddedness measured to be 25% or less, a rating of 1, is considered to indicate good quality spawning substrate for salmon and steelhead.

The mean shelter rating for pools was low with a rating of 20. The shelter rating in the flatwater habitats was slightly lower at 14. A pool shelter rating of approximately 100 is desirable. The relatively small amount of cover that now exists is being provided primarily by boulders in all habitat types. Additionally, white water contributes a small amount. Log and root wad cover structures in the pool and flatwater habitats are needed to improve both summer and winter salmonid habitat. Log cover structure provides rearing fry with protection from predation, rest from water velocity, and also divides territorial units to reduce density related competition.

Fifteen of the 25 low gradient riffles measured had gravel or small cobble as the dominant substrate. This is generally considered good for spawning salmonids.

Minor Creek

The mean percent canopy density for the stream was 89%. This is a relatively high percentage of canopy. In general, revegetation projects are considered when canopy density is less than 80%.

The percentage of right and left bank covered with vegetation was moderate at 71.3% and 72%, respectively. In areas of stream bank erosion or where bank vegetation is not at acceptable levels, planting endemic species of coniferous and deciduous trees, in conjunction with bank stabilization, is recommended.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Minor Creek should be managed as an anadromous, natural production stream.
- 2) The limited water temperature data available suggest that maximum temperatures are within the acceptable range for juvenile salmonids. To establish more complete and meaningful temperature regime information, 24-hour monitoring during the July and August temperature extreme period should be performed for 3 to 5 years.
- 3) Where feasible, design and engineer pool enhancement structures to increase the number of pools. This must be done where the banks are stable or in conjunction with stream bank armor to prevent erosion.
- 4) Increase woody cover in the pools and flatwater habitat units. Most of the existing cover is from boulders. Adding high quality complexity with woody cover is desirable.
- 5) Inventory and map sources of stream bank erosion and prioritize them according to present and potential sediment yield. Identified sites should then be treated to reduce the amount of fine sediments entering the stream.
- 6) Due to the high gradient of the A3 channel comprising the third stream reach, approximately 4,263 feet from the confluence, access for migrating salmonids is an ongoing potential problem. Good water temperature and flow regimes exist in the stream and it offers good conditions for rearing fish. Fish passage should be monitored and improved where possible.

COMMENTS AND LANDMARKS

The following landmarks and possible problem sites were noted. All distances are approximate and taken from the beginning of the survey reach.

Minor Creek

0'	Begin survey at confluence with Redwood Creek. Channel type is F4.
116'	Concrete bridge.
965'	Log truck bridge. First electrofishing site.
2,245'	Beginning of stream reach 2, channel type is an B3.
2,334'	Small log jam.
2,670'	Second electrofishing site.
3,484'	Log jam on the right bank.
3,721'	Four foot plunge.
3,860'	Dry right bank tributary.
3,930'	Left bank greywacke slide.
4,181'	Third electrofishing site.
4,263'	Beginning of stream reach 3, channel type is an A2.
4,308'	500 gallon fuel tank 15' up on left bank.
4,425'	Water passes under two large boulders for 15'. Four foot boulder plunge.
4,785'	Beginning of stream reach 4, channel type is an F4.
4,833'	Culvert in water creating plunge, dammed with gravel.
4,937'	Clay slide on left bank.
4,993'	Old landing on left bank. Left bank slide 100' high.
5,826'	Beginning of stream reach 5, channel type is an B3.
6,094'	Beginning of stream reach 6, channel type is an F3.
6,559'	Left bank tributary.

Minor Creek

- 6,708' Left bank tributary. Log road on left bank.
- 7,103' Left bank spring creating a side channel, 180' long X 3' wide.
- 7,239' Fourth electrofishing site.
- 12,991' Gradient increases to 6%.
- 13,015' Beginning of stream reach 7, channel type is an B3.
- 13,278' Right bank spring.
- 13,611' Right bank rock slide.
- 13,855' Beginning of stream reach 8, channel type is an A2.
- 13,928' Right bank tributary, trickle.
- 14,046' End of survey. Sixty foot rise in 100' with massive a boulder cascade.

REFERENCES

Flosi, G., and F. Reynolds. 1994. California salmonid stream habitat restoration manual, 2nd edition. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California.

LEVEL III and LEVEL IV HABITAT TYPE KEY

HABITAT TYPE	LETTER	NUMBER
RIFFLE		
Low Gradient Riffle	[LGR]	1.1
High Gradient Riffle	[HGR]	1.2
CASCADE		
Cascade	[CAS]	2.1
Bedrock Sheet	[BRS]	2.2
FLATWATER		
Pocket Water	[POW]	3.1
Glide	[GLD]	3.2
Run	[RUN]	3.3
Step Run	[SRN]	3.4
Edgewater	[EDW]	3.5
MAIN CHANNEL POOLS		
Trench Pool	[TRP]	4.1
Mid-Channel Pool	[MCP]	4.2
Channel Confluence Pool	[CCP]	4.3
Step Pool	[STP]	4.4
SCOUR POOLS		
Corner Pool	[CRP]	5.1
Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced	[LSL]	5.2
Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced	[LSR]	5.3
Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed	[LSBk]	5.4
Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed	[LSBo]	5.5
Plunge Pool	[PLP]	5.6
BACKWATER POOLS		
Secondary Channel Pool	[SCP]	6.1
Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed	[BPB]	6.2
Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed	[BPR]	6.3
Backwater Pool - Log Formed	[BPL]	6.4
Dammed Pool	[DPL]	6.5

MINOR CREEK

Drainage: REDWOOD CREEK

Table 1 - SUMMARY OF RIFFLE, FLATWATER, AND POOL HABITAT TYPES

Survey Dates: 07/19/95 to 08/02/95

Confluence Location: QUAD: LORDELLIS LEGAL DESCRIPTION: T07NR03ES28 LATITUDE: 40°57'38" LONGITUDE: 123°50'30"

HABITAT UNITS	UNITS FULLY MEASURED	HABITAT TYPE	HABITAT PERCENT OCCURRENCE	MEAN LENGTH (ft.)	TOTAL LENGTH (ft.)	PERCENT TOTAL LENGTH	MEAN WIDTH (ft.)	MEAN DEPTH (ft.)	MEAN AREA (sq. ft.)	ESTIMATED TOTAL AREA (sq. ft.)	MEAN ESTIMATED VOLUME (cu. ft.)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VOLUME (cu. ft.)	MEAN RESIDUAL POOL VOL (cu. ft.)	MEAN SHELTER RATING
78	78	RIFFLE	31	48	3767	26	16.8	0.4	649	50655	286	22332	0	17
114	114	FLATWATER	45	69	7865	53	18.3	0.7	1054	120132	676	77037	12	14
63	63	POOL	25	49	3080	21	16.6	1.3	758	47776	1034	65122	740	20
TOTAL UNITS	TOTAL UNITS				TOTAL LENGTH (ft.)				TOTAL AREA (sq. ft.)		TOTAL VOL. (cu. ft.)			
255	255				14712				218562		164492			

MINOR CREEK

Drainage: REDWOOD CREEK

Table 2 - SUMMARY OF HABITAT TYPES AND MEASURED PARAMETERS

Survey Dates: 07/19/95 to 08/02/95

Confluence Location: QUAD: LORDELLIS LEGAL DESCRIPTION: T07NR03ES28 LATITUDE: 40°57'38" LONGITUDE: 123°50'30"

HABITAT UNITS	UNITS FULLY MEASURED	HABITAT TYPE	HABITAT OCCURRENCE	MEAN LENGTH	TOTAL LENGTH	TOTAL LENGTH	MEAN WIDTH	MEAN DEPTH	MEAN MAXIMUM DEPTH	MEAN AREA	TOTAL AREA	MEAN VOLUME	TOTAL VOLUME	MEAN RESIDUAL EST. POOL	MEAN SHELTER VOL RATING	MEAN CANOPY
#			%	ft.	ft.	%	ft.	ft.	ft.	sq.ft.	sq.ft.	cu.ft.	cu.ft.	cu.ft.		%
25	25	LGR	10	59	1468	10	16	0.4	1.6	810	20245	303	7570	0	15	91
46	46	HGR	18	43	1977	13	17	0.4	1.9	591	27187	275	12634	0	15	88
7	7	CAS	3	46	322	2	19	0.6	2.2	460	3223	304	2129	0	39	86
35	35	POW	14	55	1924	13	18	0.7	3.8	845	29564	598	20914	0	16	91
13	13	GLD	5	58	750	5	19	0.8	2.8	1040	13519	796	10344	0	9	87
27	27	RUN	11	76	2040	14	17	0.6	2.0	1209	32653	667	18012	0	13	91
39	39	SRN	15	81	3151	21	19	0.7	2.6	1138	44397	712	27768	35	15	91
14	14	STP	5	60	844	6	16	1.0	4.0	724	10138	800	11204	580	28	89
3	3	CRP	1	58	175	1	15	2.1	5.1	836	2507	1697	5092	1336	32	75
1	1	LSL	0	20	20	0	12	1.4	2.8	240	240	336	336	192	30	90
3	3	LSR	1	30	90	1	14	1.1	2.6	413	1239	453	1359	314	20	95
8	8	LSBK	3	47	373	3	18	1.6	6.0	836	6688	1507	12060	1229	16	88
27	27	LSBo	11	48	1290	9	17	1.2	6.0	817	22058	1027	27741	700	16	91
5	5	PLP	2	50	249	2	18	1.3	5.9	876	4378	1361	6803	860	26	89
2	2	DPL	1	20	39	0	14	1.0	2.6	264	528	264	528	149	15	75
TOTAL UNITS	TOTAL UNITS				TOTAL LENGTH (ft.)					AREA (sq.ft)			TOTAL VOL. (cu.ft)			
255	255				14712					218562			164492			

MINOR CREEK

Drainage: REDWOOD CREEK

Table 3 - SUMMARY OF POOL TYPES

Survey Dates: 07/19/95 to 08/02/95

Confluence Location: QUAD: LORDELLIS LEGAL DESCRIPTION: T07NR03ES28 LATITUDE: 40°57'38" LONGITUDE: 123°50'30"

HABITAT UNITS	UNITS FULLY MEASURED	HABITAT TYPE	HABITAT PERCENT OCCURRENCE	MEAN LENGTH (ft.)	TOTAL LENGTH (ft.)	PERCENT TOTAL LENGTH	MEAN WIDTH (ft.)	MEAN DEPTH (ft.)	MEAN AREA (sq.ft.)	TOTAL AREA (sq.ft.)	MEAN VOLUME (cu.ft.)	TOTAL VOLUME (cu.ft.)	MEAN RESIDUAL POOL VOL. (cu.ft.)	MEAN SHELTER RATING
14	14	MAIN	22	60	844	27	15.5	1.0	724	10138	800	11204	580	28
47	47	SCOUR	75	47	2197	71	17.1	1.3	790	37110	1136	53390	812	18
2	2	BACKWATER	3	20	39	1	14.0	1.0	264	528	264	528	149	15
TOTAL UNITS	TOTAL UNITS				TOTAL LENGTH (ft.)				TOTAL AREA (sq.ft.)			TOTAL VOL. (cu.ft.)		
63	63				3080				47776			65123		

MINOR CREEK

Drainage: REDWOOD CREEK

Table 4 - SUMMARY OF MAXIMUM POOL DEPTHS BY POOL HABITAT TYPES

Survey Dates: 07/19/95 to 08/02/95

Confluence Location: QUAD: LORDELLIS LEGAL DESCRIPTION: T07NR03ES28 LATITUDE: 40°57'38" LONGITUDE: 123°50'30"

UNITS MEASURED	HABITAT TYPE	HABITAT PERCENT OCCURRENCE	<1 FOOT		1-<2 FT.		2-<3 FT.		3-<4 FT.		>=4 FEET	
			MAXIMUM DEPTH	PERCENT OCCURRENCE								
14	STP	22	0	0	5	36	4	29	4	29	1	7
3	CRP	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	67	1	33
1	LSL	2	0	0	0	0	1	100	0	0	0	0
3	LSR	5	0	0	1	33	2	67	0	0	0	0
8	LSBk	13	0	0	2	25	3	38	1	13	2	25
27	LSBo	43	0	0	6	22	10	37	8	30	3	11
5	PLP	8	0	0	0	0	2	40	1	20	2	40
2	DPL	3	0	0	0	0	2	100	0	0	0	0

TOTAL

UNITS

63

MINOR CREEK

Drainage: REDWOOD CREEK

Table 5 - SUMMARY OF MEAN PERCENT COVER BY HABITAT TYPE

Survey Dates: 07/19/95 to 08/02/95

Confluence Location: QUAD: LORDELLIS LEGAL DESCRIPTION: T07NR03ES28 LATITUDE: 40°57'38" LONGITUDE: 123°50'30"

UNITS MEASURED	UNITS FULLY MEASURED	HABITAT TYPE	MEAN % UNDERCUT BANKS	MEAN % SWD	MEAN % LWD	MEAN % ROOT MASS	MEAN % TERR. VEGETATION	MEAN % AQUATIC VEGETATION	MEAN % WHITE WATER	MEAN % BOULDERS	MEAN % BEDROCK LEDGES
25	24	LGR	2	14	2	4	18	1	21	39	0
46	45	HGR	2	8	3	3	8	3	25	48	1
7	7	CAS	3	10	0	0	0	3	31	48	6
35	35	POW	5	13	1	5	13	2	11	48	2
13	13	GLD	8	18	2	13	11	0	3	42	2
27	27	RUN	4	16	3	8	12	0	13	42	2
39	38	SRN	2	11	0	1	10	2	25	47	2
14	14	STP	4	11	0	5	12	3	18	29	18
3	3	CRP	7	10	17	7	5	17	8	25	5
1	1	LSL	0	20	30	15	0	0	15	20	0
3	3	LSR	12	15	8	20	5	0	12	28	0
8	8	LSBk	2	5	1	3	13	7	17	44	8
27	27	LSBo	6	12	2	8	16	3	10	35	9
5	5	PLP	10	4	3	1	4	4	16	50	8
2	2	DPL	10	10	0	8	0	13	25	20	15

MINOR CREEK

Drainage: REDWOOD CREEK

Table 6 - SUMMARY OF DOMINANT SUBSTRATES BY HABITAT TYPE


Survey Dates: 07/19/95 to 08/02/95

Confluence Location: QUAD: LORDELLIS LEGAL DESCRIPTION: T07NR03ES28 LATITUDE: 40°57'38" LONGITUDE: 123°50'30"

TOTAL HABITAT UNITS	UNITS FULLY MEASURED	HABITAT TYPE	% TOTAL SILT/CLAY DOMINANT	% TOTAL SAND DOMINANT	% TOTAL GRAVEL DOMINANT	% TOTAL SM COBBLE DOMINANT	% TOTAL LG COBBLE DOMINANT	% TOTAL BOULDER DOMINANT	% TOTAL BEDROCK DOMINANT
25	25	LGR	0	0	8	52	40	0	0
46	46	HGR	0	0	2	20	63	15	0
7	7	CAS	0	0	0	0	0	100	0
35	35	POW	0	0	43	23	29	6	0
13	13	GLD	0	15	38	15	31	0	0
27	27	RUN	0	0	19	33	44	4	0
39	39	SRN	0	0	5	8	82	5	0
14	14	STP	0	7	50	7	21	14	0
3	3	CRP	0	0	67	0	0	33	0
1	1	LSL	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
3	3	LSR	0	0	67	33	0	0	0
8	8	LSBk	0	13	25	13	25	25	0
27	27	LSBo	4	11	41	11	15	19	0
5	5	PLP	0	0	40	0	40	20	0
2	2	DPL	0	0	0	0	50	50	0

MINOR CREEK


HABITAT TYPES BY PERCENT OCCURRENCE



GRAPH 1


MINOR CREEK

HABITAT TYPES BY PERCENT TOTAL LENGTH



MINOR CREEK


HABITAT TYPES BY PERCENT OCCURRENCE



GRAPH 3

MINOR CREEK


POOL HABITAT TYPES BY PERCENT OCCURRENCE



GRAPH 4


MINOR CREEK

MAXIMUM POOL DEPTHS



GRAPH 5


MINOR CREEK PERCENT EMBEDDEDNESS



GRAPH 6

MINOR CREEK


MEAN PERCENT COVER TYPES IN POOLS



GRAPH 7


MINOR CREEK

SUBSTRATE COMPOSITION IN LOW GRADIENT RIFFLES



GRAPH 8


MINOR CREEK PERCENT CANOPY



GRAPH 9

MINOR CREEK


DOMINANT BANK COMPOSITION IN SURVEY REACH



GRAPH 10

MINOR CREEK

DOMINANT BANK VEGETATION IN SURVEY REACH



GRAPH 11