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## BRIAN ALAN NEMEROW,

witness herein, having been sworn, testifies as follows:
-EXAMINATION-
BY MS. BERESEORD:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Nemerow.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. How are you?
A. I'm doing well, thanks. How are you?
Q. Good. My name is Linda Beresford. I'm one of the attorneys for San Altos-Lemon Grove, LLC in the matter of the Administrative Civil Liability Complaint No. R9-2015-0110 issued by the Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region. Can you please state your name and spell it for the record.
A. Sure. Brian Nemerow, B-r-i-a-n N-e-m-e-r-o-w.
Q. Have you had your deposition taken before?
A. No.
Q. I have a few ground rules that I'm going to go over with you to hopefully try to make it go a little bit easier.
A. Okay.
Q. So you are here today appearing under oath. We have a court reporter taking down everything that we say. So if possible, please answer each question verbally with a yes, no, sentence, as opposed to nodding
your head or shrugging your shoulders, uh-hmm, those type of things.
A. Okay.
Q. Second, in order to have an accurate transcript it's best if we try to have just one person speak at a time. So I would ask, please wait for me to finish my question before you answer and I will also do my best to wait for you to finish before I start speaking.
A. Okay.
Q. Thanks. Please feel free to take a break at any time. I'm hopeful today won't be very long, that we won't need to take any break. But if you do just say, "Can we please take a break right now."
A. Sure.
Q. My only request is that if I've asked you a question to please answer the question before we break.
A. Okay.
Q. And then last, I do have to ask if you are on any medication or if there's any reason why you can't give your best testimony today.
A. No.
Q. Okay, great.

MS. BERESFORD: If you would please mark that as Exhibit 1 and let Mr. Nemerow review it.

THE WITNESS: This is the same thing I got a copy

$$
\text { BRIAN AIAN NEMEROW - } 12 / 29 / 2015
$$
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of already I think.
MS. BERESFORD:
Q. My first question will be if you've seen that document before, so take your time to review it.
A. Yes, I have seen this document before.
Q. Can you please state for the record what it is.
A. It's basically a notice to appear for deposition. And the exhibit, Exhibit A is stating that I was an employee of D-Max Engineering for stormwater inspection purposes in the city of Lemon Grove, contracted by the city of Lemon Grove and that I may have access to records related to the inspection of San Altos-Lemon Grove, LLC, Valencia Hills construction site.
Q. I think that document asks you to bring records that you had in your possession.
A. Right. So I didn't have any records in my possession except for my qualifications, like my resume. I brought a copy of my diploma, college diploma. I didn't know what you guys needed me to bring.
Q. That's impressive. I'm not going to ask you to make that an exhibit to the deposition.
A. Okay, great. That's good.
Q. But you did not have any documents in your possession that were responsive to those requests.
A. No.
Q. So did you do anything else to prepare for today's deposition?
A. No.
Q. Okay. That's fine. I would like to talk about your education and background.
A. Sure.
Q. I'll hand you this document.
A. Great, yeah.
Q. Can you please state what that is?
A. Yeah. This is my resume, my professional resume.
Q. Do you know how it came to our office?
A. Yes. I emailed it because my printer broke down at home so I had to email for them to print out a copy to bring today.

MS. BERESFORD: Let's go ahead and make that Exhibit 2.
Q. We can hopefully use this to go through your background somewhat quickly.
A. Okay.
Q. So I see that you graduated from UC Santa Cruz.
A. That's correct.
Q. With a Bachelor of Science in earth sciences.
A. That's correct.
Q. In 2010.
A. Yes.
Q. After you graduated from -- let's go back. Do you have any advanced degrees?
A. No.
Q. After you graduated from UC Santa Cruz was your first job with D-Max Engineering?
A. No.
Q. What was your first job?
A. My first job was working at a coffee shop.
Q. How long did you do that?
A. I think two and a half years. So -- yeah.
Q. And then when did you start with D-Max?
A. I started with D-Max July 1st of 2010.
Q. I'm sorry. I just want to be clear.
A. I know that sounds unclear. So I completed and walked -- I completed most of my course work and walked with my graduating class in 2008 and then finished up my degree in San Diego. So I was attending courses while working at that coffee shop.
Q. Okay.
A. And then I got my diploma in 2010.
Q. That was in San Diego where you were taking classes?
A. Correct.
Q. Where did you take those classes?
A. MiraCosta Community College.
Q. So you started with D-Max in approximately July 1, 2010.
A. That's correct.
Q. What was your title at that time?
A. Staff scientist.
Q. How long were you a staff scientist with D-Max?
A. Staff scientist Level 1 for approximately three years and then staff scientist Level 2 for approximately two years.
Q. What did you do as a staff scientist Level 1 ?
A. Staff scientist Level 1, I mostly performed
industrial and commercial stormwater inspections, compliance inspections. I also performed numerous different types of stormwater inspection, including treatment control best management practice; treatment control BMP inspections; some construction inspections; municipal facility inspections; fats, oils and grease inspections. And then I also started becoming task lead on certain projects. And $I$ ended up performing a lot of outfall, MS4 outfall inspections for various cities throughout the county of San Diego.
Q. We were talking as a staff scientist Level 1 . A. Correct.
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Q. Did your duties change a lot when you became a staff scientist Level 2 ?
A. They didn't change a lot. I still did inspections, but $I$ also started to write more reports and started to be involved more with special monitoring projects, stormwater monitoring projects and GIS. I became more involved with using the Geographic Information Systems: GIS. And coordinating more one on one with city staff.
Q. Was your last title at D-Max staff scientist Level 2?
A. That's correct.
Q. I understand you're no longer with D-Max?
A. That's correct.
Q. Where are you employed currently?
A. The city of Vista.
Q. What is your job title there?
A. Environmental specialist 2.
Q. What do you do for them?
A. I mostly work on monitoring projects, MS4 outfall monitoring, education and outreach, all related to stormwater. That's mostly what I do there.
Q. Let's talk about your stormwater training.
A. Okay.
Q. What type of training have you done for

## stormwater compliance?

A. Sure. A lot of training was on the job.

When we first -- when I was first hired at D-Max
Engineering, basically I was assigned to another senior inspector who would take me out on inspections, just to observe mostly. And also I received some, like an employee handbook and some written documents to go over when I was hired. And the same goes for all the different types of inspections that $I$ ended up doing: Outfall monitoring, fats, oils and grease inspections. Pretty much always paired up with a senior inspector just to observe for a certain amount of time.
Q. Was there one primary person that you were paired up with?
A. Yes.
Q. Who was that?
A. Kline Swonger for the industrial, commercial inspections.
Q. How do you spell his name?
A. Her name is $K-l-i-n-e ~ S-w-o-n-g-e-r$. And then also Anika Kubishka. I don't know how to spell her last name.
Q. That's okay.
A. She was the main inspector for like fats, oils and grease inspections and also some industrial and
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commercial inspections. And then for the M S4 outfall monitoring it was Manouchehr Dadkhah.

M-a-n-o-u-c-h-e-h-r $D-a-d-k-h-a-h, ~ I ~ t h i n k$.
Q. Okay. Do you have any specific certifications for stormwater investigation?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Are you a qualified stormwater professional?
A. I qualified to sit for the exam but I've not taken the test. I plan on sitting for the exam later this year -- or later in 2016.
Q. When did you do the course work for that?
A. There's no course work. It's based on your experience and then submitting an application and having references.
Q. Are you a qualified SWPPP developer?
A. No.
Q. Are you a trainer of record?
A. No.
Q. We are here today to talk about the Valencia construction site on San Altos in Lemon Grove. Are you familiar with that site?
A. Yes.
Q. So if I say "the site" I will be referring to that location.
A. Yes.
Q. When did you first hear about that site?
A. I don't remember.

MS. BERESFORD: Let's go ahead and mark this as Exhibit 2, please.

MS. BERESEORD: I'm sorry, that's Exhibit 3.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
MS. BERESFORD:
Q. Does this document look familiar?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you please state what it is.
A. It was an inspection report based on a site inspection that $I$ conducted on the morning of December 17, 2014.
Q. Do you know if that was the first time that you had visited the site?
A. Yes, it was the first time.
Q. You state in your memo in the second sentence that "rain had ended a few hours prior to the site visit, and no runoff was observed flowing out from the construction site at the Akins or San Altos entrance/exit locations at the time of the site visit." Do you see that sentence?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that correct? Is that the best of your recollection?
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A. That's correct.
Q. The next -- or the first sentence of the second sentence says, "Evidence of sediment discharge was observed at the Akins entrance/exit location and along the curb farther downstream." Do you see that sentence?
A. Yes.
Q. I particularly want to look at photo 3.
A. Okay.
Q. There is a car in photo 3, do you see that?
A. I see that.
Q. Is that the same car that's in photo 4?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. If you look at photo 4, there's some areas that appear to be dirt alongside the car and the mailbox. Do you see that?
A. I see in photo 4 where the car is, it is kind of back a little far in the photo and the mailbox. It's kind of hard to make out what's actually over there.
Q. Do you recall if that area was open dirt?
A. Open dirt in the street?
Q. No. The area by the -- above the curb by the mailbox.
A. Oh, I see where you're saying. I believe it was not landscaped. Or not vegetated.
Q. Going back up to photo 3, do you know if some
of the sediment along that curb, could that have been contributed by those adjacent properties?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. The second sentence in the second paragraph says, "A crew from Downstream Services was power washing the curb along Akins to remove accumulated sediment. This indicates that there likely had been a noticeable sediment discharge earlier in the day." Did you ask the crew if there had been a big sediment discharge earlier in the day?
A. I don't remember. But I remember talking to the crew of Downstream Services.
Q. Why do you think that there was a noticeable sediment discharge earlier in the day?
A. It was my understanding through talking with the crewmember that they were out there due to -someone from the construction site had contracted them to come out to power wash the street, and based on my other observations it seemed like it was likely there was sediment discharge onto the street from the construction site.
Q. Did you see the sediment discharge on the street?
A. I saw sediment on the street but I didn't see active discharge from the construction site.
Q. Could it be that they had just received a lot of inspections and just wanted to do an extra good job to clean it up?
A. I don't know.

MR. BOYERS: Objection. Speculation.
Now you can answer.
THE WITNESS: I don't know.
MS. BERESFORD:
Q. The last sentence of your memo says, "A sample of the power washing discharge water was collected and turbidity was measured at $52^{\prime \prime}$; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Is that before the end of the construction site or where exactly is that?
A. I believe that was at a downstream inlet, a storm drain inlet that was outside the property, outside of the construction site property.
Q. Maybe you can look at page 4. I don't know if that will help refresh your recollection. Do you know if the power washing, were they completing that before the inlet?
A. Upstream of the inlet, that's correct.
Q. Do you know, did you calibrate your instrument before you took that sample?
A. I believe so.
Q. Do you know if you had a calibration log?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Do you remember if you put it in a binder back at D-Max?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Do you know which EPA method you used when you analyzed the sample?
A. I don't know the EPA method off the top of my head.
Q. Do you know if that's documented anywhere?
A. It most likely is.
Q. Where would that be?
A. D-Max probably would have that included with the instrument, the field instrument.
Q. What do you mean?
A. That was used to measure the sediment.
Q. Are you saying they have a document with the instrument that says the EPA method that you're supposed to use?
A. I would assume that there is some sort of -like with the actual piece of equipment, with the field meter, I would assume there is some specification that describes the method that's used for analyzing stormwater. But I'm not sure what the exact method is, what it would be called.
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Q. Do you remember some sort of document that was with the machine?
A. No, not at the time.
Q. I think if you look at the first page of your memo there's some highlighting on there.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Did you do that highlighting?
A. I did not.
Q. After you finished this memo did you talk to anybody at the city of Lemon Grove about it?
A. I did not.
Q. Have you ever spoken to anybody from the Regional Water Quality Control Board about your memo?
A. No, I have not.
Q. Did you exchange email with anybody from the Water Board about this memo?
A. No, I did not.

MS. BERESFORD: Mark that as Exhibit 4.
Q. So Exhibit 3 is a memo from December 17.

Exhibit 4 is a memo from May 15. Do you know if you visited the site any time between those two dates?
A. I did not.
Q. Have you seen Exhibit 4 before?
A. I have.
Q. Can you tell me what it is?
A. It appears to be another inspection report based off the second inspection I did at the Valencia construction site.
Q. You took two turbidity samples on that day; is that correct?
A. That looks correct.
Q. Do you recall if you calibrated the machine on that day?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Do you recall the EPA method you used to --
A. I don't know.
Q. Looking at photo 1 -- I actually want to go back to Exhibit 3. If we can look at photo 4 on Exhibit 3 and look at photo 1 on Exhibit 4.
A. Okay.
Q. Is that the same fence?
A. Yes.
Q. I think you testified previously that the area across the street was not vegetated; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Looks like the hill alongside downgrading of the fence is not vegetated, is that correct, other than some weeds?
A. On the other side across -- not on the street, so the creek side, I guess.
Q. The side where the red curb is.
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Looking at photo 1 then, and what we see in the surrounding area, is it possible that some of the sediment in your sampling point could have come from locations other than the Valencia Hills construction site?

MR. BOYERS: Objection. Speculation.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm unclear on the question. The sample from photo 1?

MS. BERESEORD:
Q. Yes.
A. This sample from photo 1, there's no other source of flow that I could have been sampling other than runoff from the construction site.
Q. Is there not water that flows across the street?
A. Not in that location, I don't believe so.
Q. And then the water that flows from photo 1, does that go into the Akins_Inlet at photo 2?
A. That is correct.
Q. Your sample there was 95 ; is that correct?
A. Thal is correct.
Q. Did you visit the site any time after your May 15 inspection?
A. I'm taking a second to read through this to remember. I may have.
Q. You don't recall specifically?
A. I don't recall. I seem to remember another time I was there, either the same time or another time where there wasn't any discharge from the site.
Q. Do you know if you were there with someone else at that time?
A. No. Just me.
Q. Do you know if you wrote a memo about it?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Have you ever discussed the site with Mr. Wayne Chiu of the Regional Board?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever exchanged any email with him about it?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever discussed the site with Mr. Melbourn --
A. No.
Q. -- of the Regional Board?
A. No.
Q. Have you exchanged any email with him about it?
A. No.
Q. Have You ever discussed this site with anybody
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else at the Regional Board?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever been involved with a site, another site that was the subject of an administrative civil liability complaint for stormwater compliance issues?
A. Construction site?
Q. Yes.
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Are you aware that the Water Board is seeking penalties of over $\$ 800,000$ for this site?
A. Yes, I'm aware.
Q. Are you aware of any other Water Board enforcement action for a construction site that has sought a similar amount of penalties?
A. I am aware, although I'm not sure on the specifics. And I'm not sure -- it's kind of...
Q. What are you thinking about?
A. There was a construction site, I think in the city of Encinitas. And I believe that was a relatively large fine that was assessed, although I'm not sure on the specifics of that.
Q. Any others that you are aware of?
A. No.

MS. BERESFORD: I think I'm mostly done. We're
going to break for a minute to review and make sure I don't have anything else. David here may have some questions for you.

MR. BOYERS: Maybe.
MS. BERESFORD: We will convene and get a final answer from him after the break.
(Recess.)
MS. BERESFORD:
Q. We'll go back on the record. I don't have any questions at this time. Do you?

MR. BOYERS: No, I don't.
MS. BERESFORD: Okay. Well, then, we will conclude today with your stipulations that Opper \& Varco will retain custody of the original transcript. When we receive that we will send it to Mr. Nemerow for review. We'll discuss off the record how best to accomplish that. To the extent he has any changes we will share that with the Regional Board. If for some reason the original transcript is lost the Regional Board agrees that we can use a copy in lieu of the original.

MR. BOYERS: Yes, that's fine.
MR. ROSENBAUM: The Regional Board would like to...
MR. BOYERS: Have a copy. Get a copy. Order a copy. Pay for one.
(The proceedings concluded at 4:20 p.m.)
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I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Ehahitas , California, on $1 / 19 / 2016$


STATE OF CALIFORNIA) SS

I, Marc Vole, CSR 2863, do hereby declare:

That, prior to being examined, the witness named in the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn pursuant to Section $2093(b)$ and 2094 of the Code of Civil Procedure:

That said deposition was taken down by me in shorthand at the time and place therein named and thereafter reduced to text under my direction.

I further declare that I have no interest in the event of the action.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
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| 2:1 | best 5:5,7,20 | Chief 2:8 | convene 23:5 | 18:19 |
|  | 9:17 13:24 | Chiu 21:13 |  | declare 24:1 |
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| 25:4,12,14 | D-Max 3:13,15 | 21:15,23 | Geographic | 7:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| degree 8:19 | 6:9 8:7,13,14 | Executed 24:5 | 10:7 | hours 13:1 |
| degrees 8:4 | 9:3,8 10:10,13 | exhibit 3:9,12,13 | GIS 10:6,8 | Hutchings 1:22 |
| deposition 1:13 | 11:3 17:4,13 | 3:15 5:24 6:8,8 | give 5:20 |  |
| 3:10 4:166:8 |  | 6:22 7:18 13:4 | go 4:18,19 7:17 | I |
| 6:22 7:3 25:6,9 | E | 13:5 18:18,19 | 7:198:3 11:7 | IDENTIFIED |
| describes 17:23 | E 3:1,7 | 18:20,23 19:13 | 13:3 19:12 | 3:8 |
| DESCRIPTI... | earlier 15:8,9,14 | 19:14,14 | 20:20 23 | impressive 6:21 |
| 3:8 | earth 7:24 | ex | goes 11:8 | included 17:13 |
| developer 12:15 | easier 4:20 | 12:13 | going 4:18 6:21 | including 9:16 |
| Diego 1:3,15 | education 7:6 | extent 23:17 | 14:25 23:1 | indicates 15:7 |
| 2:19 4:13 8:19 | 0:21 | extra 16:2 | good 4:5,6,9 | industrial 9:14 |
| 8:23 9:23 | either 21:5 |  | 6:23 16:2 | 11:17,25 |
| different 9:16 | email 7:15 18:15 | F | graduated 7:22 | Information |
| 11:9 | 21:15,23 | facility 9:19 | 8:3,6 | 10:8 |
| diploma | emaile | familiar 12:21 | graduating 8:18 | inlet 16:15,16,21 |
| :22 | employed 10:15 | 13:8 | grease 9:19 | 16:22 |
| direction 25:11 | employee 6:9 | far 14:17 | 11:10,25 | inspection 6:10 |
| dirt 14:14,19,20 | 11:7 | farther 14:5 | great 5:22 6:23 | 6:129:16 |
| discharge 14:3 | Encinitas 22:20 | fats 9:19 11:10 | $7: 9$ | 13:11,12 19:1 |
| 15:8,9,14,20 | ended 9:21 11:9 | 11:24 | ground 4:18 | 19:2 20:25 |
| 15:22,25 16:10 | 13:18 | feel 5:10 | Grove 1:9 2:14 | inspections 9:14 |
| 21:6 | enforcement | fence 19:16,22 | 3:8,9 4:10 6:10 | 9:15,18,18,19 |
| discuss 23:16 | 22:14 | field 17:14,21 | 6:11,13 12:20 | 9:20,22 10:4 |
| discussed 21:12 | Engineering | final 23:5 | 18:10 | 11:5,9,10,18 |
| 21:18,25 | 3:13,15 6:9 8:7 | fine 7:5 22:21 | guess 19:25 | 11:25 12:1 |
| document 6:4,5 | 11:4 | 23:21 | guys 6:20 | 16:2 |
| 6:15 7:8 13:8 | entrance/exi | finish 5:6,8 |  | inspector 1 |
| 17:17 18:1 | 13:21 14:4 | finished 8:18 | H | 11:11,24 |
| documented | Environmenta | 18:9 | H3:7 | instrument |
| 17:10 | 2:5 10:18 | first 6:3 8:7,9,10 | half 8:12 | 16:23 17:14,14 |
| documents 3:11 | EPA 17:6,8,18 | 11:3,3 13:1,14 | hand 7:8 25:19 | 17:18 |
| 6:24 11:7 | 19:10 | 13:16 14:2 | handbook 11:7 | interest 25:12 |
| doing 4:8 11:9 | equipme | 18:4 | hard 14:18 | investigation |
| downgrading | 17:21 | Floor 2:9 | Harper 3:16 | 12:5 |
| 19:21 | ESQ 2:16 | flow 20:14 | head 5:1 17:9 | involved 10:5,7 |
| downstream | event 25:13 | flowing 13:19 | hear 13:1 | 22:3 |
| 14:5 15:5,12 | Evidence 14:3 | flows 20:16,19 | help 16:19 | sued 4:12 |
| 16:15 | exact 17:24 | follows 4:2 | highlighting | issues 22:6 |
| drain 16:16 | exactly $16: 14$ | foregoing 24:2 | $18: 5,7$ |  |
| due 15:16 | exam 12:8,9 | $25: 6,15$ | hill 19:21 | $\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\mathbf{d b} 8: 7,9.10}$ |
| duly 25:6 | EXAMINATI... | Frank 2:23 | Hills 6:13 20:6 | ob 8:7,9,10 $10: 1711: 2$ |
| duties 10:1 | $3: 44: 3$ | free 5:10 | hired 11:3,8 | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 1711: 2 \\ & 16: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| D-a-d-k-h-a-h | examined $25: 5$ <br> exchange 18:15 | further 25:12 | home 7:15 | 16:2 <br> Josh 2:24 |
|  | exchanged | G | hopefully 4:19 | July 8:14 9:4 |


| K | $\begin{gathered} 9: 8 \\ \text { longer 10:13 } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 413: 614: 8 \\ & 19: 1523: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13: 4,10 \\ \text { point } 20: 5 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kind 14:16,18 |  |  |  |  |
| 22:17 | look 13:8 14:7 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 11:10 12:2 } \\ \text { morning 13:12 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 19: 15 \\ \text { open 14:19, } 23: 12 \end{array}$ | point 20:5 <br> possession 6:16 |
| Kline 11:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 13 ~ 16: 18 \\ & 18: 4 \quad 19: 13,14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS4 9:22 10:20 } \\ & \text { municipal 9:19 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Opper } 1: 142: 15 \\ & 23: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 6:18,25 |
| know 6:20 7:13 |  |  |  | possible 4:24 |
| 8:16 11:21 | 18:4 19:13,14 <br> Looking 19:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-a-n-o-u-c-h... } \\ & 12: 3 \end{aligned}$ | opposed $4: 25$ <br> order 5:4 23:23 | 20:4 power 15:5,18 |
| 13:14 14:25 | 20:3 |  |  |  |
| :4,7 | looks 19:6,21 | $\underline{\mathrm{N}}$ | original 23:14 23:19,20 outfall 9:22,22 | $\begin{gathered} 16: 10,20 \\ \text { practice } 9: 17 \end{gathered}$ |
| 16:23 17:1,6,8 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \operatorname{lost} 23: 19 \\ \boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o t }} 9: 2110: 1,3 \\ 11: 216: 1 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| 17:10 18:20 |  |  |  | prepare $7: 2$ <br> Present 2:23 |
| 19:11 21:7,10 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { name } 4: 9,14 \\ 11: 19,20,22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 21 \quad 11: 10 \\ & 12: 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| K-li-n-e 11:20 | M | named 25:5,10 |  | Present 2:23 <br> Pretty 11:11 |
|  | M2:712:1 machine 18:2 19:7 |  | outreach 10:21 outside 16:16,16 O'Neal 3:16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { previously } \\ 19: 18 \end{gathered}$ |
| L L |  |  |  | primary 11:13 |
| landscaped 14:24 | mailbox 14:14 | Nemerow 1:13 | P | print 7:15 <br> printer 7:14 |
| large 22:21 | $14: 17,22$ <br> main 11.24 | :1,5,15 5:24 | page 3:4 16:18 |  |
| laws 24:1 25 |  | 23:15 24:9 | 18:4 paired 11:11,14 | probably 17:13 <br> Procedure 25:8 |
| lead 9:20 | main 11:24 management | nodding 4:25 notice 3:10 6:7 |  |  |
| Lemon 3:8 6:10 | 9:17 |  | paragraph 15:4 | Procedure 25:8 proceedings |
| 6:11 12:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Manouchehr } \\ & \text { 12:2 } \end{aligned}$ | noticeable 15:7$15: 13$ | particularly$14: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 25 \\ & \text { Production 3:11 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 18:10 |  |  |  |  |
| let's 7:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Marc 1:17 25:3 } \\ & 25: 23 \end{aligned}$ | noticed 1:14 <br> Number 1:22 | Pay 23:24 penalties 22:11 | Production 3:11 professional |
| 10:23 13:3 |  |  |  | 7:11 12:7 |
| Level 9:9,10,12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { mark 5:23 13:3 } \\ 18: 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { numerous 9:15 } \\ & \text { N-e-m-e-r-o-w } \\ & 4: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 15 \\ & \text { penalty } 24: 1 \\ & 25: 14 \\ & \text { performed } 9: 13 \\ & 9: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { projects } 9: 21 \\ 10: 6,6,20 \\ \text { properties 15:2 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 9:13,24 10:2 |  |  |  |  |
| 10:11 | MARKED 3:8 |  |  |  |
| liability | m | $4: 15$ |  | properties 15:2 <br> property 16:16 |
| e 23 |  | oath 4:2 |  | 16:17 |
| eu | measured 16:11 | Objection 16:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:14 } \\ & \text { performed 9:13 } \\ & \text { 9:15 } \\ & \text { performing 9:21 } \end{aligned}$ | Protection 2:5 purposes 6:10 |
| Linda 2:16 4:9 | measured 16:11 medication 5:19 | Objection 16:5 20:8 | perjury 24:1 |  |
| lindab@ | Melbourn 2:23 | observations 15:19 | $\begin{gathered} \text { person } 5: 5 \\ 11: 13 \end{gathered}$ | put 17:3 <br> p.m 1:17 23:25 |
| little 4:19 14:17 | 21:19 |  |  |  |
| LLC 1:9 2:14 | memo 3:14,16 | observe 11:6,12 observed 13:19 | photo 14:7,9,11 | $\mathbf{Q}$ |
| 3:8 4:10 6:13 | 13:17 16:9 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 13,16,17,25 \\ & 19: 12,13,14 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| LLC's 3:10 | $18: 5,9,13,16$$18: 19,2021: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 4 \\ & \text { office } 7: 13 \end{aligned}$ |  | qualifications |
| LLP 2:15 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 3,10,13,19 \\ & 20: 20 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| location 12:24 | meter 17:22 <br> method 17:6,8 | Oh 14:23 <br> oils 9:19 11:10 |  | qualified 12:7,8 |
| 14:4 20:18 |  |  | piece 17:21 | 12:15 |
| locations 13:21 |  | 11:24 | place 25:10 | Quality 1:2 $2: 3$ |
| 20:6 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { okay } 4: 215: 3,9 \\ 5: 17,226: 23 \\ 7: 5,218: 21 \\ 10: 2411: 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { plan } 12: 9 \\ \text { please } 4: 13,24 \\ 5: 6,10,13,16 \\ 5: 236: 67: 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4: 1218: 13 \\ \text { question } 4: 24 \\ 5: 7,16,166: 3 \\ 20: 10 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\log 17: 1$ | 19:10 <br> minute 23:1 <br> MiraCosta 9:2 <br> monitoring 10:5 |  |  |  |
| long 5:11 8:11 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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| questions 23:3 | 5:15 | second 5:4 | sort 17:20 18:1 | Suite 1:15 2:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23:10 | requests 6:25 | 13:17 14:2 | sought $22: 15$ | supposed 17:18 |
| quickly 7:20 | Resources 2:6 | 15:4,4 19:2 | sounds 8:16 | sure 4:15 5:14 |
| R | 25 | 21. | source 20:1 | :2 14:12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | :18 7:11,12 | sediment 14:3 | speaking 5:8 | 20:2 22:16,17 |
| read 21:1 | retain 23:14 | 15:1,6,8,9,14 | special 10:5 | 22:21 23:1 |
| reason 5:19 | review 5:24 6:4 | 15:20,22,24 | specialist 10:18 | surrounding |
| 23:18 | 23:1,15 | 17:16 20:5 | specific 12:4 | 20:4 |
| recall 14:19 | right 5:13 6:17 | see 7:22 13:22 | specifically $21: 3$ | Swonger 11:17 |
| 19:7,9,10 21 | Rosenbaum | 14:5,9,10,15 | specification | sworn 4:2 25:6 |
| 21:4,11 | 2:17,24 23:22 | 14:16,23 15:22 | 17:22 | SWPPP 12:15 |
| receive 23: | RPR 1:17 25:3 | 15:24 20:3 | specifics | Systems 10:8 |
| received 11:6 | 25:23 | seeking 22:10 | 22:22 | S-w-o-n-g-e-r |
| 16:1 | rules 4:18 | seen 6:3,5 18:23 | Speculation | 1:20 |
| Recess 2 | runoff 13:19 | send 23:15 | 16:5 20:8 | S4 12:1 |
| recollection | 20:15 | senior 11:4,11 | spell $4: 1411: 19$ |  |
| 13:25 16:19 | R9-2015-0110 | sentence 4:25 | 11:21 | T |
| record 4:14 6:6 | 1:84:12 | 13:17,22 14:2 | spoken 18:12 | T 3:7 |
| 12:17 23:9,16 |  | 14:3,5 15:4 | ss 25:1 | take 5:10,12,13 |
| records 6:12,15 | S | 16:9 | staff $9: 7,8,9,10$ | 6:4 9:1 11:5 |
| 6:17 | S 2:173:7 | Services 15:5,12 | 9:12,13,24 | taken 1:14 4:16 |
| red 20:1 | Sacramento | share 23:17 | 10:2,9,10 | 12:925:9 |
| reduced 25:11 | 2:10 | shop 8:10,20 | start 5:88:13 | talk 7:5 10:23 |
| references | sample 16:9,24 | shorthand 25:10 | started 8:14 9:3 | 12:19 18:9 |
| 12:14 | 17:7 20:10,13 | shoulders 5:1 | 9:20 10:4,5 | talking 9:24 |
| referring 12:23 | 20:22 | shrugging 5:1 | state 2:6 4:13 | 15:11,15 |
| refresh 16:19 | samples 19 | side 19:24,25 | 6:67:10 13:10 | Tamimi 3:14,16 |
| Region 1:3 4:13 | sampling 20:5 | 20:1 | 13:17 24:2 | task 9:20 |
| Regional 1:2 2:3 | 20:14 | similar 22 | 25:1,15 | tell 18:25 |
| 4:12 18:13 | San 1:3,9,15 | sit 12:8 | stating 6:8 | test 12:9 |
| 21:13,21 $22: 1$ | 2:14,19 3:8,9 | site 6:14 12:20 | stipulations | testified 19:18 |
| 23:18,19,22 | 4:10,13 6:13 | 12:21,23 13:1 | 23:13 | testifies $4: 2$ |
| related 6:12 | 8:19,23 9:23 | 13:11,15,18,20 | storm 16:16 | testimony 5:20 |
| 10:21 | 12:20 13:20 | 13:21 15:17,21 | stormwater 6:9 | text 25:11 |
| relatively $22: 20$ | Santa 7:22 8:6 | 15:25 16:13,17 | 9:14,16 10:6 | thanks 4:8 5:10 |
| remember 13:2 | saw 15:24 | 18:21 19:3 | 10:22,23 11:1 | thing 5:25 |
| 15:11,1117:2 | saying 14:23 | 20:7,15,24 | 12:5,7 17:24 | things 5:2 |
| 17:3,5 18:1 | 17:17 | 21:6,12,18,25 | 22:5 | think $6: 1,15$ |
| 21:2,4 | says $14: 315: 5$ | 22:3,4,7,11,14 | street 2:9 14:20 | 8:12 12:3 |
| remove $15: 6$ | $16: 917: 18$ | 22:19 | 15:18,20,23,24 | 15:13 18:4 |
| report 13:11 | Science 7:24 | sitting $12: 9$ | 19:19,24 20:17 | 19:18 22:19,25 |
| $19: 1$ | sciences 7:24 | somewhat 7:20 | subject 22:4 | thinking 22:18 |
| reporter 4:23 | scientist 9:7,8,9 | sorry 8:15 13:5 | submitting | three 9:9 |
| reports $10: 4$ request 3.11 | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 10,12,13,24 \\ & 10: 2,10 \end{aligned}$ | 20:9 | $12: 13$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { time } 5: 6,116: 4 \\ 9: 611: 12 \end{gathered}$ |
| uest | 10:2, |  |  | 9:611:12 |
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# CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD SAN DIEGO REGION 

## IN THE MATTER OF:

Administrative Civil Liability Complaint No. R9-2015-0110
Against San Altos - Lemon Grove, LLC


TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on December 29, 2015 at 3:30 p.m., San Altos - Lemon Grove, LLC will take the deposition of Brian Nemerow in accordance with the enclosed subpoena. This deposition will take place at the law firm of Opper \& Varco, LLP, located at 225 Broadway, Suite 1900, San Diego, CA 92101, before a certified reporter or person authorized to administer oaths who is present at the specified time and place. Said deposition will continue from day to day, Saturdays, Sundays and holidays excepted, until completed.

YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED that the deposition may also be recorded by videotape as authorized by the Code of civil Procedure section 2025.340 and Plaintiff reserves the right to use any videotaped portion of the deposition testimony at a hearing in this matter. The deposition may also be recorded through such means as to provide the instant display of the testimony as also authorized by Code of Civil Procedure section 2025.340.

YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED that San Altos-Lemon Grove, LLC requests that Brian Nemerow produce the documents identified in Attachment A to this Notice of Deposition and Request for Production of Documents.

Dated: December 10,2015
OPPER \& VARCO LLP


EXHIBIT A
S. WAYNE ROSENBAUM (SBN 182456)

OPPER \& VARCO, LLP
225 Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, California 92101
Telephone: 619.231.5858
Facsimile: 619.231.5853
Email: swr@envirolawyer.com
Attorney for San Altos - Lemon Grove, LLC
BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

## SAN DIEGO REGION

IN THE MATTER OF:,
Administrative Civil Liability Complaint No. R9-2015-0110 Against San Altos - Lemon Grove, LLC

1. I, S. Wayne Rosenbaum, declare that I am counsel for San Altos - Lemon Grove, LLC ("San Altos"), a Designated Party in the above-entitled matter.
2. On December 4, 2015, the Advisory Team for the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region ("Advisory Team") issued the Final Hearing Procedures for ACLC R9-2015-0110.("Final Hearing Procedures"), which included a list of deadlines (the "Schedule") prior to the currently scheduled hearing date of February $10,2016$.
3. The Schedule requires San Altos submit "All evidence (other than witness testimony to be presented orally at the hearing) that the Designated Party would like the San Diego Water Board to consider" by January 4, 2016.
4. Good cause exists for the production of the document described below because such evidence is probative of the veracity of the alleged violations of the Complaint.
5. As an Employee of D-Max Engineering, Inc. providing on-call Storm Water inspection services for the City of Lemon Grove, Brian Nemerow has, or should have, the documents described below in his possession or control.
6. The exact documents to be produced include:

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF SUBPOENA FOR DOCUMENTS AND THINGS FROM BRIAN NEMEROW - 1 .
a. All records and documents, including, but not limited to, inspection reports, notices of violation, administrative citations, stop work notices, correct work notices, field notes, photographs, audio or video recordings, phone logs, and internal communications, including emails, related to inspections that occurred at the San Altos - Lemon Grove, LLC Valencia Hills Construction Site on the following dates:

December 1, 2014
December 2, 2014
December 3, 2014
December 4, 2014
December 5, 2014
December 6, 2014
December 7, 2014
December 8, 2014
December 9, 2014
December 11, 2014
December 12, 2014
December 15, 2014
December 16, 2014
December 17, 2014
December 31, 2014
January 6, 2015
January 7, 2015
January 8, 2015
January 9, 2015
January 10,2015
January 11, 2015
January 12, 2015

January 13, 2015
March 1, 2015
March 18, 2015
March 19, 2015
March 20, 2015
March 21, 2015
March 22, 2015
March 23, 2015
March 24, 2015
March 25, 2015
March 26, 2015
March 27, 2015
March 28, 2015
March 29, 2015
March 30, 2015
March 31, 2015
April 1, 2015
May 8, 2015
May 9, 2015
May 10, 2015
May 11, 2015
May 12, 2015
May 13, 2015
May 14, 2015
May 15, 2015
September 15, 2015

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF SUBPOENA FOR DOCUMENTS AND THINGS FROM BRIAN NEMEROW -3-

October 5, 2015
b. Any additional records and documents, including, but not limited to, inspection reports, notices of violation, administrative citations, stop work notices, correct work notices, field notes, photographs, audio or video recordings, phone logs, and internal communications, including emails, related to inspections that occurred at the San Altos - Lemon Grove, LLC Valencia Hills Construction Site, regardless of whether or not the inspection led to the issuance of a formal report, notice, or citation from Mr . Nemerow and/or the City of Lemon Grove to San Altos - Lemon Grove, LLC from March 6, 2014 to October 19, 2015.
c. Any documents, contracts, work orders, requests for services, communications or records thereof including but not limited to emails, or invoices related to inspections, testing, report writing, or materials related to services rendered by D-Max Engineering, Inc. on behalf of the City of Lemon Grove that involved or affected the San Altos - Lemon Grove, LLC Valencia Hills Project Site during the period of March 6, 2014 through October 19, 2015.
d. A copy of Mr. Nemerow' curriculum vitae listing his education, qualifications, and experience.
7. Emails, writings, or photographs should be provided in both printed and digital formats. Audio or video recordings may be provided in conventional formats accessible on personal computers without the assistance of specialized software.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this 10th of December, 2015.

S. Wayne Rosenbatm Attorney for San Altes - Lemon Grove, LLC
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## BEFORE THE SAN DIEGO REGIONAL. WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD <br> SUBPOENA FOR ADJUDICATIVE ACTION



THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, TO (name): Brian Nemerow

1. YOU ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR AS A WITNESS in this proceeding as follows unless you make special agreement with the person named in item 3:
a. Date: December 29, 2015

Time: 3:30 PM PST
b. Address:

225 Broadway, Suite 1900, San Diego, CA 92101
2. AND YOU ARE:
a. $\square$

Ordered to appear in person. (Wat. Code, § 1080; Gov. Code, § 11450.10; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, § 649.6.)
b. $\square$ Not required to appear in person if you produce the records described in the accompanying affidavit in compliance with Evidence Code sections 1560 and 1561. (Wat. Code, § 1080; Gov. Code, § $11450.10(b) ;$ Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, § 649.6.)
c. $\square$ Ordered to appear in person and to produce the records described in the accompanying affidavit. The personal attendance of the custodian or ather qualified witness and the production of the original records is required by this subpoena. The procedure authorized by subdivislan (b) of section 1560, and sections 1561 and 1562, of the Evidence Code will not be deemed sufficient compliance with this subpoena. (Wat. Code, $\S 1080$; Gov. Code, $\S 11450.10$; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, $\S 649.6$.)
3. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT WITNESS FEES OR THE TIME OR DATE FOR YOU TO APPEAR, OR IF YOU WANT TO BE CERTAIN THAT YOUR PRESENCE IS REQUIRED, CONTACT THE FOLLOWING PERSON BEFORE THE DATE ON WHICH YOU ARE TO APPEAR:
a. Name: S. Wayne Rosenbaum
b. Telephone number: (619) 231-5858
(Gov. Code, § 11450.20 (a); Code Civ. Proc., § 1985.2.)
4. WITNESS FEES; You are entitled to witness fees and mileage actually traveled, both ways, as provided by law. Request them from the person who serves this subpoena or from the person named in item 3. (Wat. Code, $\S \S 1081,1083$, 1084; Gov. Code, $\S \S 11450,40,68070$ et seq.; Code Civ. Proc., §§ 1986.5, 2065.)
5. If you object to the terms of this subpoena, you may file a motion for a protective order including a motion to quash. Motions must be made within a reasonable period after receipt of the subpoena, and shall be made with written notice to all parties, with proof of service upon all parties attached. In response to your motion, the hearing officer may make an order quashing the subpoena entirely, modifying it, or directing compliance with it, or may make any order needed to protect the parties or witnesses from unreasonable or oppressive demands, including unreasonable violations of the right to privacy. (Gov. Code, § 11450.30.) (Send motions to: San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, 2375 Northside Drive, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92108, Attn: David Gibson, with copies to all parties and to Catherine George Hagan, State Water Resources Control Board, Office of Chief Counsel, c/o San Diego Water Board, 2375 Northside Drive, Sulte 100 , San Diego, CA 92108.)

DISOBEDIENCE OF THIS SUBPOENA MAY CAUSE YOU TO BE LIABLE FOR CONTEMPT AND OTHER PENALTIES PROVIDED BY LAW

Dated: December 10, 2015


## PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUBPOENA

(Gov. Code, § 11440.20; Code Civ. Proc., $§ § 1987,1987.5,1988,1989,2015.3,2015.5$.

1. I served this subpoena subpoena duces tecum and supporting affidavit by:
personally delivering a copy to the person served as follows:

| a. Person served (name): | b. Date of delivery: |
| :--- | :--- |
| c. Address where served: | d. Time of delivery: |
| e. Witness fees and mileage both ways (check one): |  |
| (1) <br> (2)were paid. Amount: $\$ \ldots$ <br> were not paid. <br> were tendered to the witness's public entity employer as required by <br> Government $C o d e ~$ <br> (3 68097.2. <br> The amount tendered was $\$$ | F. Fees for service. |

delivering true copies thereof by certified mail, return recelpt requested, to the address as shown below.
delivering true coples thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope to a messenger for immediate personal delivery to the addrass as shown below.
delivering true copies thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope to a mail delivery service for overnight delivery to the address as shown below. [Specify name of mail service and tracking no.:

Other method allowed by Gov. Code $\S 11440.20$. Specify:

Address where served:
2. I certify that I roceived this $\square$ subpoena subpoena duces tecum for service on $\qquad$ -.
Ideclare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Cailfornia that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration is executed on:
Date
|at (place)
(For California sheriff, marshal, or constable use only)
I certify that the foregoing is true and correct and that this certificate is executed on:


NOTE: THE ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT AN ATTORNEY REQUESTING THIS SUBPOENA MUST PROVIDE A COPY OF THE SUBPOENA TO EVERY PARTY IN THE HEARING, AND FILE A COPY WITH THE REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD. THE COPY PROVIDED TO THE REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY A CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE LISTING THE NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF PARTIES WHO WERE PROVIDED COPIES IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT CODE § 11440.20. (Gov. Code, § 11440.20; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, § 648.4(c).) (Send to: San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, 2375 Northside Drive, Sulte 100, San Diego, CA 92108: Attr David Gibson, with copies to all parties and to Catherine George Hagan, State Water Resources Control Board, Office of Chief Counsel, c/o San Diego Water Board, 2375 Northside Dr., Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92108.)
S. WAYNE ROSENBAUM (SBN 182456)

OPPER \& VARCO, LLP
225 Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, California 92101
Telephone: 619.231.5858
Facsimile: 619.231.5853
Email: swr@envirolawyer.com
Attorney for San Altos - Lemon Grove, LLC
BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD SAN DIEGO REGION

IN THE MATTER OF:,
Administrative Civil Liability Complaint No. R9-2015-0110 Against San Altos - Lemon Grove,

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF SUBPOENA FOR DOCUMENTS AND THINGS FROM BRIAN NEMEROW

1. I, S. Wayne Rosenbaum, declare that I am counsel for San Altos - Lemon Grove, LLC ("San Altos"), a Designated Party in the above-entitled matter.
2. On December 4, 2015, the Advisory Team for the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region ("Advisory Team") issued the Final Hearing Procedures for ACLC R9-2015-0110.("Final Hearing Procedures"), which included a list of deadlines (the "Schedule") prior to the currently scheduled hearing date of February $10,2016$.
3. The Schedule requires San Altos submit "All evidence (other than witness testimony to be presented orally at the hearing) that the Designated Party would like the San Diego Water Board to consider" by January 4, 2016.
4. Good cause exists for the production of the document described below because such evidence is probative of the veracity of the alleged violations of the Complaint.
5. As an Employee of D-Max Engineering, Inc. providing on-call Storm Water inspection services for the City of Lemon Grove, Brian Nemerow has, or should have, the documents described below in his possession or control.
6. The exact documents to be produced include:
a. All records and documents, including, but not limited to, inspection reports, notices of violation, administrative citations, stop work notices, correct work notices, field notes, photographs, audio or video recordings, phone logs, and internal communications, including emails, related to inspections that occurred at the San Altos - Lemon Grove, LLC Valencia Hills Construction Site on the following dates:

December 1, 2014
December 2, 2014
December 3, 2014
December 4, 2014
December 5, 2014
December 6, 2014
December 7, 2014
December 8, 2014
December 9, 2014
December 11, 2014
December 12, 2014
December 15, 2014
December 16, 2014
December 17, 2014
December 31, 2014
January 6, 2015
January 7, 2015
January 8, 2015
January 9, 2015
January 10, 2015
January 11, 2015
January 12, 2015

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF SUBPOENA FOR DOCUMENTS AND THINGS FROM BRIAN NEMEROW

January 13, 2015
March 1, 2015

March 18, 2015
March 19, 2015
March 20, 2015
March 21, 2015
March 22, 2015
March 23, 2015
March 24, 2015
March 25, 2015
March 26, 2015
March 27, 2015
March 28, 2015
March 29, 2015
March 30, 2015
March 31, 2015
April 1, 2015
May 8, 2015
May 9, 2015
May 10, 2015
May 11, 2015
May 12, 2015
May 13, 2015
May 14, 2015
May 15, 2015
September 15, 2015

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF SUBPOENA FOR DOCUMENTS AND THINGS FROM BRIAN NEMEROW

October 5, 2015
b. Any additional records and documents, including, but not limited to, inspection reports, notices of violation, administrative citations, stop work notices, correct work notices, field notes, photographs, audio or video recordings, phone logs, and internal communications, including emails, related to inspections that occurred at the San Altos -- Lemon Grove, LLC Valencia Hills Construction Site, regardless of whether or not the inspection led to the issuance of a formal report, notice, or citation from Mr. Nemerow and/or the City of Lemon Grove to San Altos - Lemon Grove, LLC from March 6, 2014 to October 19, 2015.
c. Any documents, contracts, work orders, requests for services, communications or records thereof including but not limited to emails, or invoices related to inspections, testing, report writing, or materials related to services rendered by D-Max Engineering, Inc. on behalf of the City of Lemon Grove that involved or affected the San Altos - Lemon Grove, LLC Valencia Hills Project Site during the period of March 6, 2014 through October 19, 2015.
d. A copy of Mr. Nemerow' curriculum vitae listing his education, qualifications, and experience.
7. Emails, writings, or photographs should be provided in both printed and digital formats. Audio or video recordings may be provided in conventional formats accessible on personal computers without the assistance of specialized software.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this 10th of December, 2015.

S. Wayne Rosenbaym

Attorney for San Altos - Lemon Grove, LLC

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF SUBPOENA FOR DOCUMENTS AND THINGS FROM BRIAN NEMEROW

$$
-4-
$$

## Nemerow, Brian Alan




# Brian Nemerow 

1149 North Vulcan Ave \#6
Encinitas, CA 92024
(760) 846-5135
brian.nemerow@gmail.com

## PROFILE

My experience working with D-Max Engineering has given me the base knowledge and skills needed to provide exceptional work that satisfies NPDES permit requirements, all while applying critical thinking to solve tough problems that may arise in the process. My main goals are to be a valuable asset to the City of Vista's Water Quality Protection Program, educate the public on important pollution-related issues and to explore creative ways to solve environmental challenges.

## EXPERIENCE <br> D-Max Engineering, Inc; San Diego, CA - July, 2010-Present

I have performed a variety of field tasks along with project management and coordination roles while working at D-Max Engineering. Some of the most notable projects are described below:

- City of La Mesa Prop 84 Grant Project, 2015. I reviewed project information and wrote the Quality Assurance Project Plan, Monitoring Plan and Project Assessment and Evaluation Plan in accordance with Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP) requirements. I worked with laboratory staff to ensure specific testing for unique chemical constituents would be completed using EPA standard methods and proper reporting limits.
- City of Menifee MS4 Mapping, 2014-2015. I obtained construction drawings from City of Menifee and County of Riverside sources for references used to map the City's entire MS4 structures using GIS, in accordance with Region 8 Municipal Permit requirements. I used this map to develop the City's major outfall inventory and conduct field visits to all major outfalls within the City's jurisdiction.
- City of Vista Transitional Dry Weather Monitoring, 2013-2014. I planned and conducted field visits to major outfalls within the City jurisdiction in accordance with Municipal Permit requirements, used GIS to help develop the major outfall inventory, conducted upstream source investigations with City and public school staff, and wrote the final dry weather monitoring report summary.
- City of Vista's 2013-2014 Industrial/Commercial and FOG inspection program. I helped manage the program to ensure inspections were completed within the time constraints of the permit requirements, performed field inspection tasks, ensured oversight of D-Max inspectors and helped revise the inventory. I also worked with City staff to develop a new property-based inspection procedure in accordance with the 2013 Municipal Permit.



## EDUCATION

University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA - B.S. Earth Sciences with concentration in Ocean Sciences, 2010.

## SKILLS

I am proficient in using Global Information System (GIS) to create maps and conduct research pertaining to stormwater-related programs. I also have excellent communication skills, which I utilize while educating the public during inspections and discussing report findings with municipalities or RWQCB staff.

## PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

I have qualified to sit for the Certified Professional in Storm Water Quality (CPSWQ) exam, and plan to obtain the certification within the next two months.

## Nemerow, Brian Alan



## Exhibit No. 10 <br> D-MAX Engineering, Inc.

Consultants in Water \& Environmental Sciences

|  | Memo | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXHIBIT } 3 \\ & \text { REPORTER } / m \cdot V_{0} / 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | WItNess B. Nemerow |
| Date: December 17, 2014 |  | DATE 12-29.15 |
| To: Malik Tamimi |  |  |
| Cc: Tad Nakatani; John Quenzer |  |  |

From: Brian Nemerow
Subject: December 17, 2014 Field Visit at Valencia Construction Site
Per the City's request, D-MAX visited the Valencia construction site on the morning of December 17,2014 , following a storm earlier in the morning. Rain had ended a few hours prior to the site visit, and no runoff was observed flowing out from the construction site at the Akins or San Altos entrance/exit locations at the time of the site visit.
Evidence of sediment discharge was observed at the Akins entrance/exit location (Photos 1 and 2) and along the curb farther downstream (Photo 3). A crew from Downstream Services was power washing the curb along Akins to remove accumulated sediment (Photos 4, 5, and 6). This indicates that there likely had been a noticeable sediment discharge earlier in the day. Based on talking with the crew, our understanding is that they were working on behalf of the Valencia project, and they also planned to use a vactor truck to remove accumulated sediment from the downstream storm drain on Akins. The crew was taking measures to prevent discharge of water from power washing, including using a vactor truck to reclaim the water, but a small amount of water was observed discharging to the inlet along Akins. The water was seeping through gravel bags around the inlet and discharge to the storm drain. A sample of the power washing discharge water was collected, and turbidity was measured at 52 NTU.


Figure 1. Observation Locations

Field Visit at Valencia Construction Site
December 17, 2014
Page 2 of 4


Photo 1. Evidence of sediment discharge at Akins entrance/exit


Photo 2. Evidence of sediment discharge at Akins entrance/exit


Photo 3. Sediment accumulation along Akins curb gutter, downstream of Akins entrance/exit


Photo 4. Power washing activity performed by Downstream Services


Photo 5. Power washing activity performed by Downstream Services


Photo 6. Power washing activity performed by Downstream Services

## Nemerow, Brian Alan



# D-Max Engineering, Inc. 

## Consultants in Water \& Environmental Sciences

|  |  | EXHIBIT 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Memo | REPORTER m. Lolz |
|  |  | WITNESS B. Nemekow |
| Date: May 15, 2015 |  | DATE $12-29-15$ |

## To: Malik Tamimi, Gary Harper, Tamara O'Neal

Cc: Tad Nakatani, John Quenzer
From: Brian Nemerow
Subject: May 15, 2015 Sampling at Valencia Construction Site
Per the City's request, D-MAX collected samples of runoff from the Valencia construction site. Runoff was observed discharging from the construction site only at the Akins Avenue entrance/exit sampling location (site Valencia_Akins, Figure 1 and Photo 1). Because gravel bag check dams were positioned along Akins Avenue from the site discharge point down to the nearest storm drain inlet, a sample was also taken downstream of the last set of gravel bags, just before the water entered the inlet (site Akins_Inlet, Figure 1 and Photo 2).

Each sample was collected and analyzed for turbidity and pH using a calibrated field meter. The Valencia_Akins site had a turbidity value that exceeded the numeric action level of 250 NTU. Unprotected loose sediment observed along the site perimeter near the Akins entrance likely contributed to the high turbidity levels. Photo 3a shows the project area near the Akins inlet during the rain event on $5 / 15 / 2015$. Photo 3 b is a close-up photo of the same area two days earlier. It appears that the loose sediment observed on 5/13/2015 was not sufficiently cleaned up or protected prior to the rain event.
The sample collected at the Akins_Inlet site downstream of the Akins entrance had a turbidity value below the numeric action level. This decrease in turbidity between the Valencia_Akins and Akins_Inlet locations may be due to a combination of sediment settling out at the gravel bag check dams and the runoff from Akins Avenue comingling with the site runoff. Results are summarized in the table below, and photos of the samples in clear containers are presented as photos 4 and 5.
Following sampling, the construction site foreman was informed of the high turbidity measurement, and he stated he was working toward remediating the issue.

Table 1. Sampling Results

| Site | Sample <br> Date | Sample <br> Time | Turbidity <br> (NTU) | $\mathbf{p H}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valencia_Akins | $5 / 15 / 2015$ | $07: 20$ | 308 | 8.35 |
| Akins_Inlet | $5 / 15 / 2015$ | $07: 40$ | 95 | 8.23 |



Figure 1. Sampling Locations


Photo 1. Site Valencia_Akins


Photo 2. Site Akins_Inlet


Photo 3a. Project area at the Akins Ave entrance/exit during rain event

Sampling at Valencia Construction Site
May 15, 2015
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Photo 3b. Project area at the Akins Ave entrance/exit on 5/13/2015. (Same telephone pole as seen in photo 3a)


Photo 4. Sample from Site Valencia_Akins

Sampling at Valencia Construction Site
May 15, 2015
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Photo 5. Sample from Site Akins_Inlet


[^0]:    HUTCHINGS COURT REPORTERS, LLC - GLOBAL LEGAL SERVICES 800.697 .3210

