

Regional Water Quality Control Board

CENTRAL VALLEY REGION (5)



SECTION 303 (d) LIST PROPOSALS

Page left blank intentionally.

Region 5: American River, Lower Group A Pesticides

Water Body	American River, Lower
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Group A Pesticides/Tissue/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Group A Pesticides are linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan, WQO for pesticides and toxicity for Group A pesticides. NAS/USFDA tissue criteria.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 11 years (1979-1990) and 2 years later (1997-1999), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	The American River was originally placed on the 303(d) List for Group A Pesticide Concentrations based on fish tissue data reported by the TSMP. The TSMP analysis included all the group A pesticide for 15 fish tissue samples. 3 out of those 15 samples were above 100 ppb. The 15 samples had an average concentration of 56.2 ppb. exceeding the criteria of NAS and USFDA. When only considering Dieldrin and Chlordane concentration the weighted average changes to 55.7 ppb. Therefore Dieldrin and Chlordane account for almost all of the Group A pesticides historically found in fish in the River. Recently fish tissue collected for SRWP, 7 tissue samples were examined for Dieldrin and Chlordane. None of the samples analyzed exceeded the criteria for NAS and USFDA. The WQO is being attained. A direct comparison of the earlier TSMP study and the SRWP study can be made, the recent data show the criteria are not being exceeded.
Spatial representation	In the TSMP studies, fish were collected from the River at Highway 160 and downstream of Watt Ave. In the SRWP studies the fish were collected from the river at Discovery park and J St. The spatial coverage from the two studies overlaps sufficiently so that fish tissue concentration are comparable.
Temporal representation	The data were collected for the TSMP study from 1979-1990, and the SRWP study sampled from 1997-1999.
Data type	Numerical Data.
Use of standard method	TSMP and SRWP methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Urban Runoff/ Storm Sewers.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Delist.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the

Region 5: American River, Lower Group A Pesticides

water body should be removed from the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are not exceeded.

This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:

1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality.
2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage.
3. Beneficial uses have been established.
4. Water quality standard used is applicable.
5. Data are numerical.
6. Standard methods were used.
7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

The new data show that the NAS and USFDA criteria are not being exceeded. The WQO for Group A pesticides for toxicity and pesticides are being attained and no longer need to be listed on the 303(d) List for Group A Pesticide, WQO exceedance. Remove the entire length of the lower American River, Nimbus Dam to the Sacramento River attains WQO for Group A pesticides.

Region 5: Arcade Creek Copper

Water Body	Arcade Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Copper/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Copper linked to Aquatic Life Beneficial Use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	USEPA CTR Freshwater Aquatic Life Criteria for Dissolved Copper, WQO.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 4 years (2/96-5/00), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Copper Concentration Data = 40 samples, 8 exceeded the CCC and 3 exceeded the CMC. They used the USEPA CTR criteria for dissolved copper.
Spatial representation	The USGS and the SWRP combined collected 40 samples from Arcade Creek.
Temporal representation	Data collected by USGS and SWRP from 2/1996 to 5/2000.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	USGS and City of Sacramento methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is</p>

Region 5: Arcade Creek Copper

high. List the entire reach of Arcade Creek from it's headwaters to the Natomas East Main drainage Canal.

Region 5: Avena Drain

Pathogens

Water Body	Avena Drain
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Pathogens/Water/REC-1
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Pathogens linked to REC-1 Beneficial Uses.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	WQO for toxicity, USEPA Criterion.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 4 months (10/2000-1/2001), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	E.coli Data = 14 samples collected from six locations, three locations have Geometric Means, and they all exceeded USEPA criterion for E. coli. 13 of the 14 samples collected exceed the USEPA single sample criterion for E. coli levels.
Spatial representation	Data collected from six locations on Avena Drain.
Temporal representation	Data collected on 5 dates between 10/2000 and 1/2001.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	Delta Keeper Bacteria Data.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Agriculture/Dairies (manure carried in wastewater to Avena Drain).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality</p>

Region 5: Avena Drain Pathogens

standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List for Pathogens, the drain begins on a dairy farm east of Brennan Ave. The upper 6.5 miles of Avena Drain has E. coli. levels in exceedance of USEPA criterion.

Region 5: Avena Drain Ammonia

Water Body	Avena Drain
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Ammonia/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Ammonia linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	CDFG criteria for ammonia levels, WQO.
Water Body-specific Information	Data =10 years (1991- 2001), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Ammonia Data = Over a period of 10 years, all of the samples contained undissociated ammonia levels above CDFG criterion, and all of the samples exceed some to most of the LC50s for various freshwater species.
Spatial representation	The Avena Drain, at Van Allen Rd. and Brennan Ave. 10 of the 12 Dairies located along the drain are located on the upper 6.5 miles.
Temporal representation	Data collected over a period of 10 years, during known discharges of wastewater.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	CDFG methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Agriculture/Dairies (manure carried in wastewater to Avena Drain).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard.</p>

Region 5: Avena Drain Ammonia

The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List for Ammonia, the drain begins on a dairy farm east of Brennan Ave. The upper 6.5 miles of Avena Drain has disassociated ammonia levels in exceedance of CDFG criterion, WQO for Toxicity is being exceeded.

Region 5: Bear Creek

Mercury

Water Body	Bear Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury/Tissue/Fish Consumption
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Mercury linked to Fish Consumption.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	USEPA CTR for Mercury, WQO.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 13 days over two years (4/96 to 2/98), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Water quality data = 19 samples total, 13 samples out of the 19 had concentrations of mercury above USEPA criterion (50 ng/L).
Spatial representation	Four Separate locations were sampled along the creek.
Temporal representation	Data collected on thirteen days between April 1996 and February 1998.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Extraction/Abandoned Mines.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List

Region 5: Bear Creek Mercury

for Mercury in Bear Creek from it's confluence with the unnamed creek that flows along Rathburn Mercury Mine to it's confluence with Cache Creek.

Region 5: Bear River, Lower Diazinon

Water Body	Bear River, Lower
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Diazinon/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Diazinon linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	CDFG criteria for Diazinon levels(acute and chronic), WQO.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 2 years (1994 and 2000), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Diazinon Data = 14 samples total, 3 samples exceeded the CDFG criteria.
Spatial representation	The Data was collected from Berry Road along the River.
Temporal representation	Data was collected over 14 days, 14 times during two years (1994 and 2000).
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	CDFG methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Agriculture (Diazinon Spray used on dormant almond and stonefruit crops).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is</p>

Region 5: Bear River, Lower Diazinon

moderate. List Lower Bear River, Diazinon was shown to be in exceedance of the objectives by using CDFG criteria to determine criterion exceedance.

Region 5: Bear River, Upper Mercury

Water Body	Bear River, Upper
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury/Tissue/Fish Consumption
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Mercury linked to Fish Consumption BU.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	USEPA criteria for Mercury, Human Consumption Levels.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 3 fish in 1 day, Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Mercury Data. Three fish were collected from the River by USGS, tissue had concentrations of 0.38 to 0.43 ppm, all of them exceeding the USEPA mercury criteria of 0.3 ppm. This criteria is used to determine attainment of the narrative toxicity objective.
Spatial representation	All the trophic level 3 fish were collected in the river at Dog Bar Road.
Temporal representation	All the fish were collected on Sept. 23, 1999.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	USGS methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction (abandoned mines).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses have been established for the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard.</p>

Region 5: Bear River, Upper Mercury

The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List for Mercury in the Upper Bear River from the Rollins reservoir to Lake Combie. Data shows the WQO is not being attained.

Region 5: Black Butte Reservoir

Mercury

Water Body	Black Butte Reservoir
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury/Tissue/Fish Consumption
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Mercury linked to Fish consumption.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	USEPA criteria for Mercury, Human Consumption Levels.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 3 days over 1year, Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = There were 65 fish sampled total. 38 composite samples of trophic level 3 fish, 27 composite samples of trophic level 4 fish, ALL of the samples were at or above USEPA mercury criteria, this criteria is used to determine attainment of the narrative toxicity objective.
Spatial representation	Fish collected from three regions of the reservoir, Burris Creek arm, Stony Creek Arm and Angler's cove.
Temporal representation	The samples of 65 fish were collected on 11/25/97, and 12/4-5/97.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	OEHHA methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction (abandoned mines).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses have been established. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Black Butte Reservoir

Mercury

List for Mercury in all of Black Butte Reservoir. All of the composite samples were at or above USEPA criterion, used to determine that the objective is not being attained.

Region 5: Butte Slough

Diazinon

Water Body	Butte Slough
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Diazinon/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Diazinon linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	CDFG criteria for Diazinon levels (acute and chronic), WQO.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 2 years (1994 and 2000), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Diazinon Data = 38 samples total, 20 samples exceeded the chronic CDFG criteria and 18 samples exceeded the acute CDFG criteria.
Spatial representation	Samples were collected at one site only, Lower pass road.
Temporal representation	Samples were collected during two years, 1994 and 2000 during January and February.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	Regional board and USGS study methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Agriculture (Diazinon Spray used on dormant almond and stonefruit crops).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses have been established. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Butte Slough Diazinon

Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 5: Butte Slough

Molinate

Water Body	Butte Slough
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Molinate/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Molinate linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	CDFG criteria for Molinate levels, WQO.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 6 years (1994-2000), Data measured at the site, Species or indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at the site.
Data used to assess water quality	Molinate Data = 99 samples were collected and over six years 7 samples exceeded the CDFG criterion for Molinate. The CDFG criteria was used to determine that the narrative objectives for pesticide and toxicity are not being attained. There is a low confidence in 5 % of the samples exceeding the objective.
Spatial representation	Samples were collected at one site only, Lower pass road.
Temporal representation	99 samples were collected during 1994 to 2000 during May and June.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	CDPR and Regional Board study method.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Agriculture (Molinate Aerial Spray used on rice fields).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the Monitoring List because an inadequate number of measurements exceed water quality standards.

Region 5: Cache Creek

Mercury and Unknown Toxicity

Water Body	Cache Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury and Unknown Toxicity
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Change listing from the total length of 60 miles to 81 miles. Extent of impairment to be changed from 35 miles to 81 miles.
Data used to assess water quality	Foe and Croyle (1998) indicated that the total length of Cache creek is 81 miles.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.

Region 5: Calaveras River, Lower

Organic Enrichment-Low Dissolved Oxygen

Water Body	Calaveras River, Lower
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Organic Enrichment-Low Dissolved Oxygen/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Low Dissolved Oxygen linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan WQO for Dissolved Oxygen.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 2 Years (1996 and 1999-2000), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Dissolved Oxygen Data = 44 samples were collected, and of those samples 18 were below the Objective (5.0 mg/L), showing that the WQO is not being attained.
Spatial representation	Samples were collected at one site in the middle of the Stockton Urban area.
Temporal representation	44 samples were collected over a 2 year period. Samples were taken Oct./Nov. 1996 and from Nov. 1999 -Feb. 2000.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	Delta Keeper data.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers. It is likely this problem is due to pollutants such as nutrients or pollution (low flow or channel morphology of the water body).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Calaveras River, Lower Organic Enrichment-Low Dissolved Oxygen

An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List for Low Dissolved Oxygen in the Lower Calaveras River between Stockton Diversion Channel and the San Joaquin River.

Region 5: Calaveras River, Lower Pathogens

Water Body	Calaveras River, Lower
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Pathogens/Water/REC-1
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Pathogens linked to REC-1 Beneficial uses.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	WQO, USEPA Criterion.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 2 years (2000- 2001), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	E. coli Data = 37 samples collected from two locations, 26 samples from an upstream location have a Geometric Mean, and they all exceeded USEPA criterion for E. coli. The 11 samples collected from the downstream location have a Geometric that doesn't exceed the USEPA criterion for E.coli. However some of the downstream samples individually exceed the CDHS 'single' sample criterion for E. coli levels. The USEPA criteria is used to translate the narrative WQO, and it has been shown that it has been exceeded.
Spatial representation	Two sampling locations exist. One Sampling location is near the mouth of the river and the other is 4 miles upstream.
Temporal representation	The upstream location samples were collected over 10 months, 2000-2001. The downstream location was sampled over 7 months in 2000.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	Delta Keeper data.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Urban Runoff/Recreation.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate.

Region 5: Calaveras River, Lower Pathogens

6. Data are numerical.
7. Standard methods were used.
8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. Both sampling locations are within the urban Stockton Area. The lower 5 miles of Lower Calaveras River are in exceedance of USEPA criterion, WQO is exceeded.

Region 5: Calaveras River, Lower Diazinon

Water Body	Calaveras River, Lower
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Diazinon/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Diazinon linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	CDFG criteria for Diazinon levels(acute and chronic), WQO.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 2 years (1994 and 1996), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	<p>Available data summarized by Lee and Jones-Lee (2001) and data reported in the Department of Pesticide Regulation's Surface Water Database (SWDB-2000) were reviewed. Diazinon data summarized by Lee and Jones-Lee were taken in conjunction with toxicity testing. All four samples collected in 1994 had diazinon levels above CDFG criteria (199 ng/L to 450 ug/L). The samples collected in 1996 had a diazinon concentration of 36 ug/L.</p> <p>The data used from the SWDB were from a report prepared for the city of Stockton's storm water program. Three of six samples collected in 1996 had samples greater than CDFG criteria (130 ng/L, 1,300 ng/L and 1,700 ng/L). Two of the samples (1,300 ng/L and 1,700 ng/L) were taken at two different sites on the same day.</p> <p>Out of a total of 11 data points available, 7 are above CDFG criteria.</p>
Spatial representation	Samples collected from Lower Calaveras River, including two sites in the Stockton urban area.
Temporal representation	11 Samples total, collected during 1994 and 1996.
Data type	Numerical Data.
Use of standard method	CDFG methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers.
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	List the Lower Calaveras River, between the Stockton Diversion Canal and the San Joaquin River.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.

Region 5: Calaveras River, Lower Diazinon

This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:

1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality.
2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage.
3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body.
4. Water quality standard used is applicable.
5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate.
6. Data are numerical.
7. Standard methods were used.
8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List the Lower Calaveras River, between the Stockton Diversion Canal and the San Joaquin River.

Region 5: Camanche Reservoir

Aluminum

Water Body	Camanche Reservoir
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Aluminum/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Aluminum linked to Aquatic Life uses.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	WQO, USEPA NWRAQ criteria for aluminum.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 7 Years, Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = There were 260 samples taken over seven years. Of those samples 18 exceeded the NWRAQ criterion. The NWRAQ was used to determine the narrative objective for toxicity. 1995 data had unusually high TSS values based on the EBMUD data set. Three of 18 the exceedances were during storm events. Since storm events that resulted in the highest observed aluminum levels it is unlikely that the aluminum criteria will be exceeded. There exists a low confidence in 5.7% of the samples exceeding the objective.
Spatial representation	Data collected from 8 locations on Camanche Reservoir.
Temporal representation	Data were collected over 7 years (1993-2000).
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	EBMUD methods for sampling.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction (abandoned mines).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the Monitoring List because the data are inadequate number of measurements exceed water quality standards .

Region 5: Camanche Reservoir

Copper

Water Body	Camanche Reservoir
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Copper
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Camanche Reservoir was included in the 1998 303(d) list as part of the lower Mokelumne River listing for Copper. RB wants to list the Camanche Reservoir separate from the Mokelumne River, as a listing for Copper.
Data used to assess water quality	The original listing was in 1992, the entire lake, Camanche Reservoir is listed for Copper as part of the Mokelumne. RB feels that it should now be listed separate from the original Mokelumne River listing because, it is more appropriate to list reservoirs separate from their downstream drainages, from a watershed management strategy perspective. Rivers and reservoirs have different management strategies.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction/Abandoned Mines.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in listing to include reservoir on list separate from the river.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in listing to include reservoir on list separate from the river.

Region 5: Camanche Reservoir

Zinc

Water Body	Camanche Reservoir
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Zinc
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Camanche Reservoir was included in the 1998 303(d) list as part of the lower Mokelumne River listing for Zinc. RB wants to list the Camanche Reservoir separate from the Mokelumne River, as a listing for Zinc.
Data used to assess water quality	The original listing was in 1992, the entire lake, Camanche Reservoir is listed for Zinc as part of the Mokelumne. RB feels that it should now be listed separate from the original Mokelumne River listing because, it is more appropriate to list reservoirs separate from their downstream drainages, from a watershed management strategy perspective. Rivers and reservoirs have different management strategies.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction/Abandoned Mines.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in listing to include reservoir on list separate from the river.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in listing to include reservoir on list separate from the river.

Region 5: Camp Far West Reservoir

Mercury

Water Body	Camp Far West Reservoir
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury/Tissue/Fish Consumption
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Mercury linked to fish consumption.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan WQO, USEPA criterion for human health consumption levels of mercury.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 12 years (1987 to 1999), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = 36 sampled fish from Trophic level 4. The fish had an average level of mercury of 0.69 ppm, more than double the concentration level criteria of the USEPA which is 0.3 ppm. OEHHA is in the process of developing a state advisory for Placer, Yuba and Nevada Counties, based on this USGS data.
Spatial representation	Sampled 4 targeted areas of the Reservoir.
Temporal representation	Samples were collected during twelve years, 1987 to 1999.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	USGS and TSMP sampling methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction (abandoned mines).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the waterbody. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the

Region 5: Camp Far West Reservoir Mercury

data were considered.

List all of Camp Far West Reservoir (2,002 acres) for Mercury.

Region 5: Clover Creek Fecal Coliform

Water Body	Clover Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Fecal Coliform/Water/REC-1
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Fecal coliform linked to (REC -1) WQO for Bacteria.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	WQO for bacteria, REC 1 objective.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 5 months (June - October 1999), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data was collected and the average levels were above 300 MPN/100ml, exceeding the WQO Geometric Mean levels of 200 MPN/100ml for at least 5 months. The WQO has been exceeded. Many of the samples were above the 30 day basin plan criteria of 400 MPN/100ml.
Spatial representation	Data were collected from the lower reach of Clover Creek (10.5 miles).
Temporal representation	5 Months from 6/1999- 10/1999.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	Hannaford and North State Institute for Sustainable Communities, sampling methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Human and/or Livestock Sources.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is</p>

Region 5: Clover Creek

Fecal Coliform

high. The data have shown that using the WQO criteria there exist exceedances of the WQO for bacteria for REC-1, list the lower 10.5 miles of Clover creek.

Region 5: Colusa Basin Drain

Molinate

Water Body	Colusa Basin Drain
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Molinate/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Molinate linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	CDFG criteria for Molinate levels, WQO.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 6 years (1994-2000), Data measured at the site, Species or indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at the site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = 133 samples, of those 42 (32%) samples were equal or above the CDFG criterion used to determine if the WQO was being exceeded.
Spatial representation	Data were collected in the Colusa Basin Drain.
Temporal representation	Data were collected over 6 years (1994-2000).
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	CDPR methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Agriculture (Molinate Aerial Spray used on rice fields).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses have been established. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.</p>

Region 5: Colusa Basin Drain

Diazinon

Water Body	Colusa Basin Drain
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Diazinon/Water/Aquatic Life.
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Diazinon linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	WQO, CDFG criteria for Diazinon.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 5 years (1994-2000), Data measured at the site, Species or indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at the site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = 56 samples were analyzed for Diazinon, out of those 14 (25%) exceeded the chronic CDFG criterion, and 10 (18%) samples exceeded the CDFG Acute Criterion for Diazinon. The CDFG criterion was used to determine whether the WQO was being attained.
Spatial representation	Data were collected at Road 99E, along the Colusa Basin Drain.
Temporal representation	Data were collected for 5 years from 1994-2000.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	CDFG methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Agriculture.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses have been established. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water</p>

Region 5: Colusa Basin Drain

Diazinon

quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List the entire Colusa Basin drain. The levels of Diazinon are in exceedance of the WQO.

Region 5: Colusa Basin Drain

Azinphos-methyl

Water Body	Colusa Basin Drain
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Azinphos-methyl/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Azinphos-methyl linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	WQO, USEPA criteria for azinphos-methyl.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 3 years (1996-1998), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = 21 samples were analyzed, out of those 6 (28%) of the samples were equal or above the USEPA criteria used to determine the narrative objectives attainment. The majority of the data (15 of 21 sample dates) occurred in 1997. The samples dates in 1997 likely spanned a more representative period than the 1996 (two sample dates) and 1998 (4 sample dates) periods and indicated a significant frequency of exceedance (40% in 1997, 28% over all three years).
Spatial representation	Data were collected at Road 99E, along the Colusa Basin Drain.
Temporal representation	Data were collected over 3 years (1996-1998), at least once a month.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	CDPR method.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Agriculture (Used to control insects on almonds, walnuts and other crops).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses have been established. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used.

Region 5: Colusa Basin Drain

Azinphos-methyl

8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 5: Del Puerto Creek

Diazinon

Water Body	Del Puerto Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Diazinon/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Diazinon linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Narrative WQO for Toxicity and pesticides, CDFG criterion for Diazinon.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 3 Years (1991-1993), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = 30 Samples, of those 10 samples (33%) exceeded the chronic criteria, and 9 of those samples (30%) exceeded the acute criteria of the CDFG. These criteria were used to show exceedance of the WQO.
Spatial representation	Data were collected for the lower section (5 miles) of the creek.
Temporal representation	Data were collected for 3 years from 1991-1993.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	CDPR methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Agriculture.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Del Puerto Creek Diazinon

An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List the lower 5 miles between I-5 and the San Joaquin River.

Region 5: Del Puerto Creek

Chlorpyrifos

Water Body	Del Puerto Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Chlorpyrifos/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Chlorpyrifos linked to Aquatic life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	CDFG criterion Chlorpyrifos levels, WQO.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 3 Years (1991-1993), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = 30 Samples, of those 10 samples (33%) exceeded the chronic criterion, and 10 of those samples (33%) exceeded the acute criterion of CDFG. These criterion were used to show exceedance of the WQO.
Spatial representation	Data were collected for the lower section (5 miles) of the creek.
Temporal representation	Data were collected for 3 years from 1991-1993.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	CDPR methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Agriculture (application on orchards and field crops).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Del Puerto Creek Chlorpyrifos

An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List for Chlorpyrifos, the lower 5 miles between I-5 and the San Joaquin River.

Region 5: Delta Waterways

Chlorpyrifos, DDT, Diazinon, Group A pesticides, Mercury, and EC.

Water Body	Delta Waterways
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Chlorpyrifos, DDT, Diazinon, Group A pesticides, Mercury, and EC.
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Change listing from the total size of 480,000 acres to 48,000 acres.
Data used to assess water quality	The total size of the Delta is 48,000 acres, a misprint occurred in the final 1998 303(d) list. The size should be changed from 480,000 acres to 48,000 acres for Chlorpyrifos, DDT, Diazinon, Group A pesticides, Mercury, and Unknown Toxicity. EC is impaired for 16,000 acres.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.

Region 5: Delta Waterways

Low Dissolved Oxygen, Organic Enrichment

Water Body	Delta Waterways
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Low Dissolved Oxygen, Organic Enrichment/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Change listing from the total size of 480,000 acres to 48,000 acres. Extent of affected area to be changed from a size affected of 75 acres to 1,461 acres.
Data used to assess water quality	The total size of the Delta is 48,000 acres, a misprint occurred in the final 1998 303(d) list. The size should be changed to the true size. The area of the Delta affected by Low Dissolved Oxygen is an area of 1,461 acres. Therefore the total size of the Delta should be changed for Low D.O listing.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	It is likely this problem is due to pollutants such as nutrients or pollution, (low flow or channel morphology of the water body).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.

Region 5: Delta-Mendota Canal (DMC)

Selenium

Water Body	Delta-Mendota Canal (DMC)
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Selenium/Water/Aquatic life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Selenium linked to WARM (warm fresh water habitat) beneficial use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Selenium California Toxics Rule criterion of 5 ppb as a four-day average applies to waters of the U.S. with aquatic life beneficial uses.
Water Body-specific Information	Four years of data from two sites.
Data used to assess water quality	92 data points from sites in the DMC upstream and downstream of agricultural tile drainage sumps. 19 samples were above the criterion.
Spatial representation	Data collected upstream of tile drainage sumps represents DMC from O'Neil Forebay to mile post 100.85. Downstream site represents reach to Mendota Pool.
Temporal representation	Four years of data reviewed.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Ground water inflow and tile drainage discharge.
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses have been established. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.</p>

Region 5: Don Pedro Lake

Mercury

Water Body	Don Pedro Lake
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury/Water/Fish Consumption
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Mercury linked to Fish Consumption.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan WQO, USEPA criterion for human health consumption levels of mercury.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 6 Years (1981-1987), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = 32 Trophic Level 4 fish, the fish sampled had an average 0.54ppm concentration of mercury, clearly exceeding the USEPA criteria of 0.3 ppm. The USEPA criterion was used to determine that the narrative WQO was being exceeded.
Spatial representation	Data were collected from the northern most arms of Don Pedro Lake, (12,960 acres).
Temporal representation	Data were collected from 1981-1987 (6 years).
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	TSMP methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction (abandoned mines).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Don Pedro Lake Mercury

All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard.
The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 5: Dunn Creek

Mercury and Metals

Water Body	Dunn Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury and Metals/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Change listing from the total length of 9 miles to 3 miles. Extent of affected area to be changed from 9 miles to 1 mile.
Data used to assess water quality	Stotton et al. (1996a) and Iovenitti et al. (1989) indicate that the total length of the creek is 3 miles.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction/Abandoned Mines.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.

Region 5: Fall River Sedimentation and Siltation

Water Body	Fall River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sedimentation and Siltation/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Change listing from the impaired length of 25 miles to 9.5 miles.
Data used to assess water quality	Evidence suggests that the upper Fall River is impaired relative to lower Fall River. CRWQCB-CVR 1982, CDWR 1998, NSR and T. Holmes 1997, Tetra Tech 1998, USDA 1983.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in size affected.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in size affected.

Region 5: Five Mile Slough Pathogens

Water Body	Five Mile Slough
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Pathogens/Water/REC-1
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Pathogens linked to REC-1 Beneficial uses.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan WQO.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 10 Months (2000-2001), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = 29 samples were collected and the average levels were above the USEPA bacterial criteria, exceeding the WQO. Some of the Geometric Mean levels also exceeded the single day USEPA criterion.
Spatial representation	Data were collected at two locations, one upstream and one downstream. A total of 29 samples were collected.
Temporal representation	The samples were collected during 10 months, 2000-2001. The upstream location was sampled once each month in April, August 2000 and February 2001.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	DeltaKeeper methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Urban Runoff/Recreation.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Five Mile Slough Pathogens

All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. The bacteria data have shown exceedance for the USEPA criterion and the WQO has been exceeded. List the Five Mile Slough from the head of the slough at Alexandria Place to the confluence with Fourteen Mile Slough.

Region 5: Five Mile Slough

Organic Enrichment-Low Dissolved Oxygen

Water Body	Five Mile Slough
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Organic Enrichment-Low Dissolved Oxygen/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Dissolved Oxygen linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan WQO for Dissolved Oxygen.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 2 Years (1999-2000 and 1996), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = 41 samples of Dissolved Oxygen values, with 24 of those samples falling below the WQO of 5 mg/L .
Spatial representation	Data were collected in the Five Mile slough.
Temporal representation	The Data were collected over 2 years, from 11/99-2/00 and also from 10/96- 11/96.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	DeltaKeeper methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers. It is likely this problem is due to pollutants such as nutrients or pollution (low flow or channel morphology of the water body).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>An adequate of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List</p>

Region 5: Five Mile Slough

Organic Enrichment-Low Dissolved Oxygen

for dissolved oxygen in Five Mile Slough from the Plymouth Rd. bridge to the confluence with Fourteen Mile Slough.

Region 5: French Ravine

Bacteria

Water Body	French Ravine
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Bacteria
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Change listing from the total length of 1 mile to 4 miles.
Data used to assess water quality	French Ravine has a length of 4 miles from it's headwaters to it's confluence with Wolf Creek. Horizons Technology, Inc. 1997.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.

Region 5: Horse Creek

All metals (Cadmium, Copper, Lead, Zinc)

Water Body	Horse Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	All metals (Cadmium, Copper, Lead, Zinc)
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Change listing from the impaired length of 2 miles to 1 mile.
Data used to assess water quality	Water Quality data indicate that metals affect Horse Creek downstream from rising star mine, which is located 1 mile downstream of the headwater. Montoya and Pan (1992) indicate that Horse creek is 2 miles. The listing should start at the mine which is 1 mile downstream. Total size of listing for metals should be 1 mile, not 2.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in size affected.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in size affected.

Region 5: Humbug Creek

Sedimentation and Siltation, Mercury, Copper, and Zinc.

Water Body	Humbug Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Sedimentation and Siltation, Mercury, Copper, and Zinc.
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Change listing extent of impairment from 9 miles to 3 miles.
Data used to assess water quality	Montoya and Pan (1992) indicate that Humbug creek is 9 miles. The listing should start at the Malakoff Diggins mine which is 3 miles upstream of the confluence with the Yuba River. Total size of listing for metals should be in Humbug creek downstream of Malakoff Diggins mine 3 miles, not 9.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction/Abandoned mines.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in size affected.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in size affected.

Region 5: Ingram/Hospital Creek

Chlorpyrifos

Water Body	Ingram/Hospital Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Chlorpyrifos/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Chlorpyrifos linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	CDFG criteria Chlorpyrifos levels, WQO.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 3 years (1991-93), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = 26 samples, out of those 7 samples exceeded the chronic criteria and 7 samples exceeded the acute criterion. The criteria used are the CDFG criterion used to determine if the WQO has been exceeded.
Spatial representation	The samples were collected from the Ingram/Hospital Creek.
Temporal representation	The samples were collected from December to June, for three years.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	CDFG methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Agriculture.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is</p>

Region 5: Ingram/Hospital Creek Chlorpyrifos

high. The data have shown exceedance for the CDFG criterion and hence the WQO has been exceeded. List the Ingram/Hospital Creek from their confluence east of Dairy Rd. to the San Joaquin River.

Region 5: Ingram/Hospital Creek

Diazinon

Water Body	Ingram/Hospital Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Diazinon/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Diazinon linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	WQO, CDFG criteria for Diazinon.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 3 years (1991-1993), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = 32 samples, out of those 16 samples exceeded the chronic criterion and 11 samples exceeded the acute criteria. The criterion used are the CDFG criterion used to determine if the WQO has been exceeded.
Spatial representation	The samples were collected from the Ingram/Hospital Creek.
Temporal representation	The samples were collected over 3 years, with 32 samples total.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	CDFG methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Agriculture.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is</p>

Region 5: Ingram/Hospital Creek Diazinon

high. The data have shown exceedance for the CDFG criterion and the WQO has been exceeded. List the Ingram/Hospital Creek from their confluence east of Dairy Rd. to the San Joaquin River.

Region 5: Jack Slough

Diazinon

Water Body	Jack Slough
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Diazinon/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Diazinon linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	WQO, CDFG criteria for Diazinon.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 2 years (1994 and 2000), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = 19 samples, out of those 19 samples exceeded the chronic criterion and the acute criterion, 19 total of 19 (100%). The criterion used are the CDFG criterion used to determine if the WQO has been exceeded. Some of the samples were 16 times the chronic levels of CDFG water quality criterion.
Spatial representation	The samples were collected from the slough during rain events.
Temporal representation	The samples were collected over 2 years (1994 and 2000), during January and February.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	Regional board and USGS study methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Agriculture (application on orchards and field crops).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the effects of

Region 5: Jack Slough Diazinon

season and age of the data were considered.

All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard.
The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 5: James Creek Nickel and Mercury

Water Body	James Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Nickel and Mercury
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Change listing from the total length of 6 miles to 9 miles. Extent of affected area to be changed from 6 miles to 8.5 mile.
Data used to assess water quality	Buer et al. (1979), Montoya and Pan (1992), USGS (1980, 1987a, 1987b, 1997), indicate that the total length of James Creek is 9 miles. The inflow mine drainage starts 0.5 miles downstream, hence 8.5 miles affected size.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction/Abandoned mines.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.

Region 5: Lake Combie

Mercury

Water Body	Lake Combie
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury/Tissue/Fish Consumption
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Mercury linked to Fish Consumption.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan WQO , USEPA criterion for human health consumption levels of mercury.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 1 Year (1999), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	USGS Data = 9 trophic level 4 fish. They had an average mercury concentration of 0.91ppm, exceeding the 0.3 ppm USEPA criteria. OEHHA is in the process of developing a state advisory for Nevada County based on this data.
Spatial representation	Data was collected from Lake Combie (360 acres).
Temporal representation	The data was collected during one year, 1999.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	USGS methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction (Abandoned mines).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Lake Combie

Mercury

All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard.
The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 5: Lake Englebright Mercury

Water Body	Lake Englebright
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury/Tissue/Fish Consumption
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Mercury linked to Fish Consumption.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan WQO for Toxicity for Mercury, USEPA criterion for human health consumption levels of mercury.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 4 Years (1996-1999), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	USGS and UC Davis Data = 21 trophic level 4 fish and 9 trophic level 3 fish. The level 4 and level 3 fish had an average mercury concentration of 0.55 ppm and 0.51ppm respectively, exceeding the 0.3 ppm USEPA criteria. OEHHA is in the process of developing a state advisory for Nevada County based on this Data.
Spatial representation	Data was collected for fish tissue at three locations on the lake.
Temporal representation	Data was collected between 1994 and 2000.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	USGS and UC Davis methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction (abandoned mines).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Lake Englebright Mercury

All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard.
The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 5: Little Deer Creek

Mercury

Water Body	Little Deer Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury/Tissue/Fish consumption
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Mercury linked to Fish Consumption.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan WQO for Toxicity for Mercury, USEPA criterion for human health consumption levels of mercury.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 1 Year (1999), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	USGS and UC Davis Data = 6 trophic level 3 fish. They had an average mercury concentration of 0.32 ppm, exceeding the 0.3 ppm USEPA criterion. OEHHA is in the process of developing a state advisory for Nevada County based on this data.
Spatial representation	Samples collected in Little Deer Creek at Pioneer park.
Temporal representation	Samples were collected on October 6th, 1999.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	USGS methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction (abandoned mines).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Little Deer Creek

Mercury

All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard.
The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 5: Marsh Creek

Mercury

Water Body	Marsh Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Change listing from the total length of 24 miles to 16.5 miles. Extent of affected area to be changed from all of Marsh Creek, to Marsh Creek from Dunn Creek to Marsh Creek Reservoir.
Data used to assess water quality	The affected length of Marsh Creek for this listing is only the 16.5 miles from Dunn Creek to the Marsh Creek Reservoir.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.

Region 5: Marsh Creek

Metals

Water Body	Marsh Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Metals
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Change listing from the total length of 24 miles to 8.5 miles. Extent of affected area to be changed from all of Marsh Creek to Marsh Creek from Dunn Creek to Marsh Creek Reservoir.
Data used to assess water quality	The affected length of Marsh Creek for this listing is only the 8.5 miles from Dunn Creek to the Marsh Creek Reservoir.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.

Region 5: Mendota Pool Selenium

Water Body	Mendota Pool
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Selenium/Water/WILD
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Selenium linked to WILD (wildlife) beneficial use.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Selenium objective (2 ppb monthly mean) applicable to nearby wetlands used to evaluate impact to wetland habitat associated with Mendota Pool.
Water Body-specific Information	The Mendota Pool includes the San Joaquin River 3 miles upstream of the Mendota Dam and Fresno Slough 8 miles upstream of the Mendota Dam.
Data used to assess water quality	Data from 3 years from the Mendota Pool and 2 years just downstream of the Mendota Pool. Seven of 26 samples from the Mendota Pool and 4 of 20 just downstream of the Pool were greater than 2 ppb.
Spatial representation	Data analyzed is from one site within the Mendota Pool and one site just downstream of the Mendota Pool.
Temporal representation	Samples were collected over a several year period.
Data type	Numeric water column concentration data.
Use of standard method	RWQCB sample collection and analytical protocols for selenium were used.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Ground water pumping into the pool and the source water (Delta-Mendota Canal).
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses have been established for the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Mendota Pool Selenium

An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 5: Middle River

Low Dissolved Oxygen

Water Body	Middle River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Low Dissolved Oxygen/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data comes from real-time sensors operated by the California Department of Water Resources as part of the Interagency Ecological Program.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Dissolved oxygen linked to various aquatic life uses (WARM/COLD/MIGR/SPWN).
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	RWQCB dissolved oxygen water quality objective.
Water Body-specific Information	10 months of data from one site. (January 2001-October 2001).
Data used to assess water quality	22,000 data points. DO analyzed about every 15 minutes. Range 2.7 mg/L to saturation. 4.5 % of samples below 5.0 mg/L. More frequent violations in June & July.
Spatial representation	Data collected from the approximate mid-point of the identified impaired reach. No major inflows in the reach identified.
Temporal representation	One year of 15-minute interval data available for the critical time period (June/July).
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Unknown. It is likely this problem is due to pollutants such as nutrients or pollution (low flow or channel morphology of the water body).
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	List Middle River from the San Joaquin River to the Victoria Canal.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the effects of season and age of the data were considered. <p>An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water</p>

Region 5: Middle River Low Dissolved Oxygen

quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List Middle River from the San Joaquin River to the Victoria Canal.

Region 5: Mokelumne River, Lower Aluminum

Water Body	Mokelumne River, Lower
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Aluminum/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Aluminum linked to WQO for Toxicity and chemical constituents.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	WQO , USEPA NWRAQ and MCL criteria for aluminum.
Water Body-specific Information	<p>The older U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Data = 257 samples collected between 1988 and 1992. 35 samples exceeded the NRWAQ Maximum Criterion, and 24 exceeded the MCL criterion. Regional Board staff evaluated this data in lieu of the older U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service data that was collected prior to the remediation at Penn Mine.</p> <p>Two of the 76 samples were above USEPA national acute criteria for the protection of aquatic life (750 ug/L). The two samples were also above the MCL (1,000 ug/L). The two samples were collected in January 1997 and February 1997 respectively. No samples taken from 1994 to that time or after have been above the aquatic life or MCL criteria. The average concentration of all samples taken since 1994 is 250 ug/L (see EBMUD comment letter).</p>
Data used to assess water quality	<p>The issue addressed is whether the two samples collected were truly outliers (unlikely to occur) or whether the two samples were representative of conditions that may occur again. The significant rainfall that fell during December and January likely triggered the high aluminum levels observed in January and February of 1997. The high and frequent rainfall likely resulted in higher than normal amounts of erosion. In addition, the retention time for water in upstream reservoirs would have been decreased, since higher than normal releases would have been required. The decreased retention time would give less time for suspended sediment, which would be the source of most of the aluminum, to settle.</p> <p>Precipitation data from Camp Pardee, which is located upstream of the Camanche reservoir and the lower Mokelumne River were reviewed. The highest rainfall recorded at Camp Pardee in the last 50 years occurred on January 2, 1997. The frequency of rain-days in December and January 1997 was higher than average (it rained over 51% of the days versus a historic average of 32%) (UC IPM, 2002).</p> <p>Flow records for the Mokelumne River below Camanche Dam were reviewed. The U.S. Geological Survey's historic monthly mean daily flow records (USGS, 2002) indicate that the monthly mean daily flow in January and February 1997 were the highest and third highest, respectively, on record. (97 years).</p> <p>Since the storm events that resulted in the high observed aluminum levels are the most severe on record, it is unlikely that the aluminum criteria will be exceeded. The data set consists of 76 samples from the Camanche</p>

Region 5: Mokelume River, Lower Aluminum

	reservoir, just downstream of the Camanche reservoir since 1994.
Spatial representation	The samples were collected at three locations along the river.
Temporal representation	The samples were collected over 4 years (1988-1992).
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	EBMUD methods for sampling.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction (abandoned mines).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Exclude from Listing.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Exclude from listing. In the review of the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should not be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are not exceeded.

Region 5: Mokelumne River, Lower Copper

Water Body	Mokelumne River, Lower
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Copper
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Mokelumne River was included in the 1998 303(d) list as all of the lower Mokelumne River listing for Copper. RB wants to list the Mokelumne from the Camanche Dam to the Delta, as a listing for Copper.
Data used to assess water quality	The original listing was in 1992, all of lower Mokelumne River was listed for Copper as part of the Mokelumne. RB feels that it should now be listed as Lower Mokelumne River listing from Camanche Dam to Delta because, it is more appropriate to list reservoirs separate from their downstream drainages, from a watershed management strategy perspective. Rivers and reservoirs have different management strategies.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction/Abandoned mines.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in areal extent.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in areal extent.

Region 5: Mokelumne River, Lower Zinc

Water Body	Mokelumne River, Lower
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Zinc
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Mokelumne River was included in the 1998 303(d) list as all of the lower Mokelumne River listing for Zinc. RB wants to list the Mokelumne from the Camanche Dam to the Delta, as a listing for Zinc.
Data used to assess water quality	The original listing was in 1992, all of lower Mokelumne River was listed for Zinc as part of the Mokelumne. RB feels that it should now be listed as Lower Mokelumne River listing from Camanche Dam to Delta because, it is more appropriate to list reservoirs separate from their downstream drainages, from a watershed management strategy perspective. Rivers and reservoirs have different management strategies.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction/Abandoned mines.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in areal extent.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in areal extent.

Region 5: Mormon Slough

Pathogens

Water Body	Mormon Slough
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Pathogens/Water/REC-1
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Pathogens linked to REC-1 beneficial uses.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	CDHS and USEPA criteria.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 10 Months (2000-2001), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data =31 samples with a calculated Geometric mean. The Geometric mean = 1,272 MPN per 100ml, exceeding the 126 per 100 ml USEPA criterion. The WQO has been exceeded.
Spatial representation	The data were collected from Mormon Slough at one sampling location.
Temporal representation	The data were sampled from one location over a ten month period of time (2000-2001).
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	DeltaKeeper methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Urban Runoff/Recreation.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the effects of natural sources, season, storm events, and age of the data were considered. <p>All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard.</p>

Region 5: Mormon Slough Pathogens

The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List the Mormon Slough from the confluence with the Deep Water channel to the confluence with the Stockton Diverting Channel for pathogens. The bacterial data show the WQO is exceeded.

Region 5: Mormon Slough

Organic Enrichment-Low Dissolved Oxygen

Water Body	Mormon Slough
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Organic Enrichment-Low Dissolved Oxygen/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Low Dissolved Oxygen linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan WQO for Dissolved Oxygen.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 2 Years (1999- 2000), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = 30 samples with 27 of those samples falling below the WQO of 5 mg/L.
Spatial representation	The data were collected from Mormon Slough.
Temporal representation	The data were collected over 2 years, from 11/99-2/00.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	DeltaKeeper methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers. It is likely this problem is due to pollutants such as nutrients or pollution (low flow or channel morphology of the water body).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List the Mormon Slough between, Commerce Street and the Stockton Deep</p>

Region 5: Mormon Slough

Organic Enrichment-Low Dissolved Oxygen

Water Channel for Low Dissolved Oxygen. The data clearly shows that the WQO for Dissolved Oxygen are being exceeded.

Region 5: Mosher Slough

Pathogens

Water Body	Mosher Slough
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Pathogens/Water/REC-1
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Pathogens linked to REC-1 Beneficial uses.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	CDHS and USEPA Bacteria criteria.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 10 months (in 2000- 2001), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = 31 samples, 29 of which exceeded the CDHS 30 day criterion for E. coli.
Spatial representation	The data were collected in Mosher Slough.
Temporal representation	The data were collected from May 2000 - February 2001.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	DeltaKeeper methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality</p>

Region 5: Mosher Slough Pathogens

standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. The bacterial data show the WQO is exceeded (REC-1). List the Mosher Slough from Mosher Creek to the confluence with the Bear Creek.

Region 5: Mosher Slough

Low Dissolved Oxygen

Water Body	Mosher Slough
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Low Dissolved Oxygen/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Low Dissolved Oxygen linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan WQO for Dissolved Oxygen.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 2 Years (1996 and 1999- 2000), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = 43 samples of Dissolved Oxygen values, with 19 (44%) of those samples falling below the WQO of 5 mg/L.
Spatial representation	The Dissolved Oxygen data were collected in Mosher Slough.
Temporal representation	The data were collected 11/99 and 2/00, and also in 11/96 and 10/96.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	DeltaKeeper methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Urban Runoff/Storm Drains. It is likely this problem is due to pollutants such as nutrients or pollution (low flow or channel morphology of the water body).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water</p>

Region 5: Mosher Slough

Low Dissolved Oxygen

quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List Mosher Slough from the I-5 bridge to the confluence with Bear Creek.

Region 5: Mosher Slough

Diazinon and Chlorpyrifos

Water Body	Mosher Slough
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Diazinon and Chlorpyrifos
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Change listing from the total length of 3 miles to 5 miles.
Data used to assess water quality	Mosher Slough is 5 miles in length. Horizons Technology, Inc. 1997, DeLorme 1998.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in Total size affected.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in Total size affected.

Region 5: Newman Wasteway

Chlorpyrifos

Water Body	Newman Wasteway
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Chlorpyrifos/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Chlorpyrifos linked to Aquatic life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	CDFG criteria Chlorpyrifos levels, WQO.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 3 years (1991-1993), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data =10 samples, out of those, 2 samples exceeded the chronic criteria and 2 samples exceeded the acute criteria. Data ranged to up to 15 times the criteria levels.
Spatial representation	The data were collected from the Newman Wasteway.
Temporal representation	Data were collected for 3 years from 1991-1993. Sampling between January and April.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	CDFG methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Agriculture.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water</p>

Region 5: Newman Wasteway Chlorpyrifos

quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is moderate. List the entire Wasteway. The data have shown exceedance of the WQO, using CDFG criteria.

Region 5: Newman Wasteway

Diazinon

Water Body	Newman Wasteway
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Diazinon/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Diazinon linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	WQO for Toxicity and Pesticides, CDFG criteria for Diazinon.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 3 years (1991-1993), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data =10 samples, out of those, 4 samples exceeded the chronic criteria and 3 samples exceeded the acute criteria. Data ranged to up to 700 times the criteria levels.
Spatial representation	The data were collected from the Newman Wasteway.
Temporal representation	Data were collected for 3 years (1991-93).
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	CDFG methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Agriculture (Used on nut and fruit orchards in winter months).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is</p>

Region 5: Newman Wasteway Diazinon

high. List the entire Wasteway. The data have shown exceedance of the WQO, using CDFG criteria.

Region 5: Oak Run Creek

Fecal Coliform

Water Body	Oak Run Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Fecal Coliform/Water/REC-1
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Fecal coliform linked to REC-1 WQO for Bacteria.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	WQO for bacteria, REC-1.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 5 months (June - October 1999), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data was collected and the average levels were 400 MPN/100ml, exceeding the WQO Geometric Mean levels of 200 MPN/100ml for at least 5 months. The WQO has been exceeded. Many of the samples were above the 30 day basin plan criteria of 400 MPN/100ml.
Spatial representation	Data were collected from the middle reach of Oak Creek.
Temporal representation	Data were collected between June and October of 1999.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	Hannaford and North State Institute for Sustainable Communities, sampling methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Human and/or Livestock Sources.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Oak Run Creek

Fecal Coliform

All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List the middle reach, 4.5 miles of Oak run creek. From 16.5 miles before the confluence to 12 miles from the confluence.

Region 5: Old River

Low Dissolved Oxygen

Water Body	Old River
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Low Dissolved Oxygen/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Data comes from real-time sensors operated by the California Department of Water Resources as part of the Interagency Ecological Program.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Dissolved oxygen linked to various aquatic life uses (WARM/COLD/MIGR/SPWN).
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	RWQCB dissolved oxygen water quality objective.
Water Body-specific Information	10 months of data from three sites. (January 2001-October 2001).
Data used to assess water quality	55,000 data points. DO analyzed about every 15 minutes. Range 1.0 mg/L to saturation. 13 % of samples below 5.0 mg/L. More frequent violations during June-September.
Spatial representation	Data collected from the near to San Joaquin River to near the Delta-Mendota Canal and midway between.
Temporal representation	Two years of data available for the critical time period (June-September).
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Unknown. It is likely this problem is due to pollutants such as nutrients or pollution (low flow or channel morphology of the water body).
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	List Old River from the San Joaquin River to the Delta-Mendota Canal.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Old River

Low Dissolved Oxygen

An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List Old River from the San Joaquin River to the Delta-Mendota Canal.

Region 5: Orestimba Creek

Azinphos-methyl

Water Body	Orestimba Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Azinphos-methyl/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Azinphos-methyl linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	WQO, USEPA criteria for azinphos-methyl.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 2 years (1992-1993), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = 46 samples, 9 of which are above the USEPA criteria levels.
Spatial representation	Data were collected from the Creek at River Road.
Temporal representation	Data were collected from 1992-1993 from Feb. 1992- November 1993.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	USEPA methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Agriculture (Used to control insects on almonds, walnuts and other crops).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List the lower ten miles from the foothills to the San Joaquin River. The WQO has been exceeded.</p>

Region 5: Orestimba Creek DDE

Water Body	Orestimba Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	DDE/Tissue & Water/Fish Consumption and Drinking Water
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	DDE linked to Fish Consumption and Drinking Water for the protection of Human health.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	USEPA - CTR for DDE, WQO.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 1 year (1993), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data =40 samples, 15 of which exceed the USEPA criterion for DDE, exceeding the WQO.
Spatial representation	Data were collected by USGS from the Creek at River Road.
Temporal representation	Data were collected in 1993, primarily in Jan. and March, with additional sampling May- June, and minimal sampling during the rest of the year.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	USGS methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Historical Agriculture (prior to being banned in 1972).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the water</p>

Region 5: Orestimba Creek DDE

quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List the lower ten miles from the foothills to the San Joaquin River for DDE. The WQO has been exceeded.

Region 5: Putah Creek, Lower Mercury

Water Body	Putah Creek, Lower
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury/Tissue/Fish Consumption
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Mercury linked to Fish Consumption.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan WQO, USEPA criterion for human health consumption levels of mercury.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 2 Years (1997-1998), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	USDHHS-ATSDR and UC Davis Data = 67 trophic level 4 fish and 204 trophic level 3 fish. The level 4 fish had 39 fish in exceedance of the criteria levels above 0.3 ppm. Four of Seven Trophic Level 4 fish species had mean mercury concentrations exceeding the 0.3 ppm USEPA criteria.
Spatial representation	Data was collected from Lower Putah creek between Lake Berryessa and Putah Creek.
Temporal representation	Data was collected in 1997 and 1998.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	USDHHS-ATSDR and UCD methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Mining, unknown source.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Putah Creek, Lower Mercury

Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List the Lower Putah Creek from Lake Solano to Putah Creek for Mercury. The data show exceedance of the WQO using USEPA criteria for mercury.

Region 5: Putah Creek, Lower Unknown Toxicity

Water Body	Putah Creek, Lower
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Unknown Toxicity/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Toxicity linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin plan WQO for toxicity and comparing toxicity data results to Lab control results.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 2 Years (1998-1999), Data measured at the site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Toxicity Data was collected monthly and during rain events as well (at least 24 samples). 16 of the samples resulted in impaired growth, impaired reproduction and/or mortality. Further TIE test were run and the tests failed to pinpoint the cause while ammonia and pathogenicity were eliminated as causes.
Spatial representation	Routine monthly samples and samples during rain events were collected. Water quality analysis, toxicity tests and TIEs were conducted on water samples collected in lower Putah Creek.
Temporal representation	The water samples were collected during 1998 and 1999, routine monthly sampling and sampling rain events.
Data type	Toxicity, TIE, and Numerical data for diuron, ammonia, and pathogens.
Use of standard method	Laboratory Methods conducting TIEs.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Unknown.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the Monitoring List for Unknown toxicity, because a pollutant or pollution that contributes or causes any standards exceedance has not been identified.

Region 5: Putah Creek, Upper Unknown Toxicity

Water Body	Putah Creek, Upper
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Unknown Toxicity/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Toxicity linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin plan WQO for toxicity and comparing toxicity data results to Lab control results.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 2 Years (1998-1999), Data measured at the site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	On four of the sampling dates the water caused reproductive impairments to Ceriodaphnia They were analyzed using TIE. The results indicate an unknown toxicant that suggests that a non-polar, organic chemical caused the impairments. A July 1999 sample showed impairment to growth to Selenastrum, toxicity unknown. Overall 5 out of 12 (42%) of the samples resulted in toxicity or impairments.
Spatial representation	Data were collected just upstream from Lake Berryesa on Upper Putah Creek.
Temporal representation	Data were collected from the Upper Putah Creek between 1998-1999 and were collected once a month.
Data type	Toxicity, TIE data, and Numerical Data for metals.
Use of standard method	Laboratory Methods conducting TIEs.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Unknown.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the Monitoring List for Unknown toxicity, because a pollutant or pollution that contributes or causes any standards exceedance has not been identified.

Region 5: Rollins Reservoir

Mercury

Water Body	Rollins Reservoir
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury/Tissue/Fish Consumption
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Mercury linked to Fish Consumption.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan WQO, USEPA criterion for human health consumption levels of mercury.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 15 Years (1984-1999), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	USGS and TSMP Data = 50 trophic level 4 fish. The level 4 fish had an average mercury concentration of 0.32 ppm exceeding the 0.3 ppm USEPA criteria used to determine attainment of the WQO. The WQO has been exceeded. OEHHA is in the process of developing a state advisory for Nevada County based on this Data.
Spatial representation	50 Fish were collected from Rollins Reservoir from the midsection, Bear River Arm and the Greenhorn Creek Arm.
Temporal representation	50 fish were collected from Rollins reservoir between 1984 and 1999, over 15 years.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	USGS and TSMP sampling methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used.

Region 5: Rollins Reservoir Mercury

8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List all of Rollins Reservoir for Mercury. The data show exceedance of the WQO using USEPA criteria for mercury.

Region 5: Sacramento River (Shasta Dam to Red Bluff)

Zinc

Water Body	Sacramento River (Shasta Dam to Red Bluff)
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Zinc/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	N/A
Data used to assess water quality	N/A
Spatial representation	N/A
Temporal representation	N/A
Data type	N/A
Use of standard method	N/A
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	N/A
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	TMDL Completed.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the TMDLs Completed List because a TMDL has been developed for the water body-pollutant combination. The TMDL has been approved by USEPA.

Region 5: Sacramento River (Shasta Dam to Red Bluff)

Cadmium

Water Body	Sacramento River (Shasta Dam to Red Bluff)
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Cadmium/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	N/A
Data used to assess water quality	N/A
Spatial representation	N/A
Temporal representation	N/A
Data type	N/A
Use of standard method	N/A
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	N/A
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	TMDL Completed.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the TMDLs Completed List because a TMDL has been developed for the water body-pollutant combination. The TMDL has been approved by USEPA.

Region 5: Sacramento River (Shasta Dam to Red Bluff) Copper

Water Body	Sacramento River (Shasta Dam to Red Bluff)
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Copper/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	N/A
Data used to assess water quality	N/A
Spatial representation	N/A
Temporal representation	N/A
Data type	N/A
Use of standard method	N/A
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	N/A
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	TMDL Completed.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the TMDLs Completed List because a TMDL has been developed for the water body-pollutant combination. The TMDL has been approved by USEPA.

Region 5: Salt Slough Selenium

Water Body	Salt Slough
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Selenium/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	N/A
Data used to assess water quality	N/A
Spatial representation	N/A
Temporal representation	N/A
Data type	N/A
Use of standard method	N/A
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	N/A
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	TMDL Completed.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the TMDLs Completed List because a TMDL has been developed for the water body-pollutant combination. The TMDL has been approved by USEPA.

Region 5: San Carlos Creek

Mercury

Water Body	San Carlos Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Change listing from the total length of 1 mile to 9 miles. Extent of affected area to be changed from 1 mile to 4 miles.
Data used to assess water quality	San Carlos Creek has a length of 9 miles, from its headwaters at San Benito Mountain to its confluence with Silver Creek. CRWQCB-CVR 1995, USGS 1958-2000.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.

Region 5: San Joaquin River, Lower Mercury

Water Body	San Joaquin River, Lower
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury/Tissue/Fish Consumption
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Mercury linked to fish consumption.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan WQO, USEPA criterion for human health consumption levels of mercury.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 20 Years (1979-1999), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	TSMP and SFEI Data = 264 trophic level 4 fish. The level 4 fish had an average mercury concentration of 0.45 ppm exceeding the 0.3 ppm USEPA criteria used to determine attainment of the WQO. The WQO has been exceeded.
Spatial representation	Data were collected in the San Joaquin River.
Temporal representation	Fish were collected in the San Joaquin River between 1979 and 1999, over a 20 year period.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	TSMP and SFEI methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction (abandoned mines).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: San Joaquin River, Lower Mercury

All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List Lower San Joaquin River for Mercury from its confluence with Bear Creek to Vernalis. The data show exceedance of the WQO using USEPA criteria for mercury.

Region 5: San Joaquin River, Merced River to the South Delta Boundary Selenium

Water Body	San Joaquin River, Merced River to the South Delta Boundary
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Selenium/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	N/A
Data used to assess water quality	N/A
Spatial representation	N/A
Temporal representation	N/A
Data type	N/A
Use of standard method	N/A
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	N/A
Alternative Enforceable Program	N/A
RWQCB Recommendation	TMDL Completed.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the TMDLs Completed List because a TMDL has been developed for the water body-pollutant combination. The TMDL has been approved by USEPA.</p> <p>The San Joaquin River from Mud Slough to the confluence with the Merced River should continue to be listed as not attaining water quality standards for selenium. This reach is approximately 3 river miles long.</p>

Region 5: Scotts Flat Reservoir

Mercury

Water Body	Scotts Flat Reservoir
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury/Tissue/Fish Consumption
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Mercury linked to fish consumption.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan WQO, USEPA criterion for human health consumption levels of mercury.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 2 Days (9/1999), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	USGS Data = 7 trophic level 4 fish. The level 4 fish had an average mercury concentration of 0.38 ppm exceeding the 0.3 ppm USEPA criteria used to determine attainment of the WQO. The WQO has been exceeded.
Spatial representation	Data were collected from Scotts reservoir.
Temporal representation	7 fish were collected on September 7 and 8th, 1999.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	USGS sampling methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction (abandoned mines).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Scotts Flat Reservoir

Mercury

All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List all of Scotts Flat Reservoir for Mercury. The data show exceedance of the WQO using USEPA criteria for mercury.

Region 5: Smith Canal

Organophosphorus Pesticides

Water Body	Smith Canal
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Organophosphorus Pesticides/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Pesticides linked to WQO for pesticides.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	WQO, USEPA criteria for OP pesticides.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 5 Years (1994 - 98), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = OP pesticides were tested from 8 water samples between 1994-98. TIE , toxicity tests and TUs of the OP pesticides were run and calculated. 4/8 samples showed survival impairment as indicated by 100% mortality to Ceriodaphnia within 7 days. Data indicate that the OP pesticide caused the toxicity, Diazinon and Chlorpyrifos were present but did not account for all organo-phosphorus pesticide toxicity. The OP concentrations are all above the chronic and acute CDFG criteria. Using the CDFG criteria the WQO has been exceeded.
Spatial representation	Data were collected from one location in the Smith Canal.
Temporal representation	Data were collected between 1994 and 1998.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	CDFG methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Urban Runoff.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used.

Region 5: Smith Canal

Organophosphorus Pesticides

8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List the Smith Canal from the Yosemite Lake to the confluence with the San Joaquin River for OP pesticides. The data show exceedance of the WQO.

Region 5: Smith Canal

Pathogens

Water Body	Smith Canal
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Pathogens/Water/REC-1
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Pathogens linked to narrative WQO for toxicity.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan WQO for toxicity.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 10 months (May 2000- Feb. 2001), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = A Geometric Mean has been calculated for samples at three separate locations along the canal. Two of the three locations all exceeded the USEPA criteria for E. coli. Two of the locations exceeded the criteria up to 50 times the criteria level, and the other location has exceeded the USEPA single sample bacterial criterion. Using the USEPA criteria the WQO is exceeded.
Spatial representation	The data were collected at three separate locations. Yosemite Lake canal, one quarter mile downstream in the canal, and near the mouth of the canal.
Temporal representation	The data were collected during 10 months (May 2000 to Feb. 2001).
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	DeltaKeeper methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Urban Runoff/Recreation.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used.

Region 5: Smith Canal Pathogens

8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List Smith Canal from Yosemite Lake to the confluence with the San Joaquin River for Pathogens. The data show an exceedance of the WQO.

Region 5: Smith Canal

Low Dissolved Oxygen

Water Body	Smith Canal
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Low Dissolved Oxygen/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Low Dissolved Oxygen linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan WQO for Dissolved Oxygen.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 5 Years (1994 - 98), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	RB/Delta Keeper Data = 41 samples of Dissolved Oxygen values, with 31 (75%) of those samples falling below the WQO of 5 mg/L. Other data was considered from resident observation of fish kills in 1994 to DeltaKeeper Data collected over the years. The WQO for Dissolved Oxygen has not been attained.
Spatial representation	Data were collected from Smith canal by the RB and others.
Temporal representation	The data were collected from Smith canal over a period of 5 years, during dry seasons and rain seasons, yearly.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	RWQCB, DeltaKeeper, City of Stockton methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers. It is likely this problem is due to pollutants such as nutrients or pollution (low flow or channel morphology of the water body).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Smith Canal

Low Dissolved Oxygen

Most of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List Smith Canal from Yosemite lake to the confluence with the San Joaquin River for Dissolved Oxygen. The data have shown that the WQO for Dissolved Oxygen is not being attained.

Region 5: South Cow Creek

Fecal Coliform

Water Body	South Cow Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Fecal Coliform/Water/REC-1
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Fecal coliform linked to REC-1 BU and WQO for Bacteria.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	WQO for bacteria, REC-1.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 5 months (June - October 1999), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data was collected and the average levels were approx. 800 MPN/100ml, exceeding the WQO Geometric Mean levels of 200 MPN/100ml, at this level for at least 5 months in 1999. The WQO has been exceeded. Many of the samples were above the 30 day basin plan criteria of 400 MPN/100ml.
Spatial representation	Waters were sampled from the middle reach of the creek.
Temporal representation	The samples were taken over 5 months, between June and October of 1999.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	Hannaford and North State Institute for Sustainable Communities, sampling methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Human and/or Livestock Sources.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List South Cow</p>

Region 5: South Cow Creek Fecal Coliform

Creek 14 miles from the confluence to 7 miles before the confluence for Fecal Coliform. The data show an average that is clearly in exceedance of the WQO for bacteria- REC-1.

Region 5: Stanislaus River, Lower
 Diazinon, Group A Pesticides, Unknown toxicity

Water Body	Stanislaus River, Lower
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Diazinon, Group A Pesticides, Unknown toxicity
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Change listing from the total length of 48 miles to 58 miles. Extent of affected area to be changed from 48 miles to 58 miles.
Data used to assess water quality	USGS topographic maps indicate that the total length of the River is 58 miles. (USGS 1958-2000)
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.

Region 5: Stanislaus River, Lower Mercury

Water Body	Stanislaus River, Lower
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Mercury/Tissue/Fish Consumption
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Mercury linked to Fish Consumption.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan WQO, USEPA criterion for human health consumption levels of mercury.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 20 Years (1978-1998), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	TSMP and SFEI Data = 45 trophic level 4 fish. The level 4 fish had an average mercury concentration of 0.53 ppm exceeding the 0.3 ppm USEPA criteria used to determine attainment of the WQO. The WQO has been exceeded.
Spatial representation	The data were collected from the Lower Stanislaus River.
Temporal representation	The data were collected over 20 years from 1978-1998.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	TSMP and SFEI methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Resource Extraction (abandoned mines).
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Stanislaus River, Lower Mercury

All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard.
The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high.

Region 5: Stockton Deep Water Channel

Pathogens

Water Body	Stockton Deep Water Channel
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Pathogens/Water/REC-1
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Pathogens linked REC-1 beneficial uses.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan for WQO for bacteria (REC-1).
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 6 months (2000), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = A Geometric Mean has been calculated for 28 samples at 14 each at two separate locations along the canal. Both the locations have exceeded the USEPA criteria for E. coli. Using the USEPA bacterial criteria the WQO is exceeded.
Spatial representation	The data were collected from two separate sampling, locations. One at McLeod Lake and the other one mile upstream at Morelli Park.
Temporal representation	The data were collected over six months in 2000, with 14 samples at two different locations, 28 samples total.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	DeltaKeeper methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Urban Runoff/Recreation.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard.</p>

Region 5: Stockton Deep Water Channel Pathogens

The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List all of the Stockton Deep Water Channel for Pathogens. The WQO has been exceeded.

Region 5: Sutter Bypass

Diazinon

Water Body	Sutter Bypass
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Diazinon/Water/Aquatic Life
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Diazinon linked to Aquatic Life.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	WQO, CDFG criteria for Diazinon.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 4 years (1996-2000), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = 78 samples, out of those, 18 samples exceeded the chronic criteria and 6 samples exceeded the acute criteria. The criteria used are the CDFG criteria used to determine if the WQO has been exceeded.
Spatial representation	The data were collected from the Sutter Bypass.
Temporal representation	The data were sampled 78 times between December and March, the winter orchard dormant season.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	CDFG methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Agriculture.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. The evaluation guideline used to interpret narrative water quality standards is adequate. 6. Data are numerical. 7. Standard methods were used. 8. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered. <p>An adequate number of the water quality measurements exceeded the</p>

Region 5: Sutter Bypass Diazinon

water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List the entire length of Sutter Bypass for Diazinon. The data show an exceedance of the WQO.

Region 5: Tuolumne River, Lower

Group A Pesticides, Unknown Toxicity

Water Body	Tuolumne River, Lower
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Group A Pesticides, Unknown Toxicity
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Change listing from the total length of 32 miles to 54 miles. Extent of affected area to be changed from 32 miles to 54 miles.
Data used to assess water quality	USGS topographic maps indicate that the total length of the River is 54 miles. (USGS 1958-2000) Chemical analysis indicate the entire length is affected by Group A pesticides.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.

Region 5: Tuolumne River, Lower Diazinon

Water Body	Tuolumne River, Lower
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Diazinon
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	N/A
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	N/A
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	N/A
Water Body-specific Information	Change listing from the total length of 32 miles to 54 miles. Extent of affected area to be changed from 32 miles to 42 miles.
Data used to assess water quality	USGS topographic maps indicate that the total length of the River is 54 miles. (USGS 1958-2000) Chemical analysis indicate the length affected by Diazinon is 42 miles.
Spatial representation	
Temporal representation	
Data type	
Use of standard method	
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	Change in Total Size and Size Affected.

Region 5: Walker Slough

Pathogens

Water Body	Walker Slough
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Pathogens/Water/REC-1
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Pathogens linked REC-1 Beneficial uses.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	Basin Plan for WQO for bacteria (REC-1).
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 6 months (2000-2001), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data = A Geometric Mean has been calculated for 28 samples at 14 each at two separate locations along the canal. Both the locations have greatly exceeded the USEPA criteria for E. coli. The geometric mean was 4-8 times higher than the criteria level. Using the USEPA criteria the WQO is exceeded.
Spatial representation	The data were collected from two locations, one upstream and one downstream.
Temporal representation	The data were collected during six months over 2000-2001, and 14 samples were taken at two separate locations, for a total of 28 samples.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	DeltaKeeper methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Urban Runoff/Recreation.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses have been established for the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the data were considered.

Region 5: Walker Slough Pathogens

All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List all of Walker Slough for Pathogens. The WQO has been exceeded, using the USEPA criterion.

Region 5: Wolf Creek

Fecal Coliform

Water Body	Wolf Creek
Stressor/Media/Beneficial Use	Fecal Coliform/Water/REC-1
Data quality assessment. Extent to which data quality requirements met.	Generally limited consideration to those organizations that conduct monitoring using documented QA/QC procedures.
Linkage between measurement endpoint and beneficial use or standard	Fecal coliform linked to REC-1 WQO for Bacteria.
Utility of measure for judging if standards or uses are not attained	WQO for bacteria, REC-1.
Water Body-specific Information	Data = 2 years (2000-2001), Data measured at the site, Species or Indicator present at site, Environmental Conditions considered at site.
Data used to assess water quality	Data was collected upstream and downstream of the GVVWTP and the calculated Geometric Mean was 1491 MPN/100ml for the Total coliform, exceeding the WQO Geometric Mean levels of 200 MPN/100ml,. Downstream of the GVVWTP the Geometric Mean was 1000MPN/100ml for the total coliform, exceeding the WQO Geometric Mean levels of 200 MPN/100ml.The WQO has been exceeded. Both the upstream and downstream calculated Geometric Means for Fecal Coliform were in exceedance as well. Some of them reached 2300MPN/100ml, in February 2000.
Spatial representation	The data were collected upstream and downstream of the GVVWTP.
Temporal representation	The data were collected over two years, 2000-2001.
Data type	Numerical data.
Use of standard method	Waste Discharge Reports GVVWTP, and Regional Board methods.
Potential Source(s) of Pollutant	Urban Runoff/Recreation/Agriculture.
Alternative Enforceable Program	
RWQCB Recommendation	List.
SWRCB Staff Recommendation	<p>After reviewing the available data and information and the RWQCB documentation for this recommendation, SWRCB staff conclude that the water body should be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are exceeded and a pollutant contributes to or causes the problem.</p> <p>This conclusion is based on the staff findings that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data is considered to be of adequate quality. 2. The data exhibited sufficient spatial and temporal coverage. 3. Beneficial uses apply to the water body. 4. Water quality standard used is applicable. 5. Data are numerical. 6. Standard methods were used. 7. Other water body- or site-specific information including the age of the

Region 5: Wolf Creek Fecal Coliform

data were considered.

All of the water quality measurements exceeded the water quality standard. The staff confidence that standards were exceeded is high. List all of Wolf Creek for Fecal Coliform.

Water Bodies Proposed for the Monitoring List in Region 5

Water Body	Pollutant/Stressor	Rationale
American River, Lower	Pathogens	Based on a single beach closure (in 2000) and occasional high fecal coliform bacteria measurements. The fecal coliform objectives specifically allow the maximum (400 MPN/ml) to be exceeded 10% of the time. The available data indicates that the fecal coliform number is not exceeded more than 10% of the time. Other pathogen measurements, including E. coli, Cryptosporidium, giardia, and virus measurements, indicate that these indicators are below applicable guidelines. The lower river has a high recreation value and with increased urbanization and increasing use should be monitored to ensure that the pathogen levels in the river do not rise above standards.
Arcade Creek	Malathion	A USGS NAWQA study conducted from 1996 and 1998 analyzed 31 ambient water samples in Arcade Creek. Of the 31 samples collected and analyzed, 3 out of 31 (about 10%) exceeded the USEPA recommended criterion of 0.1ug/l. Samples collected in 4/97, 5/97, and 6/97 had concentrations of 0.634, 0.144, and 0.135 ug/l, respectively. The study did not include sampling during April through June in 1996 or 1998. Further assessment is needed to confirm that the exceedances recur.
Butte Slough	Malathion	Between 1995 and 1998, a total of 70 ambient water samples collected in the Butte Slough were analyzed for malathion. Overall, 2 of 70 samples contained malathion concentrations above the USEPA recommended criterion of 0.1 ug/l. These two samples above the criteria have the same sample date, as reported in the Department of Pesticide Regulation's Surface Water Database. The samples are, therefore, likely duplicates. Since only one sample date indicates malathion levels above the criterion, there is no indication that elevated levels of malathion are recurring in Butte Slough.
	Molinate	Molinate Data = 99 samples were collected and over six years 7 samples exceeded the CDFG criterion for Molinate. The CDFG criteria was used to determine that the narrative objectives for pesticide and toxicity are not being attained. There is a low confidence in 5 % of the samples exceeding the objective.
	Thiobencarb	Between 1995 and 1998, a total of 77 ambient water samples collected in the Butte Slough were analyzed for thiobencarb. Overall, 1 of 77 samples contained thiobencarb concentrations above the CDFG recommended criterion of 3.1 ug/l. Since only one sample was above the criterion, there is no indication that elevated levels of thiobencarb are recurring in Butte Slough.
Camanche Reservoir	Aluminum	Data = There were 260 samples taken over seven years. Of those samples 18 exceeded the NWRAQ criterion. The NWRAQ was used to determine the narrative objective for toxicity. 1995 data had unusually high TSS values based on the EBMUD data set. Three of 18 the exceedances were during storm events. Since storm events that resulted in the highest observed aluminum levels it is unlikely that the aluminum criteria will be exceeded. There exists a low confidence in 5.7% of the samples exceeding the objective.

Water Body	Pollutant/Stressor	Rationale
Colusa Basin Drain		
	Chlorpyrifos	Between 1994 and 1998, multiple studies analyzed a total of 24 ambient water samples collected in the CBD for chlorpyrifos. Overall, 3 of 24 samples contained chlorpyrifos concentrations at or above CDFG chronic (4-day average) water quality criterion of .014 ug/l and 0 of 24 samples exceeded CDFG acute water quality criterion of .02 ug/l. The 3 sample dates on which chlorpyrifos concentrations were above the chronic criteria were relatively minor exceedances (0.019, .0164, .0149 ug/l). In addition, there was no evidence that the 4-day average concentration would have been above 0.014 ug/l. Further assessment of chlorpyrifos levels in Colusa Basin Drain is needed.
	Dicamba	Between 1992 and 1998, multiple studies analyzed a total of 38 ambient water samples collected in the CBD for dicamba. Two of 38 samples exceeded the Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines of 0.006 ug/l. The two samples that were above the Canadian guidelines were collected in 1992. Samples analyzed from 1996-1998 did not have detectable levels of dicamba, so there is no indication that current levels of dicamba are above applicable guidelines.
Del Puerto Creek		
	Malathion	Between 1991 and 1993, a total of 33 ambient water samples collected in Del Puerto Creek were analyzed for malathion. Overall, 2 of 33 samples contained malathion concentrations above the USEPA recommended criterion of 0.1ug/l. An apparent duplicate of one of the samples above the criterion had non-detectable levels of malathion. When the duplicates are averaged, the concentration for that day is below the criterion. Since only one sample date had malathion concentrations above the criterion, there is no indication that current levels of malathion are above applicable guidelines.
Delta (lower San Joaquin River)		
	Pathogens	Data was available from the DeltaKeeper for a large number of sites throughout the Delta. The data was generally limited in time, with a relatively few sampling events. None of the sites appeared to exceed the Department of Health Services 30 day log mean E. coli guidelines. A few sites had a single exceedance of E. coli single sample guidelines. Due to the limited number of sampling events, it was difficult to determine whether the few observed exceedances of Department of Health Services E. coli guidelines are due to a chronic condition of pollution (likely to occur again) or an acute condition (not likely to occur again). More data, both temporal and spatial, is needed before determining whether or not the Delta is attaining water quality standards with respect to pathogens.
Delta Waterways		
	DDT	The Delta waterways are currently on the 303(d) list for DDT and Group A pesticides. The Feather River is currently on the 303(d) list for Group A pesticides. Fish tissue data from earlier studies (1980's and early 1990's) had indicated that National Academy of Sciences and/or U.S. Food and Drug Administration guidelines were not being met. More recent studies had indicated substantial reductions in these contaminants in fish tissue. The sampling design and fish collected in the earlier and later studies were not directly comparable (especially in terms of percent lipid content). Additional fish tissue samples should be collected and analyzed to determine whether applicable criteria and guidelines are currently being met.
	Group A Pesticides	The Delta waterways are currently on the 303(d) list for DDT and Group A pesticides. The Feather River is currently on the 303(d) list for Group A pesticides. Fish tissue data from earlier studies (1980's and early 1990's) had indicated that National Academy of Sciences and/or U.S. Food and Drug Administration guidelines were not being met. More recent studies had indicated substantial reductions in these contaminants in fish tissue. The sampling design and fish collected in the earlier and later studies were not directly comparable (especially in terms of percent lipid content). Additional fish tissue samples should be collected and analyzed to determine whether applicable criteria and guidelines are currently being met.

Water Body	Pollutant/Stressor	Rationale
Feather River	Group A Pesticides	<p>The Delta waterways are currently on the 303(d) list for DDT and Group A pesticides. The Feather River is currently on the 303(d) list for Group A pesticides. Fish tissue data from earlier studies (1980's and early 1990's) had indicated that National Academy of Sciences and/or U.S. Food and Drug Administration guidelines were not being met. More recent studies had indicated substantial reductions in these contaminants in fish tissue. The sampling design and fish collected in the earlier and later studies were not directly comparable (especially in terms of percent lipid content). Additional fish tissue samples should be collected and analyzed to determine whether applicable criteria and guidelines are currently being met.</p>
French Camp Slough	Pathogens	<p>There was limited data for French Camp Slough (4 data points over 2 months from a single sample location). Two out of four samples (one each month) were above the single sample value. The geometric mean for the four data points is well below the guidelines. The extremely limited sample set made it difficult to determine whether the elevated E. coli levels are likely to be observed again. Further assessment of French Camp Slough is recommended.</p>
Fresno River	Nutrients/Pathogens	<p>Further assessment is needed based on largely anecdotal information on the water quality in these streams and lakes. RWQCB staff has been made aware of algal die offs, which could be a result of nutrient water quality problems. RWQCB staff has been made aware of cattle in or near these streams and lakes, which could result in pathogen water quality problems. RWQCB staff has at most one or two water quality data points from these streams and lakes. The data and information available indicates a potential water quality problem, but is not sufficient to determine whether applicable standards are being attained or not. RWQCB staff will try to pursue funding to monitor these waters to determine whether nutrient and or pathogen related water quality problems exist.</p>
Hensley Lake	Nutrients/Pathogens	<p>Further assessment is needed based on largely anecdotal information on the water quality in these streams and lakes. RWQCB staff has been made aware of algal die offs, which could be a result of nutrient water quality problems. RWQCB staff has been made aware of cattle in or near these streams and lakes, which could result in pathogen water quality problems. RWQCB staff has at most one or two water quality data points from these streams and lakes. The data and information available indicates a potential water quality problem, but is not sufficient to determine whether applicable standards are being attained or not. RWQCB staff will try to pursue funding to monitor these waters to determine whether nutrient and or pathogen related water quality problems exist.</p>
Ingram/Hospital Creek	Carbaryl	<p>Between 1991 and 1993, a total of 26 ambient water samples collected in Ingram/Hospital Creek were analyzed for carbaryl. Two of the 26 samples contained carbaryl concentrations above the CDFG criterion of 2.53ug/l. Those two samples were collected in May 1991 (8.4 ug/l) and May 1992 (2.8 ug/l) respectively. The data indicates that carbaryl may be a problem in May. Since the data was collected about a decade ago and the elevated levels only occurred in one month, further assessment is needed to determine whether carbaryl levels are currently elevated.</p>

Water Body	Pollutant/Stressor	Rationale
Kaweah River	Nutrients/Pathogens	Further assessment is needed based on largely anecdotal information on the water quality in these streams and lakes. RWQCB staff has been made aware of algal die offs, which could be a result of nutrient water quality problems. RWQCB staff has been made aware of cattle in or near these streams and lakes, which could result in pathogen water quality problems. RWQCB staff has at most one or two water quality data points from these streams and lakes. The data and information available indicates a potential water quality problem, but is not sufficient to determine whether applicable standards are being attained or not. RWQCB staff will try to pursue funding to monitor these waters to determine whether nutrient and or pathogen related water quality problems exist.
Kern River	Nutrients/Pathogens	Further assessment is needed based on largely anecdotal information on the water quality in these streams and lakes. RWQCB staff has been made aware of algal die offs, which could be a result of nutrient water quality problems. RWQCB staff has been made aware of cattle in or near these streams and lakes, which could result in pathogen water quality problems. RWQCB staff has at most one or two water quality data points from these streams and lakes. The data and information available indicates a potential water quality problem, but is not sufficient to determine whether applicable standards are being attained or not. RWQCB staff will try to pursue funding to monitor these waters to determine whether nutrient and or pathogen related water quality problems exist.
Lake Isabella	Nutrients/Pathogens	Further assessment is needed based on largely anecdotal information on the water quality in these streams and lakes. RWQCB staff has been made aware of algal die offs, which could be a result of nutrient water quality problems. RWQCB staff has been made aware of cattle in or near these streams and lakes, which could result in pathogen water quality problems. RWQCB staff has at most one or two water quality data points from these streams and lakes. The data and information available indicates a potential water quality problem, but is not sufficient to determine whether applicable standards are being attained or not. RWQCB staff will try to pursue funding to monitor these waters to determine whether nutrient and or pathogen related water quality problems exist.
Lake Kaweah	Nutrients/Pathogens	Further assessment is needed based on largely anecdotal information on the water quality in these streams and lakes. RWQCB staff has been made aware of algal die offs, which could be a result of nutrient water quality problems. RWQCB staff has been made aware of cattle in or near these streams and lakes, which could result in pathogen water quality problems. RWQCB staff has at most one or two water quality data points from these streams and lakes. The data and information available indicates a potential water quality problem, but is not sufficient to determine whether applicable standards are being attained or not. RWQCB staff will try to pursue funding to monitor these waters to determine whether nutrient and or pathogen related water quality problems exist.
Lake Success	Nutrients/Pathogens	Further assessment is needed based on largely anecdotal information on the water quality in these streams and lakes. RWQCB staff has been made aware of algal die offs, which could be a result of nutrient water quality problems. RWQCB staff has been made aware of cattle in or near these streams and lakes, which could result in pathogen water quality problems. RWQCB staff has at most one or two water quality data points from these streams and lakes. The data and information available to RWQCB staff indicates a potential water quality problem, but is not sufficient to determine whether applicable standards are being attained or not. RWQCB staff will try to pursue funding to monitor these waters to determine whether nutrient and or pathogen related water quality problems exist.

Water Body	Pollutant/Stressor	Rationale
Merced River	Mercury	<p>Further assessment is needed because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The weighted-average TL4 fish tissue mercury concentration for each waterbody closely approached the USEPA criterion of 0.3 ppm. 2. The weighted-average mercury concentrations for the bass and white catfish samples from both water bodies exceeded USEPA criterion. 3. The channel catfish concentrations were consistently lower than the other TL4 species. For widespread comparisons between water bodies throughout the Central Valley, staff considered channel catfish to be a trophic level 4 species because usually channel catfish fish measuring more than 300-380 mm in length are piscivorous (Moyle, 2002). However, staff observed that channel catfish from several water bodies have average mercury concentrations that are lower than mercury concentrations in white catfish and bass samples. Additional information about which fish species humans are catching and eating from the Merced and Tuolumne Rivers is needed. Staff can then calculate the average fish tissue concentration based on distribution of species being caught by humans, rather than basing the calculation on species sampled.
Mormon Slough	Diazinon	<p>In February 1994 toxicity tests were performed on two ambient water samples collected from Mormon Slough. The samples were collected on consecutive days. Diazinon levels were analyzed for both samples. Both samples were above the CDFG acute and chronic criteria of 0.08 ug/l and 0.05 ug/l, respectively. Both of the samples caused toxicity to Ceriodaphnia dubia. The addition of PBO to the samples eliminated the toxicity (data as reported in Lee and Jones-Lee, 2001). Further assessment of diazinon levels in Mormon Slough is needed, since the current data set only includes two data points from samples collected on consecutive days. The available data set is not sufficient to determine that elevated diazinon levels recur in Mormon Slough.</p>
Oristimba Creek	Methodathion	<p>Between 1996 and 2000, multiple studies analyzed a total of 1050 ambient water samples collected in Orestimba Creek for methodathion. Two of 1050 (about 0.2%) exceeded the USEPA Integrated IRIS Reference Dose of 0.7 ug/l. The two samples were collected in 1993 (2.14 ug/l) and 2000 (1.74 ug/l). Since only 2 out of 1050 samples were above the reference dose and there were seven years between detections of elevated levels, the frequency of occurrence of elevated levels of methodathion is relatively low. In addition, IRIS reference doses are for the protection of human health from consumption of drinking water. RWQCB staff is not aware of any drinking water intakes within Orestimba Creek. The low frequency of exceedance of the IRIS reference dose combined with the low likelihood of exposure suggests that water quality objectives relevant to methodathion are being met</p>
Putah Creek, Lower	Unknown Toxicity	<p>Toxicity Data was collected monthly and during rain events as well (at least 24 samples). 16 of the samples resulted in impaired growth, impaired reproduction and/or mortality. Further TIE test were run and the tests failed to pinpoint the cause while ammonia and pathogenicity were eliminated as causes.</p>
Putah Creek, Upper	Unknown Toxicity	<p>On four of the sampling dates the water caused reproductive impairments to Ceriodaphnia They were analyzed using TIE. The results indicate an unknown toxicant that suggests that a non-polar, organic chemical caused the impairments. A July 1999 sample showed impairment to growth to Selenastrum, toxicity unknown. Overall 5 out of 12 (42%) of the samples resulted in toxicity or impairments.</p>

Water Body	Pollutant/Stressor	Rationale
Salt Slough	Malathion	<p>Between 1991 and 1993, a total of 46 ambient water samples collected in Salt Slough were analyzed for malathion. Overall, 2 of 46 samples contained malathion concentrations above the USEPA recommended criterion of 0.1 ug/l. The two samples above the criterion were collected in March 1992 (0.16 ug/l) and March 1993 (0.39 ug/l). Since the data was collected about a decade ago and the elevated levels only occurred in one month, further assessment is needed to determine whether malathion levels are currently elevated.</p>
San Luis Reservoir	Copper	<p>Data was received from the California Department of Water Resources (CDWR) on levels of copper in the San Luis Reservoir as part of the initial solicitation. Some of the data submitted was received after the initial May 15, 2001 deadline. The data now available indicates that copper levels exceeded California Toxics Rule criteria frequently from October 1999 to September 2000 (7 out of 10 samples exceeded the chronic criteria, 3 out of 10 exceeded the acute). Since there was only one minor exceedance (0.1 ppb above the criteria) prior to October 1999 and no exceedances since September 2000, the exceedances may have been due to conditions unique to the October 1999- September 2000 time period. Regional Board staff received data from CDWR that included copper results through June 2002 (CDWR, 2002). All samples collected since September 2000 have copper levels well below the CTR criteria.</p> <p>RWQCB staff has discussed with CDWR staff the time period in which CTR criteria were exceeded and it is not clear why those exceedances occurred at that time and not before or since. RWQCB staff reviewed data available on CDWR's web site (http://www.womwq.water.ca.gov/wqmon.html) to determine whether sites upstream and downstream of the San Luis Reservoir showed elevated levels of copper. A review of data on copper levels at the pumping plants in the Delta, in the Delta-Mendota Canal, and in the O'Neil Forebay, indicates that copper levels were well below CTR criteria even when the observed exceedances in the San Luis Reservoir occurred.</p> <p>Staff does not recommend listing the San Luis Reservoir for non-attainment of copper standards at this time. The combination of the finite time period of the excursions, the relatively low levels of copper since the excursions occurred, and the lack of elevated levels downstream and upstream of the reservoir indicate that the excursions may not occur again (i.e. the evidence suggests that standards are currently attained).</p> <p>Sampling and analysis for copper should continue and that factors that could affect copper analytical results be carefully tracked (e.g. timing of application of copper based pesticides, sampling location, reservoir levels, etc.).</p>
Ten Mile River (South fork Kings River)	Nutrients/Pathogens	<p>Further assessment is needed based on largely anecdotal information on the water quality in these streams and lakes. RWQCB staff has been made aware of algal die offs, which could be a result of nutrient water quality problems. RWQCB staff has been made aware of cattle in or near these streams and lakes, which could result in pathogen water quality problems. RWQCB staff has at most one or two water quality data points from these streams and lakes. The data and information available indicates a potential water quality problem, but is not sufficient to determine whether applicable standards are being attained or not. Regional Board staff will try to pursue funding to monitor these waters to determine whether nutrient and or pathogen related water quality problems exist.</p>

Water Body	Pollutant/Stressor	Rationale
Tule River	Nutrients/ Pathogens	<p>Further assessment is needed based on largely anecdotal information on the water quality in these streams and lakes. RWQCB staff has been made aware of algal die offs, which could be a result of nutrient water quality problems. RWQCB staff has been made aware of cattle in or near these streams and lakes, which could result in pathogen water quality problems. RWQCB staff has at most one or two water quality data points from these streams and lakes. The data and information available to indicates a potential water quality problem, but is not sufficient to determine whether applicable standards are being attained or not. RWQCB staff will try to pursue funding to monitor these waters to determine whether nutrient and or pathogen related water quality problems exist.</p>
Tuolumne River	Mercury	<p>Further assessment is needed because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The weighted-average TL4 fish tissue mercury concentration for each waterbody closely approached the USEPA criterion of 0.3 ppm. 2. The weighted-average mercury concentrations for the bass and white catfish samples from both water bodies exceeded USEPA criterion. 3. The channel catfish concentrations were consistently lower than the other TL4 species. For widespread comparisons between water bodies throughout the Central Valley, staff considered channel catfish to be a trophic level 4 species because usually channel catfish fish measuring more than 300-380 mm in length are piscivorous (Moyle, 2002). However, staff observed that channel catfish from several water bodies have average mercury concentrations that are lower than mercury concentrations in white catfish and bass samples. Staff believes that additional information about which fish species humans are catching and eating from the Merced and Tuolumne Rivers is needed. Staff can then calculate the average fish tissue concentration based on distribution of species being caught by humans, rather than basing the calculation on species sampled.
Walker Slough	Diazinon	<p>Between 1994 and 1998, 6 samples were collected from Walker Slough and toxicity tests were performed on them (as summarized in Lee and Jones-Lee, 2001). Diazinon levels were measured in three of those samples. Most of these samples were collected during wet weather events in the winter. Of the 6 samples, 2 resulted in 100% mortality within 7 days to <i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>. The two samples exhibiting 100% mortality had diazinon concentrations of 0.273 ug/l and 0.170 ug/l. PBO was added to one of the toxic samples and eliminated the toxicity. Further assessment is needed of diazinon levels in Walker Slough due to the limited data set currently available.</p>
Yuba River	Pathogens	<p>The Yuba River received much press coverage last summer concerning high levels of bacteria in the river and for beach closures. There has been ongoing concern with possible interference in test methods used at the river. The river was tested for both <i>E. coli</i> and enterococci. The <i>E. coli</i> levels remained low while the enterococci levels were high. Additionally, the county and a citizens monitoring group have been attempting to determine if the sampling indicates impairment or if it was due to a single, non-recurring incident of pollution. Confirmation sampling and method evaluation for the Yuba River is being conducted this summer. Due to the contradictory information regarding the pathogen indicators, further assessment is necessary to determine if water quality standards are attained with respect to pathogens.</p>

Reference List for Region 5

Staff Report

California Regional Water Quality Control Board. Central Valley Region. 2001. Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List. September 27, 2001.

Technical References

Alpers, C.N. and M.P. Hunerlach. 2000. *Mercury Contamination from Historic Gold Mining in California*. U.S. Geological Survey. Fact Sheet FS-061-00. May 2000.

Bailey, H.C., L. Deanovic, E. Reyes, T. Kimball, K. Larsen, K. Cortright, V. Connor, and D. Hinton. 2000. *Diazinon and Chlorpyrifos in Urban Waterways in Northern California*. USA. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* (19) 82-87.

Bailey, H.C., J.L. Miller, M.J. Miller, L.C. Wiborg, L. Deanovic, and T. Shed. 1997. *Joint Acute Toxicity of Diazinon and Chlorpyrifos to Ceriodaphnia dubia*. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* (16) 2304-2308.

Brodberg, R. K. and G.A. Pollock. 1999. *Prevalence of Selected Target Chemical Contaminants in Sport Fish from Two California Lakes: Public Health Designed Screening Study*. California Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment.

Buer, S.M., S.R. Phillippe, and T.R. Pinkos. 1979. *Inventory and Assessment of Water Quality Problems related to Abandoned and Inactive Mines in the Central Valley Region of California*. CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region).

California Rice Commission. 2001. *CA Rice*. www.calrice.org/environment/balance-cheet/chap3.html

CCME (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment). 1991. Appendix IX- A protocol for the derivation of water quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life (April 1991). In: Canadian water quality guidelines, Canadian Council of Resource and Environment Ministers, 1987. Prepared by the Task Force on Water Quality Guidelines. [Updated and reprinted with minor revisions and editorial changes in Canadian environmental quality guidelines, Chapter 4, Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, 1999, Winnipeg.]

CDM (Camp Dresser & McKee Inc). 1999. *Assessment of Water Quality Data from Smith Canal*. July 27, 1999. (Appendix B-2 to City of Stockton & San Joaquin County Storm Water Management Program).

CCR (California Code of Regulations). Title 17 §7958 *Bacteriological Standards*.

CDFG (California Department of Fish and Game). 1991. *Lower Mokelumne River Fisheries Plan*. The Resources Agency, Department of Fish and Game, Streamflow Requirements Program. November 1991. CDHS (California Department of Health Services). 2000. *Draft Guidance for Fresh Water Beaches*. July 27, 2000.

CDPR (California Department of Pesticide Regulation). 1997. *Information on Rice Pesticides-Submitted to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board*. California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Pesticide Regulation. Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program. December 23 1997.

CDPR (California Department of Pesticide Regulation). 2000a. *Surface Water Database (SWDB)*, as of July 15, 2000.

CDPR (California Department of Pesticide Regulation). 2000b. *Pesticide Use Report (PUR) Database*. Preliminary 2000 Pesticide Use Data.

CDWR (California Department of Water Resources). 1993. *Dams within Jurisdiction of the State of California*. DWR Bulletin 17. As presented by the Berkeley Digital Library Project. Accessed on August 23, 2001. Accessed: (<http://elib.cs.berkeley.edu/dams/about.html>).

CDWR (California Department of Water Resources). 1998. *Aquatic Monitoring and Assessment for the Upper Fall River, Memorandum Report*. May 1998.

Chen C. and W. Tsai. 1999. *Application of Stockton's Water Quality Model to Evaluate Stormwater Impact on Smith Canal*. February 23, 1999. (Attachment to March 17, 1999 letter from City of Stockton, G. Birdzell).

- Chilcott, J. 1992. *Agenda Item #11 for Meeting of California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region*. September 25, 1992. Fresno, CA. Staff Report on Consideration of Water Body Designations to Comply with Provisions of the Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters of California. Appendix B.
- CH2MHILL. 2000a. *Closure Report: Penn Mine Environmental Restoration Project*. Prepared for: East Bay Municipal Utility District and Regional Water Quality Control Board-Central Valley Region. Oakland, California. December 2000.
- CH2MHILL. 2000b. (Draft) *Post-Restoration Final Effectiveness Report: Penn Mine Environmental Restoration Project*. Prepared for: East Bay Municipal Utility District and Regional Water Quality Control Board-Central Valley Region. Oakland, California. September 2000.
- City of Grass Valley. 2000. *Discharger self-monitoring reports (DSMRs) for Grass Valley Waste Water Treatment Plant*.
- City of Grass Valley. 2001. *Discharger self-monitoring reports (DSMRs) for Grass Valley Waste Water Treatment Plant*.
- Cortright, K., L. Deanovic, H. Bailey, and D. Hinton. 1995. *Stockton Urban Runoff April 1995-June 1995 Report- Prepared for: Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board*.
- CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 1978. *Waste Discharge Requirements for Mount Diablo Quicksilver Mine, Contra Costa County*. Sacramento, Ca: CRWQCB.
- CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 1982. *Fall River Water Quality Monitoring*.
- CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 1995. *Futures Foundation, New Idria Mine File*. 1971-1995 Electronic database of all water sampling results for San Carlos Creek and New Idria Mine drainage. Mercury data for water samples collected June 1971 to December 1995.
- CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 1998. *The Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) for the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region — The Sacramento River Basin and the San Joaquin River Basin*. Fourth Edition. CRWQCB-CVR, Sacramento, Ca. <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/~rwqcb5/bsnplnab.pdf>
- CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 1999a. (Enclosure 5) *Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) Order No. 99-052, Madera County Maintenance District 22A, Oakhurst Wastewater Treatment Facility, Madera County*, (with Supporting Data and Analyses). 30 April 1999 (Rescinded on 17 April 2000).
- CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 1999b. (Enclosure 6), January 1996-January 2000, *Fresno River Water Quality Data from Self-Monitoring Reports, Madera County Maintenance District 22A, Oakhurst Wastewater Treatment Facility, Madera County*.
- CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 2001a. *Avena Drain File*. File Containing Regional Board Staff Field notes and lab results from Avena Drain and surrounding dairies.
- CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 2001b. *Public Solicitation of Water Quality Information* letter. Sacramento, California. 21 February 2001.
- CRWQCB-SFB (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region), State Water Resources Control Board, and California Department of Fish and Game. 1995. *Contaminant Levels in Fish Tissue from San Francisco Bay: Final Report*. CRWQCB-SFB, Oakland, CA. Davis, J.A., M.D. May, G. Ichikawa, and D. Crane. 2000. *Contaminant Concentrations in Fish from the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and Lower San Joaquin River – 1998*. San Francisco Estuary Institute report. Richmond, California. September 2000.
- DeLorme. 1998. *Northern California Atlas and Gazetteer- Detailed Topographic Maps*. 1:150,000 Scale. Fourth Edition. (<http://www.delorme.com>.)
- Dileanis, P.D., J.L. Domagalski, and K.P. Bennett. 2000. *Occurrence and Transport of Diazinon in the Sacramento River and its Tributaries During Three Winter Storms, January-February 2000*. U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations draft report. Sacramento, CA. As presented in *Water Quality Management Strategy for Diazinon in the Sacramento and Feather Rivers*. Sacramento River Watershed Program. Organophosphate Focus Group. March 30, 2000.
- Domagalski, J.L. 2000. *Pesticide Monitoring in the Sacramento River Basin for the USGS National Water Quality Assessment Program*. Report in prep. USGS. As presented in CDPR, 2000a. Domagalski, J.L., 2001. Telephone conversation between Joe Domagalski (Sacramento River Basin NAWQA Study Unit, Chief, U.S. Geological Survey) and Joe Karkoski (Sacramento River Watershed TMDL Unit, Chief, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region) on 4 September 2001, regarding sampling sites included in NAWQA studies.

- EBMUD (East Bay Municipal Utility District). 2000. *All About EBMUD*. EBMUD Public Affairs Office publication. http://www.ebmud.com/pubs/annual/allaboutebmud_2000.pdf. Last accessed: August 2, 2001.
- EBMUD (East Bay Municipal Utility District). 2001. Unpublished dissolved copper concentration data for the lower Mokelumne River downstream of Camanche Dam, generated as part of EBMUD's NPDES requirements. Provided electronically by Alexander R. Coate (Manger of Regulatory Compliance, EBMUD) to Michelle L. Wood (Environmental Specialist, Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board) on August 2, 2001.
- EDAW, Inc. 1992. *Draft EIS/EIR for the Updated Water Supply Management Program, Volume III, Technical Appendices B1 and B2*. Prepared for: East Bay Municipal Utility District. Oakland, California. December 1992.
- Foe, C. 1995. *Insecticide Concentrations and Invertebrate Bioassay Mortality in Agricultural Return Water from the San Joaquin Basin*. Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. Sacramento, CA December 1995.
- Foe, C. and W. Croyle. 1998. *Mercury Concentrations and Loads from the Sacramento River and from Cache Creek to the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary*. California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region Report. Sacramento, Ca. June 1998.
- Fujimura, R. 1991a. *Chemical and Toxicity Test Results from the San Joaquin River at Three Sites from July 2 to September 13, 1991*. Memorandum to Lisa Ross, Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. November 6, 1991. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.
- Fujimura, R. 1991b. *Chemical and Toxicity Test Results from the San Joaquin River and Tributaries During March 4 to April 26, 1991*. Memorandum to Lisa Ross, Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. November 6, 1991. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.
- Fujimura, R. 1993a. *Chemical Analyses and Bioassay Test Results for Samples Collected from December 29 to February 25, 1993*. Memorandum to Brian Finlayson, Pesticide Investigations Unit, California Department of Fish and Game. Rancho Cordova, CA. March 26, 1993. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.
- Fujimura, R. 1993b. *Chemical Analyses and Bioassay Test Results for Samples Collected from July 9 to September 9, 1992*. Memorandum to Brian Finlayson, Pesticide Investigations Unit, California Department of Fish and Game. Rancho Cordova, CA. March 23, 1993. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.
- Fujimura, R. 1993c. *Chemical Analyses and Bioassay Test Results for Samples Collected from March 16 to April 30, 1992*. Memorandum to Brian Finlayson, Pesticide Investigations Unit, California Department of Fish and Game. Rancho Cordova, CA. March 22, 1993. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.
- Fujimura, R. 1993d. *Chemical Analyses and Bioassay Test Results for Samples Collected from December 23, 1991 to February 27, 1992*. Memorandum to Brian Finlayson, Pesticide Investigations Unit, California Department of Fish and Game. Rancho Cordova, CA. February 23, 1993. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.
- Gorder, N.K.N. and J.M. Lee. 1995. *Information on rice pesticides submitted to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region*. Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch, Department of Pesticide Regulation, Sacramento, CA. December 28, 1995.
- Gorder, N.K.N. and J.M. Lee. 1997. *Information on rice pesticides submitted to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region*. Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch, Department of Pesticide Regulation, Sacramento, CA. December 23, 1997.
- Gorder, N.K.N., J.M. Lee, and K. Newhart. 1996. *Information on rice pesticides submitted to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region*. Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch, Department of Pesticide Regulation, Sacramento, CA.
- Gorder, N.K.N. and K. Newhart. 1998. *Information on rice pesticides submitted to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region*. Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch, Department of Pesticide Regulation, Sacramento, CA. December 31, 1998.
- Hannaford, M.J., and North State Institute for Sustainable Communities. 2000. Preliminary Water Quality Assessment of Cow Creek Tributaries. Department of Fish and Game. May 15, 2000. (http://www.delta.dfg.ca.gov/afpr/documents/cowcrk_rpt.pdf).
- Harrington, J.M. 1990. *Hazard Assessment of the Rice Insecticides Molinate and Thiobencarb to Aquatic Life in the Sacramento River System*. California Department of Fish and Game. Environmental Services Division. Administrative Report 90-1. Sacramento, CA

- Holmes, R., C. Foe, and V. de Vlaming. 2000. *Sources and Concentrations of Diazinon in the Sacramento Watershed During the 1994 Orchard Dormant Spray Season*. California Regional Water Quality Control Board – Central Valley Region. Sacramento, CA. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.
- Horizons Technology, Inc. 1997. Sure! MAPS® RASTER Map Sets (U.S. Geological Survey 7.5' Topographic Quadrangles), Version 2.1.2.
- Iovenitti, J.L., Weiss Associates, and J. Wessman. 1989. *Mount Diablo Mine: Surface Impoundment Technical Report*. Pleasant Hill, Ca.
- Jennings, B. 2001. *Letter from Bill Jennings (DeltaKeeper A Project of San Francisco BayKeeper) to Mr. Jerry Bruns and Mr. Joe Karkoski (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region) dated May 14, 2001, regarding DeltaKeeper comments on section 303(d) list update*. May 14, 2001.
- Larry Walker Associates. 2001a. SRWP 99-00 Database. Unpublished Data.
- Larry Walker Associates. 2001b. *Sacramento River Watershed Program Annual Monitoring Report: 1999-2000*. Prepared for the Sacramento River Watershed Program by Larry Walker Associates, Davis, California.
- Larsen, K., K.A. Cortright., P.Young, V. Connor, L.A.Deanovic, D.E. Hinton. 1998. *Stockton Fish Kills Associated With Urban Storm Runoff: The Role of Low Dissolved Oxygen*. CRWQCB-CVR. June 1998.
- Larsen, K., M. McGraw, V. Connor, L. Deanovic, T. Kimball, and D. Hinton. 2000. *Cache Creek and Smith Canal Watersheds Toxicity Monitoring Results: 1998-1999* Final Report. November 2000.
- Lee, G.F. and A. Jones-Lee. 2000a. *Dissolved Oxygen Depletion in the Stockton Sloughs*. August 2000. (Prepared for DeltaKeeper).
- Lee, G.F. and A. Jones-Lee. 2000b. *Issues in Developing the San Joaquin River Deep Water Ship Channel DO TMDL*. Report to San Joaquin River Dissolved Oxygen Total Maximum Daily Load Steering Committee and the CRWQCB-CVR. August 17, 2000.
- Lee, G.F. and A. Jones-Lee. 2001a. *Review of the City of Stockton Urban Stormwater Runoff Aquatic Life Toxicity Studies Conducted by the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, DeltaKeeper, and the University of California, Davis, Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory between 1994 and 1999*. Draft Report. April 2001. G. Fred Lee & Associates. El Macero, CA. (Prepared for DeltaKeeper).
- Lee, G.F. and A. Jones-Lee. 2001b. *Review of the City of Stockton Urban Stormwater Runoff Aquatic Life Toxicity Studies Conducted by the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, DeltaKeeper, and the University of California, Davis, Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory between 1994 and 1999*. Final Report. November 2001. G. Fred Lee & Associates. El Macero, CA. (Prepared for DeltaKeeper).
- Marshack, J.B. 2000. *A Compilation of Water Quality Goals*. California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region Report. August 2000, updated October 11, 2000; December 5, 2000; February 8, 2001; April 18, 2001; and July 26, 2001.
- May, J.T., R.L. Hothem, C.N. Alpers, and M.A. Law. 2000. *Mercury Bioaccumulation in Fish in a Region Affected by Historic Gold Mining: The South Yuba River, Deer Creek, and Bear River Watersheds, California, 1999*. U.S. Geological Survey. Sacramento, CA. 2000.
- McKee and Wolf. 1971. *Water Quality Criteria*. Publication 3-A. California State Water Control Board. Sacramento, California.
- Menconi, M. and S. Gray. 1992. *Hazard Assessment of the Insecticide Carbofuran to Aquatic Organisms in the Sacramento River System*. California Department of Fish and Game, Environmental Services Division. Administrative Report 92-3. Sacramento, CA.
- Menconi, M. and J.M. Harrington. 1992. *Hazard Assessment of the Insecticide Methyl Parathion to Aquatic Life in the Sacramento River System*. California Department of Fish and Game. Environmental Services Division. Administrative Report 92-1. Sacramento, CA.
- Montoya, B. and X. Pan. 1992. *Inactive Mine Drainage in the Sacramento Valley, California*. California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region Report. July 1992.
- NAS (National Academy of Science). 1973. *A Report of the Committee on Water Quality: Water Quality Criteria, 1972*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Academy of Science-National Academy of Engineers (NAS). EPA R3-

- 73-033.NCWA (Northern California Water Association). 2001. *The Lower Butte Creek Project*. (http://norcalwater.org/lower_butte_creek_project.htm). Last updated 4 September 2001.
- Nevada County. 2000. *Press Release, Three County Environmental Health Agencies Issue Interim Public Health Notification on Mercury in Fish*. Nevada County, Department of Environmental Health. (http://www.co.nevada.ca.us/ehealth/hg/press_release_10-03-00.htm).
- Newhart, K. and K. Bennett. 1999. *Information on Rice Pesticides-Submitted to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board*. California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Pesticide Regulation. Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program. December 31, 1999.
- Newhart, K., D. Jones, and S. Ceesay. 2000. *Information on Rice Pesticides-Submitted to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board*. California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Pesticide Regulation. Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program. December 31, 2000.
- Nichols, J., S. Bradbury, and J. Swartout. 1999. *Derivation of wildlife values for mercury in Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health*: 325-355.
- Nordmark, C. 1998. *Preliminary Results of Acute and Chronic Toxicity Testing of Surface Water Monitored in the Sacramento River Watershed, Winter 1997-98*. Memorandum to Don Weaver, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management, Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. July 31, 1998. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.
- Nordmark, C. 1999. *Preliminary Results of Acute and Chronic Toxicity Testing of Surface Water Monitored in the Sacramento River Watershed, Winter 1998-99*. Memorandum to Don Weaver, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management, Department of Pesticide Regulation, Sacramento, CA. May 26, 1999. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.
- Nordmark, C. 2000. In prep. *Preliminary Results of Acute and Chronic Toxicity Testing of Surface Water Monitored in the Sacramento River Watershed, Winter 1999-00*. Memorandum to Don Weaver, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management, Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.
- Nordmark, C.E., K.P. Bennett, H. Feng, J. Hernandez, and P. Lee. 1998. *Occurrence of aquatic toxicity and dormant spray pesticide detections in the Sacramento River watershed*. Winter 1996-97. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch. Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. Report EH98-01. February, 1998. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.
- North State Resources and T. Holmes (prepared for the Fall River Resource Conservation District). *A study of the Habitat Characteristics of the Aquatic Vegetation of the Upper Fall River: Final Report*. Redding, Ca. December 8, 1997.
- OEHHA (Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). 1987. *Methyl Mercury In Northern Coastal Mountain Lakes: Guidelines for Sport Fish Consumption For Clear Lake (Lake County), Lake Berryessa (Napa County), And Lake Herman (Solano County)*. James W. Stratton, Daniel Smith, Anna M. Fan, and Steven Book. Hazard Evaluation Section and the Epidemiological Studies and Surveillance Section, Berkeley, California.
- OEHHA (Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). 1999. *Health Risk Categories and Cancer Risk. Values for Chemicals without California Public Health Goals: molinate*. www.oehha.ca.gov/risk/ChemicalDB/withoutPHG.asp?name=molinate&number=221267
- OEHHA (Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). 2000. *Draft Evaluation of Potential Health Effects of Eating Fish From Black Butte Reservoir (Glenn and Tehama Counties): Guidelines for Sport Fish Consumption*, Pesticide and Environmental Toxicology Section, California Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment.
- OMR (Office of Mine Reclamation). 2000. *California's Abandoned Mines – A Report on the Magnitude and Scope of the Issue in the State*. California Department of Conservation, Office of Mine Reclamation, Abandoned Mine Lands Unit (OMR). Sacramento, CA. June 2000.
- PANNA (Pesticide Action Network, North America). 2000. *DPR surface water database with exceedances indicated*. 9/24/00. PANNA
- Panshin, S.Y., N.M. Dubrovsky, J.M. Gronberg and J.L. Domagalski. 1998. *Occurrence and distribution of dissolved pesticides in the San Joaquin River Basin, California*. U.S. Geological Survey. Water Resources Investigations Report 98-4032. National Water Quality Assessment Program. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.
- Ross, L. 1992. *Preliminary Results of the San Joaquin River Study; Summer, 1991*. Memorandum to Kean Goh. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch. California Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. May 21, 1992.

- Ross, L. 1993. *Preliminary Results of the San Joaquin River Study; Summer, 1992*. Memorandum to Kean Goh. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch. California Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. September 22, 1993. As presented in C DPR, 2000a.
- Ross, L., J. Stein, J. Hsu, J. White, and K. Hefner. 1996. *Distribution and Mass Loading of Insecticides in the San Joaquin River, California: Winter 1991-92 and 1992-93*. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch. California Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. Report EH 96-02. November, 1996. As presented in C DPR, 2000a.
- Ross, L., J. Stein, J. Hsu, J. White, and K. Hefner. 1999. *Distribution and Mass Loading of Insecticides in the San Joaquin River, California: Spring 1991 and 1992*. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch. California Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. Report EH 99-01. April, 1999. As presented in C DPR, 2000a.
- Russick, K. 2001. *Characterization of OP Pesticides in Sacramento Urban Runoff and Receiving Waters*. Unpublished Draft CALFED Repot. Russik Environmental Consultant, Elk Grove, California.
- SCH EIR. 1996. *Draft EIR for The Penn Mine Site, Long-Term Solution Project*. Prepared for: East Bay Municipal Utility District and Regional Water Quality Control Board-Central Valley Region. SCH EIR No. 95103036. May 1996.
- Siepmann, S. and B.J. Finlayson. 2000. *Water Quality Criteria for Diazinon and Chlorpyrifos*. California Department of Fish and Game. Office of Spill Prevention and Response. Administrative Report 00-3. Sacramento, CA.
- Siepmann, S. and M.J. Jones. 1998a. *Hazard Assessment of the Insecticide Carbaryl to Aquatic Life in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River System*. California Department of Fish and Game. Office of Spill Prevention and Response. Administrative Report 98-1. Sacramento, CA.
- Siepmann, S. and S.B. Slater. 1998b. *Hazard Assessment of the Insecticide Malathion to Aquatic Life in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River System*. California Department of Fish and Game. Office of Spill Prevention and Response. Administrative Report 98-2. Sacramento, CA.
- Slotton, D.G., S.M. Ayers, and J.E. Reuter. 1996a. *Marsh Creek Watershed: 1995 Mercury Assessment Project—Final Report March 1996*. Report Prepared for Contra Costa County, March 1996.
- Slotton, D.G., S.M. Ayers, J.E. Reuter, and C.R. Goldman. 1995. *Gold Mining Impacts on Food Chain Mercury in Northwestern Sierra Nevada Streams*. Technical Completion Report for the University of California Resources Center, Project W-816. University of California, Davis, Division of Environmental Studies. August 1995.
- Slotton, D.G., S.M. Ayers, J.E. Reuter, and C.R. Goldman. 1996b. *Gold Mining Impacts on Food Chain Mercury in Northwestern Sierra Nevada Streams (1996 Revision)*. University of California, Davis, Division of Environmental Studies. December 1996.
- Slotton, D.G., S.M. Ayers, J.E. Reuter, and C.R. Goldman. 1997. *Cache Creek Watershed Preliminary Mercury Assessment, Using Benthic Macro-Invertebrates – Final Report, June 1997*. University of California, Davis, Division of Environmental Studies, June 1997.
- Slotton, D.G., S.M. Ayers, J.E. Reuter, C.R. Goldman. 1999. *Lower Putah Creek 1997-1998 Mercury Biological Distribution Study. February 1999*. Dept. of Environmental Science and Policy, University of California, Davis. February 1999.
- Slotton, D.G., T.H. Suchanek, and S.M. Ayers. 2000. *Delta Wetlands Restoration and the Mercury Question: Year 2 Findings of the CALFED UC Davis Mercury Study. IEP Newsletter. 13(4): 34-44*.
- SWRCB (State Water Resources Control Board). 1990. *Water Quality Problems Associated with Operation of Pardee and Camanche Reservoir*. State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Water Quality staff report.
- SWRCB (State Water Resources Control Board). 1995. *Toxic Substances Monitoring Program: Freshwater Bioaccumulation Monitoring Program: Data Base*. As presented in TSMP database (Metals_Wet). SWRCB (State Water Resources Control Board). 1999. *1998 California 303(d) List and Priority Schedule*. Approved by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9. May 12, 1999. (<http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/tmdl/docs/303d98.pdf>).
- SWRCB (State Water Resources Control Board). 2001. Undated memorandum from Stan Martinson, Chief, Division of Water Quality. *Solicitation of Water Quality Information*. E-mail sent 14 February 2001.
- Tetra Tech, Inc (for the Fall River Resource Conservation District). 1998. *Analysis of Sedimentation and Action Plan Development for the Upper Fall River, Shasta County, California*. San Francisco, Ca. May 20, 1998.

- USBR (U.S. Bureau of Reclamation). 2001. *U.S. Bureau of Reclamation DataWeb: Power Plants, Dams & Reservoirs*. Accessed on August 22, 2001 (<http://dataweb.usbr.gov/>).
- USDA (U.S. Department of Agriculture), River Basin Planning Staff, in cooperation with Fall River Resource Conservation District. 1983. *Fall River Watershed Area Study, Summary Report*. Davis, Ca. June 1983.
- USDHHS-ATSDR (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). 1994. *Public Health Statement for DDT, DDE, and DDD*. May 1994. (<http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/ToxProfiles/phs8908.html>)
- USDHHS-ATSDR (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). 1995. *ToxFAQs - DDT, DDE, and DDD*. September 1995. (<http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/tfacts35.html>)
- USDHHS-ATSDR (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). 1997. *Fish Sampling in Putah Creek, 1996, Laboratory for Energy Related Health Research, Davis, Yolo County California, Cerclis No. CA2890190000*. Agency for Toxic Substance and Disease Registry (ATSDR). April 1997.
- USDHHS-ATSDR (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). 1998. *Health Consolation, Fish Sampling in Putah Creek (Phase II), Laboratory for Energy Related Health Research, Davis, Yolo County California, Cerclis No. CA2890190000*. Agency for Toxic Substance and Disease Registry. September 1998.
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1976. *Quality Criteria for Water (The Red Book)*.
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1986a. *Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Bacteria*. EPA # 44015-84-002.
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1995. *Great Lakes Water Quality Initiative Technical Support Document for Wildlife Criteria*. EPA-820-B-95-009. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water. March 1995.
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1997a. *Mercury Study Report to Congress, Vol. 6. An Ecological Assessment for Anthropogenic Mercury Emissions in the United States*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning & Standards and Office of Research and Development. Washington, DC.
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1997b. *National Clarifying Guidance For 1998 State and Territory Clean Water Act Section 303(d) Listing Decisions*. USEPA Office of Water. August 17, 1997. (<http://www.epa.gov/owow/tmdl/lisgid.html>)
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1998. *Bacterial Water Quality Standards Status Report*. EPA-823-R-98-003. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water Report. May 1998.
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1999. *National Recommended Water Quality Criteria – Correction*. EPA 822-Z-99-001. April 1999. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water. Washington, DC. (<http://www.epa.gov/ost/pc/revcom.pdf>).
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2000a. *Water Quality Standards; Establishment of Numeric Criteria for Priority Toxic Pollutants for the State of California; Rule*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 40 CFR, Part 131, in Federal Register, Volume 65, No. 97. Thursday, May 18, 2000.
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2000b. *Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories. Volume 1, Fish Sampling and Analysis, Third Edition*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water. EPA 823-B-00-007. November, 2000.
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2001a. *Protocol for Developing Pathogen TMDLs*. EPA 841-R-00-002. Office of Water Report (4503F). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, DC. January 2001.
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2001b. *Water Quality Criterion for Protection of Human Health: Methylmercury*. EPA-823-R-01-001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Science and Technology. January 2001.
- USFDA (U.S. Food and Drug Administration). 1984. *Shellfish Sanitation Interpretation: Action Levels for Chemical and Poisonous Substances*. USFDA, Shellfish Sanitation Branch. Washington, DC. June 1984.
- USFDA (U.S. Food and Drug Administration). 1995. *Mercury in Fish: Cause for Concern?*. In *FDA Consumer* magazine, September 1994, with revisions made in May 1995. Washington, DC. As found at <http://www.fda.gov/fdac/reprints/mercury.html>. November, 2001.

- USFWS (U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service). 1992. *Before the State Water Resources Control: In the Matter of the Water Rights Hearing for the Lower Mokelumne River – Closing Statement, Enclosure 2 (EBMUD Data – Aluminum, Cadmium, Zinc, Iron and Zinc)*. Prepared by J.W. Burke, III (Regional Solicitor, USFWS Pacific Southwest Region) and Lynn Cox (Assistant Regional Solicitor, USFWS Pacific Southwest Region).
- USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). 1958-2000. California 7.5' Topographic Quadrangle, as presented by TopoZone.com (© 2000 Maps a la carte, Inc.). Accessed on March 13, 2001 (<http://www.topozone.com/default.asp>) *Ciervo Mountain* (1969), *Idria* (1969), *San Benito Mountain* (1981), and *Tumey Hills* (1971), *La Grange* (1987), *Westley* (1991), and *Brush Lake* (1969), *Chiles Valley*(1980), *Aetna Springs* (1987), *Walter Springs* (1987b.), *Desert Reservoir* (1997).
- USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). 2001. *National Water Information System*. <http://water.usgs.gov/nwis/> (August 28, 2001).
- Westcot, D.W., C.A. Enos, and P.A. Lowry. 1991. Preliminary Estimate of Salt and Trace Element Loading to the San Joaquin River by Ephemeral Streams Draining the Eastern Slope of the Coast Range (Diablo Range). CRWQCB-CVR. Sacramento, Ca.
- WHO (World Health Organization). 1993. *Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality*, 2nd edition. Geneva, WHO. www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/GDWQ/Chemicals/molinatesum.htm.
- Woodward-Clyde. 1992. *Source Identification and Control Report, December 1, 1992*. Report prepared for the Santa Clara Valley Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Program by Woodward-Clyde Consultants, Oakland, California.
- Young, K.D. and E.L. Thackston. 1999. *Housing density and bacterial loading in urban streams*. *Journal of Environment*