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## PROJECT OVERVIEW

- Goal: Improve understanding of risk to humans from consuming contaminated fish from the Bay.
- Last such study in San Diego Bay was completed by the Environmental Health Coalition in 2005. (pier focused)
- SD County Dept. Health Services completed a study in 1990.



## KEY OUESTIONS <br> (1)

1. Are anglers aware of consumption guidelines?
2. What are consumption rates for anglers in San Diego Bay and how do they relate to advisory recommendations?

http://oehha.ca.gov/fish/so_cal


Signs in place when survey data collection was initiated May, 2015.


New signs which mimic the OEHAA pamphlets installed June 16, 2015

## KEY OUESTIONS <br> (2)

3. How do socioeconomic differences relate to differences in consumption rates?
4. How do catch and consumption rates vary in space and time by location around the bay and time of year?


San Diego County: Disadvantaged and Non-White Communities

## STUDY DESIGN

- Used a total sampling methodology
- Field sampling plan considering:
- Mode of fishing (pier, shoreline or boat)
- Day of week (weekday vs. weekend)

- Time of year (Spring, Summer, Fall, Winter)
- Time of day (early morning, mid-day, evening)
- Location on the bay (North, Mid, South)
- New signs posted by Port (before/after)
- The survey also considered a variety of demographic categories (census data)


## STUDY PLAN AND SURVEY



Used a total sampling method to capture sociodemographic, spatial and temporal coverage of fishing activity for a full year.

May 1, 2015 - April 30, 2016.


## North Bay

Includes one pier and boat ramp (Shelter Island/Shoreline Park), and shoreline access via Harbor Island Park, Liberty Station NTC Park and along Harbor Drive north of downtown San Diego.

## Mid Bay

Includes two piers (Coronado Ferry Landing, Embarcadero Marina Park), shoreline sites (Tidelands Park, Glorietta Bay Park \& Embarcadero Marina Park), one boat ramp and rental boats (Glorietta Bay).

## South Bay

## NORTH BAY SITES

## 

Site B
Harbor Island and Liberty
Station NTC Park
Site A

Presented to: California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region - 12 April 2017


## MIDDLE BAY SITES



## SOUTH BAY SITES



## FIELD SCHEDULE



Presented to: California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region - 12 April 2017

## SURVEY DESIGN

- Administered in sections to get as much data as possible:

1. Observe and note all fishing activity (date, time, location) [All anglers observed]
2. Ask if surveyed before and, ifYES, how recently (avidity)
3. Home location and do you/family eat fish caught?
4. Full survey if willing to complete (21 questions, $\sim 10-15$ minutes)

- Used fish photos \& sample filets as props


## FISH SPECIES PHOTO CARDS

30 species: state listed species, plus others common in S.D. Bay


## CONSUMPTION AMOUNT

$s^{2 n^{n i e}}{ }_{0}$

- Example of sample filets used to establish consistent/actual consumption rates in grams/day

What is a serving?


For Adults


## SURVEY RESPONSES

$\left.$| Anglers Approached |  |  | Anglers Completing Survey |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | \% |
| :---: |
| Surveys |
| Completed | \right\rvert\,



* 294 anglers previously surveyed
$93 \%$ success for $1^{\text {st }}$ time surveys


## WHERE ARE ANGLERS FROM?



## WHAT DO ANGLERS CATCH?



Fish Reported Caught

| Fish Name | Percent |
| :--- | :---: |
| Spotted Sand Bass* | 80 |
| Pacific Chub Mackerel* | 79 |
| Round Stingray* | 49 |
| California Halibut | 48 |
| Topsmelt* | 33 |
| Bat Ray | 33 |
| Kelp Bass | 30 |
| Barred Sand Bass* | 28 |
| California Lizardfish* | 26 |
| California Scorpionfish | 26 |
| * indicates inclusion on the fish |  |
| consumption advisory guidelines |  |
|  | 16 |

## WHAT DO ANGLERS EAT?

Fish Kept to Consume

| Fish Name | Percent |
| :--- | :---: |
| Pacific Chub Mackerel* | 48 |
| California Halibut | 18 |
| Spotted Sand Bass* | 16 |
| Bonito | 12 |
| Shortfin Corvina | 8 |
| Topsmelt* | 6 |
| Shovelnose Guitarfish* | 6 |
| Yellowfin Croaker* | 6 |
| Gray Smoothhound Shark* | 5 |
| Bat Ray | 5 |
| * indicates inclusion on the fish |  |
| consumption advisory guidelines |  |
|  | 17 |



## HOUSEHOLD INCOME

Legend
Zipcode Count
Count
$>74$
55
40
20
$<1$

2012 USA Median Household Income (Mature Support)
Median Household Income Tracts

More than $\$ 82,000$

- $\$ 68,001$ to $\$ 82,000$
- $\$ 53,001$ to $\$ 68,000$$\$ 39,001$ to $\$ 53,000$ (US median: $\$ 50,157$ )\$24,001 to \$39,000
$\$ 24,000$ or less No households



## FISHING LOCATION BY INCOME

Shoreline Angler


## CONSUMPTION RATES (GRAMS/DAY)



# CONSUMPTION RATES (GRAMS/DAY) 

| Statistic | All Anglers | Asians | $>60$ <br> years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | $\mathbf{6 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0}$ |
| (Standard Deviation) | $(18.14)$ | $(39.40)$ | $(28.67)$ |
| Minimum Value | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Maximum Value | 212.6 | 212.6 | 212.6 |
| Median | 0.0 | 8.5 | 4.3 |
| (50th Percentile) | 28.3 | 76.8 | 42.5 |
| 95th Percentile | 468 | 67 | 70 |
| $\mathbf{N}$ |  |  |  |

## KEY OUESTIONS REVISITED

1. Are anglers aware of consumption guidelines?

YES, but...

Awareness of consumption guidelines DOES NOT appear to affect on an individual's decision to consume/not consume fish from the bay.

## KEY QUESTIONS REVISITED

2. What are consumption rates for anglers in San Diego Bay and how do they relate to advisory recommendations?

Generally, CONSUMPTION RATES ARE LOW for fin fish taken from San Diego Bay.

## KEY OUESTIONS REVISITED

3. How do socioeconomic differences relate to differences in consumption rates?

Some SELECT POPULATIONS consume fish at rates greater than current guidance

In particular, socioeconomically disadvantaged, Asian men > 60 y.o.

## KEY OUESTIONS REVISITED

4. How do catch and consumption rates vary in space and time by location around the bay and time of year?

NO SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES in spatial or temporal data were observed.

## PARTING COMMENTS



We believe these study findings provide valuable information regarding actual consumption rates for San Diego Bay.

This will be useful to inform decision-making and policy appropriate to the local context.


Unified Port of San Diego

## THANKYOU



## Steven Steinberg

 steves@sccwrp.org

Shelly Moore shellym@sccwrp.org http://www.sccwrp.org/fishconsumption/


