

Draft 2015 Basin Plan Triennial Review Priority List

Issue No.	Draft 2015 Basin Plan Triennial Review Priority List	Estimated Basin Planning Staff Resources Required ¹ (Supporting stakeholders, if any, designated in footnotes) [Bracketed resources are provided by Regional Board programs other than Basin Planning ²]			
	Issue Description	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	Total PYs
1.	Recreation Standards for Inland Surface Waters: a. With stakeholders, develop pathogen indicator monitoring plan(s) identified in 2012 Recreation Standards Amendments. b. Participate with State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) staff to develop a statewide policy for pathogen indicator objectives for recreation beneficial uses based on the 2012 USEPA Water Quality Criteria for Recreational Waters. c. Consider modifications to Basin Plan recreation objectives/implementation strategies based on an adopted statewide policy. If necessary, consider development of Region-specific reference/natural source exclusion policy.	0.2 ³			0.2
		0.1 ³	0.1		0.2
			0.5	0.2	0.7
2.	Consider pathogen indicator objectives for recreation beneficial uses of enclosed bays and estuaries based on USEPA's 2012 criteria (and State Board bacteria objectives policy if/when available). Delete obsolete fecal coliform objectives for enclosed bays and estuaries.	0.4	0.2		0.6
3.	Reconsider Fecal Coliform TMDL for Newport Bay.	[0.4]	[0.8]	[0.2]	[1.4]
4.	Develop/consider a TMDL BPA for selenium in the Newport Bay Watershed. Regional Board adopted TMDLs will supplant the USEPA-promulgated TMDLs and will include an implementation plan.	[0.9] ³	[0.4]		[1.3]
5.	Develop/consider selenium site specific objectives (SSOs) for the Newport Bay watershed.	[0.2] ³	[1.1]		[1.3]

¹ Available Basin Planning Resources for each fiscal year are assumed to be 2 Personnel Years (PYs). ² Tasks identified entail amendments to the Basin Plan.

³ Work on this matter began/ongoing in 2014-15.

Draft 2015 Basin Plan Triennial Review Priority List

Issue No.	Issue Description	Estimated Staff Resources (PYs)			
		FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	Total PYs
6.	Develop/consider a TMDL BPA for metals in Newport Bay. Regional Board adopted TMDLs will supplant the USEPA-promulgated TMDLs and will include an implementation plan.	[1.3] ³	[1.0]		[2.3]
7.	Develop/consider a bacteria indicator (<i>E. coli</i>) Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for Knickerbocker Creek.	[0.4] ³	[0.1]		[0.5]
8.	Reconsider Nutrient TMDLs for Newport Bay watershed, including review of nutrient objectives for San Diego Creek.	[0.3] ³	[0.4]	[0.2]	[0.9]
9.	Reconsider Sediment TMDLs for Newport Bay watershed	[0.5] ³	[0.4]	[0.1]	[1.0]
10.	Update N/TDS (Salt Management Plan) plan, including consideration of: revision of TDS and TIN wasteload allocations; revision of management zone boundaries for the upper Temescal Basins; adoption of maximum benefit program for the Elsinore Management Zone; and, other modifications.	0.5 ³	0.2	0.2	0.9
11.	Participate with State Board staff to develop a biological integrity assessment implementation plan. Incorporate the new plan into the Basin Plan.	[0.1] ³	[0.1]		[0.2]
12.	Review beneficial use designations and reach descriptions for waters listed in Table 3-1. Includes review of waters for which REC1 or REC1/REC2 beneficial uses were de-designated via approved Use Attainability Analyses to determine if the de-designations remain justified. Specifically consider designation of EST to all tidal prism and salt marsh waters, and COMM to inland waters.	0.4	0.2		0.6

Draft 2015 Basin Plan Triennial Review Priority List

Issue No.	Issue Description	Estimated Staff Resources (PYs)			
		FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	Total PYs
13.	<p>Add the following waters to Tables 3-1 and 4-1 and designate appropriate beneficial uses and water quality objectives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Newport Coast waters: Buck Gully, Morningstar, Los Trancos, and Muddy Canyon Creeks • Big Canyon Creek (Newport Bay Watershed) • San Gabriel River watershed waters: Carbon, Fullerton, and Brea Creeks • Waters tributary to Anaheim Bay: Bolsa Channel and East Garden Grove Wintersburg Channel. • Prado Lake • Warm Creek (Tributary to Santa Ana River in San Bernardino City area, Sand Creek in upper elevation) 	0.2	0.1		0.3
14.	Add adopted Basin Plan Amendments to the electronic Basin Plan.	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3
15.	Reconsider Nutrient TMDLs for Canyon Lake / Lake Elsinore (San Jacinto Watershed)	[0.1]	[0.2]	[0.2]	[0.5]
16.	<p>Review and revise Big Bear Lake water quality standards⁴ and Nutrient TMDL. May include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Revision of the total inorganic nitrogen and total phosphorus numeric water quality objectives for Big Bear Lake. b. Development of objectives for other indicators of impairment (e.g., chlorophyll a, macrophyte coverage and species composition). c. Development of biocriteria for Big Bear Lake. d. Development of a definition for natural background nutrient sources. e. TMDL reconsideration based on revised standards. 		[0.2]	[0.2]	[0.4]

⁴ Initiation and/or completion of these tasks are dependent on State Board's actions on statewide nutrient water quality objective and biological integrity policy (see Issue No.11)

Draft 2015 Basin Plan Triennial Review Priority List

Issue No.	Issue Description	Estimated Staff Resources (PYs)			
		FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	Total PYs
17.	Restructure Basin Plan to place all adopted TMDLs in Chapter 6. Will require non-substantive changes to table/figure titles/references in TMDLs already included in the Basin Plan.	0.2	0.1		0.3
18.	Revise total dissolved solids objectives for Rattlesnake, Syphon, and Sand Canyon reservoirs based on use for storage of recycled water.			0.3	0.3
19.	Revise the SHEL beneficial use definition to be consistent with the State Water Resources Control Board's Ocean Plan and other regional boards' basin plans. Participate with State Board staff in developing revised SHEL fecal coliform objectives.		0.3	0.2	0.5
20.	Add digital maps to the Basin Plan showing surface and ground waters and the water quality standards that apply to them. Include related hydrological, boundary and other spatial data layers that reflect current data.	0.1	0.1		0.2
21.	Update and revise Basin Plan narrative program/policy discussions, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Update information on approved policies in Chapter 2 (e.g., Nonpoint Source Enforcement Policy, 303(d) Listing Policy, etc.); b) Update "Disposal of Hazardous and Nonhazardous Waste" in Chapter 5 to reflect Loss of SWAT program; c) Update SLIC Program Discussion; d) Update Animal Confinement Facilities (Dairies) discussion in Chapter 5; e) Update Nonpoint Source Program discussion in Chapter 5. f) Update narrative on efforts to remediate groundwater contamination from perchlorate, USTs, and other sources in the region in Chapter 5. g) Update the Wetlands Section in Chapter 3 to include discussions of the Regional Board 401 Certification process and USEPA, State Board, Cal Department Fish and Wildlife and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers wetland Regulatory measures. Update the discussion of the Region's treatment and Mitigation wetlands. h) Update and revise the Monitoring and Assessment Chapter (to be Chapter 7) to include current regional activities such as an update of the Prado Basin monitoring. 			0.5	0.5 ⁴

Draft 2015 Basin Plan Triennial Review Priority List

Issue No.	Issue Description	Issue Description			
		FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	Total PYs
22.	Consider deletion/revision of site-specific objectives for copper, cadmium and lead for the Santa Ana River and tributaries. Consider site-specific objectives for aluminum, chlorine and cyanide for the River.			0.5	0.5
23.	Review ammonia objectives for freshwater based on 2013 USEPA national criteria.		0.5		0.5
24.	Review chemical oxygen demand (COD) objectives for inland surface waters		0.2	0.2	0.4
25.	Prepare/administer the 2015 Triennial Review.	0.1 ³			0.1
	Total Basin Planning PYs	2.3	2.6	2.2	7.1
	Total TMDL PYs	[4.2]	[4.7]	[0.9]	[9.8]