

## ENCLOSURE 1: SUMMARY OF THE PROPOSAL

On September 27, 2000, Assembly Bill 885 (**AB 885**) was chaptered into law, adding Chapter 4.5 (Section 13290 to 13291.5) to the Division 7 of the California Water Code (**CWC**). This law requires the State Water Resources Control Board to adopt regulations for the permitting or operation of onsite wastewater treatment systems (**OWTS**). In reference to the statute, the regulations or standards are to include, but are not be limited to:

1. Minimum operating requirements;
2. Requirements for OWTS adjacent to water bodies listed pursuant to Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act;
3. Requirements for authorizing local agencies to implement the State regulations or standards;
4. Requirements for corrective action;
5. Minimum requirements for monitoring to determine performance, as applicable;
6. Exemption criteria to be established by the Regional Water Boards;
7. Requirements for determining when a system is subject to major repair.

California has approximately 1.2 million OWTS that serve as sewage treatment and disposal systems for approximately 10% (3.4 million people) of the State's population. In several counties, more than 40% of the housing units use OWTS. Statewide, approximately 50% of housing units with OWTS-rely on a domestic well for drinking water. Given such facts, the proper treatment and disposal of this wastewater is important because the majority of it will pass through the soils underlying the OWTS to recharge groundwater.

OWTS is a permanent solution for solving existing and future sewage service needs. In the past, this view was not widely supported, as many believed that it was only a matter of time until most homes were served by a centralized collection system. However, history shows that many of the sewer lines were never built. Also, many OWTS were built to service vacation properties that have since become full-time residences.

Therefore, to assure protection of water quality at sites that rely upon OWTS, the State Water Board proposes a regulatory scheme with the mutually reinforcing focus areas:

1. For new and replaced OWTS, require that the design and installation to be done by a person technically qualified to recognize and respond appropriately to site-specific challenges including minimum soil depth requirements;
2. For all new OWTS, establish a process and minimum OWTS design requirements;
3. For new and replaced septic tanks, require an effluent screen to impede solids passing through from the septic tank to the dispersal field;

4. For all new OWTS, establish a process, design requirements and performance requirements for OWTS using supplemental treatment;
5. For new and replaced OWTS, require that the system designer and/or installer to provide the site owner with an Operating Manual;
6. For new and existing OWTS, require the system owner to monitor the septic tank solids levels every five years to ensure that pumping of the septic tank is done before solids begin to interfere with the operation of the OWTS;
7. For new and existing OWTS with onsite domestic wells, require the system owner to monitor the groundwater, every five years, and provide that information to the State Water Board. This requirement can be satisfied by monitoring the outside domestic well;
8. For new OWTS with supplemental treatment components, require the system owner to arrange for a service provider to conduct maintenance on the system, in accordance with the owner's manual;
9. For areas near an impaired surface water body, require existing OWTS to be replaced to meet the State Water Board's new performance requirements by a certain date and require new OWTS to be designed and built to meet those standards by a certain date that is earlier than the general application date for these regulations to new OWTS; and
10. Establish that the application of this regulatory scheme is the responsibility of the Regional Water Board, unless a qualified local agency enters into a formal written agreement or memorandum of understanding (MOU) to implement and enforce them.

# REVISED

## ENCLOSURE 2: DESCRIPTION OF THE SCIENTIFIC PORTION OF THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS TO BE ADDRESSED BY PEER REVIEWERS

The statute mandate for external scientific peer review (Health and Safety Code Section 57004) states that the reviewer's responsibility is to determine whether the scientific portion of the proposed rule is based upon sound scientific knowledge, methods, and practices.

We request that you make this determination for each of the following issues that constitute the scientific portion of the proposed regulatory action. An explanatory statement is provided for each scientific portion to focus the review.

An important caveat should be noted by the reviewers. The vast majority of existing OWTS are conventional systems (septic tank and dispersal system).

We anticipate that most new OWTS will be conventional systems due primarily to cost/affordability considerations. The proposed regulations include siting and design requirements for conventional OWTS that are intended to prevent surfacing effluent and achieve substantial reduction of pathogens (virus and bacteria) in the discharge from the OWTS dispersal system. With regard to other constituents in wastewater, soluble constituents that are not readily biodegradable, including nitrate in concentrations exceeding drinking water standard, will be found in the discharge from conventional system dispersal fields and will ultimately reach groundwater.

1. The regulations (§24901(c)(1 and 2)) would require that no person operate a new OWTS or increase the average pollutant loading to an existing OWTS with a design capacity to treat over 5,000 gallons-per-day without first notifying the Regional Water Board.

Experience shows that larger OWTS (greater than 3,500 gallons-per-day) are more likely to fail than smaller ones and are best limited to design flows of less than 6,000 gallons-per-day (Plews et al. 1985). For this reason, we propose that the State retain the option for direct oversight to ensure that larger OWTS are in compliance with this Chapter and the applicable basin plan. The 5,000 gallons-per-day (gpd) limit is based on existing requirements from the water quality control plan at the Colorado River Basin Regional Water Board. After notification, Regional Water Board staff is required to determine whether or not specific waste discharge requirements (WDRs) should be issued for the OWTS. Such WDRs may be more stringent than required by the proposed regulations to ensure protection of human health and water quality.

# REVISED

- 2. These regulations (§24910(a)(2)) specify that the wastewater entering the OWTS dispersal system must be reduced to have a biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and total suspended solids (TSS) concentrations not to exceed 150 mg/L in the septic tank effluent and prior to discharge to the dispersal system.**

Wastewater hydraulic loadings (i.e. application rates) are traditionally established for domestic septic tank effluent. This is true for those included in Table 2 and Figure 1 of the proposed regulations. However, it is noted that this range can vary greatly (Laak 1986). For this reason, a multitude of numbers could have been chosen. The proposed regulations require a wastewater BOD and TSS concentrations of not greater than 150 mg/L based on USEPA's presentation in Table 6-1 in their 1980 design manual and Table 4-3 in their 2002 design manual (USEPA 1980, 2002). This is also consistent with effluent from septic tanks with effluent filters (Crites 1998).

- 3. The proposed regulations (§24910(s)) require all new septic tanks to restrict solid particles in excess of 1/8 inch in diameter from passing through to the dispersal field.**

Removal of larger particles in the septic tank effluent is likely to prolong the life of the dispersal system. Mechanisms that reduce the amount of solids passing through the septic tank ultimately results in better effluent (Crites 1998).

Recognizing that better effluent reduces biomat clogging (Laak 1986), and possibly downstream problems in the dispersal system, this section requires that all new and replaced septic tanks be designed to minimize the passage of solids, especially neutrally buoyant solids, into the dispersal field (Byers 2001). Filter devices that omit solids with a diameter larger than 1/8" from passing to the dispersal field are widely available at a reasonable cost. The cost of these filters is inexpensive when compared to the commensurate benefit that they provide in protecting the dispersal field (Kahn 2000). Requiring such a device, as a standard feature, helps to ensure that the home/business owner's OWTS will provide good performance for as long as possible. These systems will require maintenance (USEPA 2002, Byers et. al. 2001).

- 4. The proposed regulations (24910(t and u)) would require owners of existing OWTS with a domestic well on their property to sample groundwater from a monitoring well downgradient and within 100 feet of the OWTS dispersal system every five years, and within 30 days of a new OWTS installation. Alternatively, the OWTS owner can elect to sample the onsite domestic well. The water sample would be analyzed for total coliforms and other constituents as specified in the Section and the results of the analysis reported electronically to the State Water Board.**

OWTS are identified as a possible contaminating activity (PCA) for groundwater (CA DHS 1999). OWTS contamination of water supplies is known to cause diseases such as infectious hepatitis, typhoid fever, dysentery, and various

# REVISED

gastrointestinal illnesses (US EPA 1977). It is also known that dissolved contaminant plumes from conventional OWTS can travel hundreds of feet and exceed drinking water standards (USEPA 2002). Thus, discharges from OWTS are known to impair or threaten impairment of beneficial uses of groundwater in the immediate vicinity of the discharge.

The direction of groundwater flow, and thus the direction of the OWTS discharge plume, is generally not known, requires a costly study to determine, and can change substantially due to seasonal variations or due to groundwater pumping. In a fractured rock environment, it is rarely possible to predict the direction of OWTS discharge flow.

Most, if not all, local agency ordinances allow domestic wells be installed as close as 100 feet from an OWTS. Domestic wells are known to be more vulnerable to surface contaminants than public supply wells due to less stringent and thus less costly construction standards (GAMA 2007, DWR 1981). There are no requirements for owners of domestic wells to sample and analyze their well water. Whereas public supply wells are subject to routine and stringent water quality testing to ensure that the public is not served water that exceeds drinking water standards, no such requirements exist for domestic wells.

Analyzing for total coliforms would provide an indication of whether the well was vulnerable to pathogen contamination. Analyzing for minerals commonly found in water would provide information on existing water quality and provide a baseline for long-term trend analysis. This is not intended to be a definitive OWTS performance analysis, simply a starting point to better understand the resource below the discharge. Monitoring information would also provide the owner with the quality of water being consumed.

For these reasons, the proposed regulations require all OWTS owners with an onsite domestic well located on the property to monitor groundwater either at a monitoring well designed to measure the impacts of the OWTS discharge and downgradient of the OWTS (within 100 feet) every five years or, alternatively, monitor their onsite domestic well every five years. The distance of 100 feet is chosen because it provides flexibility for monitoring well placement within the existing landscape. Owners of new OWTS that have an onsite domestic well would be required to monitor the groundwater in the vicinity of the OWTS, or their domestic well, within 30 days after construction of the OWTS. This 30-day requirement is reasonable to establish a water quality baseline at the inception of the OWTS discharge.

The monitoring will not only provide the homeowner with an analysis of their own water quality, but will also establish the existing background water quality for broader assessment of the impacts of OWTS on water quality. Monitoring of groundwater is not uncommon in the OWTS industry, particularly where groundwater is close to the surface. Although not directly intended for this purpose, drinking water well monitoring is also recognized as a potential means

# REVISED

of evaluating OWTS performance (USEPA 1980, USEPA 2002, Verstraeten 2004). It is expected that most well owners will monitor their domestic well rather than separately install a monitoring well, or wells, as the latter would be considerably more expensive. Domestic well monitoring will also provide the well owner with useful and public health-related information without the expense of installing additional wells. USEPA recommends that domestic wells be tested annually (USEPA 2002).

**5. A provision in proposed regulations (§24910(w)) "recommends" that water softener regeneration brine not be discharged to groundwater or OWTS**

The discharge of water softener backwash water can result in increases of total dissolved solids (cationic and anionic salts) in groundwater. Pollution of groundwater by brine coming from septic tanks has occurred (Perkins 1989). As a pollutant, the discharge of brine may not be in public interest because of the long-term adverse impacts on water quality. These proposed regulations recommend against this discharge to systems that may discharge to the groundwater.

Note that this is a recommendation, not a requirement, and is intended to highlight the increase in the salinity of the OWTS discharge associated with regeneration brine. Additionally, the adverse affect of sodium on OWTS dispersal systems, although not directly attributed to water softener regeneration brines, is described in Robert Patterson's Demonstration of effects on sodicity on soil hydraulic conductivity, Proceedings of conference on "Innovative Approaches to the On-site Management of Waste and Water." held at Southern Cross University Lismore, 26th of November, 1996.

**6. The proposed regulations (§24912) specify a protocol to determine the seasonal high groundwater level for purposes of OWTS siting (to establish the maximum depth of soil that remains continuously unsaturated in the proposed dispersal area).**

This protocol is a technical interpretation and relies on similar protocols established in several county OWTS ordinances. Regional Water Boards would be allowed to establish an alternative protocol.

Seasonal high groundwater, when in close proximity to the dispersal field, can result in inadequate treatment of wastewater (USEPA 2002). The draft regulations rely on soil mottling observations to determine seasonal groundwater levels because these soil conditions can provide a reliable indication, during the dry season, of what the maximum groundwater elevation is during the wet season, when the groundwater rises as a result of local recharging from infiltration of precipitation (USEPA 1980). The ten-foot requirement is based on professional judgment and is a reasonable depth, since it has been found that groundwater levels can fluctuate as much as 15 feet (Laak 1980). In fact, substantial groundwater elevation fluctuations due to recharge by rainfall has

# REVISED

been observed in some areas of California (County of Santa Cruz 1989). In some cases, groundwater monitoring is required because not all soil exhibits mottling when saturated (USEPA 1980).

- 7. Where a Regional Water Board requires OWTS to include disinfection to protect surface water or groundwater quality, the proposed regulations (§24913(c)) specify that OWTS supplemental treatment components must be designed to reduce total coliforms in the effluent.**

Total coliforms are used as a measure of pathogen quality based on their use in drinking water as required in California regulations (Section 64426.1, Article 3, Chapter 15, Division 4, Title 22).

The specific performance requirements contained in (§24913(c)) are intended to be equivalent to pathogen reduction obtained from a properly sited and designed conventional OWTS. The requirements are based on the State of Arizona's OWTS regulations (R18-9-A312, Article 2, Chapter 9, Title 18).

Disinfection is required where there are pathogen pollution problems [§24940(a)(2)] and where the soil is thin and suspected of not being capable of full pathogen treatment [§24914(i)]. It is found that disinfection systems, if adequately maintained, can operate to achieve full disinfection (Arizona 2005). In cases where the OWTS must provide supplemental treatment to remove pathogens, the draft regulations contain the following two performance requirements:

1. Highly permeable soils (coarse sand), areas with very thin soils, and soils with a high percentage of rock fragments may not provide the level of treatment necessary to effectively remove pathogens before entering groundwater (Canter et. al. 1985, p. 60-61). For these soil types, the proposed regulations contain a limit of 10 MPN/100 ml. This is very close to maximum disinfection achievable and leaves a very small population of viable microorganisms for the soil environment to remove in order to limit or exclude pathogens from entering groundwater. This level of disinfection may require additional contact time with the disinfection process (EPA 2002).
  2. The second disinfection performance requirement is for sites with soils that can be expected to provide reasonable treatment for pathogens (soils that consist with more fines mixed with sand). For these sites, the proposed performance requirement of 1000 MPN/100 ml is intended to remove pathogens by several logs and allow the environment to remove the remainder of the pathogens. According to literature, this second standard is readily achievable (USEPA 2002).
- 8. Where a Regional Water Board requires OWTS to remove nitrogen in order to protect surface water or groundwater quality, the proposed regulations**

# REVISED

**(§24913(d)) specify that OWTS supplemental treatment components must be designed to reduce total nitrogen in the effluent to 10 mg/l.**

The 10 mg/L effluent limit is based on the drinking water maximum contaminant level. Upon discharging to groundwater in a water table environment, contaminant plumes from OWTS tend to be long, narrow, definable, exhibiting little dispersion (U.S. EPA 2002). If the OWTS discharge is to a fractured rock environment, the discharge may travel considerable distances unpredictably with little or no dilution (Winneberger 1984). For this reason, nitrogen pollution from OWTS is a concern.

For OWTS sites where nitrogen is shown to be, or threatens to be a pollution problem, the SWRCB is proposing a performance standard as an effluent limit for total nitrogen. The performance standard for total nitrogen is based upon California drinking water standard (Section 64431(a), Article 4, Chapter 15, Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations) for nitrate. Unless removed, the majority of the nitrogen compounds will be transformed into nitrate in the soil and eventually enter the groundwater (Miller, et. al. 1975). Achieving the proposed performance standard for nitrogen has shown to be achievable by several technologies (SWRCB 2002).

**9. The regulations (§24913(e)) specify a protocol for certifying supplemental treatment technology by third parties.**

The proposed regulations require that all supplemental treatment components used in OWTS function as intended. To this end, all OWTS using supplemental treatment components are required to be designed by a qualified professional, as is required for a conventional OWTS. Even with such requirements, more skepticism confronts the use of proprietary technology. This is, in part, due to prior experiences with proprietary technology used as OWTS that either performed poorly or not at all (Pearson 1977). For that reason, required third party verification of proprietary technology is proposed in the draft regulations.

Third party certification is designed to screen out unreliable supplemental treatment technologies. The independent third party certification protocol required by the draft regulations is closely matched to the existing process used by the National Sanitation Foundation (NSF) International: Residential Wastewater Treatment Systems NSF/ANSI 40 (Standard 40). This was chosen because NSF International is widely recognized (Pearson 1977), has over 30 years of experience, and NSF has certified 315 different OWTS products from over 35 manufacturers (NSF International 2006). Although the protocol in the draft regulations is based on the NSF Program, any other independent third party that meets or exceeds the protocol standard will qualify for use under this draft rule.

# REVISED

**10. The proposed regulations (§24913(h)) require weekly operational inspections of disinfection supplemental treatment units.**

Disinfection is required where pathogen contamination already exists [§24940(a)(2)] and where soils are thin [§24914(i)] and unlikely to be capable of adequate pathogen removal. Where such treatment is required, a malfunctioning supplemental treatment system can be a serious pollution source for surface water and groundwater if left unattended. This is an emergency situation where disinfection is required for the protection of public health. Unfortunately, disinfection processes have been shown to be subject to more frequent problems than other types of supplemental treatment (SWRCB 2006, Sexstone et. al. 2001). For this reason, the draft regulations require that all OWTS with supplemental treatment for disinfection have a monitoring system that ensures that the disinfection unit is operating properly either through continual monitoring, or, otherwise, frequent inspections. Telemetry is available for OWTS, affordable and is capable of assessing the operation processes (Jespersion 2000).

**11. The proposed regulations (§24914(b)) require that all dispersal systems except seepage pits be sized using bottom area as the infiltrative surface.**

Both the bottom and sidewall areas of the dispersal system excavation can be infiltration surfaces; however, if the sidewall is to be an infiltrative surface, the bottom surface must be overloaded and, therefore, pond. Continuous ponding of the infiltration surface results in a significant loss of hydraulic capacity of bottom. Loss of the bottom surface for infiltration will cause the ponding depth to increase over time as the sidewall also clogs. If allowed to continue, premature hydraulic failure of the system is plausible. Therefore, including sidewall area as an active infiltration surface is not recommended (USEPA 2002).

Also, the proposed rule requires effluent to be dispersed into subsurface soils in a manner that maximizes unsaturated zone treatment and aerobic decomposition of soluble and particulate organic compounds and other pollutants in the effluent [§24910(b)]. Designing the dispersal area using the sidewall as the primary dispersal area leads to deeper trenches, thus less evapotranspiration potential, reduced access to aeration through the soil column, and usually omits some of the best soils for OWTS treatment (USEPA 1980).

**12. The proposed regulations specify maximum design application rates for sizing the dispersal systems in Figure 1 and Table 2.**

Wastewater application rates are established for pathogen reduction and long-term unsaturated soil treatment of the wastewater and to prevent surfacing of OWTS effluent in the dispersal system. The wastewater application rates contained in Figure 1 and Table 2 are based on application rates specified in the North Coast Regional Water Board's Water Quality Control Plan (North Coast Regional Water Board 2006). These application rates are within the range of

# REVISED

recommended/suggested values contained in both USEPA design manuals (USEPA 1980, USEPA 2002).

**13. The proposed regulations (Figure 2, §24914(c) and 24914(d)) would require additional unsaturated soil depth where excessive rock fragments exist in the dispersal system.**

Soils with a high fraction of coarse fragments (gravel, cobbles and rock) pose a problem for the treatment of the wastewater because the volume occupied by the coarse fragments is not available for providing the treatment of the wastewater (Woessner et. al. 1987, Ver Hey et. al. 1987). For that reason, at least one state in the nation requires that this rock fraction not be credited as part of the soil column, thus requiring compensation for the rock content (State of Wisconsin 2004). In considering this, the proposed regulations find that when the gravimetric fraction of coarse fragments in earthen material is greater than thirty percent, the effective depth of porous media is reduced by approximately one foot of treatment volume for conventional OWTS (30% of 3 feet). Accordingly, 30 percent seemed a reasonable level to require compensation. This decision is based on best professional judgment and the fact that on the 2002 U.S. EPA Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems Manual (EPA/625/R-00/008) cites studies demonstrating that normal operation of OWTS "results in the retention and die-off of most, if not all, observed pathogenic bacterial indicators within 2 to 3 feet of the infiltrative surface" in the dispersal system.

If the soil contains 30% or greater coarse fragments, the proposed regulations require that the OWTS design either to compensate for the loss of available soil for effluent treatment using Figure 2 or to reduce the application rate. Figure 2 is a direct 1:1 soil volume replacement graph on a semi-log scale for easier use. Otherwise, the proposed regulations allow OWTS designers to compensate for the loss of available soil for effluent treatment by reducing the application rate proportionally to the percent rock in the earthen material. This is an important provision for sites that have limited soil depth but that have enough area to spread the effluent through an enlarged dispersal field. For either method, the compensation for the treatment volume lost is a straight percentage calculation (based on the gravimetric analysis).

**14. The proposed regulations contain a requirement (§24914(c)) for a minimum of 3 feet of unsaturated soil in the dispersal system to treat septic tank effluent in order to reduce pathogens.**

The requirement for conventional OWTS is based on the 2002 U.S. EPA Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems Manual (EPA/625/R-00/008). The Manual cites studies demonstrating that normal operation of OWTS "results in the retention and die-off of most, if not all, observed pathogenic bacterial indicators within 2 to 3 feet of the infiltrative surface" in the dispersal system. This separation assumes that the hydraulic loading is done in a manner that promotes unsaturated conditions.

# REVISED

- 15. The proposed regulations contain a provision (§24914(d)) that allows using third-party certified wastewater treatment processes (supplemental treatment) as a surrogate for one foot of soil treatment (i.e. the regulations allow a minimum of 2 feet of unsaturated soil for OWTS with supplemental treatment rather than 3 feet of unsaturated soil required for conventional OWTS), provided that those processes meet performance requirements (§24913 (b), (c)) prior to discharge.**

The requirement for conventional OWTS is based on the 2002 U.S. EPA Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems Manual (EPA/625/R-00/008). The Manual cites studies demonstrating that normal operation of OWTS "results in the retention and die-off of most, if not all, observed pathogenic bacterial indicators within 2 to 3 feet of the infiltrative surface" in the dispersal system. This separation assumes that the hydraulic loading is done in a manner that promotes unsaturated conditions

The proposed regulations would allow use of the lower end of the range cited above (i.e. 2 feet) provided that supplemental treatment components are used that achieve a biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and total suspended solids (TSS) concentrations in the discharge to the OWTS dispersal field of 30 mg/L and 30 mg/L, respectively. This provision is intended for sites with limited unsaturated soil depth. This approach is taken because it is found that effluent treatment can be substituted for soil depth (Duncan et. al 1994). It is reported that supplemental treatment reduces some pathogens several logs (USEPA 2002)

- 16. The proposed regulations (§24914(e)) would allow up to one equivalent foot (1.5 feet) of engineered sand fill (material specifications in Table 2) as a substitute for the lack of suitable native unsaturated soil below the OWTS.**

The proposed rule allows the use of fill to make up for the lack of adequate soil depth, up to a maximum of one foot. The placement of fill material to serve as a treatment media and as a means to increase soil separation from sensitive receptors is not new and has been used in onsite wastewater treatment for several decades. These systems were usually constructed to overcome site constraints like shallow soils or high groundwater elevations (Goldstein et. al. 1973, Machmeier 1977, Salvato, 1975, USEPA 1980). The development of the mound system (a.k.a. Wisconsin Mound) is an example of the use of fill, although the mound is excluded from this provision so that nothing in this provision restricts the design of mound systems, which have had considerable design review and research. In fact, Table 2 is a specification for Wisconsin mound sand (Converse et. al. 2000). This is done because soils considered good for this application are coarse, non-cohesive, single-grained, materials (i.e. sand) so that compaction and the creation of impermeable lenses is minimized (Engle et. al. 1982, Converse 2000).

# REVISED

Because of the nature of the fill and concern for rapid permeability of the material (uniform, single grain material), the draft regulations require a 1.5-to 1 replacement of fill to native soil based on best professional judgment. For example, a conventional OWTS and an OWTS using supplemental treatment with one foot of soil equivalent (fill) will have a minimum separation to seasonal high groundwater of three foot six inches (3'6") and two foot six inches (2'6"), respectively. The fifty percent increase in fill over native soil is required as a factor of safety and is based to ensure that these systems have sufficient soil to provide unsaturated retention time. This factor of safety is reasonable since sand is a granular soil texture that usually contains no structure and therefore primarily relies on space between the soil particles, usually resulting in rapid permeability (USEPA 1980, 2002).

**17. The proposed regulations (§24914(g)) would allow design of gravel-less dispersal systems with a reduction (adjustment multiplier of 0.7) of the minimum required dispersal system area for effluent application.**

It has been shown in the laboratory and in the field that gravel-less chambers function as well as conventional dispersal systems even when the system size is reduced by as much as fifty percent (King, et. al. 2002). When gravel-less chambers are sized equivalently to conventional OWTS, it has been shown that the long-term acceptance rate can be 1.5 to 2 times higher than that of conventional OWTS dispersal systems (Seigrist et. al. 2004). For this reason, SWRCB staff has included a multiplier allowing the reduction of the dispersal system when chambers are used.

**18. The proposed regulations (§24914(h)) would require a minimum of six inches of soil over shallow subsurface dispersal systems.**

Drip dispersal and pressure dispersal systems distribute wastewater across the dispersal field in a manner that is more uniform than conventional gravity dispersal systems (USEPA 2002). With relatively uniform distribution of the wastewater, there is a tendency to raise these systems closer to the land surface (Beggs, et. al. 2004). Drip dispersal systems are the best method to distribute the wastewater uniformly and pose less of a threat to the environment than a conventional dispersal field, due to the fact that to do so optimizes the retention of pollutants and allows the dispersal of the wastewater into the root dispersal field (Watson 2004). Accordingly, the proposed regulations allow these systems to be placed less than six (6) inches from the ground surface. This is supported in literature (Crites 1998).

**19. The proposed regulations contain conditions for the use and placement of seepage pits specified in §24914(i)(1 through 3).**

§24914(i)(1) — Historically, seepage pits are used where land area is too limited for a leachfield or bed or where the upper 3 to 4 feet of soil is poor for OWTS and

# REVISED

underlain by a more permeable soil (USEPA 1980). Seepage pits primarily rely on, and are accordingly designed for using, the sidewall as the infiltrative surface (USEPA 2002, Kaplan 1987). This portion of the draft regulations is consistent with those existing standards. Since seepage pits, due to their depth, are believed to be a greater threat as a pollution source than other types of dispersal systems, the draft regulations require that other dispersal system types be considered before the use of seepage pits (USEPA 2002).

§24914(i)(2) — The proposed regulations require a separation from groundwater of at least 10 feet at all times for seepage pits. This is consistent with Appendix K of the California Plumbing Code (CPC 2000). Unfortunately, Appendix K does not apply to one- and two-family dwellings or where or local government has approved alternate installations or facilities. This creates no statewide minimum standard for OWTS. Since this requirement is in the California Plumbing Code, this separation requirement may already be deemed to be previously peer-reviewed.

§24914(i)(3)— Soil is a determining factor for all OWTS, including those using seepage pits. The unsaturated flow through the soil is expected to provide treatment of the wastewater. Where soil thickness between the bottom of the seepage pit and rock is less than ten feet but greater than two feet, the lack of soil available for providing treatment requires that the OWTS must provide additional treatment as mitigation. For this reason, the proposed regulations require that the OWTS meet performance standards contained in §24913(a) for conventional pollutants and must meet the pathogen requirements contained in §24913(b) before discharging into the seepage pit. Similarly, in a case where soil thickness between the bottom of the seepage pit and rock is less than two feet, the OWTS must meet performance standards contained in §24913(a) for conventional pollutants and must meet the pathogen requirements contained in §24913(b) (1) before discharging into the seepage pit as mitigation. The intent of these requirements is to provide active treatment and reduce pathogen indicators to very low levels before discharging to compensate for the lack of soil treatment. This is acceptable because, by providing a higher level of treatment, supplemental systems do not require as much soil as standard septic systems to perform an equivalent or greater level of treatment (Duncan et. al. 1994).

- 20. The proposed regulations (§24914(j)) require that evapotranspiration beds be designed to remove, without spilling over, all the expected wastewater generated at the site plus rainfall that is expected to have a return frequency of once every 25 years on annual, monthly and seasonal basis.**

The purpose of these requirements is to ensure that the designer of the evapotranspiration and infiltration system considers the hydrologic cycle in full so as to minimize insufficient designs leading to overflow. Precipitation falling on and being captured in OWTS using ET systems must be capable of disposing of this extra wastewater. SWRCB guidance (SWRCB 1980) recommends that the design assume that 100 percent of all the rainfall on the bed enters the OWTS.

# REVISED

To clarify whether this is the 100-year probability event, average rainfall or some other level of rainfall event, the 25-year return frequency was selected because it is considered the probable design life for the OWTS, although this translates to a four percent chance of exceeding rainfall in any one year.

- 21. The proposed regulations in Article 4 (§24940) would require the owners of all OWTS within 600 lateral feet of a water body listed as impaired pursuant to §303(d) of the Federal Clean Water Act, to take specified actions where OWTS (in general) were identified as contributing to the impairment of the water body by the Regional Water Board. For purposes of this Section, impairment is limited to nitrate or bacterial contamination.**

The proposed regulations establish a capture distance (600 feet) in lieu of requiring a case-by-case determination regarding each OWTS contribution. This approach is preferred because of cost concerns regarding actual groundwater transport studies. However, the OWTS owner(s) would have the option of conducting a groundwater study to determine whether their OWTS impacts the impaired water body. The 600 feet distance is based on: California Department of Health Services (DHS), *Drinking Water Source Assessment and Protection Program*. As detailed in the document (page 54), a radial distance established a microbial/direct chemical contamination zone to protect public drinking water supply wells from possible contaminating activities associated with viral, microbial and direct chemical contamination. OWTS are identified as possible contaminating activities posing "very high potential risks" (CA DHS 1999, pg 54, 92).

As detailed in the document (page 54), a radial distance established a microbial/direct chemical contamination zone to protect water supply from viral, microbial and direct chemical contamination. For porous media aquifers, 600 feet was the recommended minimum distance considered to be sufficiently conservative for protection from microbial contaminants (as well as chemical contaminants such as nitrate). As a general requirement, this is similar to the DHS approach due to the contaminants and source involved. As stated in subsequent subsections [i.e. §24940(b) and §24940(c)], site-specific studies are allowed that may exempt OWTS within the default setback.

The regulations require the removal of conventional pollutants (BOD and TSS) as well as the pollutant of concern OWTS that remove nitrogen and pathogens also remove conventional pollutants to the performance standards when properly operating.

# REVISED

## The Big Picture

Reviewers are not limited to addressing only the specific issues presented above, and are asked to contemplate the following "big picture" questions:

- (a) Are there any additional issues that are part of the scientific basis of the proposed regulations that are not described above?
- (b) Taken as a whole, is the scientific portion of the proposed regulations based upon sound scientific knowledge, methods, and practices?

Reviewers should also note that some portions of the proposed regulations may rely significantly on professional judgment where available scientific data are not as extensive as desired to support the statute requirement for absolute scientific rigor. In these situations, the proposed course of action is favored over no action.

The preceding guidance will ensure that reviewer have an opportunity to comment on all aspects of the scientific basis of the proposed State Water Board action. At the same time, reviewers also should recognize that the State Water Board has a legal obligation to consider and respond to all feedback on the scientific portions of the proposed regulations. Because of this obligation, reviewers are encouraged to focus feedback on the scientific issues that are relevant to the central regulatory elements being proposed.

### **ENCLOSURE 3: INDIVIDUALS INVOLVED IN PROPOSED REGULATIONS**

The overall establishment of these regulations was a long (5years), controversial, and involved process. Many drafts were generated and many drafts were scrapped. Consultation occurring over that same period during the process was also very involved. For the sake of being complete, State Water Board staff has taken special effort to identify everyone involved in the process of the time span, even though their contribution may have been limited with respect to the current draft rule. They are as follows:

#### **State Water Board Staff:**

James Giannopoulos, P.E.  
Lisa Babcock, P.G.  
Todd Thompson, P. E.  
Cecil Martin, R.S.  
Walt Shannon, P.E.  
Ed Wosika  
Darren Polhemus, P.E.  
Marleigh Wood, J.D.  
Ted Cobb, J.D.  
Phillip Wyels, J.D.

#### **Regional Water Board Staff (Advisory):**

Charles Reed, P.E.  
Theresa Wistrom  
Blair Allen, P.E.  
Howard Kolb, P.E.  
Kwangil Lee, Ph.D.  
Wendy Phillips, P.G.  
Wendy Wyels  
Ronald Dykstra, P.E.  
Jim Pedri, P.E.  
Alan Miller, P.E.  
Logan Raub  
Jon Rokke, P.E.  
Jun Martirez, P.E.  
Susan Beeson  
Dat Quach, P.E.  
Charles Springer  
Joan Stormo, P.G.

**Consultants:**

Susan Dupre (facilitator)  
Leslie DePol (facilitator)  
Greg Gallagher (facilitator)  
Steven Ekstrom (facilitator)

**Academic Scholars (Advisory):**

George Tchobanoglous, Ph.D.  
Harold Leverenz, Ph.D.  
Kenneth Kerri, Ph.D.  
Robert Rubin, Ph.D.

**Advisory Committee(s):**

Norman Hantsche, P.E. (Questa Engineering)  
Barbara Bradley, P.E. (Advanced Onsite Systems, Inc)  
Terry Schmidbauer, REHS (Solano County)  
Doug Ames, REHS (Lassen County)  
Ted Walker, REHS (Sonoma County)  
Don Holm, REHS (Glen County)  
Richard Holmer, REHS (Sonoma County)  
Ken Stuart, REHS (Contra Costa County)  
Richard Wilson, REHS (Santa Cruz County)  
Tibor Banathy, REHS (California Wastewater Training and Research Center)  
Mark Gold, D.Env. (Heal the Bay)  
Leslie Mintz (Heal the Bay)  
Mark Capron, P.E. (Ventura Regional Sanitation District)  
Bill Carpenter (Envirocycle)  
Walt Kruse, REHS (Tuolumne County)  
Christina Edvardsson, P.E. (Microseptec)  
Armando Alegria, REHS (County of Marin)  
David Dauwalder, P.E. (Dauwalder Engineering)  
Peggy Langle, REHS (Santa Barbara County)  
Mark Jeude, (CA Department of Health)  
Richard Blood, REHS (CA Department of Health)  
Jean Choi, P.E. (Ocean Conservancy)  
Beth Owen (Ocean Conservancy)  
Andrea Shepard, Ph.D. (NDWRCDP)  
Brian White (Building Industry Association)  
Eileen Reynolds (California Association of Realtors)  
Jim Hemminger, P.E. (Regional Council for Rural Counties)  
Vic Peterson, P.E. (City of Malibu)  
Joe Soulia (Orenco)  
John Ricker, REHS (Santa Cruz)  
Larry Young, J.D., REHS (city of Malibu)  
Ron Torres, REHS (Alameda County)  
Darrell Siegrist, REHS (Ventura County)

**Advisory Committee(s) - continued:**

Dale Dunnells, (Infiltrator)

Robert Gallagher, REHS (Ventura county)

Robert Greenhaugh, REHS (CA Department of Health Services)

Derek Lee (CA Coastal Commission)

Steven Dix (Infiltrator)

## ENCLOSURE 4: DRAFT REGULATIONS – PEER REVIEW

### Division 4. Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems

#### Subdivision 1. General Requirements

### CHAPTER 7. ONSITE WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEMS (OWTS)

#### ARTICLE 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

##### §24900. SWRCB – General Definitions.

Except as otherwise indicated in this Article, definitions of terms used in the SWRCB-promulgated portions of this Chapter shall be those set forth in Division 7 (commencing with Section 13000) of the Water Code and Chapter 6.5 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code (commencing with Section 25100).

“**At-grade system**” means an OWTS dispersal system with a discharge point located at the preconstruction grade (ground surface elevation). The discharge from an at-grade system is always subsurface.

“**Basin plan**” means the same as “water quality control plan” as defined in Division 7 (commencing with Section 13000) of the Water Code. Basin plans are adopted by each Regional Water Board, approved by the SWRCB and the Office of Administrative Law, and identify surface water and groundwater bodies within each Region’s boundaries and establish, for each, its respective beneficial uses and water quality objectives. Copies are available from the Regional Water Boards.

“**Bedrock**” means the rock, usually solid, that underlies soil or other unconsolidated, surficial material.

“**Certification**” means an expression of professional opinion in the form of a certificate, stamp, or signature that the OWTS, or its components, meets industry standards that are the subject of the certification, but does not constitute a warranty or guarantee, either express or implied. For proprietary supplemental treatment systems, certification is a statement that indicates the subject system has demonstrated performance through an independent, third-party evaluation of performance data as required in §24913(e), but does not constitute a warranty or guarantee, either express or implied.

“**Cesspool**” means an excavation in the ground receiving wastewater, designed to retain the organic matter and solids, while allowing the liquids to seep into the soil. Cesspools differ from seepage pits because cesspool systems do not have septic tanks.

“**Clay**” means a soil particle; the term also refers to a type of soil texture. As a soil particle, clay consists of individual rock or mineral particles in soils having diameters <0.002 mm in diameter. As a soil texture, clay is the soil material that is comprised as 40 percent or more clay particles and not more than 45 percent sand and not more than 40 percent silt particles.

“**Community water supply**” means a public water system regulated by the California Department of Health Services or a local health department.

“**Conventional system**” means an OWTS consisting of a septic tank and a subsurface system for dispersal of septic tank effluent. A gravity subsurface dispersal system may be a leachfield or seepage pit. A conventional system may include septic tank effluent pumping where the dispersal area is located at a higher elevation than the associated septic tank or to accomplish uniform distribution. Properly sited, designed, installed and operated conventional systems are capable of nearly complete removal of suspended solids, biodegradable organic compounds and fecal coliform bacteria. However, other pollutants may not be removed to acceptable levels. Conventional systems can be expected to remove no more than 10 to 40% of the total nitrogen compounds (TN) in domestic wastewater after final soil treatment.

“**Dispersal system**” means a leachfield, seepage pit, mound, at-grade, subsurface drip field, evapotranspiration and infiltration bed, or other type of system for final wastewater treatment and subsurface discharge.

“**Domestic wastewater**” means the type of wastewater normally discharged from or similar to that discharged from plumbing fixtures, appliances and other household devices including, but not limited to toilets, bathtubs, showers, laundry facilities, dishwashing facilities, and garbage disposals. Domestic wastewater does not include wastewater from industrial processes other than inputs considered *de minimis* (less than 5 percent).

“**Domestic well**” means a groundwater well that provides water for human consumption and is not regulated by the California Department of Health Services.

**"Dosing tank"** means a watertight receptacle located between an OWTS treatment unit (i.e. septic tank or supplemental treatment unit) and a dispersal area equipped with an automatic siphon device or pump designed to discharge wastewater intermittently in the distribution lines in amounts proportioned to the capacity of such lines and to provide adequate rest periods between such discharges.

**"Earthen material"** means a substance composed of the earth's crust (i.e. soil and rock).

**"EDF"** see "electronic deliverable format."

**"Effluent"** means the wastewater discharged from an OWTS treatment component or any portion thereof.

**"Electronic deliverable format"** or **"EDF"** means the data standard adopted by the SWRCB for submittal of groundwater quality monitoring data to the SWRCB's internet-accessible database system. **"Engineered Fill"** means soil that meets the criteria in Table 3 in §24914 and that is designed and constructed to assist in treatment and drainage of OWTS effluent. Engineered fill systems are not the same as "mound systems."

**"ETI"** see "Evapotranspiration and infiltration bed."

**"Evapotranspiration and infiltration (ETI) bed"** means a subsurface dispersal bed in which soil capillarity and root uptake help to disperse the effluent from a septic tank or supplemental treatment system through surface evaporation, soil absorption, and plant transpiration.

**"Existing OWTS"** means an OWTS that was either permitted by the applicable local agency or legally installed before the effective date of this Chapter.

**"Fecal coliform bacteria"** are indicator bacteria common to the digestive systems of warm-blooded animals that are cultured in standard tests to indicate either contamination from wastewater or the level of disinfection.

**"Fines"** are soil particles with a diameter less than 0.05 millimeters. Fines consist of silt- or clay-sized particles.

**"Gravel-less chamber"** system means a buried structure used to create an aggregate-free absorption area for infiltration and treatment of wastewater.

**"Grease interceptor"** means a passive interceptor that has a rate of flow exceeding 50 gallons-per-minute and that is located outside a building. Grease interceptors are used for separating and collecting grease from wastewater.

**"Groundwater"** means water below the land surface that is at or above atmospheric pressure.

**"High-strength waste"** means wastewater from an establishment (e.g. restaurant, other food service), home, or business (e.g. brewery) having a 24-hour average concentration of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) greater than 300 milligrams-per-liter (mg/L) or of total suspended solids (TSS) greater than 300 mg/L.

**"Major repair"** means any repair required for an OWTS constructed after the effective date of this Chapter due to surfacing wastewater effluent or, for OWTS with supplemental treatment where the effluent concentration exceeds the requirements contained in §24913(b), §24913(c), or §24913(d).

**"Memorandum of understanding"** (MOU) means a formal agreement between the Regional Water Board and a local agency. The agreement authorizes the local agency to administer the OWTS discharge program in lieu of direct State regulation of discharges from OWTS.

**"Mottling"** means a soil condition that results from oxidizing or reducing conditions due to soil moisture changes from saturated conditions to unsaturated conditions over time. Mottling is characterized by spots or blotches of different colors or shades of color (grays and reds) interspersed within the dominant color as described by the United States Department of Agriculture soil classification system. This soil condition can be indicative of historic seasonal high groundwater level.

**"MOU"** please see "Memorandum of understanding."

**"Mound system"** means an aboveground dispersal system (covered sand bed with effluent leachfield elevated above original ground surface inside) used to enhance soil treatment, dispersal, and absorption of effluent discharged from an OWTS treatment unit such as a septic tank. Mound systems have a subsurface discharge and specific design parameters.

**"New Lot"** means a lot recorded after the effective date of this Chapter.

**"New OWTS"** means an OWTS permitted after the effective date of this Chapter.

**"Onsite wastewater treatment system(s)"** (OWTS) has the same meaning as found in §13290 of the California Water Code. The short form of the term may be singular or plural.

**"Percolation test"** means a method of testing water absorption of the soil. The test is conducted with clean water and test results can be used to establish the dispersal system design.

**"Performance requirements"** means the maximum allowable concentrations of BOD, TSS, total nitrogen (TN), and total coliform resulting from the active treatment of domestic wastewater from an OWTS.

**"Permit"** means a document that allows the installation and use of an OWTS. The term refers to any one of the following:

1. A conditional waiver of waste discharge requirements issued by a Regional Water Board;
2. Waste discharge requirements issued by a Regional Water Board or the SWRCB; or
3. A document, so named, issued by a local agency that is operating under an MOU or other agreement with a regional water board or SWRCB pursuant to these regulations.

**"Person"** means any individual, firm, association, organization, partnership, business trust, corporation, company, State agency or department, or unit of local government who is, or that is, subject to this Chapter.

**"Pollutant"** means any substance that pollutes water and may potentially affect the beneficial uses of water, as listed in a basin plan.

**"Pressure distribution"** means a type of dispersal system employing a pump or automatic siphon and distribution piping with small diameter perforations (1/4 of an inch or less) or drip emitters to introduce effluent into the soil with uniform distribution.

**"Qualified professional"** means an individual who possesses a registered environmental health specialist certificate or is currently licensed as a professional engineer or professional geologist.

**"Record Plan"** means the document prepared by either a qualified professional or person authorized to install OWTS pursuant to §24910(h). Record plans detail the "as-built" installation of the OWTS, including but not limited to final placement of an OWTS its components, sizes and the specifications of components.

**"Replaced OWTS"** means an OWTS that has its treatment capacity expanded, or its dispersal system replaced, after the effective date of this Chapter.

**"Rock"** means any naturally formed aggregate of one or more minerals (e.g., granite, shale, marble); or a body of undifferentiated mineral matter (e.g. obsidian), or of solid organic matter (e.g., coal) that is greater than 0.08 inches (2mm) in size.

**"Sand"** means a soil particle; this term also refers to a type of soil texture. As a soil particle, sand consists of individual rock or mineral particles in soils having diameters ranging from 0.05 to 2.0 millimeters in diameter. As a soil texture, sand is the soil material that is comprised as 85 percent or more sand particles and the percentage of silt plus 1.5 times the percentage of clay particles is less than 15 percent.

**"Seepage pit"** means a drilled or dug excavation, three to six feet in diameter, either lined or gravel filled, that receives the effluent discharge from a septic tank or other OWTS treatment unit for dispersal.

**"Septic tank"** means a watertight, covered receptacle designed for primary treatment of wastewater and constructed to:

1. Receive wastewater discharged from a building;
2. Separate settleable and floating solids from the liquid;
3. Digest organic matter by anaerobic bacterial action;
4. Store digested solids; and
5. Clarify wastewater for further treatment with final subsurface discharge.

**"Septic tank effluent"** means wastewater discharged from a septic tank.

**"Service provider"** means a person capable of operating, monitoring, and maintaining an OWTS consistent with the requirements and responsibilities in §24910(k), §24913(g), §24913(h), §24914(f), and the O&M manual or capable of inspecting a septic tank in accordance with §24910(v) of this Chapter.

**"Shallow dispersal system"** means a dispersal system designed to apply wastewater at the upper layer of the soil column using pressure distribution.

**"Silt"** means a soil particle; this term also refers to a type of soil texture. As a soil particle, silt consists of individual rock or mineral particles in soils having diameters ranging from between 0.05 and 0.002 mm in diameter. As a soil texture, silt

is the soil material that is comprised as approximately 80 percent or more silt particles and not more than 12 percent clay particles.

“Site” means the location of the OWTS and, where applicable, a reserve dispersal area capable of disposing 100 percent of the design flow from all sources the OWTS is intended to serve.

“Site Evaluation” means an assessment of the characteristics of the site sufficient to determine its suitability for an OWTS to meet the requirements of this Chapter.

“Soil” means the naturally occurring body of porous mineral and organic materials on the land surface, and is composed of unconsolidated materials, including sand-sized, silt-sized, and clay-sized particles mixed with varying amounts of larger fragments and organic material. The various combinations of particles differentiate specific soil textures identified in the soil textural triangle developed by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) as found in Soil Survey Staff, USDA; **Soil Survey Manual, Handbook 18**, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1993; p. 138.. For the purposes of this chapter, soil shall contain earthen material of particles smaller than 0.08 inches (2 mm) in size.

“Soil permeability” means a measure of the ability of a soil to transmit liquids.

“Soil texture” means the soil class that describes the relative amount of sand, clay, silt and combinations thereof as defined by the classes of the soil textural triangle developed by the USDA (referenced above).

“Supplemental treatment” means any OWTS or component of an OWTS, except a septic tank or dosing tank that performs additional wastewater treatment so that the effluent meets the performance requirements of §24913 prior to discharge of effluent into the dispersal field.

“Telemetric” means the ability to automatically measure and transmit OWTS data by wire, radio, or other means..

“Total coliform” means a group of bacteria consisting of several *genera* belonging to the family *Enterobacteriaceae*, which includes fecal coliform bacteria.

“Waste discharge requirement” means an operation and discharge permit issued for the discharge of waste pursuant to Section 13260 of the California Water Code.

**Authority Cited:** CA Water Code § 13291, § 1058

**Reference:** CA Water Code § 13291(b)

### **§24901. SWRCB -- Applicability and General Requirements.**

- (a) Minimum requirements for the permitting, monitoring, and operation of OWTS for preventing conditions of pollution and nuisance are established in this Chapter. Regional Water Boards and local agencies implementing the OWTS regulations retain the option of establishing requirements for OWTS that are more protective of water quality than the requirements contained in this Chapter.
- (b) This Chapter applies to all new OWTS and to all existing OWTS, although this Chapter addresses these two groups of OWTS in different ways.
- (c) No person shall do any of the following without first notifying the Regional Water Board:
  - (1) operate either a new OWTS or an OWTS that has been relocated, expanded, repaired or replaced with the capacity to treat over 5,000 gallons-per-day.
  - (2) increase the average pollutant loading of the waste stream going into an OWTS with the capacity to treat over 5,000 gallons-per-day.
  - (3) change the type (e.g., from domestic to commercial) of the waste stream entering an OWTS.
  - (4) discharge wastewater above the design flow into an OWTS.
- (d) New OWTS and replaced OWTS shall be operated and maintained to perform as designed.
- (e) This Chapter shall be implemented through conditional waivers of WDRs by the SWRCB or Regional Water Boards.
- (f) OWTS regulated by WDRs may be exempted from the requirements of this Chapter by Regional Water Boards.

(g) A local agency may implement this Chapter, or a portion thereof, as authorized by the SWRCB or by a Regional Water Board through agreement, adopted resolution, or Memorandum of Understanding (MOU). Any MOU, adopted resolution, or similar agreement must require adherence to these regulations and the applicable Regional Water Board basin plan.

**Authority Cited:** CA Water Code §1058, 13291

**Reference:** CA Water Code §13291(d), 13291(e)

### **§24910. SWRCB -- General Requirements.**

(a) New OWTS and replaced OWTS shall be operated to accept and treat flows of domestic wastewater, excluding any material not generally associated with household activities (e.g., toilet flushing, food preparation, laundry, household cleaning including drain cleaning, and personal hygiene). Additionally, OWTS may be designed and operated to accept other wastewater from facilities that:

- (1) exclude hazardous waste, as defined in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations;
- (2) reduce high strength wastewater to below 150 mg/L BOD and 150 mg/L TSS in the septic tank effluent and prior to discharge to the dispersal system; or
- (3) use waste segregation practices and systems to reduce pollutant concentrations entering the OWTS to domestic wastewater levels.

(b) New OWTS and replaced OWTS shall be designed to disperse effluent to subsurface soils in a manner that maximizes unsaturated zone treatment and aerobic decomposition of soluble and particulate organic compounds and other pollutants in the effluent.

(c) New OWTS shall be designed, operated and maintained to prevent a condition of pollution or nuisance, as defined in the California Water Code.

(d) The design of new OWTS and replaced OWTS shall be based on the expected influent wastewater quality, the wastewater quantity, the characteristics of the site, and the required level of treatment to not adversely affect water quality or endanger public health.

(e) A qualified professional shall perform all necessary soil and site evaluations for all new OWTS and for all existing OWTS where the treatment or dispersal system will be replaced or expanded.

(f) A qualified professional shall design all new OWTS and existing OWTS where the treatment or dispersal system will be replaced or expanded, unless the new or existing OWTS meet the requirements of ¶g.

(g) A qualified professional employed by a local agency, while acting in that capacity, can review, design, and approve a design for a proposed conventional OWTS in lieu of the requirement of ¶f.

(h) A Licensed General Engineering Contractor (Class A), General Building Contractor (Class B), Sanitation System Contractor (Specialty Class C-42), or Plumbing Contractor (Specialty Class C-36) shall install all new OWTS and replaced OWTS in accordance with California Business and Professions Code Section and Article 3, Division 8, Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations. A property owner may also install his/her own OWTS if the as-built diagram and the installation are inspected at a time when the OWTS is in an open condition (not covered by soil and exposed for inspection) and approved by the Regional Water Board or authorized local agency.

(i) Materials in concentrations that are deleterious and inhibiting to OWTS operations shall not be discharged to an OWTS. Deleterious and inhibition materials include the following:

- (1) any biocide, or
- (2) all products and matters defined in Chapter 41, Division 4.5, Title 22 in the California Code of Regulations.

(j) The owner of any site on which is located a new OWTS or replaced OWTS shall have an operation and maintenance (O&M) manual prepared by a qualified professional. O&M manuals shall include, at a minimum:

- (1) the name, address, telephone number, business and professional license of the OWTS designer;
- (2) the name, address, telephone number, business and professional license, where applicable, of the OWTS installer;
- (3) the name, address, and telephone number of the service provider that maintains any supplemental treatment system;

(4) the instructions for the proper operation and maintenance and a protocol for an assessment of performance of the OWTS;

(5) the Record Plan with a certification that the dispersal system meets all applicable requirements contained in §24914(a);

(6) the design flow and performance requirements for the OWTS;

(7) a list of types of substances that could inhibit performance if discharged to the OWTS, including those applicable to ¶i; and

(8) a list of substances that could cause a condition of pollution or nuisance if discharged to the OWTS, including but not limited to pharmaceutical drugs and water softener regeneration brines; and

(9) a copy of the SWRCB or Regional Water Board waiver or waste discharge requirements.

(k) Each owner of a new OWTS with supplemental treatment components (see §24913) shall maintain, in addition to maintaining the O&M manual and record plan, a contract with a service provider to ensure that the OWTS is operated, maintained and monitored as designed.

(l) The owner shall retain a Record Plan and an O&M manual for any new or replaced OWTS upon completion of an OWTS installation. Upon the sale of a site, it is the obligation of the owner of the site to provide the buyer, through escrow or otherwise, a complete copy of the O&M manual and record plan for the OWTS at the site.

(m) The owner shall retain all inspection records pertaining to their OWTS for a minimum of five years.

(n) Cesspools shall not be used for new or replaced OWTS.

(o) All new septic tanks, replaced septic tanks, and grease interceptor tanks shall meet the standards contained in Sections K5(b), K5(c), K5(d), K5(e), K5(k), K5(m)(1), and K5(m)(3)(ii) of Appendix K, of Part 5, Title 24 in the California Code of Regulations..

(p) All new OWTS septic tanks shall meet the following requirements:

(1) Access openings shall have watertight risers and shall be set within 6 inches of finished grade; and

(2) Access openings shall be secured to prevent unauthorized access.

(q) The installation of new prefabricated septic tanks shall be limited to those approved by the International Association of Plumbing and Mechanical Officials (IAPMO) and their installation shall be installed according to the manufacturer's instructions. If IAPMO certified tanks are not available locally, other prefabricated tanks may be allowed only if they comply with subsection (r) below.

(r) New non- prefabricated tanks or prefabricated tanks not certified by IAPMO shall be installed only after the design is stamped and certified by a California registered civil engineer as meeting the general industry standards necessary to comply with these requirements;

(s) New and replaced OWTS septic tanks shall be designed to prevent solids in excess of one-eighth (1/8) inch in diameter from passing to the dispersal system. Septic tanks that use a National Sanitation Foundation/American National Standard Institute (NSF/ANSI) Standard 46 certified septic tank filter at the final point of effluent discharge from the OWTS and prior to the dispersal system shall be deemed to meet this requirement.

(t) OWTS owners with onsite domestic wells on their property must monitor groundwater by sampling and analyzing water from:

(1) a monitoring well designed to measure the impact of the OWTS discharge and down-gradient and within 100 feet of the OWTS dispersal system within 30 days upon the installation of a new OWTS and no less than once every five years thereafter; or

(2) an existing onsite domestic well on the property within 30 days upon the installation of a new OWTS and no less than once every five years thereafter

Groundwater analyses shall be conducted in accordance with ¶u. Existing OWTS and new OWTS installations shall be exempt from this requirement if the facility that the OWTS serves is provided water from a community water supply system.

(u) The owner or owner's authorized representative shall collect groundwater samples pursuant to ¶(t) and shall have them analyzed by a laboratory certified by the California Department of Health Services. The laboratory shall be capable

of producing laboratory results in EDF format. The groundwater samples shall be analyzed for the following: calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), sodium (Na), potassium (K), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), zinc (Zn), sulfate (SO<sub>4</sub>), chloride (Cl), Nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>), nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub>), fluoride (F), TDS, total alkalinity (as CaCO<sub>3</sub>), carbonate (CO<sub>3</sub>), bicarbonate (HCO<sub>3</sub>), MBAS, pH and total coliforms. If a sample tests positive for total coliforms, the sample shall be analyzed for fecal coliform bacteria. The name of the site owner, the site address and the laboratory results shall be transmitted to the SWRCB in EDF format. The names and addresses of owners of tested domestic wells shall not be released.

(v) Any person owning a septic tank shall have a service provider inspect the septic tank a minimum of once every five years to ensure that the level of settleable solids and/or floatable solids do not impair the performance of the septic tank. It is recommended that septic tanks be pumped if the sum of the scum depth and sludge depth exceeds 25% of the septic tank depth as measured from the water line to the bottom of the tank.

(w) The SWRCB recommends that the regenerating saline backwash from water softeners not be discharged either to the OWTS or to the ground in any manner.

(x) All owners of any OWTS requiring a major repair shall correct the malfunctioning OWTS within 90-days of the date that the malfunction was discovered. The Regional Board may exempt a property from the 90-days requirement and extend the time frame, but such exemptions shall not be greater than 180 days.

**Authority Cited:** CA Water Code §1058, 13291

**Reference:** CA Water Code §13291(d), 13291(e)

## **ARTICLE 2. GROUNDWATER LEVEL DETERMINATIONS FOR NEW OWTS**

### **§24912 SWRCB -- Groundwater Level Monitoring**

(a) Unless the seasonal high groundwater level at the site is known to be greater than 10 feet below the ground surface, based on local knowledge of groundwater conditions with the relevant source cited (e.g. previous evaluations and studies, well driller information), a site evaluation conducted by a qualified professional to establish the depth to the seasonal high groundwater shall be performed. Soil mottling observed during the site evaluation by a qualified professional may be used to determine the seasonal high groundwater level. Where soil mottling observations cannot be made or lead to unreliable conclusions, a qualified professional shall use the following protocols to determine seasonal high groundwater prior to design and installation of an OWTS:

(1) To measure depth to seasonal high groundwater, a groundwater level monitoring well shall be installed to a minimum depth of ten feet in the vicinity of a proposed wastewater dispersal system. If an impermeable layer is present at a depth of less than ten feet below the ground surface, the depth of the groundwater level-monitoring well shall be decreased to the depth of the impermeable layer.

(2) For OWTS serving facilities other than single family homes, the Regional Water Board shall determine the number and depth of groundwater level monitoring wells. Such determinations by the Regional Water Board shall supercede the depth requirements in §24912(a)(1).

(3) Measurements of depth to seasonal high groundwater shall be conducted from November 1, to April 1 unless otherwise specified by the Regional Water Board. Groundwater levels shall be measured continuously using a piezometer to record the seasonal high groundwater level. The piezometer may be a float device that mechanically or electrically records the highest water level.

(4) For areas that are subject to special circumstances such as seasonal high groundwater caused by snowmelt or irrigation, measurements to determine the annual high groundwater level shall be conducted during a period specified by the Regional Water Board. Groundwater levels shall be measured continuously using a piezometer to record the seasonal high groundwater level. The piezometer may be a float device that mechanically or electrically records the highest water level.

(5) The Regional Water Board may exempt sites or areas from this Section where an alternative protocol for determining seasonal high ground water is established in the basin plan.

**Authority Cited:** CA Water Code §1058, 13291

**Reference:** CA Water Code §13260, 13264, 13267, 13269, and 13291

## ARTICLE 3 PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS

### §24913. SWRCB -- Performance Requirements for Supplemental Treatment Components

- (a) Local agencies or the Regional Water Board may require supplemental treatment systems where treatment is needed to mitigate for insufficient soil depths, as required in §24914(c) for a conventional system or 24914(d), or to provide for protection of the water quality and public health, as deemed necessary.
- (b) Supplemental treatment components, other than for disinfection or nitrogen reduction, shall be designed to reduce biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and total suspended solids (TSS) concentrations. Supplemental treatment components, other than for disinfection or nitrogen reduction, shall produce an effluent that meets the following requirements:
- (1) The 30-day average carbonaceous BOD (CBOD) concentration shall not exceed 25 milligrams per liter (mg/L), or alternately, the 30-day average BOD shall not exceed 30 mg/L; and
  - (2) The 30-day average TSS concentration shall not exceed 30 mg/L;
- (c) Supplemental treatment components designed to perform disinfection shall have sufficient pretreatment of the wastewater so that effluent does not exceed a 30-day average TSS of 10 mg/L and shall further achieve an effluent total coliform bacteria concentration, at the 95 percentile, of not greater-than either of the following:
- (1) 10 MPN per 100 milliliters prior to discharge into a dispersal field where the soils exhibit percolation rates between 1 and 10 minutes per inch (MPI) or where the soil texture is sand; or
  - (2) 1000 MPN per 100 milliliters prior to discharge into a dispersal field where the soils exhibit percolation rates greater than 10 MPI or consist of a soil texture other than sand.
- (d) Effluent from supplemental treatment components designed to reduce nitrogen shall not exceed a 30-day average TN concentration of 10 mg/L as nitrogen.
- (e) Before the installation of any proprietary supplemental treatment OWTS, all such treatment components shall be tested by an independent third party testing laboratory. The independent third party laboratory shall certify that the type of system being installed and its components are capable of reliably meeting the performance requirements when installed according to manufacturer specifications, as applicable, based upon the results from the testing protocol. The testing protocol shall include but not be limited to ¶1 thru ¶5 below:
- (1) a testing duration of not less than six continuous months.
  - (2) the wastewater used for testing shall consist primarily of municipal or domestic wastewater and shall have concentrations in the following ranges:
    - (A) BOD: 125 to 300 milligrams per liter;
    - (B) TSS: 125 to 300 milligrams per liter;
    - (C) TN (as N): 50 to 75 milligrams per liter,
    - (D) total coliform bacteria:  $1 \times 10^6$  to  $1 \times 10^8$  MPN/100 ml, and
    - (E) alkalinity (as  $\text{CaCO}_3$ ): 50 to 200 milligrams per liter.
  - (3) hydraulic and organic design loading shall be varied during the test to simulate OWTS operational stress at different levels of use, including all of the following:
    - (A) regular daily use, where the following daily wastewater flow regime entering the supplemental treatment system is as follows:
      - i. approximately 35% of the daily wastewater design flow enters the OWTS from 6:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m.
      - ii. approximately 25% of the daily wastewater design flow enters the OWTS from 11:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.
      - iii. approximately 40% of the daily wastewater design flow enters the OWTS from 5:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.
    - (B) working parent use, where the following 5-day wastewater flow regime entering the supplemental treatment system is as follows:
      - i. approximately 40% of the daily wastewater design flow enters the OWTS from 6:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m.

ii. approximately 60% of the daily wastewater design flow enters the OWTS from 5:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.

(C) wash-day use, where following a 5-day regular daily use flow regime provides additional wastewater from a clothes washing machine during the first, third and fifth days. Additional clothes washing water shall have a minimum of 3 wash cycles (including 6 rinse cycles) interspersed between 6:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. per 500 gallons of design flow..

(D) vacation (e.g., one week rest).

(4) testing of supplemental treatment components to comply with the performance requirements of ¶b, ¶c or ¶d shall be conducted with the following detection limits listed in Table 1:

Parameter	Detection Limit
BOD	2 mg/L
TSS	5 mg/L
Total Coliform	2.2 MPN
Total Nitrogen	1 mg/L

(f) The ongoing monitoring of supplemental treatment components designed to meet the performance requirements of ¶b, ¶c or ¶d shall be monitored in accordance with the operation and maintenance manual for the OWTS or more frequently as required by the Regional Water Board.

(g) OWTS with supplemental treatment components shall be equipped with visual or audible alarm as well as a telemetric alarm that alerts the owner and service provider in the event of system malfunction. OWTS using supplemental treatment shall, at a minimum, provide for 24-hour wastewater storage based on design flow as a means to minimize pollution from overflow discharge after a system malfunction or power outage.

(h) OWTS designed to meet the disinfection performance requirements outlined in §24913(c) shall be inspected for proper operation weekly by a service provider unless a telemetric monitoring system is capable of continuously assessing the operation of the disinfection system. Testing of effluent from supplemental treatment components that perform disinfection shall be conducted quarterly based on analysis of total coliform with a minimum detection limit of 2.2 MPN. Effluent samples shall be taken by a service provider and analyzed by a California Department of Health Services certified laboratory.

**Authority Cited:** CA Water Code 1058, 13291

**Reference:** CA Water Code §13260, 13264, 13267, 13269, and 13291

### §24914. SWRCB -- Dispersal Systems

Any dispersal system that is part of a new OWTS shall meet the following requirements:

(a) Dispersal systems shall be designed and installed at the shallowest practicable depth to maximize elements critical to effective treatment of effluent in the soil. Elements critical to effective treatment include oxygen transfer, biological treatment, evapotranspiration and vegetative uptake of nutrients.

(b) Dispersal systems, except those addressed in §24914(g) and §24914(i), shall be designed using only the bottom area of the dispersal system as the infiltrative surface. The infiltrative surface shall be sized using the design application rates contained in either Table 2 or Figure 1.

(c) Dispersal systems of all conventional OWTS shall have at all times during operation at least three feet of continuous unsaturated, undisturbed, earthen material with less than 30 percent of that material by weight containing mineral particles in excess of 0.08 inches (2 mm) in size (i.e. rock) between the bottom of the dispersal system and top of the seasonal high groundwater level, impermeable strata, or bedrock, whichever of these three, if present, has the highest elevation. Where greater than 30 percent of the undisturbed earthen material exceeds 0.08 inches (2 mm) in size, pressure distribution shall be used to disperse the OWTS effluent and either of the following shall apply:

(1) the minimum depth of undisturbed earthen material required shall be determined using Figure 2; or

(2) the application rate as shown in Table 2 or Figure 1 shall be reduced by the same percentage as that of the earthen materials in excess of 0.08 inches (2 mm) at the dispersal area.

(d) Dispersal systems of all OWTS with supplemental treatment components shall have at all times during operation at least two feet of continuous unsaturated, undisturbed, earthen material with less than 30 percent of that material consisting of mineral particles in excess of 0.08 inches (2 mm) in size (i.e. rock) between the bottom of the dispersal system and top of the seasonal high groundwater level, impermeable strata, or bedrock whichever of these three, if present, has the highest elevation. Where greater than 30 percent of the undisturbed earthen material exceeds 0.08 inches (2 mm) in size, pressure distribution shall be used to disperse the OWTS effluent and either of the following shall apply:

(1) the minimum depth of undisturbed earthen material required shall be determined using Figure 2; or

(2) the application rate as shown in Table 2 or Figure 1 shall be reduced by the same percentage as that of the earthen materials in excess of 0.08 inches (2 mm) at the dispersal area.

(e) Where undisturbed earthen material has insufficient depth to satisfy the minimum depth requirements in ¶c or ¶d, engineered fill as defined herein may be added to existing site soils so that the site exceeds the specified soil depth requirements in ¶c and ¶d. Engineered fill (i.e. sand or crushed glass) shall meet the specifications contained in Table 3. Engineered fill shall compensate for the lack of in-place earthen material at a 1.5 to 1 basis so that a one foot deficiency in the soil column depth would require one and one half feet of engineered fill material. A pressure distribution system is required where engineered fill is used to comply with the minimum earthen material depth requirements. In no case shall engineered fill compensate for more than one foot of the minimum native soil depth requirements in ¶c or ¶d.

(f) Conventional OWTS dispersal systems in which pumps are used to move effluent from the septic tank to the dispersal system shall be equipped with one of the following: a visual, audible, or telemetric alarm that alerts the owner or service provider in the event of pump failure. All pump systems shall, at a minimum, provide for storage in the pump chamber during a 24-hour power outage or pump failure and shall not allow an emergency overflow discharge.

(g) Gravel-less chambers shall meet the requirement for conventional dispersal systems contained in ¶c and ¶d. The infiltrative surface shall be sized using the design application rates contained in either Table 2 or Figure 1. The design infiltrative surface area of such a system can be reduced to no less than seventy percent (70%) of the area that would be for a conventional dispersal system.


**Table 2: Design Infiltrative Surface Application Rates**

USDA Soil Texture Classification	Maximum Wastewater Application Rate (gallons per day per square foot)
Coarse Sand with percolation rate less than 1 MPI	Prohibited
Coarse sand, medium sand	1.2
Fine sand, loamy sand	1.1 to 0.8
Sandy loam, loam, sandy clay loam	0.7 to 0.6
Silt loam	0.5 to 0.4
clay loam, silty clay loam, sandy clay	0.3 to 0.2

**Table 3: Engineered Fill Specifications**



1. Maximum percentage of particles smaller than 0.053 mm in diameter (sieve #270).	Dry Weight % Passing 5%
2. Maximum percentage of particles over 2.0 mm in diameter.	Dry Weight % Passing 20%
3. Sieve Size	Dry Weight % Passing
3/8	100
4	95-100
10	75-100
16	50-85
30	25-60
50	10-30
100	2-16
200	0-3

**Figure 1 : Design Infiltrative Surface Application Rates**



Note: Application rates with a percolation rates higher than 120 are restricted to existing parcels.

Figure 2: Minimum Depth of Earthen Material



Conventional OWTS



Supplemental Treatment

(h) Dispersal systems using shallow pressurized drip or orifice dispersal shall meet the following requirements:

- (1) The allowed application area shall not exceed four square foot per emitter/orifice. In no case are application areas allowed to be overlapping or less than one square foot per lineal foot; and
- (2) all systems shall be designed and maintained to reduce orifice clogging and root intrusion.

(i) Seepage Pits shall be designed on sidewall area as the infiltrative surface and are allowed where the following conditions apply:

- (1) the site has been determined by a qualified professional to be unsuitable for other types of dispersal systems due to soil properties or amount of area available at the site;
- (2) the bottom of the seepage pit shall be a minimum of ten feet above seasonal high groundwater level; and
- (3) the site shall meet one of the conditions:
  - (A) There must be a minimum of ten feet of soil below the bottom of the seepage pit and above the seasonal high groundwater level, impervious layer, or bedrock. All strata to a depth of 10 feet below the pit bottom must be free of groundwater in accordance with §24912, or
  - (B) When an OWTS has supplemental treatment components designed to meet the performance requirements specified in §24913(b), and §24913(c) are met, a seepage pit may have less than 10 feet of soil below the bottom of the seepage pit, but no less than two feet of soil, or
  - (C) When an OWTS has supplemental treatment components designed to meet the performance requirements specified in §24913(b) and §24913(c)(1), a seepage pit may have less than two feet of soil beneath the bottom of the seepage pit.

(j) Evapotranspiration and infiltration (ETI) systems shall be designed such that evapotranspiration and infiltration exceed the design waste flow combined with a 25-yr return rate precipitation event on an annual, monthly and seasonal basis. ETI systems shall be operated in a manner that prevents human exposure to wastewater.

**Authority Cited:** CA Water Code §1058, 13291

**Reference:** CA Water Code §13260, 13264, 13267, 13269, and 13291

## **ARTICLE 4: PROTECTING IMPAIRED SURFACE WATER**

### **§24940. SWRCB -- Applicability and Requirements.**

This section shall apply to any water body that has been designated as impaired due to nitrogen or pathogens pursuant to Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act but only where a TMDL has been approved that includes a determination that OWTS contribute to the impairment of the water body.

(a) No new OWTS dispersal area shall be constructed or operated within 600 linear feet [in the horizontal (map) direction] of the edge of the river bank, lake or the mean high tide unless one of the following applies:

(1) where the waterbody is listed as impaired due to nitrogen, OWTS meets the performance requirements for supplemental treatment contained in §24913(b) and §24913(d).

(2) where the water body is listed as impaired due to pathogens, OWTS meets the performance requirements for supplemental treatment contained in §24913(b)(1) and §24913(c).

(b) Unless modified or exempted pursuant to ¶c, ¶d, or ¶e, an owner of any existing OWTS dispersal area within 600 linear feet [in the horizontal (map) direction] of the edge of the river bank, lake or the mean high tide shall have the OWTS inspected by a qualified professional within one year of the effective date of these regulations or within one year after the effective date of a TMDL that includes a determination that OWTS contribute to impairment of the water body, whichever is later.

(1) The inspection shall include but not be limited to:

(A) a determination of whether the OWTS is discharging to the surface;

(B) a determination of whether the OWTS complies with the depth to seasonal high groundwater requirements of this Chapter, unless the OWTS owner chooses to assume that the OWTS is contributing to the impairment;

(C) for a water body impaired for pathogens, a determination of whether fecal coliform in the OWTS discharge is reaching groundwater, unless the OWTS owner chooses to assume that the OWTS is contributing to the impairment; and

(D) for a water body impaired for nitrogen, a determination of whether nitrogen exceeding 10 mg/l is reaching groundwater, unless the OWTS owner chooses to assume that the OWTS is contributing to the impairment.

(2) The OWTS owner shall send a report of the inspection to the Regional Water Board within 30 calendar days of the completion of the inspection.

(3) Where a determination is made by a qualified professional that an OWTS discharge of fecal coliform or nitrogen exceeding 10 mg/l is reaching groundwater, the owner of the OWTS shall have four years following the date of the determination to meet the applicable requirements of ¶a.

(c) Adoption or amendment of a TMDL may alter the 600-foot distance requirement or compliance dates in ¶a and ¶b.

(d) This Section does not apply to impaired waters where, prior to the effective date of this Chapter, the Regional Water Board has adopted a TMDL requiring implementation of a wastewater management plan. The wastewater management plan must include methods to reduce the OWTS pollutant contribution to the impaired water body, a plan for water quality monitoring, and a program for the repair or replacement of existing OWTS. The wastewater management plan must be designed to result in either elimination of the impairment or the reduction of the contribution of OWTS to the impairment.

(e) The requirements contained in this Section do not apply to OWTS owners who commit by way of a legally binding document to connect to a centralized wastewater collection and treatment system regulated through WDRs within nine years. To become effective, the owner must sign the document within forty-eight months of the effective date of this Chapter or the effective date of a TMDL, whichever is later. The specified date for the connection to the centralized community wastewater collection and treatment system shall not extend beyond nine years following a Regional Water Board determination made pursuant to this Section.

**§24940 to §25500 [Reserved for SWRCB]**