

Rangi, Aparjeet@Waterboards

From: dinesh@cdrenv.com
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 2:35 PM
To: gwquality.funding
Subject: SB445 SCAP Response to Scoping Questions (LA Water Board Scoping Meeting June 8, 2015)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

The following are my responses to the scoping questions presented on June 8 at the Los Angeles Regional Water Board. The responses correlate to the questions given in the handout.

Responses to SB445 SCAP Scoping Questions

Q1: Types of project that should be given higher priority

The type of project that demands highest priority is where the responsible party is not the contributor to the soil/groundwater contamination at PCE/TCE sites. Sites where current land owners are being asked to cleanup historical pollution.

Q1A: The degree to which human health, safety and environment are threatened.

Q1B: TCE contaminant should be highest priority as DTSC has issued new toxicity and risk exposure values in HERO note 5

Q1C: Projects should propose permanent solutions

Q1D: Timing of project completion should be independent of other factors.

Q2: Funding limits - \$1M

Q3: Technical assistance needed to understand commingled or regional groundwater plumes. Consultant can provide site specific technical assistance but state needs to provide regional information.

Q4: Check organization structure, history, P&L statements.

Dinesh Rao
CDR Group
2914 East Katella Avenue, Suite 200
Orange, CA 92867
Tel: (714) 289 2255