

**Item Number 6**

**Supporting Document 3**

**Public Comment Letters**

**February 10, 2021**

## Las Pulgas Landfill addendum

John Odermatt <jrodermatt@cox.net>

Mon 11/23/2020 5:01 PM

To: Grove, Amy@Waterboards <Amy.Grove@waterboards.ca.gov>

EXTERNAL:

Dear Amy,

I hope that you and the board staff are doing well ! Here are a few concerns that came to mind after reading the draft addendum:

1. Will the 60 mil single textured side HDPE be installed with textured side down? If so, how do we know that?
2. Past groundwater seeps seemed to include elevated concentrations of uranium (circa 2005). Is the USMC prepared to manage that waste in accordance with NRC requirements like last time?
3. Will the liner be tested with electric leak detection technology on the side slopes?
4. Was a slope stability analysis conducted for the new side slope liner system? What were the results for the pseudo static analysis and what criteria were used to determine compliance?
5. What will be the course of action if the delivered liner materials do not meet the design specifications?

Thanks for your time and consideration, stay safe and take care!

Regards,

John R. Odermatt, M.Sc., PG

612 Corte Galante, San Marcos, CA

Sent from my iPhone



UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS  
MARINE CORPS INSTALLATIONS WEST-MARINE CORPS BASE  
BOX 555010  
CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA 92055-5010

5090  
ENV/SLD  
December 29, 2020

Ms. Amy Grove  
California Regional Water Quality Control Board  
2375 Northside Drive, Suite 100  
San Diego, CA 92108-2700

Dear Ms. Grove (AGROVE:236607):

SUBJECT: COMMENT - TENTATIVE ADDENDUM NO. 2 OF ORDER NO. R9-2010-0004

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on Tentative Addendum No. 2 of Order No. R9-2010-0004. Our four comments are stated as follows.

1. In paragraph A.2, we suspect "R9-2010-004" should state "R9-2010-0004."

2. In paragraphs K.1.c, K.1.e, and K.7.b, please replace "60-millimeter" with "60-mil thick."

3. In paragraph K.4, please replace "300-millimter" with "300-mil."

4. In paragraph K.5.b, we recommend replacing the proposed language with, "If the material strength parameters measured during conformance testing are less than the strength parameters used in the Phase I unit side slope design and stability analysis, the Discharger must reject the materials and have them replaced with conforming materials."

If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Joseph Murtaugh at (760) 725-9741 or email at joseph.murtaugh@usmc.mil.

Sincerely,

GREGORY G. SEAMAN  
Head, RCRA Management Branch  
Environmental Security  
By direction of the  
Commanding General