



September 1, 2014

Bruce H. Wolfe, Executive Officer  
California Regional Water Quality Control Board  
San Francisco Bay Region  
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400  
Oakland, CA 94612

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

Enclosed is the 2013-14 Annual Report for the City of San Pablo, which is required by and in accordance with Provision C.16 in National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit Number CAS612008 issued by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Sincerely,

Matt Rodriguez  
City Manager

Enclosure

**ATTACHMENT B**

**Table of Contents**

<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>
Section 1 – Permittee Information.....	1-1
Section 2 – Provision C.2 Municipal Operations .....	2-1
Section 3 – Provision C.3 New Development and Redevelopment .....	3-1
Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls .....	4-1
Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination .....	5-1
Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls.....	6-1
Section 7 – Provision C.7 Public Information and Outreach .....	7-1
Section 8 – Provision C.8 Water Quality Monitoring.....	8-1
Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls .....	9-1
Section 10 – Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction.....	10-1
Section 11 – Provision C.11 Mercury Controls .....	11-1
Section 12 – Provision C.12 PCBs Controls .....	12-1
Section 13 – Provision C.13 Copper Controls.....	13-1
Section 14 – Provision C.14 PBDE, Legacy Pesticides and Selenium Controls.....	14-1
Section 15 – Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges .....	15-1

Section 1 – Permittee Information

Background Information				
<b>Permittee Name:</b>	City of San Pablo			
<b>Population:</b>	29,139			
<b>NPDES Permit No.:</b>	CAS612008			
<b>Order Number:</b>	R2-2009-0074			
<b>Reporting Time Period (month/year):</b>	July 2013 through June 2014			
<b>Name of the Responsible Authority:</b>	Matt Rodriguez	<b>Title:</b>	City Manager	
<b>Mailing Address:</b>	13831 San Pablo Avenue, Building 1			
<b>City:</b>	San Pablo	<b>Zip Code:</b>	94804	<b>County:</b> Contra Costa
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	510-215-3016	<b>Fax Number:</b>	510-215-3011	
<b>E-mail Address:</b>	mattr@sanpabloca.gov			
<b>Name of the Designated Stormwater Management Program Contact (if different from above):</b>	Jen Jackson	<b>Title:</b>	Environmental Program Analyst	
<b>Department:</b>	Public Works			
<b>Mailing Address:</b>	13831 San Pablo Avenue, Building 3			
<b>City:</b>	San Pablo	<b>Zip Code:</b>	CA	<b>County:</b> Contra Costa
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	510-215-3066	<b>Fax Number:</b>	510-215-3013	
<b>E-mail Address:</b>	jenj@sanpabloca.gov			

**Section 2 - Provision C.2 Reporting Municipal Operations**

**Program Highlights and Evaluation**  
 Highlight/summarize activities for reporting year:

Summary:  
**Refer to the C.2 Municipal Operations section of the CCCWP's Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report for a description of activities implemented at the countywide and/or regional level.**

**C.2.a. ► Street and Road Repair and Maintenance**

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

<b>Y</b>	Control of debris and waste materials during road and parking lot installation, repaving or repair maintenance activities from polluting stormwater
<b>Y</b>	Control of concrete slurry and wastewater, asphalt, pavement cutting, and other street and road maintenance materials and wastewater from discharging to storm drains from work sites.
<b>Y</b>	Sweeping and/or vacuuming and other dry methods to remove debris, concrete, or sediment residues from work sites upon completion of work.

Comments: **None**

**C.2.b. ► Sidewalk/Plaza Maintenance and Pavement Washing**

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

<b>Y</b>	Control of wash water from pavement washing, mobile cleaning, pressure wash operations at parking lots, garages, trash areas, gas station fueling areas, and sidewalk and plaza cleaning activities from polluting stormwater
<b>Y</b>	Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs

Comments: **None**

**C.2.c. ► Bridge and Structure Maintenance and Graffiti Removal**

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

<b>Y</b>	Control of discharges from bridge and structural maintenance activities directly over water or into storm drains
<b>Y</b>	Control of discharges from graffiti removal activities
<b>Y</b>	Proper disposal for wastes generated from bridge and structure maintenance and graffiti removal activities
<b>Y</b>	Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs for graffiti removal
<b>Y</b>	Employee training on proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.
<b>Y</b>	Contract specifications requiring proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.

Comments: **None**

**C.2.d. ► Stormwater Pump Stations**

Does your municipality own stormwater pump stations:  Yes  No

If your answer is **No** then skip to **C.2.e.**

Complete the following table for dry weather DO monitoring and inspection data for pump stations<sup>1</sup> (add more rows for additional pump stations). If a pump station is exempt from DO monitoring, explain why it is exempt.

Pump Station Name and Location	First inspection Dry Weather DO Data		Second inspection Dry Weather DO Data	
	Date	mg/L	Date	mg/L
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Summarize corrective actions as needed for DO monitoring at or below 3 mg/L. Attach inspection records of additional DO monitoring for corrective actions: **NA**

Summary: **NA**  
 Attachments: **NA**

Complete the following table for wet weather inspection data for pump stations (add more rows for additional pump stations):

Pump Station Name and Location	Date (2x/year required)	Presence of Trash (Cubic Yards)	Presence of Odor (Yes or No)	Presence of Color (Yes or No)	Presence of Turbidity (Yes or No)	Presence of Floating Hydrocarbons (Yes or No)
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

<sup>1</sup> DO monitoring is exempted where all discharge from a pump station remains in a stormwater collection system or infiltrates into a dry creek immediately downstream.

C.2.e. ► Rural Public Works Construction and Maintenance			
Does your municipality own/maintain rural <sup>2</sup> roads:		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If your answer is <b>No</b> then skip to <b>C.2.f.</b>			
Place a <b>Y</b> in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type <b>NA</b> in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an <b>N</b> in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.			
<b>NA</b>	Control of road-related erosion and sediment transport from road design, construction, maintenance, and repairs in rural areas		
<b>NA</b>	Identification and prioritization of rural road maintenance based on soil erosion potential, slope steepness, and stream habitat resources		
<b>NA</b>	No impact to creek functions including migratory fish passage during construction of roads and culverts		
<b>NA</b>	Inspection of rural roads for structural integrity and prevention of impact on water quality		
<b>NA</b>	Maintenance of rural roads adjacent to streams and riparian habitat to reduce erosion, replace damaging shotgun culverts and excessive erosion		
<b>NA</b>	Re-grading of unpaved rural roads to slope outward where consistent with road engineering safety standards, and installation of water bars as appropriate		
<b>NA</b>	Inclusion of measures to reduce erosion, provide fish passage, and maintain natural stream geomorphology when replacing culverts or design of new culverts or bridge crossings		
Comments including listing increased maintenance in priority areas: <b>NA</b>			

<sup>2</sup> Rural means any watershed or portion thereof that is developed with large lot home-sites, such as one acre or larger, or with primarily agricultural, grazing or open space uses.

**C.2.f. ► Corporation Yard BMP Implementation**

Place an **X** in the boxes below that apply to your corporations yard(s):

<b>NA</b>	We do not have a corporation yard
<b>NA</b>	Our corporation yard is a filed NOI facility and regulated by the California State Industrial Stormwater NPDES General Permit
<b>X</b>	We have a <b>Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)</b> for the Corporation Yard(s)

Place an **X** in the boxes below next to implemented SWPPP BMPs to indicate that these BMPs were implemented in applicable instances. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box. If one or more of the BMPs were not adequately implemented during the reporting fiscal year then indicate so and explain in the comments section below:

<b>X</b>	Control of pollutant discharges to storm drains such as wash waters from cleaning vehicles and equipment
<b>X</b>	Routine inspection prior to the rainy seasons of corporation yard(s) to ensure non-stormwater discharges have not entered the storm drain system
<b>X</b>	Containment of all vehicle and equipment wash areas through plumbing to sanitary or another collection method
<b>X</b>	Use of dry cleanup methods when cleaning debris and spills from corporation yard(s) or collection of all wash water and disposing of wash water to sanitary or other location where it does not impact surface or groundwater when wet cleanup methods are used
<b>X</b>	Cover and/or berm outdoor storage areas containing waste pollutants

Comments: **None**

If you have a corporation yard(s) that is not an NOI facility, complete the following table for inspection results for your corporation yard(s) or attach a summary including the following information:

Corporation Yard Name	Inspection Date (1x/year required)	Inspection Findings/Results	Follow-up Actions
City of San Pablo Corporation Yard	10/21/2013	1. The trash enclosure cover is ripped 2. A few items picked up from the street were left outside (i.e. TV).	1. Staff to design a new cover for the trash enclosure in 2014. 2. A new hazardous waste storage container was ordered and received in the spring of 2014.

**Section 3 - Provision C.3 Reporting New Development and Redevelopment**

**C.3.b.v.(2)(a) ► Green Streets Status Report**

(All projects to be completed by December 1, 2014)

On an annual basis (if applicable), report on the status of any pilot green street projects within your jurisdiction. For each completed project, report the capital costs, operation and maintenance costs, legal and procedural arrangements in place to address operation and maintenance and its associated costs, and the sustainable landscape measures incorporated in the project including, if relevant, the score from the Bay-Friendly Landscape Scorecard.

Summary:

**The C.3 New Development and Redevelopment section of the CCCWP's FY 13-14 Annual Report includes a description of activities conducted at the countywide or regional level.**

**Staff have reviewed and provided comments on the design for the Green Street project in San Pablo that is part of the larger SPINE project. The Green Street Pilot Project Summary Report submitted by BASMAA, on behalf of the MRP permittees, in BASMAA's MRP FY 12-13 Regional Supplement – New Development and Redevelopment includes information on the green street project constructed in our jurisdiction, including capital costs, O&M costs, legal and procedural arrangements to address O&M and its associated costs, and sustainable landscape measures.**

**C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting**

Fill in attached table **C.3.b.v.(1)** or attach your own table including the same information. **See table below.**

**C.3.e.v. ► Alternative or In-Lieu Compliance with Provision C.3.c.**

(For FY 11-12 Annual Report and each Annual Report thereafter)

Is your agency choosing to require 100% LID treatment onsite for all Regulated Projects and not allow alternative compliance under Provision C.3.e.?

	Yes	No
		X

Comments (optional): **Although the City is not requiring 100% LID treatment onsite, staff is not encouraging alternative or in-lieu compliance either. To date, no applicants have inquired about these options.**

**C.3.e.vi ► Special Projects Reporting**

1. Has your agency received, but not yet granted final discretionary approval of, a development permit application for a project that has been identified as a potential Special Project based on criteria listed in MRP Provision C.3.e.ii(2) for any of the three categories of Special Projects (Categories A, B or C)?		Yes	X	No
2. Has your agency granted final discretionary approval of a project identified as a Special Project in the March 15, 2014 report? If yes, include the project in both the C.3.b.v.(1) Table, and the C.3.e.vi. Table.		Yes	X	No
If you answered "Yes" to either question, 1) Complete Table C.3.e.vi . below. 2) Attach narrative discussion of 100% LID Feasibility or Infeasibility for each project. <b>NA</b>				

**C.3.h.iv. ► Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting**

(1) Fill in attached table <b>C.3.h.iv.(1)</b> or attach your own table including the same information. <b>See table below.</b>
(2) On an annual basis, provide a discussion of the inspection findings for the year and any common problems encountered with various types of treatment systems and/or HM controls. This discussion should include a general comparison to the inspection findings from the previous year.
Summary: <b>There was some die off of vegetation, lots of trash, and the energy dissipation cobblestones had moved. The site is maintained once a year and the City is now requiring maintenance at least twice a year per their approved O&amp;M Plan.</b>
(3) On an annual basis, provide a discussion of the effectiveness of the O&M Program and any proposed changes to improve the O&M Program (e.g., changes in prioritization plan or frequency of O&M inspections, other changes to improve effectiveness program).
Summary: <b>The O&amp;M Program is effective in correcting violations. However, since there is turnover in the management of these sites, City staff is repeating a lot of information.</b>
(4) During the reporting year, did your agency:

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inspect all newly installed stormwater treatment systems and HM controls within 45 days of installation?</li> </ul>	X	Yes		No		Not applicable. No new facilities were installed.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inspect at least 20 percent of the total number of installed stormwater treatment systems or HM controls?<sup>3</sup></li> </ul>	X	Yes		No		Not applicable. No treatment measures
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inspect at least 20 percent of the total number of installed vault-based systems?</li> </ul>		Yes		No	X	Not applicable. No vault systems.
If you answered "No" to any of the questions above, please explain: <b>NA</b>						

**C.3.i. ► Required Site Design Measures for Small Projects and Detached Single Family Home Projects**

On an annual basis, discuss the implementation of the requirements of Provision C.3.i, including ordinance revisions, permit conditions, development of standard specifications and/or guidance materials, and staff training.

Summary:  
**The City had 4 projects that were required to meet the new requirement. Of which 2 were constructed this fiscal year. The Contra Costa Clean Water Program adopted a December 1, 2012 addendum to the Stormwater C.3 Guidebook, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition. The addendum, "Preparing a Stormwater Control Plan for a Small Land Development Project," includes step-by-step instructions, a project data form, and standard specifications for runoff reduction measures. The City of San Pablo's stormwater ordinance requires that applications for development approvals for projects subject to the permit's new development requirements include a Stormwater Control Plan meeting the criteria in the most recent version of the Stormwater C.3 Guidebook.**

<sup>3</sup> If there is only 1 treatment measure in the jurisdiction, the agency must inspect it every year.

**C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 1) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period**

Project Name Project No.	Project Location <sup>10</sup> , Street Address	Name of Developer	Project Phase No. <sup>11</sup>	Project Type & Description <sup>12</sup>	Project Watershed <sup>13</sup>	Total Site Area (Acres)	Total Area of Land Disturbed (Acres)	Total New Impervious Surface Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>14</sup>	Total Replaced Impervious Surface Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>15</sup>	Total Pre- Project Impervious Surface Area <sup>16</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Total Post- Project Impervious Surface Area <sup>17</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> )
<b>Private Projects</b>											
Abella Vista	101-114 San Juan Batista Street	KB Homes	NA	Redevelopment to condominiums and townhouses	San Pablo Creek Watershed	6.77	6.77	NA	6.77	6.77	5
<b>Public Projects</b>											
None	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Comments: Signature Properties originally owned the Abella Vista site and the project was reported by the City under the name of Abella Paseo. With the downturn in the housing market, Signature Properties subdivided Abella Vista and changed the plans to include condominiums and townhouses. After building the model homes, they sold the property to KB Homes who is now in the process of constructing Signature Property's design with minor modifications.											

<sup>10</sup> Include cross streets

<sup>11</sup> If a project is being constructed in phases, indicate the phase number and use a separate row entry for each phase. If not, enter "NA".

<sup>12</sup> Project Type is the type of development (i.e., new and/or redevelopment). Example descriptions of development are: 5-story office building, residential with 160 single-family homes with five 4-story buildings to contain 200 condominiums, 100 unit 2-story shopping mall, mixed use retail and residential development (apartments), industrial warehouse.

<sup>13</sup> State the watershed(s) in which the Regulated Project is located. Downstream watershed(s) may be included, but this is optional.

<sup>14</sup> All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing pervious surface.

<sup>15</sup> All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing impervious surface.

<sup>16</sup> For redevelopment projects, state the pre-project impervious surface area.

<sup>17</sup> For redevelopment projects, state the post-project impervious surface area.

**C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period (private projects)**

Project Name Project No.	Application Deemed Complete Date <sup>18</sup>	Application Final Approval Date <sup>19</sup>	Source Control Measures <sup>20</sup>	Site Design Measures <sup>21</sup>	Treatment Systems Approved <sup>22</sup>	Type of Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism <sup>23</sup>	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria <sup>24</sup>	Alternative Compliance Measures <sup>25/26</sup>	Alternative Certification <sup>27</sup>	HM Controls <sup>28/29</sup>
<b>Private Projects</b>										
Abella Vista	4/21/2014	4/21/2014	Stormdrain stenciling and efficient irrigation system.	Minimize impervious surfaces	Bioretention facilities	Home Owner's Association	2.c.	NA	HA	No increase in impervious area
Comments: <b>None.</b>										

<sup>18</sup> For private projects, state project application deemed complete date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

<sup>19</sup> For private projects, state project application final discretionary approval date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

<sup>20</sup> List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

<sup>21</sup> List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

<sup>22</sup> List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

<sup>23</sup> List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., O&M agreement with private landowner; O&M agreement with homeowners' association; O&M by public entity, etc...) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

<sup>24</sup> See Provision C.3.d.i. "Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems" for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

<sup>25</sup> For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

<sup>26</sup> For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

<sup>27</sup> Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

<sup>28</sup> If HM control is not required, state why not.

<sup>29</sup> If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), bioretention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period (public projects)										
Project Name Project No.	Approval Date <sup>30</sup>	Date Construction Scheduled to Begin	Source Control Measures <sup>31</sup>	Site Design Measures <sup>32</sup>	Treatment Systems Approved <sup>33</sup>	Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism <sup>34</sup>	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria <sup>35</sup>	Alternative Compliance Measures <sup>36/37</sup>	Alternative Certification <sup>38</sup>	HM Controls <sup>39/40</sup>
<b>Public Projects</b>										
None	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Comments: <b>None</b>										

<sup>30</sup> For public projects, enter the plans and specifications approval date.

<sup>31</sup> List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

<sup>32</sup> List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

<sup>33</sup> List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

<sup>34</sup> List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., maintenance plan for O&M by public entity, etc...) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

<sup>35</sup> See Provision C.3.d.i. "Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems" for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

<sup>36</sup> For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

<sup>37</sup> For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

<sup>38</sup> Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

<sup>39</sup> If HM control is not required, state why not.

<sup>40</sup> If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), bioretention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

**C.3.h.iv. ► Table of Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting**

Fill in table below or attach your own table including the same information.

Name of Facility/Site Inspected	Address of Facility/Site Inspected	Newly Installed? (YES/NO) <sup>41</sup>	Party Responsible <sup>42</sup> For Maintenance	Date of Inspection	Type of Inspection <sup>43</sup>	Type of Treatment/HM Control(s) Inspected <sup>44</sup>	Inspection Findings or Results <sup>45</sup>	Enforcement Action Taken <sup>46</sup>	Comments/Follow-up
Walgreens	14280 San Pablo Avenue	NO	Walgreens	5/5/2014	Routine	Bioretention facilities	Maintenance was required.	Required the site be maintained more frequently per O&M Plan.	None.
San Pablo Community Center	2450 Road 20	YES	City of San Pablo	6/2/14	45-Day	Flow-through Planters	Proper installation.	None.	None.

<sup>41</sup> Indicate "YES" if the facility was installed within the reporting period, or "NO" if installed during a previous fiscal year.

<sup>42</sup> State the responsible operator for installed stormwater treatment systems and HM controls.

<sup>43</sup> State the type of inspection (e.g., 45-day, routine or scheduled, follow-up, etc.).

<sup>44</sup> State the type(s) of treatment systems inspected (e.g., bioretention facility, flow-through planter, infiltration basin, etc...) and the type(s) of HM controls inspected, and indicate whether the treatment system is an onsite, joint, or offsite system.

<sup>45</sup> State the inspection findings or results (e.g., proper installation, improper installation, proper O&M, immediate maintenance needed, etc.).

<sup>46</sup> State the enforcement action(s) taken, if any.

C.3.e.vi.Special Projects Reporting Table												
Reporting Period – January 1 – June 30, 2013												
Project Name & No.	Permittee	Address	Application Submittal Date <sup>47</sup>	Status <sup>48</sup>	Description <sup>49</sup>	Site Total Acreage	Density DU/Acre	Density FAR	Special Project Category <sup>50</sup>	LID Treatment Reduction Credit Available <sup>51</sup>	List of LID Stormwater Treatment Systems <sup>52</sup>	List of Non-LID Stormwater Treatment Systems <sup>53</sup>
No Special projects this year.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Category A: Category B: Category C: Location: Density: Parking:  NA	Category A: Category B: Category C: Location: Density: Parking:  NA	Indicate each type of LID treatment system and the percentage of total runoff treated  NA	Indicate each type of non-LID treatment system and the percentage of total runoff treated. Indicate whether minimum design criteria met or certification received  NA

<sup>47</sup> Date that a planning application for the Special Project was submitted.

<sup>48</sup> Indicate whether final discretionary approval is still pending or has been granted, and provide the date or version of the project plans upon which reporting is based.

<sup>49</sup> Type of project (commercial, mixed-use, residential), number of floors, number of units, type of parking, and other relevant information.

<sup>50</sup> For each applicable Special Project Category, list the specific criteria applied to determine applicability. For each non-applicable Special Project Category, indicate n/a.

<sup>51</sup> For each applicable Special Project Category, state the maximum total LID Treatment Reduction Credit available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available.

<sup>52</sup> List all LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type, indicate the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area (assume % of total runoff = % of total equivalent impervious area).

<sup>53</sup> List all non-LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type of non-LID treatment system, indicate: (1) the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area, and (2) whether the treatment system either meets minimum design criteria published by a government agency or received certification issued by a government agency, and reference the applicable criteria or certification. (Contra Costa's criteria were adopted March 20, 2013.)

**Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls**

<b>Program Highlights</b>
Provide background information, highlights, trends, etc.
<b>The City of San Pablo has historically conducted its own business inspections. This year, the City chose to contract with West County Wastewater District to conduct some of its inspections through the Contra Costa Clean Water Program. With WCWD's assistance, the City updated its restaurant database.</b>
<b>Refer to the C.4. Industrial and Commercial Site Controls section of the CCCWPs FY 13-14 Annual Report for a description of activities of the CCCWP's Municipal Operations Committee and/or the BASMAA Municipal Operations Committee.</b>

<b>C.4.b.i. ► Business Inspection Plan</b>
Do you have a Business Inspection Plan? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If No, explain: <b>N/A</b>

<b>C.4.b.iii.(1) ► Potential Facilities List</b>
List below or attach your list of industrial and commercial facilities in your Inspection Plan to inspect that could reasonably be considered to cause or contribute to pollution of stormwater runoff.
<b>Please see attachment C.4.b.iii(1) for the City of San Pablo's list of commercial facilities.</b>

<b>C.4.b.iii.(2) ► Facilities Scheduled for Inspection</b>																		
List below or attach your list of facilities scheduled for inspection during the current fiscal year.																		
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><b>Food Service</b></th> <th><b>Auto Service</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Alba Restaurant</td> <td>A+ Smog</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Americana Pizza &amp; Taqueria</td> <td>ACS Smog</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Asian Delight Chinese Food</td> <td>Amaya Auto Repair</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bismillah Restaurant</td> <td>BA Auto Repair</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Blue Bay Thai Cuisine</td> <td>Castrol Premium Lube Express</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Café De Soliel</td> <td>CCS - Complete Car Service</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Der Wienershenitzel</td> <td>CCS Auto Body</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Donut King</td> <td>Cernas Tire</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>Food Service</b>	<b>Auto Service</b>	Alba Restaurant	A+ Smog	Americana Pizza & Taqueria	ACS Smog	Asian Delight Chinese Food	Amaya Auto Repair	Bismillah Restaurant	BA Auto Repair	Blue Bay Thai Cuisine	Castrol Premium Lube Express	Café De Soliel	CCS - Complete Car Service	Der Wienershenitzel	CCS Auto Body	Donut King	Cernas Tire
<b>Food Service</b>	<b>Auto Service</b>																	
Alba Restaurant	A+ Smog																	
Americana Pizza & Taqueria	ACS Smog																	
Asian Delight Chinese Food	Amaya Auto Repair																	
Bismillah Restaurant	BA Auto Repair																	
Blue Bay Thai Cuisine	Castrol Premium Lube Express																	
Café De Soliel	CCS - Complete Car Service																	
Der Wienershenitzel	CCS Auto Body																	
Donut King	Cernas Tire																	

El Sitio	Cheng Auto
El Tazumal	City of San Pablo Corporation Yard
Empire Buffet	Colima Auto Repair
Fina's Pizza Restaurant	Complete Automotive Repair Service
Gou Bu Li	D.C. Auto Repair
Gyoza Express	Daytona Motors
Home Town Donuts	Express Lube Center
HS Fish and Chips	Fahrenheit Auto Performance
Jack in the Box	J & M Quality Tire
Jack in the Box	JHS Automotive Repair Services
Jamba Juice	Midas
KFC	Poncho's Auto Body
Kin Sen Thai Cuisine	Richmond Tire
Korean BBQ	Ron's Translaxes
La Casa Del Pollo	S & R Starters
La Loma Inc.	Shell
La Palmera Restaurant & Commissary	Speed Lube
La Plazuela	Texas Gas Services Co
La Strada Restaurant	Top Gas & Grocery
Lee's Garden	Union 76 Gas Station
Lee's Garden #2, Town Center	USA Gasolione
Little Ceasars Pizza	Vega's Auto Center
Mariscos La Playita	US Army Reserves
McDonalds	
McDonald's Restaurant	
Mercado La Hacienda	
Nations	
Noya	
O'Henry Donuts	
Pizza Guys	
Pizza Hut (Pac Pizza, LLC)	
Popeye's Chicken	
Ran Kanom Thai	
Rose Garden	
Round Table Pizza	

San Pablo Billiards and Mexican Restaurant
San Pablo Burrito Shop
Star Bread
Starbucks Coffee
Starbucks Coffee
Sukie's Country Kitchen
Susy's Bakery
Taco Bell
Taqueria Amigo
Taqueria Dona Maria
Taqueria San Juan
The Villa
Tortas Y Taqueria

**C.4.c.iii.(1) ► Facility Inspections**

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information. Indicate your violation reporting methodology below.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports multiple discrete violations on a site as one violation.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports the total number of discrete violations on each site.

	Number	Percent
Number of businesses inspected	46	
Total number of inspections conducted	81	
Number of violations (excluding verbal warnings)	29	
Sites inspected in violation	29	
Violations resolved within 10 working days or otherwise deemed resolved in a longer but still timely manner	28	

Comments:  
**Any violation of BMPs or City of San Pablo municipal code is considered a violation. Many of the violations in FY 2013-14 were related to businesses not having garbage service. Any business found not to have garbage service was issued a violation, and City staff either followed up with a site visit or called Richmond Sanitary Service (RSS) to confirm garbage service had been established. This process resulted in the large number of total inspections compared to total number of businesses inspected. Some cases required additional site visits that carried into FY 2014-2015 and were included in the total number of inspections conducted because they were related to issues discovered in FY 2013-14.**  
  
**There is one case still in the process of resolution: an auto service business is awaiting garbage service, which is anticipated on 9/1/14.**

**C.4.c.iii.(2) ► Frequency and Types/Categories of Violations Observed**

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

Type/Category of Violations Observed	Number of Violations
Actual discharge (e.g. active non-stormwater discharge or clear evidence of a recent discharge)	0
Potential discharge and other	29
Comments: <b>There were no active discharges nor evidence of recent discharge. All violations were either potential discharges or violations of City code for not having garbage service established and instead were self-hauling waste material.</b>	

**C.4.c.iii.(2) ► Frequency and Type of Enforcement Conducted**

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

	Enforcement Action (as listed in ERP) <sup>48</sup>	Number of Enforcement Actions Taken	% of Enforcement Actions Taken <sup>49</sup>
Level 1	Verbal Warning/Warning Notice/Education	27	93%
Level 2	Notice of Violation	2	7%
Level 3	Formal Enforcement	0	0%
Level 4	Legal Action or Referral	0	0%
<b>Total</b>		29	100%

**C.4.c.iii.(3) ► Types of Violations Noted by Business Category**

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

Business Category <sup>50</sup>	Number of Actual Discharge Violations	Number of Potential/Other Discharge Violations
Food Service	0	10
Auto Service	0	19

<sup>48</sup> Agencies to list specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

<sup>49</sup> Percentage calculated as number of each type of enforcement action divided by the total number of enforcement actions.

<sup>50</sup> List your Program's standard business categories.

**C.4.c.iii.(4) ▶ Non-Filers**

List below or attach a list of the facilities required to have coverage under the Industrial General Permit but have not filed for coverage:

There were no industries identified as non-filers during scheduled inspections during this fiscal year.

**C.4.d.iii ▶ Staff Training Summary**

Training Name	Training Dates	Topics Covered	No. of Inspectors in Attendance	Percent of Inspectors in Attendance
Commercial/Industrial Stormwater Inspection Training Workshop – Brentwood Community Center	May 8, 2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What Constitutes a Stormwater Violation?</li> <li>• Overview of Site Visit and Mock Inspection</li> <li>• Guided Tour and Mock Inspection of Streets of Brentwood</li> <li>• Building a Strong Enforcement Case</li> <li>• Mapping the Storm Sewer Systems: An Important Component to Your Municipality's Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination System</li> </ul>	1	50%

**Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination**

**Program Highlights**

Provide background information, highlights, trends, etc.

**San Pablo has a very aggressive illicit discharge program where any discharger that allows a pollutant to enter the stormdrain system is automatically issued a \$1,000 administrative fine. We have found that this level of enforcement has decreased the number of violations. Refer to the C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination section of CCCWP's FY 13-14 Annual Report for description of activities conducted at the countywide or regional level of behalf of all Permittees.**

**C.5.c.iii ► Complaint and Spill Response Phone Number and Spill Contact List**

List below or attach your complaint and spill response phone number and spill contact list.

Contact	Description	Phone Number
Jen Jackson	Environmental Program Analyst	(510)215-3066
Mike Heller	Public Works Manager	(510)215-3071

**C.5.d.iii ► Evaluation of Mobile Business Program**

Describe implementation of minimum standards and BMPs for mobile businesses and your enforcement strategy. This may include participation in the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaners regional program or local activities.

Description:  
**If City staff observe or get a complaint that a mobile businesses is not following BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaners BMPs, staff follow our enforcement response plan. If the washwater has already entered the stormdrain, an automatic \$1,000 citation is issued. Violations are followed up by required training of the mobile business staff. Refer to the C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination section of the CCCWP's FY 13-14 Annual Report for a description of efforts by the CCCWP's Municipal Operations Committee and the BASMAA Municipal Operations Committee to address mobile businesses.**

**C.5.e.iii ► Evaluation of Collection System Screening Program**

Provide a summary or attach a summary of your collection screening program, a summary of problems found during collection system screening and any changes to the screening program this FY.

Description: **Before the rainy season, maintenance staff inspects and cleans all public storm drain systems in the City (326). Also, as part of the annual creek cleanup and maintenance program, staff inspects and cleans the major discharge locations (24). The latter sites mostly include illegally dumped items and homeless camps. No major problems were detected this year.**

**C.5.f.iii.(1), (2), (3) ▶ Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking**

Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking (fill out the following table or include an attachment of the following information)

	Number	Percentage
Discharges reported (C.5.f.iii.(1))	4	
Discharges reaching storm drains and/or receiving waters (C.5.f.iii.(2))	2	50%
Discharges resolved in a timely manner (C.5.f.iii.(3))	4	100%

Comments: **The first complaint was regarding a homeless camp which City crews cleaned up. In addition, other homeless camps are cleaned by City crews throughout the year based on staff observations. The second violation was a messy dumpster area behind a shopping center adjacent to a creek. Due to scavengers, this area tends to get dirty often but the property owner addressed the violation in a timely manner. The last two were major discharges that entered the stormdrain and are discussed below.**

**C.5.f.iii.(4) ▶ Summary of major types of discharges and complaints**

Provide a narrative or attach a table and/or graph.

**There were two major discharges into the stormdrain this year. One was a sewer overflow from a shopping center. Approximately 1,400 gallons of wastewater entered the stormdrain system. City crews, West County Wastewater District, as well as the property owner worked to fix the problem and prevent the public from entering the area. The property owner has since TV-ed the line and repaired the problem. The second discharge was an EBMUD water line break that resulted in approximately 20,000 gallons of water entering the stormdrain system. The City also worked closely with EBMUD and required that they clean all the mud that was carried blocks away from the main break.**

Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls

<b>C.6.e.iii.1.a, b, c ► Site/Inspection Totals</b>		
<b>Number of High Priority Sites (sites disturbing &lt; 1 acre of soil requiring storm water runoff quality inspection) (C.6.e.iii.1.a)</b>	<b>Number of sites disturbing ≥ 1 acre of soil (C.6.e.iii.1.b)</b>	<b>Total number of storm water runoff quality inspections conducted (include only High Priority Site and sites disturbing 1 acre or more) (C.6.e.iii.1.c)</b>
# <b>2</b>	# <b>1</b>	# <b>36</b>
<p>Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The two sites under an acre were both City projects. One was the construction of the Community Center which lasted from August until April. The City inspector was on-site every day and conducted a stormwater inspection on average 3 times a month. The second project was the City solar project which lasted 3 months and was inspected 3 times for stormwater.</li> <li>2) A residential construction of a site disturbing &gt;1 acre began in May and therefore 2 stormwater inspections were done.</li> <li>3) The School District was constructing Helms Middle School and although they are not part of our inspection program, they did track dirt and received a verbal warning (included in Enforcement Actions table below)</li> </ol>		

<b>C.6.e.iii.1.d ▶ Construction Activities Storm Water Violations</b>		
<b>BMP Category</b>	<b>Number of Violations<sup>51</sup> excluding Verbal Warnings</b>	<b>% of Total Violations<sup>52</sup></b>
Erosion Control	0	0%
Run-on and Run-off Control	0	0%
Sediment Control	1	100%
Active Treatment Systems	0	0%
Good Site Management	0	0%
Non Stormwater Management	0	0%
<b>Total<sup>53</sup></b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>51</sup> Count one violation in a category for each site and inspection regardless of how many violations/problems occurred in the BMP category. For example, if during one inspection at a site, there are 2 erosion control violations, only 1 violation would be counted for this table.

<sup>52</sup> Percentage calculated as number of violations in each category divided by total number of violations in all six categories.

<sup>53</sup> The total number of violations may count more than one violation per inspection, since some inspections may result in violations in more than one category. For example, during one inspection of a site, there may have been both an erosion control violation and a sediment control violation. For this reason, the total number of violations in this table may not match the total number of enforcement actions reported in Table C6.e.iii.1.e.

**C.6.e.iii.1.e ▶ Construction Related Storm Water Enforcement Actions**

	<b>Enforcement Action</b> (as listed in ERP) <sup>54</sup>	<b>Number Enforcement Actions Issued</b>	<b>% Enforcement Actions Issued<sup>55</sup></b>
Level 1 <sup>56</sup>	<b>Verbal Warning/Warning Notice/Education</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>100%</b>
Level 2	<b>Notice of Violation</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>
Level 3	<b>Formal Enforcement</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>
Level 4	<b>Legal Action or Referral</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>100%</b>

**C.6.e.iii.1.f, g ▶ Illicit Discharges**

	<b>Number</b>
Number of illicit discharges, actual and those inferred through evidence at high priority sites and sites that disturb 1 acre or more of land (C.6.e.iii.1.f)	<b>0</b>
Number of sites with discharges, actual and those inferred through evidence at high priority sites and sites that disturb 1 acre or more of land (C.6.e.iii.1.g)	<b>0</b>

<sup>54</sup> Agencies should list the specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

<sup>55</sup> Percentage calculated as number of each type of enforcement action divided by the total number of enforcement actions.

<sup>56</sup> For example, Enforcement Level 1 may be Verbal Warning.

**C.6.e.iii.1.h, i ► Violation Correction Times**

	Number	Percent
Violations (excluding verbal warnings) fully corrected within 10 business days after violations are discovered or otherwise considered corrected in a timely period (C.6.e.iii.1.h)	1	100% <sup>57</sup>
Violations (excluding verbal warnings) not fully corrected within 30 days after violations are discovered (C.6.e.iii.1.i)	0	0% <sup>58</sup>
<b>Total number of violations (excluding verbal warnings) for the reporting year<sup>59</sup></b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Comments:</b> None		

**C.6.e.iii.(2) ► Evaluation of Inspection Data**

Describe your evaluation of the tracking data and data summaries and provide information on the evaluation results (e.g., data trends, typical BMP performance issues, comparisons to previous years, etc.).

Description: **There were very few violations this year compared to previous years. This is most likely due to the fact that most of the inspections this year were for a City project and since the inspector was on site every day and had a good rapport with the contractor, there were no violations.**

**C.6.e.iii.(2) ► Evaluation of Inspection Program Effectiveness**

Describe what appear to be your program's strengths and weaknesses, and identify needed improvements, including education and outreach.

Description:  
**Refer to the C.6 Construction Site Control section of the CCCWP's FY 13-14 Annual Report for a description of activities at the countywide or regional level.**

<sup>57</sup> Calculated as number of violations fully corrected in a timely period after the violations are discovered divided by the total number of violations for the reporting year.  
<sup>58</sup> Calculated as number of violations not fully corrected within 30 days after the violations are discovered divided by the total number of violations for the reporting year.  
<sup>59</sup> The total number of violations reported in the table of Violation Correction Times equals the number of initial enforcement actions. I.e., This assumes one violation is issued for several problems during an inspection at a site. The total number of violations in the table of Violation Correction Times may not equal the total number of enforcement actions because one violation issued at a site may have a second enforcement action for the same violation at the next inspection if it is not corrected.

**C.6.f ► Staff Training Summary**

Training Name	Training Dates	Topics Covered	No. of Inspectors in Attendance	Percent of Inspectors in Attendance
<b>Construction Site Stormwater Controls Workshop – Walnut Creek Civic Arts Education Center</b>	<b>April 10, 2014</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>C.6 Requirements Overview</b></li> <li>• <b>Recognizing C.6 BMPs – Inspector’s Eye</b></li> <li>• <b>Relating C.6 to the Construction General Permit</b></li> <li>• <b>Inspections, Documentation, and Reporting</b></li> <li>• <b>Enforcement – Using the ERP</b></li> <li>• <b>Using Inspection Tools Exercise and Discussion</b></li> </ul>	<b>1</b>	<b>50%</b>

**Section 7 – Provision C.7. Public Information and Outreach**

**C.7.b.ii.1 ▶ Advertising Campaign**

Summarize advertising efforts. Include details such as messages, creative developed, and outreach media used. The detailed advertising report may be included as an attachment. If advertising is being done by participation in a countywide or regional program, refer to the separate countywide or regional Annual Report.

Summary:  
**Refer to the CCCWP's Annual Report for a complete review of advertising efforts conducted on behalf of all Permittees.**

**C.7.b.iii.1 ▶ Pre-Campaign Survey**

*(For the Annual Report following the pre-campaign survey)* Summarize survey information such as sample size, type of survey (telephone survey, interviews etc.). Attach a survey report that includes the following information. If survey was done regionally, refer to a regional submittal that contains the following information:

**Refer to Section C.7 in the CCCWP's FY 13-14 Annual Report for complete details on the pre-campaign survey conducted for the CCCWP's Pesticide Campaign.**

Place an **X** in the appropriate box below:

<b>NA</b>	Survey report attached
<b>NA</b>	Reference to regional submittal:

**C.7.b.iii.2 ▶ Post-Campaign Survey**

*(For the Annual Report following the post-campaign survey)* Discuss the campaigns and the measureable changes in awareness and behavior achieved. Provide an update of outreach strategies based on the survey results. If survey was done regionally, refer to a regional submittal that contains the following information:

**Refer to Section C.7 in the CCCWP's FY 13-14 Annual Report for complete details on the post-campaign survey conducted for the CCCWP's Pesticide Campaign.**

Place an **X** in the appropriate box below:

<b>NA</b>	Survey report attached
<b>NA</b>	Reference to regional submittal:

**C.7.c ► Media Relations**

Summarize the media relations effort. Include the following details for each media pitch in the space below, AND/OR refer to a regional report that includes these details:

- Topic and content of pitch
- Medium (TV, radio, print, online)
- Date of publication/broadcast

Summary:  
**The following separate report developed by BASMAA summarizes media relations efforts conducted during FY 13-14:**  
 • **BASMAA Media Relations Final Report FY 13-14**  
**This report and any other media relations efforts conducted countywide is included within the C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of the Countywide Program’s FY 13-14 Annual Report.**

**C.7.d ► Stormwater Point of Contact**

Summary of any changes made during FY 13-14:  
**The Point of Contact has been changed to Jen Jackson and her number is 510-215-3066. Refer to the CCCWP’s C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of Program’s FY 13-14 Annual Report for efforts conducted by the countywide program to publicize stormwater points of contact (e.g. CCCWP website, hotline, outreach materials, etc.).**

**C.7.e ► Public Outreach Events**

Describe general approach to event selection. Provide a list of outreach materials and giveaways distributed.  
 Use the following table for reporting and evaluating public outreach events

Event Details	Description (messages, audience)	Evaluation of Effectiveness
Provide event name, date, and location. Indicate if event is local, countywide or regional.	Identify type of event (e.g., school fair, farmers market etc.), type of audience (school children, gardeners, homeowners etc.) and outreach messages (e.g., Enviroscape presentation, pesticides, stormwater awareness)	Provide general staff feedback on the event (e.g., success at reaching a broad spectrum of the community, well attended, good opportunity to talk to gardeners etc.). Provide other details such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Estimated overall attendance at the event.</li> <li>• Number of people that visited the booth, comparison with previous years</li> <li>• Number of brochures and giveaways distributed</li> <li>• Results of any spot surveys conducted</li> </ul>

<p>Bringing Back the Native Garden Tour, May 2014, countywide</p>	<p>Tour to encourage landscaping using native plants, minimizing pesticide and fertilizer use, water conservation, mulching and composting, etc. for countywide residents</p>	<p>Please refer to CCCWP's C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of FY 13-14 Annual Report, for further details regarding the effectiveness of this event.</p>
<p>Our Water Our World tabling and outreach in stores, throughout the year, countywide</p>	<p>In hardware stores targeting gardeners to encourage them to use less pesticides.</p>	<p>Please refer to CCCWP's C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of FY 13-14 Annual Report, for further details regarding the effectiveness of this event.</p>

**C.7.f. ► Watershed Stewardship Collaborative Efforts**

Summarize watershed stewardship collaborative efforts and/or refer to a regional report that provides details. Describe the level of effort and support given (e.g., funding only, active participation etc.). State efforts undertaken and the results of these efforts. If this activity is done regionally refer to a regional report.

Evaluate effectiveness by describing the following:

- Efforts undertaken
- Major accomplishments

Summary:  
**Please refer to CCCWP's C.7 Public Information and Outreach section for a full description of the event/activity and an evaluation of effectiveness. Municipalities should report any direct participation at the local level. The City also collaborates with SPAWNERS (San Pablo Creek Group) on issues or events and staff also participates in the monthly Wildcat Creek-San Pablo Creek Watershed Council meetings.**

**C.7.g. ► Citizen Involvement Events**

List the types of events conducted (e.g., creek clean up, storm drain inlet marking, native gardening etc.). Use the following table for reporting and evaluating citizen involvement events.

Event Details	Description	Evaluation of effectiveness
<p>Provide event name, date, and location. Indicate if event is local, countywide or regional</p>	<p>Describe activity (e.g., creek clean-up, storm drain marking etc.)</p>	<p>Provide general staff feedback on the event. Provide other evaluation details such as:</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Number of participants. Any change in participation from previous years.</li> <li>• Distance of creek or water body cleaned</li> <li>• Quantity of trash/recyclables collected (weight or volume).</li> <li>• Number of inlets marked.</li> <li>• Data trends</li> </ul>
Wildcat Creek Cleanup, October 12, 2013, Davis Park, local	Annual creek cleanup at Davis Park. The City contracts with Kids for the Bay who visit local schools to teach about stormwater issues and promote the event.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 32 participants which is a slight decrease in participants as compared to last year,</li> <li>• adjacent to Wildcat Creek,</li> <li>• 44 bags, 1 recycling bag, 1 composting bag, tire, tree branch, and window pane.</li> <li>• There was about the same number of people but more bags. The volunteers were mostly older this year and stayed longer so they also picked up more trash.</li> </ul>
Earth Day Creek Cleanup, April 19, 2014, San Pablo Creek at Fordham Street, local	Creek Cleanup of two homeless camps. City supported the SPAWNERS sponsored event with materials and staff.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 9 participants,</li> <li>• 6 cubic yards of trash</li> </ul>
Community Watershed Stewardship Grant Program, countywide	The CCCWP Monitoring Committee reviews applications from local creek groups for project funding and recommends projects to the County Watershed Council who then awards the grant.	Please refer to CCCWP's C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of FY 13-14 Annual Report, Section C.7, for further details regarding the effectiveness of this event.
CCCleanWater.org Community Calendar, countywide	Website cities or organizations can advertise their creek or stormwater related events.	Please refer to CCCWP's C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of FY 13-14 Annual Report, Section C.7, for further details regarding the effectiveness of this event.
MyGreenGarden.org, countywide	Website with tips on green gardening that is interactive.	Please refer to CCCWP's C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of FY 13-14 Annual Report, Section C.7, for further details regarding the effectiveness of this event.

**C.7.h. ► School-Age Children Outreach**

Summarize school-age children outreach programs implemented. A detailed report may be included as an attachment. Use the following table for reporting school-age children outreach efforts.

Program Details	Focus & Short Description	Number of Students/Teachers reached	Evaluation of Effectiveness
Provide the following information: Name Grade or level (elementary/ middle/ high)	Brief description, messages, methods of outreach used	Provide number or participants	Provide agency staff feedback. Report any other evaluation methods used (quiz, teacher feedback etc.). Attach evaluation summary if applicable.
<b>Community Cleanup Program, 3<sup>rd</sup> graders</b>	<b>The City contracts with The Watershed Project to conduct classroom lessons on litter.</b>	<b>240 participants</b>	<b>The teachers are surveyed and they believe this is a valuable hands-on program that engaged the students.</b>
<b>Bye Bye Basura, elementary</b>	<b>Over 3 classroom lessons, the Watershed Project staff discuss watershed and trash through hands-on activities. Students send postcards to the sister city in Mexico and staff visit classrooms there to share the same message. As part of a garbage agreement with our waste hauler, they provide funding for environmental grants. This was the first project funded by the grant.</b>	<b>240 participants</b>	<b>No effectiveness evaluation has been done yet but teachers are trained in the topics and we will consider evaluation methods next year.</b>
<b>“Be Classy No Trashy”, middle and high school.</b>	<b>Development and initial implementation youth outreach litter campaign</b>	<b>Please refer to CCCWP’s C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of FY 13-14 Annual Report, Section C.7, for further details regarding the effectiveness of this event.</b>	<b>Please refer to CCCWP’s C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of FY 13-14 Annual Report, Section C.7, for further details regarding the effectiveness of this event.</b>



**Section 8 - Provision C.8 Water Quality Monitoring**

**C.8 ► Water Quality Monitoring**

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities can also describe below any Water Quality Monitoring activities in which they participate directly, e.g. participation in RMP workgroups, fieldwork within their jurisdictions, etc.

Summary

**San Pablo participates on the Monitoring Committee for the CCCWP. During FY 13-14, we contributed through the CCCWP to the BASMAA Regional Monitoring Coalition (RMC). In addition, we contributed financially to the Regional Monitoring Program for Water Quality in the San Francisco Estuary (RMP) and were represented at RMP committees and work groups. Monitoring efforts and results are documented in a separate report submitted March 15 of each year, as required in Provision C.8. For additional information on monitoring activities conducted by the CCCWP, BASMAA RMC and the RMP, see the C.8 Water Quality Monitoring section of the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report and the Integrated Monitoring Report.**

**Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls**

**C.9.b ► Implement IPM Policy or Ordinance**

Report implementation of IPM BMPs by showing trends in quantities and types of pesticides used, and suggest reasons for increases in use of pesticides that threaten water quality, specifically organophosphates, pyrethroids, carbaryl, and fipronil. A separate report can be attached as evidence of your implementation.

<b>Trends in Quantities and Types of Pesticides Used<sup>60</sup></b>					
<b>Pesticide Category and Specific Pesticide Used</b>	<b>Amount<sup>61</sup></b>				
	<b>FY 09-10</b>	<b>FY 10-11</b>	<b>FY 11-12</b>	<b>FY 12-13</b>	<b>FY 13-14</b>
<b>Organophosphates</b>					
<b>Product or Pesticide Type A</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Product or Pesticide Type B</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Pyrethroids</b>					
<b>Product or Pesticide Type X</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Product or Pesticide Type Y</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Carbaryl</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Fipronil</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

**C.9.c ► Train Municipal Employees**

Enter the number of employees that applied or used pesticides (including herbicides) within the scope of their duties this reporting year.	<b>3</b>
Enter the number of these employees who received training on your IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within the last 3 years.	<b>3</b>
Enter the percentage of municipal employees who apply pesticides who have received training in the IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within the last three years.	<b>100%</b>

<sup>60</sup> Includes all municipal structural and landscape pesticide usage by employees and contractors.

<sup>61</sup> Weight or volume of the product or preferably its active ingredient, using same units for the product each year. The active ingredients in any pesticide are listed on the label. The list of active ingredients that need to be reported in the pyrethroids class includes: allethrin, bifenthrin, beta-cyfluthrin, bioallethrin, cyfluthrin, cypermethrin, cyphenothrin, deltamethrin, esfenvalerate, etofenprox, fenpropathrin, gamma-cyhalothrin, imiprothrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, metofluthrin, permethrin, phenothrin, prallethrin, resmethrin, sumithrin (d-phenothrin), tau-fluvalinate, tefluthrin, tetramethrin, tralomethrin, cis-permethrin, and zeta-cypermethrin.

**C.9.d ▶ Require Contractors to Implement IPM**

Did your municipality contract with any pesticide service provider in the reporting year?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
If yes, attach one of the following:							
<b>NA</b>	Contract specifications that require adherence to your IPM policy and standard operating procedures, OR						
<b>X</b>	Copy(ies) of the contractors' IPM certification(s) or equivalent, OR						
<b>X</b>	Equivalent documentation.						
If <b>Not attached</b> , explain: <b>See Attachment in the City's FY 12-13 Annual Report.</b>							

**C.9.e ▶ Track and Participate in Relevant Regulatory Processes**

Summarize participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected <b>OR</b> reference a regional report that summarizes regional participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected.	
Summary: <b>During FY 13-14, we participated in regulatory processes related to pesticides through contributions to the CCCWP, BASMAA and CASQA. For additional information, see the Regional Report submitted by BASMAA on behalf of all MRP Permittees.</b>	

**C.9.f ▶ Interface with County Agricultural Commissioners**

Did your municipal staff observe any improper pesticide usage or evidence of improper usage (e.g., pesticides in storm drain systems, along street curbs, or in receiving waters) during this fiscal year?				<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
If yes, provide a summary of improper pesticide usage reported to the County Agricultural Commissioner and follow-up actions taken to correct any violations. A separate report can be attached as your summary.							

**C.9.h.ii ▶ Public Outreach: Point of Purchase**

Provide a summary of public outreach at point of purchase, and any measurable awareness and behavior changes resulting from outreach (here or in a separate report); <b>OR</b> reference a report of a regional effort for public outreach in which your agency participates.	
Summary: <b>See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section of the CCCWP's FY 13-14 Annual Report for information on point of purchase public outreach conducted countywide and regionally.</b>	

--

**C.9.h.vi ► Public Outreach: Pest Control Operators**

Provide a summary of public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers and reduced pesticide use (here or in a separate report); **OR** reference a report of a regional effort for outreach to pest control operators and landscapers in which your agency participates.

Summary:  
**See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section of CCCWP's FY 13-14 Annual Report for a summary of our participation in and contributions towards countywide and regional public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers to reduce pesticide use.**

Section 10 - Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction

**C.10.a.iii ► Minimum Full Trash Capture**

Provide the following:

- 1) Descriptions of actions/tasks completed towards achieving the Minimum Full Trash Capture requirement in provision C.10.a.iii. Include the:
  - Total number and types of full capture devices (publicly and privately-owned) installed to-date;
  - Total land area (acres) and land areas within each trash generation category (i.e., very high, high, moderate and low) treated by full capture devices (or other types of devices for non-population based Permittees), in comparison to the MRP-required full capture requirements in Attachment J to the MRP; and,
  - Percentage of jurisdictional land areas with very high, high, moderate and low trash generation rates treated by full capture devices.
- 2) A narrative summary of maintenance activities implemented for each device, group of devices, or device type, including descriptions of typical maintenance frequencies and issues associated with maintaining these devices.

Descriptions of Actions/Tasks (Conducted or Planned):

**In December 2012 – February 2013, the City of San Pablo installed the following devices:**

- **71 United Stormwater Connector Pipe Screens (CPS) full trash capture devices.**
- **1 Revel Environmental Manufacturing (REM) full trash capture device**
- **14 United Stormwater Automatic Retractable Screens (ARS) partial trash capture devices. Since installation, 1 of these devices has gone missing.**

**In addition, the City of San Pablo has required installation of a total of 8 Low-Impact Development projects with bioretention facilities, 7 of them are on private property and 1 is on public property. The latter project was completed in late June 2014 and is not incorporated into our trash load reduction calculations.**

Descriptions of Maintenance Activities:

**In FY 2013-2014, the City of San Pablo serviced the trash capture devices as follows:**

- **August 2013**
- **January-February 2014**

**FY 2014-2015, City staff are scheduled to service the devices as follows:**

- **August 2014**
- **November 2014**
- **Spring 2015**

**Staff reports from January-February 2014 indicate that approximately 40% of collected material is garbage and 60% is green waste.**

**C.10.b.iii ► Trash Hot Spot Assessment**

Provide the volume of material removed during each MRP-required Trash Hot Spot cleanup during each fiscal year, and the dominant types of trash (e.g., glass, plastics, paper) removed and their sources in FY 2013-14 to the extent possible.

Trash Hot Spot	FY 13-14 Cleanup Date	Volume of Trash Removed (cubic yards)				Dominant Type(s) of Trash in FY 2013-14	Trash Sources in FY 2013-14 (where possible)
		FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14		
Davis Park from Footbridge to Culvert	7/3/13	4.33 (higher because included 3 shopping carts)	1	1	3.75	55% plastics 12% paper and cardboard 1 tire, 1 large branch, 1 basketball, rest was small pieces of trash	Likely predominantly from littering, but also some deposition from upstream sources and illegal dumping.

**C.10.c ► Long-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan**

Provide descriptions of significant revisions made to your Long-term Trash Load Reduction Plan submitted to the Water Board in February 2014. Describe significant changes made to primary or secondary trash management areas (TMA), trash generation maps, control measures, or time schedules identified in your plan.

Description of Significant Revision	Associated TMA
<p>The City's Long-Term Trash Load reduction Plan has not been significantly revised. However, we plan to update our TMA map to incorporate Contra Costa College into TMA 1 because it is currently mapped as non-jurisdictional. In FY 2012-13, the City installed 4 United Stormwater Connector Pipe Screen full trash capture devices on City streets within the college.</p> <p>As planned, the City of San Pablo adopted a plastic bag ordinance on January 1, 2014, which became enforceable on July 1, 2014. The City is in the process of conducting public outreach regarding the planned adoption of a polystyrene ban by the City Council in the fall of 2014, to be effective and enforceable as of January 1, 2015.</p>	Citywide

<b>C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)</b>				
Provide a description of each jurisdictional-wide trash control measure implemented to-date. Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed by each control measure. For each jurisdictional-wide measure, identify the trash assessment method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the assessment(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area.				
<b>Control Measure</b>	<b>Summary Description of Control Measure &amp; Dominant Trash Sources and Types</b>	<b>Assessment Method(s)</b>	<b>Summary of Assessment Results To-date</b>	<b>Estimated % Trash Reduced</b>
Single-use Plastic Bag Ordinance or Policy	As reported in the City's Long-Term Trash Load reduction Plan, the City of San Pablo adopted a plastic bag ordinance on January 1, 2014, which became enforceable on July 1, 2014.			<b>TBD, FY 2014-15</b>
Expanded Polystyrene Food Service Ware Ordinance or Policy	The City plans to adopt a polystyrene ban this fall with an effective date of January 1, 2015.			<b>TBD, 1/1/2015</b>

**C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)**

Provide a description of each jurisdictional-wide trash control measure implemented to-date. Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed by each control measure. For each jurisdictional-wide measure, identify the trash assessment method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the assessment(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area.

<p>Public Education and Outreach Programs Targeted at Trash Reduction and Implemented post-MRP Adoption</p>	<p>Through the CCCWP, the Permittees conducted a "Litter Travels, But It Can Stop With You" multi-year campaign that started in FY 2009-2010 and ran through FY 2011-2012. The multi-media campaign was designed to educate citizens about the impacts of trash and litter in the County's waterways and how they can help address this problem. The campaign included TV spots, billboards, posters at BART stations, placards on transit buses, print ads, and updates to the CCCWP website. Other outreach included more than 10,000 letters to County residents, contact with youth sport leagues, outreach to 17 school districts in the County, and distribution of flyers to students in 5 of those districts. Pre- and post-campaign surveys were conducted.</p> <p>In addition, as per MRP requirement Provision C.3.a i(7) and C.3.c.i (1) (f), municipalities stencil all new stormdrains with the No Dumping-Drains to the Bay signage or equivalent and maintain stencils on all stormdrains.</p> <p>Both the "Litter Travels" campaign and stormdrain stenciling are aimed at reducing all trash types and sources.</p>	<p>Survey results conducted from the multi-year "Litter Travels" advertising campaign.</p>	<p>Surveys were conducted to measure the effectiveness of the "Litter Travels" campaign that ran from 2009 to 2012. As stated in the May 2010, Topline Report, there was 18% increase between 2009 and 2010 in the "very willing" response to the question of "How willing are you to participate in a community event to help cleanup trash?" As show in the June 2011 Topline report, there was a 21% increase from 2009 to 2011 in the "very high" response to the question of "How high would you rate your own concern about litter polluting water?"</p> <p>While metrics are not currently available to gauge the effectiveness of stormdrain stenciling, both the US EPA and the State Water Board recognize the value of stenciling in raising awareness of the connection between stormdrains and receiving waters. The US EPA includes stormdrain stenciling as a BMP for NPDES permits under Public Outreach and Participation. The State Water Board in its release of Draft Amendments to the Statewide Water Quality Control Plans to Control Trash includes storm drain stenciling as one means of educating the public about the direct discharge of stormwater to receiving waters and the effects of littering and dumping on receiving water quality.</p> <p>While both the "Litter Travels" campaign and stormdrain stenciling cannot be assigned specific trash reduction percentages, a 2% reduction has been assigned based on best professional judgement.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">2</p>
---	---	--	---	--------------------------------------

**C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)**

Complete the following trash control measure implementation and assessment summary for each primary trash management area (TMA) identified in your Long-term Plan. Include the following information:

- Identify the total jurisdictional area and the % of that area that generates very high (VH), high (H), moderate (M), or low (L) levels of trash;
- Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed or to-be addressed in the TMA;
- Include the area currently treated by full capture devices, the quantity and type of devices installed to-date, and the % of jurisdictional area that generates very high (VH), high (H), moderate (M), and low (L) levels of trash after accounting for reductions via full capture devices;
- Summarize control measures other than full capture devices implemented to-date, distinguishing between implementation that began pre- and post-MRP effective date. If not implemented in the entire TMA, describe generation category targeted and % of TMA addressed;
- Provide the % of the jurisdictional area that generates very VH, H, M or L levels of trash after accounting for all control measures implemented to-date;
- Describe the methods used to evaluate the effectiveness of control measures other than full capture devices, and any assessment results to-date. If the method was not implemented in the entire TMA, describe generation category targeted and %of TMA addressed; and
- Provide an estimate of the % of trash reduced in the TMA and jurisdiction-wide.

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)								
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category			
					VH	H	M	L
1	298	Retail stores and pedestrian Litter	Paper cups, plastic bottles	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	18	46	35	1
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account Full Capture Devices	11	36	30	22
Total Area (Acres)	64	28 United Stormwater Connector Pipe Screens (CPS)						
% of TMA	22	1 Revel Environmental Manufacturing device (REM)						
% of VH/H/M	22	3 LID Projects (2009, 2011, 2014)						
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices				After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	11	36	30	22
8 United Stormwater Automatic Retractable Screen (ARS) devices were installed in this TMA; recent inventory revealed 1 installation is no longer there and was possibly stolen.								
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices								
N/A								
Summary of Assessment Results To-date								
N/A								
Estimated % Trash Reduction in TMA due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					29			
Estimated % Trash Reduction Jurisdiction-wide due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					11			

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)								
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category			
					VH	H	M	L
2	233	Pedestrian Litter	Wrappers, paper	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	7	81	10	2
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account Full Capture Devices	4	14	1	81
Total Area (Acres)	188	16 CPS devices						
% of TMA	81							
% of VH/H/M	80							
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices				After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	4	14	1	81
3 ARS devices								
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices								
N/A								
Summary of Assessment Results To-date								
N/A								
Estimated % Trash Reduction in TMA due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					75			
Estimated % Trash Reduction Jurisdiction-wide due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					21			

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
3	109	Retail, vehicular and residential litter	Paper cups, plastic bottles	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	2	81	16	0	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	2	57	13	28
Total Area (Acres)	30	7 CPS devices, 3 LID Projects (2009,2011, 2011)							
% of TMA	28								
% of VH/H/M	28								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	2	57	13	28
N/A									
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
N/A									
Summary of Assessment Results To-date									
N/A									
					Estimated % Trash Reduction in <u>TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions				27
					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions				3

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)								
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category			
					VH	H	M	L
4	28	Pedestrian Litter	Paper cups, plastic bottles	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0	100	0	0
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	0	94	0	6
Total Area (Acres)	298	1 CPS device						
% of TMA	64							
% of VH/H/M	22							
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices				After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	0	94	0	6
N/A								
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices								
N/A								
Summary of Assessment Results To-date								
N/A								
Estimated % Trash Reduction in <u>TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					6			
Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					0			

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
5	18	Industrial businesses	Vehicular-generated litter	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0	100	0	0	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	0	74	0	26
Total Area (Acres)	5	2 CPS devices							
% of TMA	26								
% of VH/H/M	26								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	0	74	0	26
N/A									
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
N/A									
Summary of Assessment Results To-date									
N/A									
					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>in TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions				26
					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions				1

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)										
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category					
					VH	H	M	L		
6	8	Residential	Overflow from trash and recycling cans	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0	100	0	0		
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	0	100	0	0	
Total Area (Acres)	0	N/A								
% of TMA	0									
% of VH/H/M	0									
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	0	100	0	0	
N/A										
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices										
N/A										
Summary of Assessment Results To-date										
N/A										
					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>in TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions				0	
					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions				0	

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)								
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category			
					VH	H	M	L
7	12	Residential, School	Pedestrian and vehicular-generated litter	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0	90	10	0
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	0	90	10	0
Total Area (Acres)	0	1 CPS device						
% of TMA	0							
% of VH/H/M	0							
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices				After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	0	90	10	0
N/A								
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices								
N/A								
Summary of Assessment Results To-date				Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>in TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	0			
N/A					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	0		

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
8	39	Residential and K-12	Pedestrian and vehicular-generated litter	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0	0	73	27	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	0	0	64	36
Total Area (Acres)	4	N/A							
% of TMA	12								
% of VH/H/M	11								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	0	0	64	36
N/A									
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
N/A									
Summary of Assessment Results To-date									
N/A									
					Estimated % Trash Reduction in <u>TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions		12		
					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions		0		

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
9	83	Residential and School	Pedestrian and vehicular-generated	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0	0	100	0	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	0	0	0	100
Total Area (Acres)	83	N/A							
% of TMA	100								
% of VH/H/M	100								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	0	0	0	100
N/A									
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
N/A									
Summary of Assessment Results To-date									
N/A									
					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>in TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions		100		
					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions		2		

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
10	55	Residential and urban park	Pedestrian and vehicular-generated litter	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	1	0	89	10	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	0	1	89	10
Total Area (Acres)	0	N/A							
% of TMA	0								
% of VH/H/M	0								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	0	1	89	10
N/A									
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
N/A									
Summary of Assessment Results To-date									
N/A									
					Estimated % Trash Reduction in <u>TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions		0		
					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions		0		

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
11	265	Residential	Pedestrian and vehicular-generated litter	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0	1	96	3	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account Full Capture Devices	0	0	81	19
Total Area (Acres)	43	6 CPS devices							
% of TMA	16								
% of VH/H/M	16								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	0	0	81	19
3 ARS partial trash capture devices									
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
N/A									
Summary of Assessment Results To-date									
N/A									
					Estimated % Trash Reduction in TMA due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions		20		
					Estimated % Trash Reduction Jurisdiction-wide due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions		1		

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
12	60	Residential	Pedestrian and vehicular-generated litter	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0	0	95	5	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	0	0	82	18
Total Area (Acres)	8	6 CPS devices							
% of TMA	14								
% of VH/H/M	14								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	0	0	82	18
N/A									
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
N/A									
Summary of Assessment Results To-date									
N/A									
					Estimated % Trash Reduction in <u>TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions		14		
					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions		0		

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
13	105	Urban, open space	Wind-blown and vehicular-generated litter	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0	0	47	53	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	0	0	43	57
Total Area (Acres)	13	N/A							
% of TMA	8								
% of VH/H/M	13								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	0	0	43	57
N/A									
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
N/A									
Summary of Assessment Results To-date									
N/A									
					Estimated % Trash Reduction in <u>TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions		8		
					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions		0		

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)								
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category			
					VH	H	M	L
14	248	Residential and open space	Pedestrian-generated litter	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0	0	5	94
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	0	0	5	95
Total Area (Acres)	24	2 LID projects (2008, 2008)						
% of TMA	10							
% of VH/H/M	10							
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices				After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	0	0	5	95
N/A								
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices								
N/A								
Summary of Assessment Results To-date								
N/A								
Estimated % Trash Reduction in <u>TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					18			
Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					0			

**C.10.d ► PART C – Estimated Overall Trash Load Reduction**

For Population-based Permittees, provide an estimate of the overall trash reduction percentage achieved to-date within the jurisdictional area of your municipality that generates problematic trash levels (i.e., Very High, High or Moderate trash generation). Base the estimate on the information presented in C.10.d – Parts A and B and creek/shoreline cleanups not reported in C.10.b.iii. Provide a statement regarding the confidence in the estimate and challenges and/or successes in measuring progress towards the 40% trash reduction target described in provision C.10.

Currently, the City of San Pablo is reducing trash primarily through the installation of full trash capture devices. Future load reductions will be achieved through the implementation of jurisdictional-wide actions such as the enforcement of the new plastic bag ordinance (enforceable as of July 1, 2014), a planned polystyrene ordinance (January 1, 2015), litter violation enforcement (2016), and weekly recycling collection (anticipated late 2014), and pilfering of recycling bins enforcement (2018). In June 2014, City staff identified numerous San Pablo businesses without solid waste collection service. These establishments have been required to obtain solid waste collection service, which may reduce some litter generated in TMA 2.

**Discussion of Trash Reduction Estimate:**

The estimates provided below are the result of a GIS analysis using the following data sets:

- \* trash generation rates observed during on-land assessments
- \* catchment area maps for full trash capture devices

Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Jurisdictional-wide Actions	0
Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Trash Full Capture Devices (All TMAs)	40
Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Other Control Measures (All TMAs)	0
<b>SubTotal for Above Actions</b>	<b>40</b>
Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Creek/Shoreline Cleanups (All TMAs)	0
<b>Total Estimated % Trash Reduction in FY 13-14</b>	<b>40</b>

**Section 11 - Provision C.11 Mercury Controls**

**C.11.a.i ► Mercury Recycling Efforts**

List below or attach lists of efforts to promote, facilitate, and/or participate in collection and recycling of mercury containing devices and equipment at the consumer level (e.g., thermometers, thermostats, switches, bulbs).

**San Pablo is a member of the West Contra Costa Integrated Waste Management Authority (RecycleMore). City staff meets monthly with the Authority staff prior to the monthly Board meetings to discuss recycling and HHW issues. RecycleMore coordinates HHW events and collection at the local HHW facility. In addition, RecycleMore provides HHW pick up service for seniors and disabled persons and this information is also posted at the Senior Center. City inspectors promote mercury recycling during business inspections in addition to promoting the HHW program on our website, quarterly newsletter, and the City Manager’s E-Newsletters.**

**C.11.a.ii ► Mercury Collection**

Provide an estimate of the mass of mercury collected through these efforts, or provide a reference to a report containing this estimate.

**Please refer to the FY 13-14 CCCWP Annual Report for an estimate of the mass of mercury collected through collection and recycling efforts in the Countywide Program area.**

- C.11.b ▶ Monitor Methylmercury**
- C.11.c ▶ Pilot Projects to Investigate and Abate Mercury Sources in Drainages**
- C.11.d ▶ Pilot Projects to Evaluate and Enhance Municipal Sediment Removal and Management Practices**
- C.11.e ▶ Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate On-Site Stormwater Treatment via Retrofit**
- C.11.f ▶ Diversion of Dry Weather and First Flush Flows to POTWs**
- C.11.g ▶ Monitor Stormwater Mercury Pollutant Loads and Loads Reduced**
- C.11.h ▶ Fate and Transport Study of Mercury In Urban Runoff**
- C.11.i ▶ Development of a Risk Reduction Program Implemented Throughout the Region**
- C.11.j ▶ Develop Allocation Sharing Scheme with Caltrans**

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities that participate directly in regional activities to can provide descriptions below.

Summary

**A summary of CCCWP and regional accomplishments for these sub-provisions are included within the C.11 Mercury Controls section of Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report, Integrated Monitoring Report**

**Section 12 - Provision C.12 PCBs Controls**

**C.12.a.ii,iii ▶ Ongoing Training**

*(For FY 10-11 Annual Report and Each Annual Report Thereafter)* List below or attach description of ongoing training development and inspections for PCB identification, including documentation and referral to appropriate regulatory agencies (e.g. county health departments, Department of Toxic Substances Control, California Department of Public Health, and the Water Board) as necessary.

Description:

**See the FY 12-13 CCCWP Annual Report for a description of training provided countywide and/or regionally.**

**C.12.b ▶ Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate Managing PCB-Containing Materials and Wastes during Building Demolition and Renovation Activities**

**C.12.c ▶ Pilot Projects to Investigate and Abate On-land Locations with Elevated PCB Concentrations**

**C.12.d ▶ Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate and Enhance Municipal Sediment Removal and Management Practices**

**C.12.e ▶ Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate On-Site Stormwater Treatment via Retrofit**

**C.12.f ▶ Diversion of Dry Weather and First Flush Flows to POTWs**

**C.12.g ▶ Monitor Stormwater PCB Pollutant Loads and Loads Reduced**

**C.12.h ▶ Fate and Transport Study of PCBs In Urban Runoff**

**C.12.i ▶ Development of a Risk Reduction Program Implemented Throughout the Region**

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities that participate directly in regional activities to can provide descriptions below.

Summary

**A summary of CCCWP and regional accomplishments for these sub-provisions are included within the C.12 PCB Controls section of Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report, Integrated Monitoring Report.**

Section 13 - Provision C.13 Copper Controls

**C.13.a.iii.(2) ▶ Training, Permitting and Enforcement Activities**

*(FY 11-12 Annual Report and each Annual Report thereafter)* Provide summaries of activities implemented to manage waste generated from cleaning and treating of copper architectural features, including copper roofs, during construction and post-construction including. :

- Development of BMPs on how to manage the water during and post construction
- Requiring the use of appropriate BMPs when issuing building permits
- Educating installers and operators on appropriate BMPs
- Enforcement actions taken against noncompliance

**Based on the City's demographics and climate, copper architectural features have not been used. However, Alameda County prepared a brochure which will be available if a project does have these components.**

**C.13.d.iii ▶ Industrial Sources Copper Reduction Results**

Based upon inspection activities conducted under Provision C.4, highlight copper reduction results achieved among the facilities identified as potential users or sources of copper, facilities inspected, and BMPs addressed.

Summary

**Refer to BASMAA POC inspector training materials.**

**Section 14 - Provision C.14 PBDE, Legacy Pesticides and Selenium Controls**

Note: There are no reporting requirements in the FY 13-14 Annual Report for Section C.14.

Section 15 - Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges

**C.15.b.iii.(1), C.15.b.iii.(2) ► Planned and Unplanned Discharges of Potable Water**

Is your agency a water purveyor?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
If <b>No</b> , skip to C.15.b.vi.(2):				
If <b>Yes</b> , Complete the attached reporting tables or attach your own table with the same information. Provide any clarifying comments below.				
Comments: <b>NA</b>				

**C.15.b.vi.(2) ► Irrigation Water, Landscape Irrigation, and Lawn or Garden Watering**

<p>Provide implementation summaries of the required BMPs to promote measures that minimize runoff and pollutant loading from excess irrigation. Generally the categories are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Promote conservation programs</li> <li>• Promote outreach for less toxic pest control and landscape management</li> <li>• Promote use of drought tolerant and native vegetation</li> <li>• Promote outreach messages to encourage appropriate watering/irrigation practices</li> <li>• Implement Illicit Discharge Enforcement Response Plan for ongoing, large volume landscape irrigation runoff.</li> </ul>
<p>Summary:</p> <p><b>The City Council adopted the 7 Principles of Bay Friendly gardening and staff promote native and Bay Friendly gardening in our newsletter, at public events (Cinco de Mayo, 4<sup>th</sup> of July), and by example (replacing City owned areas with Bay Friendly gardening and drip irrigation). The City in collaboration with The Watershed Project, labeled the plants and placed an informational kiosk at the Senior Center native garden explaining the importance of native gardening. The Watershed Project received another grant and will develop another kiosk to place at the Wanlass Park creek restoration which also mentions the importance of native plants. During C.3 plan reviews, the City requires drip irrigation. In addition, if landscaping water does enter the street or stormdrain system from private property, proper enforcement and education is conducted to correct the violation.</b></p> <p><b>Additionally, the CCCWP promotes several programs and measures to minimize pollutant loading from excess irrigation including, but not limited to:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>6th Edition Stormwater C.3 Guidebook adopted by ordinance, which promotes to land development professionals landscaping designed to: 1) minimize irrigation and runoff; 2) promote infiltration of runoff where appropriate; and, 3) minimize use of fertilizers and pesticides using pest-resistant plants that are suited to site conditions (e.g., soil and climate).</b></li> </ul>

Permittee Name: City of San Pablo

- Green Business Program, which promotes to businesses a variety of measures such as using drought tolerant plantings, mulching, carefully monitoring irrigation schedules and needs, and implementing Integrated Pest Management.
- Our Water Our World (OWOW) Program, which promotes to consumers and the point of purchase less toxic alternatives to combating lawn and garden pests.
- Bay Friendly Landscaping and Gardening Training and Certification Program, which promotes to landscapers a variety of measures designed to reduce waste and prevent stormwater pollution.

<b>C.15.b.iii.(1) ► Planned Discharges of the Potable Water System</b>										
Site/ Location	Discharge Type	Receiving Waterbody(ies)	Date of Discharge	Duration of Discharge (military time)	Estimated Volume (gallons)	Estimated Flow Rate (gallons/day)	Chlorine Residual (mg/L)	pH (standard units)	Discharge Turbidity <sup>62</sup> (NTU)	Implemented BMPs & Corrective Actions
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

<sup>62</sup> Monitor the receiving water for turbidity if necessary and feasible. Include data in this column if available.

C.15.b.iii.(2) ► Unplanned Discharges of the Potable Water System <sup>63</sup>														
Site/ Location	Discharge Type	Receiving Waterbody(ies)	Date of Discharge	Discharge Duration (military time)	Estimated Volume (gallons)	Estimated Flow Rate (gallons/day)	Chlorine Residual (mg/L) <sup>64</sup>	pH (standard units) <sup>52</sup>	Discharge Turbidity (Visual) <sup>52</sup>	Implemented BMPs & Corrective Actions	Time of discharge discovery	Regulatory Agency Notification Time <sup>65</sup>	Inspector arrival time	Responding crew arrival time
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

<sup>63</sup> This table contains all of the unplanned discharges that occurred in this FY.

<sup>64</sup> Monitoring data is only required for 10% of the unplanned discharges. If you monitored more than 10% of your unplanned discharges, report all of the data collected.

<sup>65</sup> Notification to Water Board staff is required for unplanned discharges where the chlorine residual is >0.05 mg/L and total volume is ≥ 50,000 gallons. Notification to State Office of Emergency Services is required after becoming aware of aquatic impacts as a result of unplanned discharge or when the discharge might endanger or compromise public health and safety.

## Attachment C.4.b.iii(1) City of San Pablo "Reasonable Potential" Business List, FY 2014

**Food Service**

<b>Business Name</b>
Alba Restaurant
Americana Pizza & Taqueria
Asian Delight Chinese Food
Bismillah Restaurant
Blue Bay Thai Cuisine
Café De Soliel
Champa Thai
China Express
Delhi Dhaba & Chaat
Denny's
Der Wienershenitzel
Donut King
El Pollo Loco
El Sitio
El Tazumal
Empire Buffet
Fina's Pizza Restaurant
Gou Bu Li
Gyoza Express
Home Town Donuts
HS Fish and Chips
Jack in the Box
Jack in the Box
Jamba Juice
Jennifer and Todd's Café de Soliel
KFC
Kin Sen Thai Cuisine
Korean BBQ
La Casa Del Pollo
La Loma #11
La Loma Inc.
La Palmera Restaurant & Commissary
La Plazuela
La Strada Restaurant
Lee's Garden
Lee's Garden #2, Town Center
Little Ceasars Pizza
Los Compadres Taqueria
Mariscos La Playita
McDonalds
McDonald's Restaurant
Mercado La Hacienda
Nations
Noya
O'Henry Donuts
Pizza Guys
Pizza Hut (Pac Pizza, LLC)
Popeye's Chicken
Ran Kanom Thai
Rose Garden Restaurant
Round Table Pizza
Royal Palace Restaurant
San Juan Taqueria
San Pablo Billiards and Mexican Restaurant
San Pablo Burrito Shop
Star Bread
Starbucks Coffee
Starbucks Coffee #8851
Sukie's Country Kitchen
Susy's Bakery
Taco Bell
Taqueria Amigo
Taqueria Dona Maria
Taqueria San Juan
The Villa
Tortas Y Taqueria

**Auto Service**

<b>Business Name</b>
A+ Smog
A-1 Martin's Auto Body
ACS Smog
Amaya Auto Repair
Arturo's Auto Upholstery
BA Auto Repair
BAF (Bay Area Frame) & Body
BY Customs Auto Body
Cardoza Auto Repair
Castrol Premium Lube Express
CCS - Complete Car Service
CCS Auto Body
Cernas Tire
Cheng Auto
City of San Pablo Corporation Yard
Colima Auto Repair
Collision Craft
Complete Automotive Repair Service
D.C. Auto Repair
Daytona Motors
Express Lube Center
Fahrenheit Auto Performance
J & M Quality Tire
JHS Automotive Repair Services
Mi Cunado
Midas
Petrol Plus
Poncho's Auto Body
Raza Smog
Richmond Tire
Ron's Translaxes
S & R Starters
San Pablo Auto Body
Speed Lube
Texas Gas Services Co
That Mechanic & Custom Car
USA Gasolione
Vega's Auto Center
Venturas Auto Shop
West Coast Shipping
Auto Zone
Auto Zone
Chevron
Grand Prix Auto Wash
HP Gas Station
O'Reilly Auto Parts
San Pablo Automotive
Shell
Top Gas & Grocery
Valero Gas Station
World Gas