

If you would like to comment on the DRAFT Concept Proposal Evaluation Criteria, please complete the Concept Proposal Feedback Form and e-mail it to Ibyang Rivera at: irivera@waterboards.ca.gov

DRAFT 2005-06 CONSOLIDATED GRANTS CONCEPT PROPOSAL EVALUATION: ELIGIBILITY REVIEW		
ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	YES / NO	KEY
<p>General Financial Assistance Application Submittal Tool (FAAST) Information</p> <p>1. Does the Concept Proposal contain all the general information requested automatically in the Financial Assistance Application Submittal Tool (FAAST)?</p> <p><i>(e.g., General Details, Project Budget, Project Location, Funding Source, Legislative Information, Contact Agency Information and Cooperating Entity Information, etc.)</i></p>		<p>Applicant must receive “Yes” for ALL questions to be eligible for invite back.</p> <p>Yes = Applicant eligible to be invited back to submit full proposal</p>
<p>Eligibility</p> <p>2. Is the applicant’s type of organization eligible for the funding sources selected in Question 1 of the Concept Proposal based on the Guidelines? (Questions 1 and 3)</p>		<p>No = Applicant is not eligible to be invited back to submit full proposal</p>
<p>3. Is the project an eligible project type for the funding sources selected in Question 1 of the Concept Proposal, as stated in the Guidelines? (Questions 1 and 4)</p>		
<p>4. Is the applicant eligible for the funding sources selected in Question 1 of the Concept Proposal based on the priorities the project will address? (Questions 1, and 5 through 10)</p>		
<p>Readiness to Proceed</p> <p>5. Does the project’s “Start Date” and “End Date” fall within the appropriations for the funding sources selected in Question 1 of the Concept Proposal? (Questions 1 and 36)</p>		
<p>Applicant Information</p> <p>6. Has the applicant checked the box and initialed that the Project Director has read and understands the General Terms and Conditions of the Grant Agreement? (Question 42)</p>		
<p>OVERALL EVALUATION</p> <p>7. Is the applicant eligible to be invited back to the full proposal stage?</p>		<p>Yes = Applicant is eligible. Concept Proposal should be scored.</p> <p>No = Applicant is <u>not</u> eligible. Concept Proposal should <u>not</u> be scored.</p>
<p>8. If the applicant is eligible, please list the agencies that should review and score the Concept Proposal.</p>		<p>Region 1, Region 2, Region 3, Region 4, Region 5, Region 6, Region 7, Region 8, Region 9, USEPA, CALFED, Resources Agency, Coastal Commission, Coastal Conservancy</p>

If you would like to comment on the DRAFT Concept Proposal Evaluation Criteria, please complete the Concept Proposal Feedback Form and e-mail it to Ibyang Rivera at: irivera@waterboards.ca.gov

**DRAFT
2005-06 CONSOLIDATED GRANTS
CONCEPT PROPOSAL EVALUATION: SCORING CRITERIA**

SCORED CRITERIA	SCORE	POINTS POSSIBLE ¹
1. How well does the project address the indicated priority(ies)? (Questions 5 through 10)		0 - 4
2. Does the project address multiple priorities? (Questions 5 through 10)		1 Point for each priority the project addresses above the required base (max of 5 pts.)
3. Is the description of the major project tasks reasonable? (Question 17)		0 - 4
4. Is the project timeline realistic? (Questions 17 and 36)		0 - 4
5. How well does the applicant define the problem(s) the project is proposing to solve? (Question 20)		0 - 4
6. Does the approach appear to be technically feasible? (Question 21)		0 - 4
7. Is the approach likely to yield the expected benefits and how do the expected benefits compare to the risks? (Questions 22 and 23)		0 - 4
8. Does the project implement an adopted total maximum daily load (TMDL), which is specifically mentioned in an implementation plan? (Question 24A)		1 - 2 Points if the project implements an adopted TMDL
9. Does the project implement a TMDL under development? Is the timeline specified and how well does the timeline fit the applicable grant program timeframe? (Question 24A)		1 Point if the project implements a TMDL under development
10. Does the project benefit an area of special biological significance (ASBS)? (Question 12)		1 - 2 Points if the project benefits an ASBS
11. How well are the project's anticipated pollutant load reductions defined in the Concept Proposal? (Question 24A and 24B)		0 - 4
12. How well will the applicant be able to quantify and document the project's benefits to water quality and beneficial uses? (Question 25)		0 - 4
13. How well is the proposed project integrated/identified in the watershed planning efforts? (Questions 26 through 29)		0 - 4
14. How well has the applicant prepared for the permits and regulatory requirements that may be necessary for the project? (Questions 30 through 32)		0 - 4
15. How well does the applicant address their readiness to proceed? (Questions 33, 34, 38, and 39)		0 - 4

If you would like to comment on the DRAFT Concept Proposal Evaluation Criteria, please complete the Concept Proposal Feedback Form and e-mail it to Ibyang Rivera at: irivera@waterboards.ca.gov

DRAFT 2005-06 CONSOLIDATED GRANTS CONCEPT PROPOSAL EVALUATION: SCORING CRITERIA		
SCORED CRITERIA	SCORE	POINTS POSSIBLE ¹
16. Does the applicant have a good track record? If not, are the proposed actions taken to address the problem(s) sufficient? (Questions 40-43)		0 pts if Negative 2 pts if Neutral 5 pts if Good
OVERALL EVALUATION 17. What is the score of this Concept Proposal?		59
18. Should the applicant be invited back to submit a Full Proposal?		Yes = No=
19. If the applicant is invited back, for which program(s) should the applicant be invited back to submit a Full Proposal? 1st Choice: Back-Up:	AWQGP CALFED Drinking Water CALFED Watershed CNPS IWMP NPS Implementation Program (319 (h)) NPS USWP	
20. Which review team should be assigned to review the full proposal?	Drinking Water = DHS, CALFED, RB, SB Erosion and/or Sediment Control = RB, SB Fisheries Enhancement and/or Stream Restoration = DFG, CC, RB, SB Flood Control/Water Supply = DWR, CALFED, Bureau of Reclamation, RB, SB Groundwater = DWR, RB, SB Agriculture = CDA, RB, SB Riparian & Wetland Habitat Restoration = CC, DFG, RB, SB Coastal = CC, CC, RB, SB, OPC Wastewater Collection, Treatment and Recycling = RB, SB Urban Runoff and Storm Water Quality = US EPA, RB, SB) Pesticides = DPR, CDFA, RB, SB TMDL = US EPA Other = Please explain	
21. If this applicant is invited to submit a full proposal, discuss suggestions on how to improve the proposal/project. (Note to Reviewers: This text will be provided to the applicant. Be clear and concise.)		

If you would like to comment on the DRAFT Concept Proposal Evaluation Criteria, please complete the Concept Proposal Feedback Form and e-mail it to Ibyang Rivera at: irivera@waterboards.ca.gov

¹ Unless otherwise noted, each criterion will be scored on a scale of 0 to 4 with a 0 being “low” and a 4 being “high,” with points assigned to the Concept Proposal for each criterion as follows:

- A score of 4 points will be awarded where the criterion is fully addressed and supported by logical rationale.
- A score of 3 points will be awarded where the criterion is fully addressed but is marginally supported by logical rationale.
- A score of 2 points will be awarded where the criterion is marginally addressed and is marginally supported by logical rationale.
- A score of 1 point will be awarded where the criterion is marginally addressed and is not supported by logical rationale.
- A score of 0 point will be awarded where the applicant is not responsive (i.e., the criterion is not addressed and no rationale is presented).