Lower Newport Bay Copper/Metals Marina Study

Final Report

July 2007

Prepared for the City of Newport Beach
by
Orange County Coastkeeper

Special thanks to the following individuals for their help in completing this project: Linda Candelaria PhD. Of the Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Board. Rich Gossett of CRG Laboratory, Steve Bay, Darrin Greenstein, and Keri Ritter of SCCWRP, and O.C.Coastkeeper interns; Dustine Min, Holly Doo, Peter Pham, Elijah Rosenthal, Alex Ramirez, Chris Lopez, and Shannon Penna. This project was funded by the State Water Resources Control Board and the City of Newport Beach.

Executive Summary

A Toxics TMDL for Newport Bay was promulgated in June 2002 by USEPA, and a Metals TMDL for Newport Bay is currently under development by the Santa Ana Regional Board staff. Metals listed in this TMDL for the Lower Newport Bay include Cu, Pb and Zn (Cd, Cu, Pb, and Zn in Rhine Channel). Recent studies have shown that metals are present in Newport Bay at levels that raise concerns for the health of the bay ecosystem (Bay, 2003-2004).

The goal of this project was to determine if Cu antifouling boat paints are a significant source of Cu contamination to the water column and sediments in marinas, and Lower Newport Bay in general, and to determine the amount of Cu and other metals present in marina waters and sediments.

Water and sediment samples were collected from eight representative marinas and adjacent channel sites (potential control sites) in Newport Bay in May, August, and December of 2007 and tested for metals, Dissolved Organic Carbon, Total Suspended Solids, temperature and salinity. The results were then examined for excedences of the California Toxics Rule standards for water or National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Sediment Quick Reference Tables (NOAA SQRT) for sediment. Additionally, a statistical analysis of the data using the ANOVA test was conducted to determine if observed differences in the data are statistically significant. Toxicity testing was done on a subset of the water and sediment samples (dry weather) by SCCWRP.

Water column

The data shows that dissolved copper is the only metal with concentrations elevated above the CCC (chronic) (67% of all samples) and CMC (acute) (30% of all samples) CTR standards in the bay water. To break it down further 75.4 % of the marina samples and 48.1 % of the channel samples exceeded the dissolved chronic Cu CTR standard with samples from all marinas and four channel sites exceeding the chronic standard greater than 50% of the time. Also 26% of the marinas samples and 14.8% of the channel samples exceeded the acute CTR in samples from two marinas(Lido Village and Lido Yacht Anchorage) and one channel site (Lido Village) exceeding the acute standard greater than 50% of the time. Although mean Cu concentrations in each marina are mostly above the corresponding channel Cu concentrations, ANOVA statistical analysis shows that there is no statistically significant difference in dissolved copper levels in the marinas and the adjacent channel sites. This may be a function of the variability in marina and channel data since marina means are mostly higher than channel means. The dissolved copper data may also indicate that copper leached from the boats in the marinas is not being quickly diluted as it leaves the marinas.

Sediment

An examination of all the project sediment data showed that Cu, As, Cd, Cr, Hg, Pb and Zn were elevated above NOAAs TEL and ERL standards, and Cu, Hg, and Zn were elevated above the ERM in the bay sediments. In statistical comparisons of marina vs. channel samples at each marina only three marinas, Balboa Yacht Basin, Harbor Marina and H&J moorings, did not show significant differences in the metals concentrations between the marina and its adjacent channel site. This was due to the high metal concentrations in both marinas and channels in the west bay. In statistical comparisons of the entire sediment dataset for each marina vs. the other marinas, the data shows that there are significant differences in sediment metals in the marinas of west Newport Bay (Harbor, Lido Village, and Lido Yacht Anchorage) compared to the other project marinas. Poor water circulation in the area is a likely reason for the elevated metal levels for dissolved copper and sediment metals found in the west bay, a large stormdrain located in Harbor marina may also be a factor. In a statistical comparison of wet vs. dry weather metals data at the marina sites, higher dissolved metal concentrations were found in the west bay during dry weather and the combined wet and dry data, while in wet weather the trend is reversed with the Newport Dunes and De Anza marinas showing significantly higher dissolved metals than the west Newport Bay This could be due to the strong influence that runoff from San Diego Creek has on the area during wet weather.

Toxicity

Water, sediment-water interface and sediment toxicity tests were conducted for 10 sites (8 marina, 2 channel sites) in August, and pore water (10 sites) and sediment toxicity tests (6 sites) were conducted in November. Significant sediment toxicity (amphipod test) was found in 80% of the sites tested -(6/8) marina stations and all (2/2) channel stations, and the stations with highest toxicity were at Newport Dunes and De Anza Marina. In November, significant sediment toxicity (amphipod test) was also found at all 6 stations tested (4-Newport Dunes, 2-DeAnza Marina). No toxicity was found for water, sediment-water interface or porewater tests for 10 stations tested (mussel embryo tests), however, 3/10 SWI tests and 2/10 pore water tests showed reduced percent normal alive embryos. A TIE was run on the Newport Dunes site to attempt to identify the source of the toxicity. The results of the TIE test determined that the most likely source of the toxicity found at the Newport Dunes Marina is a combination of metals and pesticides.

Additionally the pore water was extracted from the sediment collected and examined for metals. Copper was the only metal found to be in exceedence of CTR values in the pore water. It exceeded the chronic CTR standard at two sites, one each at Lido Yacht Anchorage and the H&J moorings. The acute CTR standard was exceeded only at the H&J moorings site

Table of Contents

Background

A Toxics TMDL for Newport Bay was promulgated in June 2002 by USEPA, and a Metals TMDL for Newport Bay is currently under development by Santa Ana Regional Water Board staff. Metals shown to exceed the CTR values in Lower Newport Bay include Cu, Pb and Zn (Cd, Cu, Pb, and Zn in Rhine Channel). Recent studies have shown that metals are present in Newport Bay at levels that raise concerns for the health of the bay ecosystem (Bay, 2003-2004, USEPA 303d list). Cu and other metals are known to be toxic to fish and other aquatic species. Cu antifouling boat paints are a known source of Cu to the Lower Bay. These paints are designed to leach Cu into the water, mostly as cuprous oxide, to reduce the fouling of boat bottoms with barnacles and algae. The leaching of Cu from antifouling boat paints is well documented, and has been quantified in a study by SCCWRP (SCCWRP report, Schiff et al 2003; Port of San Diego Report 2006). However, the question remains as to the disposition of Cu once it is released into the marina – Does the Cu remain in the marina, adsorb onto the sediments, or flush out of the bay with the tides? In addition, Zn plates are installed on all boats and serve as sacrificial anodes to prevent corrosion of other metal parts. Seawater reacts with the Zn anodes which corrode and settle to the marina sediments.

Copper or other metals in the water may remain in the dissolved phase, adsorb to suspended particles and settle, form salt precipitates or be flushed out of the marina. Benthic organisms that lie in the sediment may ingest these metals, and filter feeders, such as mollusks, may accumulate metals from the water. In addition, sediments may be resuspended and release metals back into the water.

An additional source of metals to Newport Bay is urban runoff which may enter the Bay via storm drains or surface runoff. Metal inputs to the Bay from stormwater inputs can be significant in winter. Over 200 stormdrains empty into Newport Bay and studies show high metal concentrations around storm drains in the Rhine Channel section of the bay (Bay 2003, OCCK 2004). Two marinas with storm drains in Lower Bay were sampled to investigate the impact of storm drain inputs into marinas to determine if stormdrains significantly affect metals concentrations in marinas.

Boatyards are another potential source of Cu to Newport Bay, boat hulls are cleaned, scraped and sandblasted near the water and there is a potential for discharge into the Bay (although a no discharge rule is in effect via the State Board's general industrial stormwater permit). According to marina data, higher levels of Cu have been found near maintenance area drains and fuel docks than at other locations suggesting that these two areas are sources of potential metal pollution of water and good targets for pollution prevention practices (Shelter Island TMDL SDRWQCB 2004). Other metals such as lead, copper, arsenic, zinc, mercury, nickel, lead, chromium and tin have many functions in boat operation, maintenance, and repair. There are two active boatyards in Lower Newport Bay that are not in the Rhine Channel (The Rhine Channel has been investigated extensively in previous projects, Bay 2003, O.C.Coastkeeper 2005). The largest is located next to the Balboa Yacht Basin Marina and the water and sediment near this boatyard was tested as part of this project. The other is located on West Pacific Coast Highway and is not close to marinas included in this project.

Sampling Design

The goal of this project was to determine if Cu antifouling boat paints are a significant source of Cu contamination to the water column and sediments in marinas, and Lower Newport Bay in general, and to determine the amount of Cu and other metals present in marina waters and sediments.

To achieve this goal we selected eight representative marinas from over forty marinas in Newport Bay. We established representative sample sites in each of the eight marinas along with a site in the channel adjacent to the marina to serve as a reference outside each marina. The marinas are spatially distributed throughout the Lower Bay (and lower Upper Bay) and include linear and block marina designs. One set of moorings was also included to cover all types of marina designs in Newport Bay. To represent other factors that may influence metals concentrations, we selected two marinas with large stormdrains that emptied into the marinas and one with a shipyard located next door to determine if there was a significant difference between marina sites without storm drains or shipyard influences and marina sites with storm drains or near a shipyard. Additionally we scheduled the sampling events to represent wet and dry weather conditions in the bay. Sampling events for all sites were in May, August and December; the May sampling event was within three weeks of a rain event and the December sampling event was within seventy-two hours of a rain event. The August event was in the middle of the dry season. By using this design we were able to make data comparisons of each marina vs. its channel site, marina vs. marina, dry vs. wet weather, and marinas with stormdrains or shipyards vs. marinas without.

This design is critical to answering our primary question, to determine if Cu antifouling boat paints are a significant source of Cu contamination to the water column and sediments in marinas, and Lower Newport Bay in general, and to determine the concentrations of Cu and other metals present in marina waters and sediments.

If the Cu remains in the marina waters or settles into the marina sediments then there could be a significant difference between the marina and channel data. If the Cu from the bottom paint is quickly flushed out of the marinas, there may not be a significant difference in marina and channel sediment Cu concentrations. If stormdrains or shipyards are a significant source of metals to the marinas they are located in or near then marina sites closest to the storm drain (or shipyard) may have higher metal concentrations than sites further from the stormdrain (or shipyard) . The wet and dry season sampling events will allow us to determine if the concentrations of metals levels fluctuate during the year.

Methodology

Sampling Events and Sites

For this study, water and sediment samples were collected from thirty-five sites in Newport Bay including sites in eight marinas and adjacent channels, two stormdrains, and one shipyard. A list of marinas is detailed in Table 1. There were 3 major sampling events on May 10th, August 22nd and 23rd and December 18th for all marina/channel sites, and a 4th sampling event, November 17th, was added later to collect additional toxicity samples. For the May, August and December events water and sediment samples were analyzed for dissolved and total metals in water, metals in sediment, and Total Suspended Solids, Dissolved Organic Carbon, water temperature and salinity in water. In addition to the metals testing, the August event included toxicity testing and organics testing on a subset of 10 sites (Table 1). Water and sediment samples were analyzed for toxicity, PCBs and PAHs; and grain size, TOC, and acid volatile sulfides in sediment were also run. After analysis of the August toxicity results, the November sampling event was added to collect sediment samples for additional toxicity and TIE testing. Metals in pore water were also analyzed in the November sediment samples.

The May and December samples represent wet weather conditions with the December collection occurring within 72 hours of a storm event and the May sampling occurring within 3 weeks of a rain event. The August sampling event was representative of dry weather conditions. During both the August and November events samples were collected from a subset of the total sites (10 in August and 12 sites in November) for toxicity testing, with the November sample site locations based on the toxicity test results from the August sampling event.

Sample Collection and Analyses

All water samples were collected from one meter below the surface using a clean 500ml poly bottle mounted on a six foot PVC sampling pole. All sediment samples were collected using a petite ponar grab sampler with the samples collected from the undisturbed top 10cm of the sediment collected. The larger sediment samples necessary for toxicity testing were composites from the multiple grabs required to generate the amount of sediment necessary.

In May, August and December, the water and sediment samples for chemical analysis were collected and delivered to CRG Marine Laboratories the same day. The water samples were analyzed for total and dissolved title 22 metals including copper, nickel, chromium, lead, arsenic, nickel, tin, cadmium, mercury and zinc, using EPA method 1640 by ICPMS (Fe, Pd extraction), DOC using EPA method 415.1, and TSS using SM2540D. Temperature and salinity measurements were taken in the field. Sediments were analyzed for total metals (title 22 metals) using EPA method 6020 by ICPMS. In August, additional amounts of water and sediment were collected from 10 sites, and a split of those samples was analyzed for PCBs and PAHs using EPA method 625(m)/6270C(m), particle size using SM2560D, Percent Solids using EPA method160.3, TOC using EPA method 415.1,and acid volatile sulfides.

The water and sediment samples collected for toxicity testing during August and November were sent to the Southern California Coastal Watershed Research Project (SCCWRP) for toxicity and TIE testing. The initial toxicity testing was done in August for ten sites, one at each of the eight marinas, along with two channel sites, one each outside Lido Village Marina and Lido Yacht Anchorage marina. Toxicty tests were conducted on water, the sediment water interface, and whole sediment. Based on the initial toxicity testing results additional sites were tested in November . Newport Dunes site number three was selected for a sediment TIE due to its high sediment toxicity in the August testing, and pore water toxicity testing was run on two sites each in Newport dunes and De Anza

Marinas and at one site from each of the other six project marinas (no channel sites) for a total of ten pore water tests. Also, six whole sediment tests were run, at four Newport Dunes sites and two DeAnza sites.

Toxicity Tests

Mussel Embryo Development Test

The mussel embryo development test (USEPA 1995) was used to evaluate acute toxicity on water column, sediment-water interface and pore water samples. This test measures toxic effects on mussel embryos, as a reduction in their ability to normally develop from fertilized eggs. The mussels (Mytilus galloprovincialis) test consisted of a 48 h exposure of fertilized eggs to marina water samples. (See Appendix B for test details.)

Sediment-Water Interface (SWI) Test

This is a 48 hour, whole sediment test. Whole sediment from the 10 stations was loaded into five replicate polycarbonate core tubes with laboratory seawater and equilibrated overnight. The next day, fertilized mussel eggs were added. After 48h, embryos were observed. (See Appendix B for test details.)

Whole Sediment Toxicity Test

For whole sediment, a ten day chronic toxicity measurement using exposure with amphipods (Eohaustorius estuarius) was conducted. The exposure was conducted on the same sediment as the SWI testing. This test measures toxic effects on amphipods by their survival and activity level. (See Appendix B for test details.)

Whole Sediment Toxicity Identification Evaluation

A reduced volume and duration (7 day) initial amphipod survival test was performed on two stations to determine if toxicity was present at a high enough level to justify conducting a TIE.

A whole sediment toxicity identification evaluation (TIE) was conducted on station 6013 from the Newport Dunes Marina. This station was found to be very toxic to amphipods for the initial sample collected in August and again in November when the station was resampled. (See Appendix B for test details.)

Pore Water Toxicity Tests

Pore water samples were extracted from whole sediment by centrifugation and the supernatant was removed. The pore water samples were tested using the mussel embryo development test as described above. In addition to the testing of pore water, a "mini-TIE" was performed by adding EDTA to an aliquot of pore water from each station. (See Appendix B for test details.)

Split samples sent to SCCWRP in August for toxicity testing were also sent to CRG for metals and other analyses as described above. In November, additional samples were sent to SCCWRP for toxicity testing and only pore water samples were sent to CRG Marine Labs for metals analyses.

Data Analysis Methods

Data analysis was done using two different methods. The first is a basic determination of whether the data for each site exceeds the criteria selected for comparison. For water the criteria selected are the California Toxics Rule (CTR) water quality objectives for the Criterion Maximum Concentration (CMC) and Criterion Continuous Concentration (CCC). For sediment the criteria selected are the NOAA SQRT objectives for the Threshold Effects Level (TEL) Effects Range Low (ERL) and Effects Range Medium (ERM). Table one details the the type of sites associated with each marina and the toxicity testing done. The metals analyzed for exceedence and the corresponding objectives are detailed in the table two and three. Several metals including Nickel (Ni), Selenium (Se) and Tin (Sn) were included in the statistical analysis but were not analyzed for exceedences. The parameters measured other than metals are intended to support the metals and toxicity analysis and do not have criteria for comparison.

Table 1 -Marina and channel sites and toxicity test sites.

Marinas	Marina Sites	Channel sites	Stormdrain or Shipyard sites	Toxicity sites – Aug	Porewater Toxicity sites - Nov	Sediment Toxicity & TIE sites - Nov
Newport Dunes	3	1	0	1	2	1(TIE) 4 Tox
De Anza	3	2	0	1	2	2 Tox
Balboa Yacht Basin	3	1	1 (SY)	1	1	
Bahia Corinthian	3	1	1 (SD)	1	1	
Harbor	2	1	1 (SD)	1	1	
Lido Village	3	1	0	1+ 1ch	1	
Lido Yacht Anchorage	3	1	0	1+ 1ch	1	
H&J Moorings	1	1	0	1	1	

Table 2 Water Criteria

Dissolved Metals CTR Saltwater Criteria (µg/L)		
Element	**CMC**	**CCC**
As (Arsenic)	69	36
Cd (Cadmium)	42	9.3
Cr-tot (Chromium –Total)	1100	50
Cu (Copper)	4.8	3.1
Pb (Lead)	210	8.1
Hg (Mercury)	1.8	.94
Ag (Silver)	1.9	
Se (Selenium)	290	71
Zn (Zinc)	90	81
Ni (Nickel)	74	8.2

Table 3 Sediment Criteria

NOAA SQRT VALUES (Sediment Criteria)(µg/dry g)			
Element	**Salt TEL**	**Salt ERL**	**Salt ERM**
As(Arsenic)	7.24	8.2	70
Cd (Cadmium)	0.067	1.2	9.6
Cr-tot(Chromium –Total)	52.3	81	370
Cu(Copper)	18.7	34	270
Pb (Lead)	30.2	46.7	218
Hg (Mercury)	0.13	0.15	0.71
Ag (Silver)	0.73	1	3.7
Zn (Zinc)	124	150	410

California Toxics Rule (CTR) criteria are the Criterion Maximum Concentration (CMC) and Criterion Continuous Concentration (CCC). The sediment criteria are Threshold Effects Level (TEL) Effects Range Low (ERL) and Effects Range Medium (ERM)

The second type of analysis done for this project was a statistical analysis of the data to determine if observed differences in the data sets are truly significant. Marina vs channel, marina vs. marina and dry vs. wet season data were compared statistically. This analysis was done with the SYSTAT 11 statistical analysis program using the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test with a Bonferroni Adjustment. Using this method we analyzed the data for all of the metals in the above tables to determine if the concentrations of metals in the water and sediment of the bay show identifiable patterns.

Results

Objective Exceedence Discussion

As described above, an evaluation for exceedence of CTR Dissolved Metals criteria and NOAA Sediment Quality criteria (SQRT) was conducted for all the metals in tables 2 and 3. The objectives used for determining an exceedence are the CCC (chronic) and CMC (acute) for dissolved metals in water and the

TEL, ERL and ERM for sediment. To aid in the identification of the exceedences found, table 4 below has been prepared detailing the number of exceedences for each metal at each marina and channel site for both the sediment and water standards. For this narrative we will limit the discussion of the analysis to the broad trends found in the data.

Water Column

Copper was the only metal to exceed CTR values, both the Criterion Maximum Concentration (CMC) and Criterion Continuous Concentration (CCC) criteria. The CCC is used for long term exposure (chronic) while the CMC is intended as a short term maximum level (acute). Dissolved Copper concentrations exceeded the CCC level in all marinas (75% of marina samples) and in 5/9 channel sites (48% of channel samples). Samples at four of the eight channel sites (all at the west end of the bay) exceeded the CCC at least 50% of the time. CMC exceedences of Cu occurred at all marinas, except Newport Dunes and Bahia Corinthian (30% of marina samples), and at the Harbor, Lido Village, and Lido Yacht Anchorage channel sites (15% of channel samples). The marinas with exceedences of the CMC for Cu for more than 50% of the samples were confined to the west Newport Bay area containing the Lido Village, and Lido Yacht Anchorage marinas

Sediment

The Sediment data was analyzed against the TEL, ERL and ERM criteria. The TEL criteria are the most protective and the USEPA was initially using TELs for TMDL work; the ERL criteria are only slightly higher. The ERM criteria are the most significant from a regulatory perspective as they are the sediment criteria used by the State to list an impaired waterbody. Since the ERMs denote impairment, the ERLs are the criteria of choice for TMDLs since they are more protective of waterbodies than the ERMs. The sediment data shows concentrations above the TEL in at least 50% of the samples for As, Cd, Cu, and Zn in all of the marinas and for Pb and Hg in four of the eight marinas (all at the west end of the bay). At the channel sites the data shows concentrations above the TEL in at least 50% of the samples for Cd, Cu and Zn at all channel sites and for As and Hg at five of the eight channel sites.

While the ERL criteria are not much higher than the TEL it made a big difference in the number of exceedences. There were reductions in the number of exceedences for all metals discussed above with As, Cd and Cr and Pb seeing the largest reductions in exceedences. However, all of the metals that exceeded the TEL also exceeded the ERL in both the marina and channel sites, just at fewer **sites.** The following marinas and the adjacent channels had exceedences of the ERLs for the metals listed for over 50% of the samples:

Newport Dunes marina Cd(100%) Cu (100%) Zn (77.8%), (<50% -As)
Newport Dunes Channel Cu (100%); (<50% -Cd, Zn)
De Anza marina; As (66.7) Cd (55.6%), Cu (100%) Zn (100%), (<50% -Hg)
De Anza inner channel Cu (100%), Zn (66.7%), (<50% -As, Cd)
Balboa Yacht Basin marina; As (88.99%), Cu (88.9%), Hg (100%) Zn (88.9%),
Balboa Yacht Basin Channel; Cu (100%), Hg (66.7%) Zn (100%), (<50% -As)
Bahia Corinthian marina; As (77.8%),Cd (88.9%),Cu (100%),Zn (100%),
(<50% -Hg)
Bahia Corinthian channel; Cu (100%),Hg (100%), (<50% -Hg)
Harbor marina; As(83.3%), Cd(83.3%), Cu(83.3%), Pb(66.7%), Zn, (83.3%)
(<50% -Hg)
Harbor marina channel; As(100%), Cd(66.7%), Cu(100%), Pb(66.7%),
Hg(100%), Zn, (100%),
Lido Village marina; As(100%), Cu(100%), Pb(66.7%), Hg(100%),Zn, (100%),
Lido village channel; As(66.7%), Cu(100%), Hg(100%), Zn, (66.7%), (<50% -Pb)
Lido Yacht Anchorage; As(100%), Cu(100%), Hg(100%),Zn, (100%),
(<50% -Cd, Pb)
Lido Yacht Anchorage channel; As(100%), Cu(100%), Hg(100%),Zn, (100%),
(<50% -Cd, Pb)
H&J Mooring; As(77.8%), Cu(100%), Hg(100%),Zn, (100%),
H&J Mooring channel; As(100%), Cu(100%), Hg(100%),Zn, (100%) (<50% -Cd).

The ERM criteria are significantly higher than the TEL or ERL, this is also the criteria used by the State Water Resources Control Board for impaired waterbody listing purposes. At the ERM level only Cu, Hg, and Zn still exceeded the criteria. With the exception of Hg (22%) in the Balboa Yacht Basin Marina and Cu (11%) in Bahia Corinthian Marina, all of the exceedences occurred in the West Newport area. At Harbor Marina, the samples collected exceeded for Cu (33%),Hg (16%), and Zn (66%) in the marina and Hg (33%) at the channel site. In Lido Village Marina the samples collected exceeded for Hg (33%) equally in the marina and channel sites. At Lido Yacht Anchorage samples collected exceeded for Cu (89%), Hg (100%) and Zn (66%) in the marina and Hg (100%) exceeded at the channel site. At the H&J Moorings the samples collected exceeded for Hg (44%) and Zn (11%) in the moorings but there were no exceedences at the channel site. The overall exceedence analysis shows that dissolved copper concentrations exceeded the CTR CCC and CMC criteria in the bay water at most marinas and some channel sites; Cu, Hg, and Zn exceeded the ERMs, and Cu, As, Cd, Cr, Hg, Pb, Zn exceeded both the TELs and ERLs in the bay sediments. Additionally Cu, Hg, and Zn are elevated in West Newport Bay marinas at levels high enough to meet the impaired waterbody listing requirements for marine sediment.

Graphs for dissolved copper and the metals exceeding the ERL in sediment discussed above are presented in graph set 1. An examination of the graphs shows that metal concentrations are significantly higher in the marinas and channel sites in the west end of the Lower Bay.

Table 4 Exceedences of CTR (Dissolved) and SQRT (Sediment) objectives

Sample Site	Newport Dunes Sediment (TEL)/(ERL)/(ERM)	Newport Dunes Dissolved [CCC/CMC]	Newport Dunes (Channel) Sediment (TEL/ERL/ERM)	Newport Dunes (Channel) Dissolved [CCC/CMC]	De Anza Marina Sediment [TEL/ERL/ERM]	De Anza Marina Dissolved [CCC/CMC]	De Anza Marina Channel (IN) Sediment [TEL/ERL/ERM]
Arsenic (As)	7/9;2/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	9/9;6/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	1/3;1/3;0/3
Cadmium (Cd)	9/9;9/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	3/3;1/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	9/9;5/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	3/3;1/3;0/3
Chromium (Cr)	2/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	1/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	1/3;1/3;0/3
Copper (Cu)	9/9;9/9;0/9	5/9;0/9	3/3;3/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	9/9;9/9;0/9	6/9;2/9	3/3;3/3;0/3
Lead (Pb)	0/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	0/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	0/3;0/3;0/3
Mercury (Hg)	0/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	1/9;1/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	0/3;0/3;0/3
Nickel (Ni)		0/9;0/9		0/3;0/3		0/9;0/9	
Silver (Ag)	0/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	0/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	0/3;0/3;0/3
Zinc (Zn)	9/9;7/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	2/3;1/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	9/9;9/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	2/3;2/3;0/3

Sample Site	De Anza Marina (Channel IN) Dissolved [CCC/CMC]	De Anza Marina (Channel OUT) Sediment [TEL/ERL/ERM]	De Anza Marina (Channel OUT) Dissolved [CCC/CMC]	Balboa Yacht Basin Sediment [TEL/ERL/ERM]	Balboa Yacht Basin Dissolved [CCC/CMC]	Balboa Yacht Basin (Channel) Sediment [TEL/ERL/ERM]	Balboa Yacht Basin (Channel) Dissolved [CCC/CMC]	Bahia Corinthian Sediment [TEL/ERL/ERM]	Bahia Corinthian Dissolved [CCC/CMC]
Arsenic (As)	0/3;0/3	0/3;/03;0/3	0/3;0/3	8/9;8/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	3/3;1/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	7/9;7/9;0/9	0/9;0/9
Cadmium (Cd)	0/3;0/3	3/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	9/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	3/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	9/9;8/9;0/9	0/9;0/9
Chromium (Cr)	0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	1/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	1/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	2/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9
Copper (Cu)	2/3;0/3	3/3;1/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	8/9;8/9;0/9	6/9;3/9	3/3;3/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	9/9;9/9;1/9	5/9;0/9
Lead (Pb)	0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	3/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	1/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9
Mercury (Hg)	0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	9/9;9/9;2/9	0/9;0/9	3/3;2/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	2/9;2/9;0/9	0/9;0/9
Nickel (Ni)	0/3;0/3		0/3;0/3		0/9;0/9		0/3;0/3		0/9;0/9
Silver (Ag)	0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	0/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	0/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9
Zinc (Zn)	0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	8/9;8/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	3/3;3/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	9/9;9/9;0/9	0/9;0/9

Sample Site	Bahia Corinthian (Channel) Sediment [TEL/ERL/ERM]	Bahia Corinthian (Channel) Dissolved [CCC/CMC]	Harbor Marina Sediment [TEL/ERL/ERM]	Harbor Marina Dissolved [CCC/CMC]	Harbor Marina (Channel) Sediment [TEL/ERL/ERM]	Harbor Marina (Channel) Dissolved [CCC/CMC]	Lido Village Sediment [TEL/ERL/ERM]	Lido Village Dissolved [CCC/CMC]	Lido Village (Channel) Sediment
Arsenic (As)	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	5/6;5/6;0/6	0/6;0/6	3/3;3/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	9/9;9/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	2/3;2/3;0/3
Cadmium (Cd)	3/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	6/6;5/6;0/6	0/6;0/6	3/3;2/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	9/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	3/3;0/3;0/3
Chromium (Cr)	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	1/6;0/6;0/6	0/6;0/6	1/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	2/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	1/3;0/3;0/3
Copper (Cu)	3/3;3/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	6/6;5/6;2/6	6/6;2/6	3/3;3/3;0/3	3/3;1/3	9/9;9/9;0/9	9/9;6/9	3/3;3/3;0/3
Lead (Pb)	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	4/6;4/6;0/6	0/6;0/6	2/3;2/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	6/9;6/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	1/3;1/3;0/3
Mercury (Hg)	3/3;3/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	3/6;2/6;1/6	0/6;0/6	3/3;3/3;1/3	0/3;0/3	9/9;9/9;3/9	0/9;0/9	3/3;3/3;1/3
Nickel (Ni)		0/3;0/3		0/6;0/6		0/3;0/3		0/9;0/9	
Silver (Ag)	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	0/6;0/6;0/6	0/6;0/6	1/3;1/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	3/9;3/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	1/3;1/3;0/3
Zinc (Zn)	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	5/6;5/6;4/6	0/6;0/6	3/3;3/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	9/9;9/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	2/3;2/3;0/3

Sample Site	Lido Village (Channel) Dissolved [CCC/CMC]	Lido Yacht Anchorage Sediment [TEL/ERL/ERM]	Lido Yacht Anchorage Dissolved [CCC/CMC]	Lido Yacht Anchorage (Channel) Sediment [TEL/ERL/ERM]	Lido Yacht Anchorage (Channel) Dissolved [CCC/CMC]	H & J Moorings Sediment [TEL/ERL/ERM]	H & J Moorings Dissolved [CCC/CMC]	H & J Moorings (Channel) Sediment [TEL/ERL/ERM]	H & J Moorings (Channel) Dissolved [CCC/CMC]
Arsenic (As)	0/3;0/3	9/9;9/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	3/3;3/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	8/9;7/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	3/3;3/3;0/3	0/3;0/3
Cadmium (Cd)	0/3;0/3	9/9;4/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	3/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	9/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	3/3;1/3;0/3	0/3;0/3
Chromium (Cr)	0/3;0/3	3/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	0/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3
Copper (Cu)	3/3;2/3	9/9;9/9;8/9	9/9;6/9	3/3;3/3;0/3	3/3;1/3	9/9;9/9;0/9	6/9;2/9	3/3;3/3;0/3	2/3;0/3
Lead (Pb)	0/3;0/3	6/9;3/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	2/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	5/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	1/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3
Mercury (Hg)	0/3;0/3	9/9;9/9;9/9	0/9;0/9	3/3;3/3;3/3	0/3;0/3	9/9;9/9;4/9	0/9;0/9	3/3;3/3;0/3	0/3;0/3
Nickel (Ni)	0/3;0/3		0/9;0/9		0/3;0/3		0/9;0/9		0/3;0/3
Silver (Ag)	0/3;0/3	2/9;2/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	0/9;0/9;0/9	0/9;0/9	0/3;0/3;0/3	0/3;0/3
Zinc (Zn)	0/3;0/3	9/9;9/9;4/9	0/9;0/9	3/3;3/3;0/3	0/3;0/3	9/9;9/9;1/9	0/9;0/9	3/3;3/3;0/3	0/3;0/3

Graph set 1 Average Dissolved and Sediment Metals Concentrations




Comparison of average Cu concentrations (ug/ dry g) at marina and channel sites
400.00
350.00
300.00
250.00
200.00
150.00
100.00
50.00
0.00
Average Cu concentration (ug/ dry g)
NP Dunes
De Anza inner
De Anza outer
Balboa Yacht
Bahia Corinthian
Harbor Marina
Lido Village
Lido Yacht
H&J Moorings
Marina/Channel sites
Marina
Channel
TEL
ERL
ERM
Ship Yard
Storm Drain


Comparison of average Cd concentrations (ug/ dry g) at marina and channel sites
Average Cd concentration (ug/ dry g)
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
NP Dunes
De Anza inner
De Anza outer
Balboa Yacht
Bahia Corinthian
Harbor Marina
Lido Village
Lido Yacht
H&J Moorings
Marina/Channel sites
Marina
Channel
TEL
ERL
ERM
Ship Yard
Storm Drain


Comparison of average Cr concentrations (ug/ dry g) at marina and channel sites
Average Cr concentration (ug/ dry g)
400
350
300
250
200
150
100
50
0
NP Dunes
De Anza inner
De Anza outer
Balboa Yacht
Bahia Corinthian
Harbor Marina
Lido Village
Lido Yacht
H&J Moorings
Marina/Channel sites
Marina
Channel
TEL
ERL
ERM
Ship Yard
Storm Drain



Comparison of average Pb concentrations (ug/ dry g) at marina and channel sites
Average Pb concentration (ug/ dry g)
250
200
150
100
50
0
NP Dunes
De Anza inner
De Anza outer
Balboa Yacht
Bahia Corinthian
Harbor Marina
Lido Village
Lido Yacht
H&J Moorings
Marina/channel sites
Marina
Channel
TEL
ERL
ERM
Ship Yard
Storm Drain


Comparison of average Hg concentrations (ug/ dry g) at marina and channel sites
Average Hg concentration (ug/ dry g)
2.00
1.80
1.60
1.40
1.20
1.00
0.80
0.60
0.40
0.20
0.00
NP Dunes
De Anza inner
De Anza outer
Balboa Yacht
Bahia Corinthian
Harbor Marina
Lido Village
Lido Yacht
H&J Moorings
Marina/Channel sites
Marina
Channel
TEL
ERL
ERM
Ship Yard
Storm Drain


Comparison of average Zn concentrations (ug/ dry g) at marina and channel sites
700
600
500
400
300
200
100
0
Average Zn concentration (ug/ dry g)
NP Dunes
De Anza inner
De Anza outer
Balboa Yacht
Bahia Corinthian
Harbor Marina
Lido Village
Lido Yacht
H&J Moorings
Marina/Channel Sites
Marina
Channel
TEL
ERL
ERM
Ship Yard
Storm Drain



Comparison of average As concentrations (ug/ dry g) at marina and channel sites
Average As concentration (ug/ dry g)
80.00
70.00
60.00
50.00
40.00
30.00
20.00
10.00
0.00
NP Dunes
De Anza inner
De Anza outer
Balboa Yacht
Bahia Corinthian
Harbor Marina
Lido Village
Lido Yacht
H&J Moorings
Marina/Channel sites
Marina
Channel
TEL
ERL
Ship Yard
Storm Drain
ERM



Comparison of average Ni concentrations (ug/ dry g) at marina and channel sites
Average Ni concentration (ug/ dry g)
30.00
25.00
20.00
15.00
10.00
5.00
0.00
NP Dunes
De Anza inner
De Anza outer
Balboa Yacht
Bahia Corinthian
Harbor Marina
Lido Village
Lido Yacht
H&J Moorings
Marina/Channel sites
Marina
Channel
Ship Yard
Storm Drain


Marina Dissolved Copper (Cu) Averages Per Month
µg/L
12.00
10.00
8.00
6.00
4.00
2.00
0.00
May
Aug
Dec
Newport Dunes Average
De Anza Averages
Balboa Yacht Basin Averages
Bahia Corinthian Averages
Harbor Marina Averages
Lido Village Averages
Lido Yacht Anchorage Averages
H&J Moorings Averages
CCC
CMC

Marina Sediment Copper (Cu) Averages Per Month



Marina Dissolved Zinc (Zn) Averages Per Month





Marina Sediment Zinc (Zn) Averages Per Month
mg/L
700
600
500
400
300
200
100
0
1
2
3
Newport Dunes Average
De Anza Averages
Balboa Yacht Basin Averages
Bahia Corinthian Averages
Harbor Marina Averages
Lido Village Averages
Lido Yacht Anchorage Averages
H&J Moorings Averages
Salt Tel
Salt ERL
Salt ERM

Statistical Analysis Discussion

A statistical analysis was conducted to determine if the observed differences in the data sets from various project sites were truly significant. The analysis focused on differences in metals concentrations in four scenarios; marina sites vs. their adjacent channel site, differences between project marina sites (Marina Vs. Marina), marinas with stormdrains or shipyards vs. marinas without, and wet weather vs. dry weather data. For each of the scenarios the results of the statistical analysis is discussed seperatly for dissolved metals and sediment metals, with the dissolved metals discussed first.

Marinas vs. Adjacent Channel Sites

The dissolved and sediment metals (listed in table 2 and 3) in marina samples were compared to those in adjacent channel samples.

The analysis found that for **dissolved** metals there were no significant differences in metal concentrations between the marina and channels sites except at De Anza Marina, where the outer channel site (separated by an island from the marina) showed a significant difference in copper concentrations from the marina. Since copper was the only dissolved metal to exceed the CTR criteria, the lack of a significant difference between the marina and channel sites suggests that copper from the boats in the marinas is not being quickly diluted as it leaves the marinas. The graph below illustrates the output from the statistical program used for DeAnza marina vs outer channel.



The same analysis for metal concentrations in **sediment** samples from the marinas and adjacent channel sites shows a different pattern. Five marinas had significant differences in metal concentrations between the marina and channel sites, however, the metals with significant differences differed depending on the marina examined. A significant difference occurred in sediment metal concentrations between the marina and channel sites at Newport Dunes for Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, and Zn; at Bahia for all metals tested except Ag; at Lido Village for Cu and Zn; at Lido Yacht Anchorage for As, Cd, Cu, Pb, Zn; and at DeAnza In for Pb and DeAnza Out for all metals tested. De Anza was designed with two channel sites (De Anza (In) and De Anza (Out)) on either side of a small island that separates the marina from the main channel. This gave us an opportunity to see if a physical barrier would make a difference in the channel data. For the DeAnza (In) site Pb was the only metal that was significantly different in the marina and channel sites. At the De Anza (Out) site there was a significant difference from the marina in all of the metals analyzed. This suggests that the physical barrier may be restricting the movement of contaminated sediment from the marina or that Cu and Zn from boats is settling in marina sediments. All of the significant differences in marinas vs. channels are summarized in table 3. There was no significant difference in sediment metal concentrations between marina and channel sites at Balboa Yacht Basin, Harbor marina, and H and J moorings. This was likely due to high metal concentrations in both marina and channel sites; for example, the Cu ERL was exceeded in marina and channel sites at BYB (9/9 marina, 3/3 channel, 3/3 shipyard), at Harbor (5/6 marina, 3/3 ch, 3/3 stormdrain) and H & J (9/9 marina, 3/3 ch). Other ERLs were also exceeded at both marinas and channels at these sites including As, Cu, Hg, Zn at BYB, Harbor and H&J, and Cd and Pb at Harbor. (ERMs were exceeded for both marinas and channels for Hg at Harbor, Lido Village, and Lido Yacht Anchorage. (ERMs were exceeded for 'marinas only' for Cu at Harbor, Lido Yacht Anchorage and Bahia; for Zn at Harbor, Lido Yacht Anchorage and H&J moorings; and for Hg at H&J moorings and Balboa Yacht Basin.) Table 4 summarizes the significant differences found for dissolved and sediment metals between marinas and their adjacent channel site.

Marina vs. Marina

The dissolved and sediment metals (listed in table 2 and 3) in samples within each marina were compared to all the other marinas individually and there are very clear patterns. (Channel data comparison was not analyzed.) The findings for dissolved and sediment metals are discussed seperatly.

The analysis for **dissolved** metals shows that Copper and Zinc concentrations in Harbor, Lido Village and Lido Yacht Anchorage Marinas are significantly higher than the other marinas, although Zn concentrations are below the CTR water quality criteria. Cadmimum is significantly higher at Bahia Corinthian Marina than at all the other marinas, Nickel concentrations were significantly higher at Newport Dunes and and significantly lower at Balboa Yacht Basin than at all the other marinas. Selenium is significantly higher at Newport Dunes and De Anza than at all the other marinas For the other dissolved metals there are no significant differences in the data between marinas.

The analysis for **sediment** metals shows that metals concentrations for copper increase in a stepwise fashon from Newport Dunes to Harbor marina and level off at Lido Village before increasing significantly at Lido Yacht Anchorage Marina then decreasing at the H&J mootings (see Statistical Graph on pg. 34). Sediment metals are significantly higher for Cd in Bahia Corinthian and Harbor marina, Cr in Lido Village and Lido Yacht Anchorage, Pb and Cu in Harbor, Lido Village, and Lido Yacht Anchorage marinas with Harbor Marina significantly higher than both of the others for Pb. For Hg, the Balboa Yacht Basin, Lido Village, and Lido Yacht Anchorage marinas and the H&J moorings show significantly higher levels than the other marinas with the concentrations at Lido Yacht Anchorage by far the highest. For Se, and Ag the Lido Village and Lido

Yacht Anchorage are significantly higher , Sn (not shown in tables) and Zn are higher at Harbor, Lido Village , and Lido Yacht Anchorage.

Table 5 summarizes the significant differences found for dissolved and sediment metals for the project marinas and the graph below provides an example of the output from the statistical program for the Marina vs. Marina analysis for copper.



Stormdrains and shipyards

To determine if stormdrains or shipyards are significant factors in the concentration of metals in marinas or the adjacent channel sites we included two marinas with large stormdrains, Harbor and Bahia Corinthian on opposite ends of the harbor, and one marina with a shipyard next door, Balboa Yacht Basin. With over two hundred stormdrains located throughout the bay, all of the marinas are affected by urban runoff. However, a few large stormdrains account for the majority of the stormdrain flow into the bay, and by including two in the project design the significance of the stormdrain contribution of metals in their respective marinas can be measured. Shipyards were also identified as potentially significant sources of metals (Shelter Island TMDL 2002), there are only six shipyards left in Newport bay with four of those located in the Rhine Channel area (not included in this study). The larger of the two shipyards located in the main body of the bay was included in the study to measure the impact it may have on the marina metal concentrations.

An examination of the marina vs. marina data described above, taking into account the location of the stormdrain and shipyard sites, shows the presence of a large stormdrain or shipyard in the marina to be insignificant with respect to dissolved and sediment metal concentrations compared to marinas without stormdrain or shipyard influence. Both the Balboa Yacht Basin marina, where the shipyard is located, and the Bahia Corinthian Marina, that has one of the major stormdrains, do not show a significant difference in most metal concentrations in either water or sediment from the majority of marinas. Harbor marina, the other marina with a major stormdrain, does show significantly higher concentrations of metals in both water and sediment compared to other marinas; this may be related to both the presence of the stormdrain and the geographic location of the marina in the west end of harbor (an area where circulation is poor). All of the marinas in the west end of the bay had elevated metal concentrations in marina and channel sediments (Harbor, Lido Village, Lido Yacht Anchorage and H&J Moorings). Lido Village and Lido Yacht Anchorage which do not have either of these structures in them also have elevated metal concentrations with respect to other marinas, however, they are both near the stormdrain in Harbor marina and could be affected by flows from this stormdrain.

Table 4 Significant Differences Between Marina And Channel Sites

S= Marina sites metals concentration significantly were higher than channel sites metals concentration

N= no significant difference.

Sample Site	Newport Dunes Sediment	Newport Dunes Dissolved	De Anza IN Sediment	De Anza IN Dissolved	De Anza Out Sediment	De Anza Out Dissolved	Balboa Yacht Basin Sediment	Balboa Yacht Basin Dissolved	Bahia Corinthian Sediment	Bahia Corinthian Dissolved	Harbor Marina Sediment
Arsenic (As)	N	N	N	N	S	N	N	N	S	N	N
Cadmium (Cd)	S	N	N	N	S	N	N	N	S	N	N
Chromium (Cr)	S	N	N	N	S	N	N	N	S	N	N
Copper (Cu)	S	N	N	N	S	S	N	N	S	N	N
Lead (Pb)	S	N	S	N	S	N	N	N	S	N	N
Mercury (Hg)	N		N		S		N		S		N
Nickel (Ni)		N		N		N		N		N	
Silver (Ag)	N		N		S		N		N		N
Zinc (Zn)	S	N	N	N	S	N	N	N	S	N	N

Sample Site	Harbor Marina Dissolved	Lido Village Sediment	Lido Village Dissolved	Lido Yacht Anchorage Sediment	Lido Yacht Anchorage Dissolved	H & J Moorings Sediment	H & J Moorings Dissolved
Arsenic (As)	N	N	N	S	N	N	N
Cadmium (Cd)	N	N	N	S	N	N	N
Chromium (Cr)	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Copper (Cu)	N	S	N	S	N	N	N
Lead (Pb)	N	N	N	S	N	N	N
Mercury (Hg)		N		N		N	
Nickel (Ni)	N		N		N		N
Silver (Ag)		N		N		N	
Zinc (Zn)	N	S	N	S	N	N	N

Table 5 Significant Differences- Marina vs. Marina

The numbers 1-8 represent the marinas being compared to the named marina in the row above. The number for each marina is in parenthesis next to each marina name. S= Sites in named marina have a significantly higher metals concentration than the sites in the numbered marina it is compared to. Italic S= Sites in named marina have a significantly lower metals concentration than the sites in the numbered marina it is compared to. N= no significant difference in metals concentrations**.** Dissolved Hg, Pb, and Ag were not statistically analyzed due to numerous non detects.

Dissolved Metals

Dissolved

Sample Site	Newport Dunes (1)								De Anza (2)								Balboa Yacht Baasin (3)								Bahia Corinthian (4)							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Arsenic (As)		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N
Cadmium (Cd)		N	N	S	N	N	N	N	N		N	S	N	N	N	N	N	N		S	N	N	N	N	*S*	*S*	*S*		*S*	*S*	*S*	*S*
Chromium (Cr)		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N
Copper (Cu)		N	N	N	S	S	S	N	N		N	N	S	S	S	N	N	N		N	S	S	S	N	N	N	N		S	S	S	N
Nickel (Ni)		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S		S	N	N	N	N	N	S	S		S	N	N	N	N	S	N	S		N	N	N	N
Selenium (Se)		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S		N	N	N	N	N	S	S	N		N	N	N	N
Zinc (Zn)		N	S	N	S	S	S	N	N		N	N	S	S	S	N	S	N		N	S	S	S	N	N	N	N		S	S	S	N

Sample Site	Harbor (5)								Lido Village (6)								Lido Yacht Anchorage (7)								H&J Moorings (8)							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Arsenic (As)	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
Cadmium (Cd)	N	N	N	S		N	N	N	N	N	N	S	N		N	N	N	N	N	S	N	N		N	N	N	N	S	N	N	N	
Chromium (Cr)	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
Copper (Cu)	S	S	S	S		N	N	S	S	S	S	S	N		N	S	S	S	S	S	N	N		S	N	N	N	N	S	S	S	
Nickel (Ni)	S	N	N	N		N	N	N	S	N	N	N	N		N	N	S	N	N	N	N	N		N	S	N	N	N	N	N	N	
Selenium (Se)	S	S	N	N		N	N	N	S	S	N	N	N		N	N	S	S	N	N	N	N		N	S	S	N	N	N	N	N	
Zinc (Zn)	S	S	S	S		N	N	S	S	S	S	S	N		N	S	S	S	S	S	N	N		S	N	N	N	N	S	S	S	

Sediment Metals

Sediment

Sample Site	Newport Dunes (1)								De Anza (2)								Balboa Yacht Basin (3)								Bahia Corinthian (4)							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Arsenic (As)																																
Cadmium (Cd)		N	S	S	S	N	N	S	N		N	S	S	N	N	N	S	N		S	S	N	N	N	S	S	S		N	S	S	S
Chromium (Cr)		N	N	N	N	S	S	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	S	S	N
Copper (Cu)		N	S	S	S	S	S	S	N		N	S	S	S	S	N	S	N		N	S	S	S	N	S	S	N		N	N	S	N
Lead (Pb)		N	N	N	S	S	S	S	N		N	N	S	S	S	N	N	N		N	S	S	S	N	N	N	N		S	S	S	N
Mercury (Hg)		N	S	N	N	S	S	S	N		S	N	N	S	S	S	S	S		S	N	N	S	N	N	N	S		N	S	S	S
Nickel (Ni)		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	S	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	S	S	N
Silver (Ag)		N	N	N	N	S	S	N	N		N	N	N	S	S	N	N	N		N	N	S	S	N	N	N	N		N	S	S	N
Zinc (Zn)		N	N	N	S	S	S	S	N		N	N	S	S	S	N	N	N		N	S	S	S	N	N	N	N		S	N	S	N

Sample Site	Harbor (5)								Lido Village (6)								Lido Yacht Anchorage (7)								H&J Moorings (8)							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Arsenic (As)																																
Cadmium (Cd)	S	S	S	N		S	S	S	N	N	N	S	S		N	N	N	N	N	S	S	N		N	N	N	N	S	S	N	N	
Chromium (Cr)	N	N	N	N		S	S	N	S	N	N	S	S		N	S	S	N	N	S	S	N		S	N	N	N	N	N	S	S	
Copper (Cu)	S	S	S	N		N	S	S	S	S	S	N	N		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S		S	S	N	N	N	S	S	S	
Lead (Pb)	S	S	S	S		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S		S	S	N	N	N	S	S	S	
Mercury (Hg)	N	N	N	N		N	S	S	S	S	N	S	N		S	N	S	S	S	S	S	S		S	S	S	N	S	S	N	S	
Nickel (Ni)	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	S	N		N	S	N	N	N	N	N	N		S	N	S	N	N	N	S	S	
Silver (Ag)	N	N	N	N		S	N	N	S	S	S	S	S		N	S	S	S	S	S	N	N		S	N	N	N	N	N	S	S	
Zinc (Zn)	S	S	S	S		S	N	S	S	S	S	N	S		S	N	S	S	S	S	N	S		S	S	N	N	N	S	N	S	

Wet vs. Dry Weather

Differences in metals concentrations during wet and dry weather at sites in marinas was another factor analyzed. The samples collected in May and December were considered wet weather samples and the August samples represented dry weather. As in all the previous statistical analysis the metals in table 2 and 3 were analyzed. The samples collected within each marina were compared to the samples in each of the other marinas. Channel data was not analyzed for wet vs dry comparison. The statistical analysis of the data shows that there are significant differences in wet vs. dry weather metal concentrations in all marinas during wet and dry weather although all dissolved metal concentrations, except Cu, were below the CTR water quality criteria (CMC and CCC).

For **dissolved** metals, all metals except Cu were below the water quality criteria, however, there were significant differences between wet vs dry data and metal concentrations were significantly higher in the wet weather. The most significant difference is for Chromium. Dissolved Chromium levels are significantly higher in all marinas during wet weather. Dissolved Zn levels are higher during wet weather in Newport Dunes, De Anza, Balboa Yacht Basin and Bahia Corinthian Marinas. Dissolved Nickel levels are higher in wet weather in De Anza and Balboa Yacht Basin Marinas, dissolved Arsenic levels are higher in wet weather in Balboa Yacht Basin Marina**,** and dissolved Pb levels are higher in wet weather in Harbor Marina. Other than Chromium, the higher wet weather dissolved metals levels are restricted to Newport Dunes, De Anza, Balboa Yacht Basin, Bahia Corinthian and Harbor Marinas. This is the opposite of the pattern that was found for the combined wet and dry dissolved metals data where the higher levels of metals were found in the West Newport Bay marinas.

The **sediment** data also shows significant differences in wet vs. dry weather with dry weather having the higher concentrations of metals for most marinas. Lido

Village had significant differences in Cr, Cu, Hg, and Pb with the dry weather concentrations being higher. Lido Yacht Anchorage had significant differences in Cr and Sn with dry weather readings higher. De Anza marina had higher dry weather levels of Cr and Cu, and Balboa Yacht Basin had significantly higher Pb and Hg in dry weather. Newport Dunes had significant differences in Ag, As, and Cr with wet weather being higher. Harbor and Bahia Corinthian marinas along with the H and J Moorings showed no significant differences in wet and dry sediment metal concentrations. This data also reinforces the lack of significance of stormdrains, since the marinas with stormdrains do not show consistent differences from the other marinas during wet weather. The differences for both dissolved and sediment metals are summarized in table 6.

Table 6 Significant differences in wet vs. dry weather

S= Sites in named marina have a significantly higher metals concentration during dry weather. Italic S= Sites in named marina have a significantly higher metals concentration during wet weather. N= no significant difference in metals concentrations**.**

Sample Site	Newport Dunes Sediment	Newport Dunes Dissolved	De Anza Marina Sediment	De Anza Marina Dissolved	Balboa Yacht Basin Sediment	Balboa Yacht Basin Dissolved	Bahia Corinthian Sediment	Bahia Corinthian Dissolved	Harbor Marina Sediment	Harbor Marina Dissolved	Lido Village Sediment
Arsenic (As)	*S*	N	N	N	N	*S*	N	N	N	N	N
Cadmium (Cd)	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Chromium (Cr)	*S*	*S*	S	*S*	N	*S*	N	*S*	N	*S*	S
Copper (Cu)	N	N	S	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	S
Lead (Pb)	N	N	N	N	S	N	N	N	N	*S*	S
Mercury (Hg)	N		N		S		N		N		S
Nickel (Ni)		N		*S*		*S*				N	
Silver (Ag)	*S*		N		N		N		N		N
Zinc (Zn)	N	*S*	N	*S*	N	*S*	N	*S*	N	N	N

Sample Site	Lido Village Dissolved	Lido Yacht Anchorage Sediment	Lido Yacht Anchorage Dissolved	H & J Moorings Sediment	H & J Moorings Dissolved
Arsenic (As)	N	N	N	N	N
Cadmium (Cd)	N	N	N	N	N
Chromium (Cr)	*S*	S	*S*	N	*S*
Copper (Cu)	N	N	N	N	N
Lead (Pb)	N	N	N	N	N
Mercury (Hg)		N		N	
Nickel (Ni)	N		N		N
Silver (Ag)		N		N	
Zinc (Zn)	N	N	N	N	N

Toxicity Testing

The Toxicity testing was conducted by Steve Bay and Darrin Greenstein of SCCWRP with funding provided by the California Department of Pesticide Regulation. During the August sampling session, additional water and sediment samples were collected from one site in each marina and from two channel sites, Lido Village and Lido Yacht Anchorage, and were sent to SCCWRP for toxicity testing. In November, additional sediment samples were collected for toxicity testing and one TIE test based on the results from the August testing. A detailed description of the testing methods and results are provided in appendix B in the toxicity testing report prepared by SCCWRP.

To summarize the results, the first round of toxicity testing found significant sediment toxicity (amphipod test) at eight out ot ten sites -six of the eight marinas (all except for Balboa Yacht Basin and Lido Yacht Anchorage) and both the channel sites tested (Lido Village and Lido Yacht Anchorage). No toxicity was found in water toxicity tests (mussel embryos) at any of the ten sites tested, or insediment-water interface tests (mussel embryos); however, reduced percent normal alive embryos were found at three out of ten sites (Harbor marina, H&J moorings and the Lido Yacht Anchorage Channel site).. During the second round of testin, no significant toxicity was found in the pore water extracted from the sediment (mussel embryo test), however, reduced percent normal alive embryos were found at two of the ten sites tested (Newport Dunes and Lido Yacht Anchorage). Sediment toxicity (amphipod test) was found at all six sites tested (four sites at Newport Dunes and two sites at De Anza marina). Additionally, the pore water was analyzed for metals. Copper was the only metal found to be in exceedence of CTR values in the pore water. It exceeded the chronic CTR standard at two sites, one each at Lido Yacht Anchorage and the H&J moorings. The acute CTR standard was exceeded only at the H&J moorings site.

A TIE test run on the Newport dunes site (selected due to its high level of toxicity in previous testing) found that a combination of metals and pesticides are most likely responsible for the toxicity.

Conclusions

The data shows that dissolved copper is the only metal with concentrations elevated above CTR standards (CMC and CCC) in the bay water, and that As Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Pb, and Zn exceeded the ERL in many marinas and Cu, Hg, and Zn concentrations are elevated above the ERM in the bay sediments in several marinas, mostly in western Newport Bay (Harbor, Lido Village, Lido Yacht Anchorage, H&J moorings and BYB).

The statistical analysis shows that there is no significant difference in dissolved copper levels in the marinas and their adjacent channel sites. This may be due to the seasonal variability of the data over all marinas and channels as the metal concentrations for most sites varied seasonally. This leads to the conclusion that dissolved copper from boat bottom paint from the boats in the marinas is not being quickly diluted as it leaves the marinas. The differences in marina vs. channel sites for copper suggest that Cu may be settling in marina sediments.

The analysis of marina vs. the adjacent cannel for sediments shows significantly higher sediment metal concentrations at the marina sites compared to the channel site at Newport Dunes for Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, and Zn; at Bahia Corinthian Marina for all metals tested except Ag; at Lido Village Marina for Cu and Zn; at Lido Yacht Anchorage marina for As, Cd, Cu, Pb, Zn; and at DeAnza marina at the (In)channel site for Pb and the (Out) channel site for all metals tested. De Anza was designed with two channel sites (De Anza (In) and De Anza (Out)) on either side of a small island that separates the marina from the main channel. The differences found between these two sites suggests that the physical barrier may be restricting the movement of contaminated sediment from the marina or that Cu and Zn from boats is settling in marina sediments.

Statistical analysis of the marinas against each other shows that dissolved Cu and Zn are higher in the west bay than the rest of the bay. Sediment data shows that there are also significantly higher levels of sediment metals in the marinas of west Newport Bay compared to other marinas. The higher metal levels in the sediments of these marinas may be partially related to the presence of a large stormdrain in Harbor Marina; however, the large stormdrain in Bahia Corinthian does not appear to increase the sediment metal concentrations in that marina. Poor water circulation in the west Newport area is a likely reason for the elevated metals levels for dissolved copper and sediment metals found there.

In wet weather, the Newport Dunes and DeAnza marinas showed higher levels of dissolved metals than the other marinas in the bay, which is the reverse of the trend during dry or combined wet and dry weather where significantly higher dissolved metals were found in the west bay . This could be due to the strong influence that runoff from San Diego Creek has on the area during wet weather. There were no other significant differences between wet and dry weather results.

Significant sediment toxicity (amphipod test) was found in 80% of the sites tested -(6/8) marina stations and all (2/2) channel stations, and the stations with highest toxicity were at Newport Dunes and De Anza Marina. In November, significant sediment toxicity (amphipod test) was also found at all 6 stations tested (4-Newport Dunes, 2-DeAnza Marina). No water, sediment/water interface or porewater toxicity was found for 10 stations tested (mussel embryo test), however, 3/10 SWI tests and 2/10 pore water tests showed reduced percent normal alive embryos. A TIE was run on the Newport Dunes site to attempt to identify the source of the toxicity. The results of the TIE test determined that the most likely source of the toxicity found at the Newport Dunes Marina is a combination of metals and pesticides.

Appendix A
Sample Site Maps

Newport Marinas Copper Study
Marinas and Sites



Newport Dunes Marina



De Anza Marina

Balboa Yacht Basin

Bahia Corinthian

Harbor Marina



Lido Village



Lido Yacht Anchorage



H and J Moorings



Appendix B

Final Data Report for Newport Bay DPR Antifouling Paint Monitoring Study--January 24, 2007

This report presents the complete data set for samples collected from Newport Bay during the DPR antifouling paint monitoring study. This document contains data from sediment pore water, whole sediment exposures and a whole sediment toxicity identification evaluation (TIE) that were not previously reported, as well as water and sediment toxicity data included in a previous report.

Sampling and testing for this project was conducted in two phases. During the first phase, water and sediment samples were collected from 10 stations in marina areas of Newport Bay (previously reported). Water samples from the first phase were tested with the mussel embryo development assay. Whole sediment from the first phase was tested using a sediment-water interface exposure with mussel embryos and a whole sediment test using amphipods. Based on the results of the first phase, a second round of more targeted sampling of sediment only was conducted. Pore water was tested using the mussel embryo assay on 10 stations. Whole sediment tests using amphipods were conducted on four stations. One station was targeted for a whole sediment TIE.

Toxicity Methodology

Water column

The mussel embryo development test (USEPA 1995) was used to evaluate toxicity on water column, sediment-water interface and pore water samples. This test measures toxic effects on mussel embryos, as a reduction in their ability to normally develop from fertilized eggs. The mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) used in the tests were obtained from Carlsbad Aquafarms. The test consisted of a 48 h exposure of fertilized eggs to marina water samples. The tests were conducted in glass shell vials containing 10 mL of solution at a temperature of 15°C. Four replicates were tested for each sample. A seawater blank was included as negative control. A copper reference toxicant test was conducted as a positive control.

After 48 h, the embryos were preserved and examined later with a microscope to assess the percentage of normal development. Toxic effects are expressed as a reduction in normal development percentage. The data are presented as percentage normal-alive which was calculated by dividing the number of normal embryos counted by the number of fertilized eggs added at the beginning of the exposure.

Sediment-Water Interface (SWI)

Whole sediment from the 10 stations was loaded into five replicate polycarbonate core tubes, with bottom caps in place, to a depth of 5 cm. The loaded tubes were placed in 1 L beakers of seawater to prevent leakage from within the tubes. Laboratory seawater at approximately 33 g/kg was added over the sediment to a depth of about 7 cm and gentle aeration added. The water and sediment were equilibrated overnight at 15°C. The next day, polycarbonate screen tubes (22 µm mesh) were added on top of the sediment. Fertilized mussel eggs were then added to the screen tubes and given 48 h to develop. After 48 h, the screen tubes were removed from the cores and the embryos were washed into glass shell vials and preserved. Microscopic examination and data expression were the same as above.

Whole Sediment

For phase one, the whole sediment exposure with amphipods was conducted using a modified procedure due to limited sediment sample size. The exposure was conducted on the same sediment as the SWI testing. Two days after the SWI test was concluded, the overlying water was siphoned from the core tubes and replaced with 20 g/kg seawater and gentle aeration added. After the water had equilibrated overnight, 10 adult amphipods (*Eohaustorius estuarius*) were added to each of the core tubes. Northwestern Aquatic Sciences (Yaquina Bay, OR) supplied the amphipods. The amphipods were exposed for 10 days at 15°C. At the end of the exposure, the sediment was passed through a 0.5 mm screen to remove the amphipods. The number of surviving amphipods was evaluated and the data expressed as percentage survival. A negative control consisting of amphipod collection site sediment (home sediment) was loaded into a core tube and treated as the other stations. A 10 day, water only, reference toxicant exposure with ammonia was conducted as a positive control.

For phase two, whole sediment exposures with amphipods followed the EPA guidelines of 1 L glass jars containing 2 cm of sediment and 800 ml of 20 g/kg seawater (USEPA 1994). Twenty amphipods were added to each jar and the exposure period was 10 days. All other aspects of the testing were conducted as described above.

Whole Sediment Toxicity Identification Evaluation

A reduced volume and duration initial amphipod survival test was performed on two stations to determine if toxicity was present at a high enough level to justify conducting a TIE. This test was performed in 250 ml beakers with 40 ml of sediment and approximately 150 ml of overlying water. Ten amphipods were added to each beaker and the exposure was conducted for 7 days.

A whole sediment toxicity identification evaluation (TIE) was conducted on station 6013 from the Newport Dunes Marina. This station was found to be very toxic to amphipods for the initial sample collected (Table 4) and again when the station was resampled (Table 7). Baseline toxicity tests were performed on untreated aliquots of sediment and sediment that had been diluted 50% by weight with clean sediment from the amphipod collection site. Whole sediments and, in some cases, 50% dilutions were treated with three procedures to reduce or eliminate toxicity in different toxicant classes. Each treatment was performed on a separate aliquot of homogenized sediment. Cation exchange resin was added to the sediment (20% resin by weight) to remove cationic metals. Coconut charcoal was added to the sediment (15% by weight) to sequester organic chemicals. Piperonyl butoxide (PBO) was added to the overlying water to a final concentration of 500 ug/L. This chemical acts on the amphipods to prevent the metabolism of organophosphorus pesticides, thus removing the associated toxicity. There is evidence that the addition of PBO can increase the toxicity associated with pyrethroid pesticides. These TIE exposures were conducted in the same manner as the initial test with regards to volume and number of animals added, but the duration was 10 days.

Pore Water

Pore water samples were extracted from whole sediment by centrifuging aliquots of homogenized sediment at 3000 X g for 30 minutes. The supernatant pore water was removed from the centrifuge bottle using a glass pipette. The pore water samples were tested using the mussel embryo development test as described above. In addition to the testing of pore water, a "mini-TIE" was performed by adding EDTA to an aliquot of pore water from each station. EDTA is a chelator of metals and was added to the sample to remove toxicity that might be associated with the presence of cationic metals. The concentration of EDTA used in each sample was 5 mg/L.

Data Analysis

Toxicity data within each experimental batch was compared to the control using a T-test, assuming unequal variance. Samples having $p \leq 0.05$ were considered to be significantly different from control. Samples that were significantly different were then compared to thresholds that have been established in our laboratory, based on historical data. For *Eohaustorius* tests, samples had to have control-adjusted survival of less than 82% to be considered toxic. For the mussel tests, samples had to have a control-adjusted %normal-alive of less than 77% to be toxic.

Chemistry Methodology

AVS/SEM

Acid volatile sulfides (AVS) and simultaneously extracted metals (SEM) were measured on sediment samples collected during phase one. Analyses were performed at CRG Marine Laboratories. Extraction and measurement of AVS was performed using the methods of Plumb (1981). Quantification of the SEM was achieved using EPA 6020M.

Pore Water Metals

For the second phase samples, an aliquot of pore water was analyzed for dissolved metals. Samples were filtered at CRG Marine laboratories within 24 hr of pore water collection. Quantification of the metals was performed using EPA method 1640M.

Quality Assurance

Completeness

All of the 10 water and sediment samples collected in the first phase were successfully tested using the mussel embryo test for the water and SWI, and amphipod whole sediment methods. All samples collected in the second phase were also successfully tested using the methods that had been designated for the particular stations.

Test Acceptability Criteria

Test acceptability criteria were met for both batches of mussel embryo tests on marina water in phase one. Acceptable control survival was also achieved in the amphipod test. For the SWI test, the control percent normal-alive value of 72 was below the acceptability criteria of 80. This seems to be due to a systematic loss of embryos during the recovery process from the screen tubes, as the percentage of normally developed embryos were within the expected range. Therefore, comparison of samples on a percent of control basis should be acceptable. Two of the reference toxicant tests associated with the mussel tests also experienced lower than acceptable control results.

The control acceptability criteria were met for the phase two pore water embryo test. The control survival criteria were also met for both the untreated whole sediment test (Table 6) and the TIE screening test conducted in phase two (Table 7). The control survival for the amphipod TIE (Table 8) was slightly below the EPA criteria of 90%, established for whole sediment tests. However, that criterion is for tests in 1 L jars with 20 animals added. There are no established criteria for the reduced volume and animal number used in the TIE testing procedure. A mean value of 88% should be sufficient to make comparisons between treatments.

Reference Toxicant Data

The effective concentration (EC50) value for the reference toxicant exposure with mussels that passed test acceptability criteria was within normal control chart parameters (within two standard deviations of the mean). The EC50 for the two mussel embryo reference toxicant tests that did not pass acceptability criteria were also within normal control chart parameters. This indicates that the embryos were not more or less sensitive than expected. The reference toxicant exposure with the amphipod was also within control chart parameters.

Water Quality Analysis

All samples tested were within normal ranges for the measured water quality parameters (pH, salinity, dissolved oxygen and ammonia) during the course of the exposures. Ammonia values for the SWI test were slightly elevated, but were an order of magnitude below the EC50 value for mussel embryos.

Results

For the phase one samples, none of the stations were found to be toxic with either the water or sediment-water interface tests using mussel embryos (Tables 1-3). Three samples from the SWI test had significantly reduced %normal-alive embryos, but the differences did not exceed the 77% of control threshold for toxicity established for this test method. Therefore, no TIEs were performed on these samples. For the whole sediment testing, eight of the ten stations were found to be toxic to the amphipods (Table 4).

For the phase two samples, none of the ten stations where pore water was tested with the mussel embryos was found to be toxic (Table 5), therefore the results of the EDTA addition are mute. Two of the stations (6013 and 6073) had reductions in %normal-alive that were significantly different from the control, but neither were below the toxicity threshold of 77%. All of the four stations tested for whole sediment toxicity using amphipod survival were found to be toxic (Table 6).

The results of the TIE found that the dilution of the sediment by 50% reduced toxicity by approximately half. A small reduction in toxicity occurred after treatment with the cation exchange resin in the 50% sample (Table 8). Since no reduction was observed in the 100% sample, the indication is that metals may be causing some of the toxicity and the amount of metals present in the 100% sample exceeded the resin's capacity to remove enough metals to reduce toxicity. The reduction in toxicity observed with the addition of coconut carbon indicates that organic chemicals are also playing a role in toxicity. The poor blank survival in the coconut carbon addition is an unexpected result that has not been previously experienced in our laboratory. The fact that this treatment greatly reduced toxicity in the field sediment makes the poor blank survival less of a concern. The increase in toxicity observed for the PBO addition may indicate a potentiation of toxicity from pyrethroid chemicals. While no chemistry measurements for pyrethroids were made as part of this study, other researchers have found significant concentrations of pyrethroids in the Newport Bay watershed (Budd *et al.* 2005).

The theory behind AVS/SEM analyses is that if the molar concentration of sulfide exceeds that of the SEM, then the metals are expected to be bound up as insoluble sulfide compounds that are not bioavailable. For all but three stations, the AVS was at a higher concentration than the SEM (Table 9). For the three stations (6063, 6064 and 6074) where the metals exceeded the sulfides, zinc was the most prevalent metal causing the exceedance. There does not appear to be any relationship between this result and toxicity, as these stations were only toxic to the amphipods, but to no greater extent than the remaining stations that were also found to be toxic (Table 4).

The pore water chemistry values did not show any stations to be very elevated for any constituent (Table 10). The two stations having the highest copper concentrations (6073 and 6082) had levels that are below the EC50 for mussel embryos (8.3 µg/L) as determined by our laboratory. This is consistent with the fact that no toxicity was observed in any of the mussel embryo samples. The laboratory seawater blank that was analyzed had a higher concentration of some of the constituents than did any of the samples. At this time we have not determined the cause of the high readings in the blank sample.

References Cited

Budd, R., S. Bondarenko and J. Gan. 2005. Survey for Synthetic Pyrethroids within the San Diego Creek/ Newport Bay Watershed. Southern California SETAC Annual Meeting. Los Angeles, CA.

Plumb, R.A.H. 1981. Procedures for handling and chemical analysis of sediment and water samples. Technical Report EPA/CE-81-1. US Army Corps of Engineers. Vicksburg, VA.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency USEPA. 1994. Methods for assessing the toxicity of sediment-associated contaminants with estuarine and marine amphipods. EPA/600/R-94/025. Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Narragansett, RI.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency USEPA. 1995. Short-term methods for estimating the chronic toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to west coast marine and estuarine organisms. Office of Research and Development. Cincinnati, OH.

Table 1. Marina water samples tested 8/23/06 in batch MG26 using mussel embryo development test. Asterisk indicates a significant difference from control $p \leq 0.05$.

		%Normal-Alive			
Sample	Mean	%of Control	Standard Deviation	Number Counted	Sig. Diff.
Seawater	84	100	4.7	4	
NB 6013W	82	97	8.1	4	
NB 6022W	79	94	8.7	4	
NB 6033W	84	100	4.6	4	
NB 6041W	80	95	9.9	4	

Table 2. Marina water samples tested 8/24/06 in batch MG29 using mussel embryo development test. Asterisk indicates a significant difference from control $p \leq 0.05$.

		%Normal-Alive			
Sample	Mean	%of Control	Standard Deviation	Number Counted	Sig. Diff.
Seawater	81	100	4.8	4	
NB 6051	79	98	7.0	4	
NB 6063	85	105	6.6	4	
NB 6064	84	104	8.7	4	
NB 6072	84	103	5.8	4	
NB 6074	84	104	2.1	4	
NB 6082	90	111	1.6	4	

Table 3. Marina sediment samples tested 9/07/06 in batch MG35 using Sediment Water Interface test with mussel embryo development. Asterisk indicates a significant difference from control $p \leq 0.05$.

		%Normal-Alive			
Sample	Mean	%of Control	Standard Deviation	Number Counted	Sig. Diff.
Seawater	72	100	7.5	5	
NB 6051	62	86	5.3	4	*
NB 6063	61	85	12.5	5	
NB 6074	61	84	7.5	4	*
NB 6082	58	80	12.5	5	*
NB 6072	67	93	14.0	5	
NB 6064	62	86	16.5	5	
NB 6013	66	92	7.5	5	
NB 6022	68	94	8.0	5	
NB 6033	71	99	7.7	5	
NB 6041	72	100	5.9	5	

Table 4. Marina whole sediment samples tested 9/12/06 in batch EE76 using the amphipod *Eohaustorius estuarius* 10-day survival test. Asterisk indicates a significant difference from control $p \leq 0.05$.

Sample	%Survival Mean	%of Control	Standard Deviation	Number Counted	Sig. Diff.
Home Sediment	90	100	7.1	5	
NB 6051	44	49	5.5	5	*
NB 6063	60	67	10.0	5	*
NB 6074	60	67	18.7	5	*
NB 6082	58	64	21.7	5	*
NB 6072	84	93	16.7	5	
NB 6064	58	64	11.0	5	*
NB 6013	8	9	13.0	5	*
NB 6022	34	38	15.2	5	*
NB 6033	88	98	11.0	5	
NB 6041	58	64	17.9	5	*

Table 5. Marina pore water samples tested 11/20/06 in batch MG38 using mussel embryo development test. Asterisk indicates a significant difference from control $p \leq 0.05$.

Sample	%Normal-Alive Mean	%of Control	Standard Deviation	Number Counted	Sig. Diff.
Seawater	93	100	7.7	4	
EDTA Blank 5 mg/L	90	96	0.7	4	
6011	85	91	7.1	4	
6013	72	77	5.4	4	*
6021	94	101	11.1	4	
6022	93	100	4.7	4	
6032	93	100	6.5	4	
6042	91	97	5.2	4	
6051	87	94	3.5	4	
6063	82	88	9.2	4	
6073	75	80	10.5	4	*
6082	87	94	10.4	4	
6011 EDTA 5 mg/L	95	102	7.0	4	
6013 EDTA 5 mg/L	30	33	24.1	4	*
6021 EDTA 5 mg/L	89	96	4.0	4	
6022 EDTA 5 mg/L	94	101	5.0	4	
6032 EDTA 5 mg/L	83	89	4.9	4	*
6042 EDTA 5 mg/L	95	102	12.0	4	
6051 EDTA 5 mg/L	83	89	7.0	4	*
6063 EDTA 5 mg/L	88	95	4.7	4	
6073 EDTA 5 mg/L	82	88	7.6	4	*
6082 EDTA 5 mg/L	89	96	7.2	4	

Table 6. Marina whole sediment samples tested11/27/06 in batch EE79 using the amphipod *Eohaustorius estuarius* 10-day survival test. Asterisk indicates a significant difference from control p≤0.05.

	%Survival				
Sample	Mean	%of Control	Standard Deviation	Number Counted	Sig. Diff.
Home Sediment	90	100	6.1	5	
6011	11	12	7.4	5	*
6012	29	32	8.2	5	*
6014	41	46	30.7	5	*
6021	11	12	8.9	5	*

Table 7. Marina initial whole sediment samples tested 11/20/06 in batch EE77, using the amphipod *Eohaustorius estuarius* with the exposure period reduced to 7 days to determine if sediment TIEs were justified. Asterisk indicates a significant difference from control p≤0.05.

	%Survival				
Sample	Mean	%of Control	Standard Deviation	Number Counted	Sig. Diff.
Home Sediment	90	100	14.1	4	
NB 6013	28	31	15.0	4	*
NB 6022	18	19	5.0	4	*

Table 8. . Marina whole sediment TIE tested 11/27/06 in batch EE78 using the amphipod *Eohaustorius estuarius* 10 day survival test. Asterisk indicates a significant difference from control p≤0.05.

		%Survival			
Sample	Mean	%of Control	Standard Deviation	Number Counted	Sig. Diff.
Home Sediment	88	100	11.0	5	
NB 6013S baseline 50%	26	30	13.4	5	*
NB 6013S baseline 100%	10	11	7.1	5	*
Cation Exchange Blank	97	110	5.8	3	
Cation Exchange 50% 6013	47	53	25.2	3	*
Cation Exchange 100% 6013	7	8	11.5	3	*
Coconut Carbon Blank	33	38	11.5	3	*
Coconut Carbon 100% 6013	77	87	11.0	3	
PBO Blank	97	110	5.8	3	
PBO 50% 6013	3	4	5.8	3	*
PBO 100% 6013	0	0	0.0	3	*

Table 9. Acid volatile sulfides (AVS) and simultaneously extracted metals (SEM) from Newport Bay Marina sediment samples.

	NB6013		NB6022		NB6033		NB6041		NB6063	
	umoles/dry g	mg/kg	umoles/dry g	mg/kg	umoles/dry g	mg/kg	umoles/dry g	mg/kg	umoles/dry g	mg/kg
Cadmium	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.0041	0.461
Copper	0.0325	2.07	ND	ND	0.192	12.2	ND	ND	0.0703	4.47
Lead	0.0253	5.24	ND	ND	0.0434	8.99	ND	ND	0.0679	14.1
Nickel	0.0379	2.23	0.05	2.94	0.0298	1.75	0.0426	2.50	0.0517	3.04
Zinc	1.01	66.3	1.77	116	1.65	108	1.76	115	2.57	168
Total SEM	1.11	75.8	1.80	119	1.90	131	1.80	118	2.76	190
AVS	5.00	160	9.56	306	6.88	220	7.19	230	1.92	61.6

Table 9. (continued)

	NB6064		NB6072		NB6074		NB6082		NB6051	
	umoles/dry g	mg/kg	umoles/dry g	mg/kg	umoles/dry g	mg/kg	umoles/dry g	mg/kg	umoles/dry g	mg/kg
Cadmium	0.0021	0.236	ND	ND	0.0028	0.315	0.0028	0.315	0.005	0.562
Copper	0.0314	2.00	ND	ND	0.0157	1.00	0.0161	1.02	ND	ND
Lead	0.0335	6.94	0.0217	4.50	0.036	7.46	0.0254	5.26	0.0835	17.3
Nickel	0.0209	1.23	0.0489	2.87	0.0423	2.48	0.0397	2.33	0.0556	3.26
Zinc	0.652	42.6	3.17	207.3	2.36	154	1.83	120	3.66	239
Total SEM	0.740	53.0	3.24	214.6	2.46	165	1.91	129	3.80	260
AVS	0.516	16.5	18.9	606	0.741	23.7	1.92	61.5	7.91	253

ND = Not Detected

Table 10. Pore water dissolved metals from Newport Bay marina sediment samples. All values are expressed in µg/L.

MDL	RL		6011	6013	6021	6022	6032	6042	6051	6063	6073	6082	Lab Blank
3	6	Aluminum (Al)	11	12	12	9	11	14	11	11	11	14	ND
0.01	0.015	Arsenic (As)	4.33	6.71	4.47	2.57	2.02	2.38	2.98	1.30	2.59	2.49	3.32
0.005	0.01	Beryllium (Be)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.261
0.025	0.05	Chromium (Cr)	0.38	0.44	0.40	0.44	0.40	0.38	0.41	0.37	0.51	0.39	3.19
0.005	0.01	Cobalt (Co)	0.46	0.438	0.424	0.457	0.392	0.341	0.343	0.369	0.336	0.356	0.263
0.01	0.02	Manganese (Mn)	505.5	332.5	198.3	382.3	115.6	85.83	127.2	87.46	51.4	118.5	0.580
0.02	0.04	Silver (Ag)	0.624	0.641	0.674	0.639	0.609	0.596	0.569	0.555	0.511	0.478	0.590
0.005	0.01	Thallium (Tl)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
0.035	0.07	Titanium (Ti)	0.529	0.977	0.739	0.674	0.498	0.455	0.540	0.408	1.047	0.327	2.949
0.02	0.04	Vanadium (V)	1.03	1.51	1.27	0.50	0.34	0.39	0.93	0.24	3.04	0.4	3.61
0.005	0.01	Zinc (Zn)	3.149	3.784	4.135	3.710	3.256	3.605	3.059	2.926	3.760	3.173	8.835
0.005	0.01	Cadmium (Cd)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.135
0.01	0.02	Copper (Cu)	1.48	1.84	1.86	1.95	1.60	1.60	1.52	1.44	4.56	6.20	3.16
0.005	0.01	Lead (Pb)	0.03	0.037	0.037	0.011	0.013	0.057	0.045	0.01	0.028	0.012	ND
0.005	0.01	Nickel (Ni)	1.185	1.26	1.207	0.979	0.837	1.054	0.957	0.981	0.673	0.925	ND
0.01	0.015	Selenium (Se)	1.22	1.48	1.32	1.38	1.28	1.15	1.12	1.29	1.74	1.13	5.87
0.005	0.01	Tin (Sn)	0.025	0.026	0.033	0.033	0.027	0.021	0.032	0.026	0.14	0.14	0.051

ND = Not Detected

Appendix C


Dissolved Cu Levels (Newport Dunes)
ug/L
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
May '06
Aug '06
Dec '06
Newport Dunes 1
Newport Dunes 2
Newport Dunes 3
Newport Dunes 4 (channel site)
Criterion Max Conc.
Criterion Cont. Conc.


Sediment Cu Levels (Newport Dunes)
ug/dry g
300
250
200
150
100
50
0
May '06
Aug '06
Dec. '06
Newport Dunes 1
Newport Dunes 2
Newport Dunes 3
Newport Dunes 4 (channel site)
TEL
ERL
ERM


Dissolved Cu Levels (De Anza Marina)
ug/L
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
May '06
Aug '06
Dec '06
De Anza Marina 1
De Anza Marina 2
De Anza Marina 3
De Anza Marina 4 (inner channel site)
De Anza Marina 5 (outer channel site)
Criterion Max Conc.
Criterion Cont. Conc.


Sediment Cu levels (De Anza)
ug/dry g
300
250
200
150
100
50
0
May '06
Aug '06
Dec '06
De Anza Marina 1
De Anza Marina 2
De Anza Marina 3
De Anza Marina 4 (inner channel site)
De Anza Marina 5 (outer channel site)
TEL
ERL
ERM


Dissolved Cu Levels (Balboa Yacht Basin)
ug/L
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
May '06
Aug '06
Dec '06
Balboa Yacht Basin 1
Balboa Yacht Basin 2
Balboa Yacht Basin 3
Balboa Yacht Basin 4 (channel site)
Balboa Yacht Basin Shipyard
Criterion Max Conc.
Criterion Cont. Conc.


Sediment Cu Levels (Balboa Yacht Basin)
ug/dry g
300
250
200
150
100
50
0
May '06
Aug '06
Dec '06
Balboa Yacht Basin 1
Balboa Yacht Basin 2
Balboa Yacht Basin 3
Balboa Yacht Basin 4 (channel site)
Balboa Yacht Basin Shipyard
TEL
ERL
ERM



Dissolved Cu Levels (Bahia Corinthian)
ug/L
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
May '06
Aug '06
Dec '06
Bahia Corinthian 1
Bahia Corinthian 2
Bahia Corinthian 3
Bahia Corinthian 4 (channel site)
Bahia Corinthian Storm Drain
Criterion Max Conc.
Criterion Cont. Conc.



Sediment Cu Levels (Bahia Corinthian)
ug/dry g
400
350
300
250
200
150
100
50
0
May '06
Aug '06
Dec '06
Bahia Corinthian 1
Bahia Corinthian 2
Bahia Corinthian 3
Bahia Corinthian 4 (channel site)
Bahia Corinthian Storm Drain
TEL
ERL
ERM


Dissolved Cu Levels (Harbor Marina)
ug/L
10
8
6
4
2
0
May '06
Aug '06
Dec. '06
Harbor Marina 1
Harbor Marina 2
Harbor Marina 3 (channel site)
Harbor Marina Storm Drain
Criterion Max Conc.
Criterion Cont. Conc.


Sediment Cu Levels (Harbor Marina)
ug/dry g
600
500
400
300
200
100
0
May '06
Aug '06
Dec. '06
Harbor Marina 1
Harbor Marina 2
Harbor Marina 3 (channel site)
Harbor Marina Storm Drain
TEL
ERL
ERM


Dissolved Cu Levels (Lido Village)
ug/L
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
May '06
Aug '06
Dec '06
Lido Village 1
Lido Village 2
Lido Village 3
Lido Village 4 (Channel Site)
Criterion Max Conc.
Criterion Cont. Conc


Sediment Cu Levels (Lido Village)
ug/dry g
350
300
250
200
150
100
50
0
May '06
Aug '06
Dec '06
Lido Village 1
Lido Village 2
Lido Village 3
Lido Village 4 (Channel Site)
TEL
ERL
ERM


Dissolved Cu Levels (Lido Yacht Anchorage)
ug/L
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
May '06
Aug '06
Dec '06
Lido Yacht Anchorage1
Lido Yacht Anchorage 2
Lido Yacht Anchorage 3
Lido Yacht Anchorage 4 (Channel Site)
Criterion Max. Conc.
Criterion Cont. Conc.


Sediment Cu levels (Lido Yacht Anchorage)
ug/dry g
400
350
300
250
200
150
100
50
0
May '06
Aug '06
Dec '06
Lido Yacht Anchorage1
Lido Yacht Anchorage 2
Lido Yacht Anchorage 3
Lido Yacht Anchorage 4 (Channel Site)
ERM
TEL
ERL
ERM


Dissolved Cu Levels (H&J Moorings)
ug/L
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
May '06
Aug '06
Dec '06
H&J Mooring 1
H&J Mooring 2
H&J Mooring 3
H&J Mooring 4 (Channel Site)
Criterion Max. Conc.
Criterion Cont. Conc.


Sediment Cu Levels (H&J Mooring)
ug/g
300
250
200
150
100
50
0
May '06
Aug '06
Dec '06
H&J Mooring 1
H&J Mooring 2
H&J Mooring 3
H&J Mooring 4 (Channel Site)
TEL
ERL
ERM

Appendix D
Metals Means TSS,DOC,Turbidity,Salinity Means

Dissolved Metals Means

Sample Sites	Newport Dunes1	Newport Dunes2	Newport Dunes3	Newport Dunes Marina		Newport Dunes4 (Channel Site)		DeAnza 1	De Anza 2	De Anza 3	De Anza Marina	
	mean	mean	mean	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation	mean	mean	mean	mean	standard deviation
Units	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Aluminum (Al)					8.17		15.06	12.28		9.61	10.95	9.68
Antimony (Sb)	0.30	0.26	0.23	0.26	0.21	0.23	0.23	0.26	0.21	0.21	0.23	0.18
Arsenic (As)	1.19	1.19	1.18	1.19	0.06	1.20	0.08	1.23	1.16	1.21	1.20	0.15
Beryllium (Be)											0.00	0.00
Cadmium (Cd)	0.04	0.04	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.02
Chromium (Cr)	0.31	0.32	0.33	0.32	0.14	0.31	0.15	0.31	0.35	0.35	0.34	0.13
Cobalt (Co)	0.26	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.05	0.26	0.05	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.05
Copper (Cu)	2.68	2.82	3.69	3.06	0.65	2.09	0.92	2.89	5.02	3.23	3.72	1.34
Iron (Fe)				0.88	0.01						0.52	
Lead (Pb)	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01		0.01	0.00
Manganese (Mn)	26.09	27.66	29.01	27.59	5.61	23.04	5.69	17.81	20.49	20.67	19.66	5.93
Mercury (Hg)												
Molybdenum (Mo)	11.29	11.49	11.66	11.48	1.70	11.72	2.53	10.79	11.15	10.76	10.90	0.97
Nickel (Ni)	0.74	0.81	0.83	0.79	0.09	0.77	0.17	0.63	0.66	0.63	0.64	0.07
Selenium (Se)	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.02	0.14	0.05	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.03
Silver (Ag)				0.03								
Thallium (Tl)				0.00	0.00						0.00	0.00
Tin (Sn)											0.01	0.00
Titanium (Ti)	0.53	0.46	0.62	0.54	0.23	0.53	0.17	0.48	0.54	0.55	0.52	0.16
Vanadium (V)	2.78	2.84	2.88	2.83	0.16	2.77	0.12	2.66	2.67	2.67	2.67	0.25
Zinc (Zn)	12.63	12.77	16.92	14.11	4.22	11.38	3.33	14.89	15.60	12.98	14.49	4.84

Sample Sites	De Anza 4 (Inner Channel Site)		De Anza 5(Outer Channel Site)		Balboa Yacht Basin 1	Balboa Yacht Basin 2	Balboa Yacht Basin 3	Balboa Yacht Marina		Balboa Yacht Basin 4 (channel site)		Balboa Yacht Basin Ship Yard	
	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation	mean	mean	mean	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation
Units	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Aluminum (Al)	10.74	11.64		10.90	8.80			8.80	7.04	9.95	10.98		10.47
Antimony (Sb)	0.25	0.24	0.23	0.25	0.22	0.20	0.24	0.22	0.19	0.20	0.21	0.00	0.22
Arsenic (As)	1.26	0.21	1.20	0.32	1.12	1.15	1.14	1.13	0.16	1.13	0.20	1.17	0.22
Beryllium (Be)								0.01		0.00			
Cadmium (Cd)	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.15	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.10	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02
Chromium (Cr)	0.41	0.21	0.39	0.19	0.34	0.38	0.37	0.36	0.18	0.38	0.24	0.38	0.25
Cobalt (Co)	0.25	0.02	0.23	0.03	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.04	0.20	0.05	0.19	0.04
Copper (Cu)	2.59	0.85	1.97	0.90	4.78	3.66	3.25	3.90	1.27	2.18	0.54	2.85	0.24
Iron (Fe)		0.03											
Lead (Pb)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00
Manganese (Mn)	19.82	4.64	18.61	10.53	10.88	10.74	10.84	10.82	3.22	13.51	4.05	12.46	3.55
Mercury (Hg)													
Molybdenum (Mo)	10.71	1.34	11.89	2.64	9.91	10.30	9.27	9.83	0.85	10.27	0.95	10.37	1.00
Nickel (Ni)	0.65	0.06	0.66	0.15	0.50	0.58	0.42	0.50	0.12	0.53	0.02	0.57	0.10
Selenium (Se)	0.12	0.03	0.12	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.01	0.07	0.01	0.06	0.01
Silver (Ag)													
Thallium (Tl)								0.01	0.00	0.01		0.01	
Tin (Sn)												0.01	
Titanium (Ti)	0.48	0.21	0.44	0.12	0.39	0.44	0.45	0.43	0.13	0.42	0.12	0.40	0.14
Vanadium (V)	2.75	0.41	2.72	0.74	2.31	2.35	2.38	2.35	0.25	2.40	0.33	2.36	0.40
Zinc (Zn)	13.75	3.41	10.96	4.66	20.95	15.99	15.90	17.61	5.70	10.73	2.06	13.88	3.93

Sample Sites	Bahia Corinthian 1	Bahia Corinthian 2	Bahia Corinthian 3	Bahia Corinthian Marina		Bahia Corinthian 4 (channel site)		Bahia Corinthian Storm Drain		Harbor Marina 1	Harbor Marina 2	Harbor Marina	
	mean	mean	mean	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation	mean	mean	mean	standard deviation
Units	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L		µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Aluminum (Al)		8.79	10.04	9.41	6.58	11.82	9.39		7.81		8.71	9.31	5.90
Antimony (Sb)	0.21	0.22	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.17	0.14	0.18	0.15			0.24	0.19
Arsenic (As)	1.15	1.15	1.18	1.16	0.19	1.16	0.21	1.13	0.21	1.02	1.03	1.09	0.16
Beryllium (Be)	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01		0.01				0.05	
Cadmium (Cd)	0.09	0.05	0.29	0.14	0.16	0.07	0.04	0.30	0.24	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.02
Chromium (Cr)	0.41	0.39	0.38	0.39	0.20	0.42	0.31	0.41	0.27	0.27	0.28	0.28	0.10
Cobalt (Co)	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.03	0.17	0.05	0.16	0.04	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.01
Copper (Cu)	2.91	3.05	2.96	2.97	1.21	2.02	0.77	1.59	0.99	5.57	4.84	5.20	1.84
Iron (Fe)	0.60			0.60		5.86						1.78	
Lead (Pb)	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00			0.02	0.01
Manganese (Mn)	9.56	9.37	11.45	10.13	2.92	10.58	2.96	12.06	1.94	14.25	15.11	14.68	3.81
Mercury (Hg)													
Molybdenum (Mo)	10.13	9.88	10.32	10.11	0.61	10.02	0.72	10.38	0.14	10.46	11.29	10.87	1.60
Nickel (Ni)	0.55	0.52	0.83	0.63	0.24	0.47	0.04	0.83	0.28	0.57	0.58	0.58	0.13
Selenium (Se)	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.02	0.07	0.01	0.07	0.02	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.03
Silver (Ag)													
Thallium (Tl)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00		0.00				0.01	0.00
Tin (Sn)												0.01	
Titanium (Ti)	0.42	0.39	0.41	0.41	0.09	0.41	0.13	0.38	0.06	0.38	0.42	0.40	0.13
Vanadium (V)	2.30	2.26	2.40	2.32	0.30	2.37	0.48	2.33	0.43	2.13	2.19	2.16	0.47
Zinc (Zn)	12.95	13.63	16.14	14.24	2.95	11.49	0.74	11.60	3.87	25.66	24.67	25.16	4.65

Sample Sites	Harbor Marina 3 (channel site)		Harbor Marina Storm Drain		Lido Village 1	Lido Village 2	Lido Village 3	Lido Village Marina		Lido Village 4 (channel Site)		Lido Yacht Anchorage 1
	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation	mean	mean	mean	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation	mean
Units	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Aluminum (Al)	16.44	11.37		6.60			8.26	15.07	9.65		1.84	13.63
Antimony (Sb)	0.26	0.20		0.26				0.29	0.23		0.28	0.23
Arsenic (As)	1.12	0.27	1.07	0.19	1.04	1.08	1.07	1.06	0.17	1.08	0.18	1.06
Beryllium (Be)	0.00		0.01					0.01	0.00			0.01
Cadmium (Cd)	0.05	0.02	0.09	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.07
Chromium (Cr)	0.35	0.12	0.31	0.10	0.31	0.35	0.32	0.32	0.12	0.30	0.17	0.30
Cobalt (Co)	0.19	0.01	0.19	0.01	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.01	0.18	0.02	0.19
Copper (Cu)	5.04	1.39	3.77	2.18	7.55	4.60	4.78	5.64	2.20	4.35	0.93	5.15
Iron (Fe)	0.68		1.09					0.70	0.12			
Lead (Pb)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01				0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02
Manganese (Mn)	13.66	4.98	19.53	9.17	12.32	13.04	12.38	12.58	3.40	13.08	3.63	13.32
Mercury (Hg)												
Molybdenum (Mo)	11.39	1.64	19.98	17.46	11.10	10.72	10.82	10.88	0.68	11.02	0.97	11.03
Nickel (Ni)	0.56	0.09	0.75	0.40	0.61	0.55	0.57	0.58	0.10	0.56	0.11	0.56
Selenium (Se)	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.06
Silver (Ag)												
Thallium (Tl)	0.00		0.01					0.01	0.00			0.01
Tin (Sn)												0.00
Titanium (Ti)	0.43	0.08	0.39	0.04	0.48	0.38	0.41	0.42	0.13	0.38	0.15	0.43
Vanadium (V)	2.30	0.74	2.14	0.59	2.23	2.22	2.27	2.24	0.52	2.24	0.65	2.32
Zinc (Zn)	23.29	7.82	21.31	6.81	28.18	20.95	20.55	23.23	4.83	19.48	2.06	22.03

Sample Sites	Lido Yacht Anchorage 2	Lido Yacht Anchorage 3	Lido Yacht Maina		Lido Yacht Anchorage 4 (channel site)		H&J Moorings 1	H&J Moorings 2	H&J Moorings 3	H&J Moorings Marina		H&J Moorings 4 (channel site)	
	mean	mean	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation	mean	mean	mean	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation
Units	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Aluminum (Al)		125.40	69.51	119.78	8.36	5.88	8.73	9.06		10.57	7.12		4.10
Antimony (Sb)	0.24	0.23	0.23	0.15	0.22	0.21	0.22	0.26		0.24	0.16		0.20
Arsenic (As)	1.11	1.07	1.08	0.18	0.98	0.12	1.00	1.00	1.04	1.01	0.13	1.03	0.17
Beryllium (Be)		0.02	0.02	0.01									
Cadmium (Cd)	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.01	0.04	0.02
Chromium (Cr)	0.31	0.50	0.37	0.23	0.31	0.14	0.28	0.28	0.31	0.29	0.12	0.26	0.08
Cobalt (Co)	0.18	0.21	0.20	0.03	0.19	0.02	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.01	0.18	0.01
Copper (Cu)	6.04	6.27	5.82	1.94	4.23	0.76	3.87	3.83	3.72	3.81	0.89	3.54	1.08
Iron (Fe)		326.80	326.80		0.64								
Lead (Pb)	0.01	0.19	0.08	0.16	0.02		0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01
Manganese (Mn)	12.12	13.24	12.89	3.84	12.61	4.30	13.64	12.36	12.78	12.93	2.75	13.29	2.62
Mercury (Hg)								0.01		0.01			
Molybdenum (Mo)	10.65	10.84	10.84	0.69	10.84	0.75	10.87	10.68	10.83	10.79	0.74	10.68	0.96
Nickel (Ni)	0.55	0.64	0.58	0.14	0.65	0.10	0.57	0.57	0.58	0.57	0.07	0.87	0.44
Selenium (Se)	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.03	0.08	0.03
Silver (Ag)													
Thallium (Tl)	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01		0.01	0.01		0.01	0.00		
Tin (Sn)		0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01		0.01	0.01		0.01	0.00		
Titanium (Ti)	0.37	7.14	2.65	6.69	0.61	0.24	0.60	0.49	0.45	0.51	0.12	0.40	0.11
Vanadium (V)	2.36	2.68	2.45	0.76	2.33	0.63	2.30	2.37	2.29	2.32	0.49	2.21	0.53
Zinc (Zn)	23.44	22.22	22.56	4.19	19.33	7.16	17.95	17.56	16.81	17.44	4.28	16.50	5.19

Sediment Metals Means

Sample Sites	Newport Dunes1	Newport Dunes2	Newport Dunes3	Newport Dunes Marina		Newport Dunes Channel Site		DeAnza 1	De Anza 2	De Anza 3	De Anza Marina	
	mean	mean	mean	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation	mean	mean	mean	mean	standard deviation
Units	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Aluminum (Al)					4535.77							12373.53
Antimony (Sb)	0.57	0.56	0.58	0.57	0.34	0.43	0.42	0.52	0.53	0.44	0.50	0.25
Arsenic (As)	7.97	7.75	7.83	7.85	0.85	5.79	1.15	7.90	11.04	8.41	9.12	1.93
Barium (Ba)	152.10	136.23	141.23	143.19	22.24	97.75	44.36	135.43	135.40	116.01	128.95	28.81
Beryllium (Be)	1.15	1.10	1.05	1.10	0.43	0.61	0.18	1.10	1.33	1.01	1.15	0.48
Cadmium (Cd)	1.44	1.38	1.36	1.40	0.12	0.99	0.38	1.21	1.20	0.99	1.13	0.20
Chromium (Cr)	44.61	44.25	45.55	44.81	8.79	29.31	11.69	46.96	54.44	45.79	49.06	9.72
Cobalt (Co)	10.49	9.67	9.92	10.02	1.58	6.81	1.68	10.02	11.69	9.62	10.44	2.00
Copper (Cu)	72.12	85.17	87.70	81.66	25.85	44.07	9.37	107.03	123.27	103.73	111.34	24.75
Iron (Fe)	38315.67	36469.00	38252.33	37679.00	5626.71	24635.67	8257.56	38862.33	44005.67	36529.00	39799.00	7058.66
Lead (Pb)	18.28	19.31	21.14	19.58	2.84	14.46	4.27	21.16	23.18	20.31	21.55	2.67
Manganese (Mn)	317.07	302.07	318.57	312.57	54.20	215.97	53.85	309.33	328.70	277.67	305.23	59.69
Mercury (Hg)	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.07	0.09	0.16	0.11	0.05
Molybdenum (Mo)	2.12	2.16	1.81	2.03	0.30	1.53	0.79	1.82	3.07	1.83	2.24	0.69
Nickel (Ni)	23.73	22.87	23.37	23.32	3.98	15.50	4.64	24.20	27.66	22.84	24.90	4.61
Selenium (Se)	1.17	1.11	1.14	1.14	0.17	0.85	0.12	1.31	1.39	1.04	1.25	0.24
Silver (Ag)	0.23	0.24	0.26	0.24	0.08	0.18	0.13	0.32	0.34	0.24	0.30	0.10
Strontium (Sr)	83.10	78.96	81.48	81.18	7.38	67.90	20.86	80.63	78.58	65.37	74.86	11.05
Thallium (Tl)	0.33	0.33	0.34	0.33	0.03	0.23	0.08	0.37	0.40	0.33	0.37	0.06
Tin (Sn)	2.81	2.75	2.96	2.84	0.31	1.85	0.82	2.99	3.55	2.70	3.08	0.52
Titanium (Ti)	1275.83	1215.17	1263.57	1251.52	683.11	1054.23	770.43	1193.23	1218.23	1248.93	1220.13	677.90
Vanadium (V)	100.86	94.27	96.93	97.35	19.41	64.43	29.67	98.54	112.67	95.16	102.12	21.30
Zinc (Zn)	176.46	199.62	202.82	192.97	43.90	119.79	26.22	215.69	259.69	198.19	224.52	40.47

Sample Sites	De Anza Inner Channel Site		De Anza Outer Channel Site		Balboa Yacht Basin 1	Balboa Yacht Basin 2	Balboa Yacht Basin 3	Balboa Yacht Basin Marina		Balboa Yacht Basin Channel Site	
	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation	mean	mean	mean	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation
Units	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Aluminum (Al)		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!					8531.33		
Antimony (Sb)	0.45	0.36	0.28	0.16	0.45	0.39	0.53	0.46	0.25	0.48	0.36
Arsenic (As)	6.13	2.87	3.96	0.85	10.14	9.39	9.82	9.78	1.64	8.81	1.80
Barium (Ba)	79.76	57.16	69.38	7.32	117.92	107.05	120.55	115.18	31.74	128.90	19.17
Beryllium (Be)	1.12	0.60	0.35	0.05	1.02	1.09	1.14	1.09	0.47	1.14	0.63
Cadmium (Cd)	1.11	0.09	0.63	0.15	0.80	0.73	0.89	0.81	0.19	0.86	0.03
Chromium (Cr)	43.84	14.42	17.42	3.01	51.18	49.12	51.81	50.70	8.13	50.22	8.29
Cobalt (Co)	9.53	2.14	4.24	0.82	9.36	8.99	9.52	9.29	1.73	9.83	1.83
Copper (Cu)	71.47	37.86	32.38	9.71	123.13	158.93	182.80	154.96	68.04	110.23	28.37
Iron (Fe)	36429.00	8974.65	15445.67	2651.23	38812.33	36902.33	39455.67	38390.11	6644.32	39339.00	6406.82
Lead (Pb)	16.09	3.04	10.32	2.90	26.30	22.36	29.85	26.17	6.02	21.93	1.97
Manganese (Mn)	298.07	77.21	146.67	11.41	281.10	278.90	283.33	281.11	47.93	301.00	60.71
Mercury (Hg)	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.58	0.30	0.98	0.62	0.57	0.18	0.05
Molybdenum (Mo)	1.92	0.43	0.94	0.14	1.83	1.74	1.87	1.81	0.30	1.77	0.20
Nickel (Ni)	22.43	5.70	9.25	1.95	24.26	22.96	24.77	24.00	4.33	24.69	4.53
Selenium (Se)	0.93	0.22	0.56	0.06	1.14	1.19	0.91	1.08	0.32	1.01	0.16
Silver (Ag)	0.24	0.16	0.09	0.03	0.31	0.30	0.29	0.30	0.14	0.27	0.14
Strontium (Sr)	77.69	18.23	56.49	19.54	70.01	69.24	73.96	71.07	11.86	73.88	5.64
Thallium (Tl)	0.33	0.04	0.18	0.02	0.35	0.33	0.34	0.34	0.08	0.36	0.04
Tin (Sn)	3.54	1.79	1.33	0.25	4.43	3.64	3.85	3.97	0.84	3.19	0.07
Titanium (Ti)	1213.17	772.97	730.13	290.51	1314.03	1193.00	1343.10	1283.38	549.18	1259.53	507.24
Vanadium (V)	95.40	26.86	37.90	6.17	98.86	93.67	100.64	97.72	17.65	101.13	13.22
Zinc (Zn)	172.69	61.89	85.75	21.87	227.39	220.92	246.49	231.60	50.13	191.56	40.33

Sample Sites	Balboa Yacht Basin Ship Yard		Bahia Corinthian 1	Bahia Corinthian 2	Bahia Corinthian 3	Bahia Corinthian Marina		Bahia Corinthian Channel Site	
	mean	standard deviation	mean	mean	mean	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation
Units	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Aluminum (Al)		16193.45					7874.35		276.48
Antimony (Sb)	0.57	0.32	0.71	0.58	0.85	0.72	0.38	0.83	1.09
Arsenic (As)	10.05	1.35	11.65	8.70	8.01	9.45	2.92	5.53	1.86
Barium (Ba)	132.63	27.88	124.20	126.93	105.16	118.76	27.67	80.10	56.11
Beryllium (Be)	1.19	0.64	0.86	0.75	0.66	0.76	0.25	0.41	0.12
Cadmium (Cd)	0.97	0.16	1.72	1.66	2.52	1.97	0.57	2.35	3.17
Chromium (Cr)	53.16	11.83	52.64	44.30	42.47	46.47	8.78	27.01	15.33
Cobalt (Co)	10.24	2.11	8.50	7.85	7.45	7.93	1.55	5.08	1.83
Copper (Cu)	210.83	63.45	247.57	148.27	157.53	184.46	73.51	79.42	69.79
Iron (Fe)	41449.00	6997.31	34112.33	31755.67	28322.33	31396.78	5930.91	18642.33	7611.61
Lead (Pb)	25.95	0.75	26.21	25.65	31.01	27.62	3.94	21.92	21.07
Manganese (Mn)	315.53	64.40	259.40	167.10	218.87	215.12	87.43	157.93	55.54
Mercury (Hg)	0.25	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.09	0.11	0.04	0.24	0.19
Molybdenum (Mo)	82.40	139.95	2.31	1.91	3.31	2.51	1.23	2.96	3.75
Nickel (Ni)	26.46	5.61	24.10	22.05	21.41	22.52	4.23	14.05	6.98
Selenium (Se)	1.05	0.13	1.43	1.12	1.35	1.30	0.23	0.95	1.02
Silver (Ag)	0.40	0.08	0.31	0.24	0.30	0.28	0.16	0.25	0.26
Strontium (Sr)	80.48	6.23	75.89	71.12	60.12	69.04	12.26	48.86	23.29
Thallium (Tl)	0.40	0.01	0.38	0.37	0.34	0.36	0.05	0.24	0.12
Tin (Sn)	3.66	0.35	3.67	3.44	4.16	3.76	0.62	2.21	1.80
Titanium (Ti)	1210.97	717.77	1111.53	1014.80	939.50	1021.94	517.40	860.97	479.80
Vanadium (V)	101.77	23.54	87.85	80.63	73.27	80.58	16.48	49.92	27.88
Zinc (Zn)	252.99	54.56	259.22	226.86	264.22	250.10	50.23	158.13	137.64

Sample Sites	Bahia Corinthian Storm Drain		Harbor Marina 1	Harbor Marina 2	Harbor Marina		Harbor Marina Channel Site		Harbor Marina Storm Drain		Lido Village 1
	mean	standard deviation	mean	mean	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation	mean
Units	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Aluminum (Al)		10105.26				15453.63		#DIV/0!		18264.57	
Antimony (Sb)	0.63	0.16	1.59	1.89	1.74	1.32	2.99	3.83	2.03	0.14	0.77
Arsenic (As)	5.91	2.38	10.63	7.46	9.05	3.87	8.65	1.84	11.44	2.81	11.05
Barium (Ba)	72.05	15.57	133.96	90.89	112.43	52.97	107.96	4.15	148.46	31.74	125.14
Beryllium (Be)	0.48	0.32	0.87	0.38	0.62	0.34	0.66	0.46	0.90	0.57	1.13
Cadmium (Cd)	3.11	2.73	2.02	2.33	2.17	1.20	1.32	0.07	2.38	1.25	1.02
Chromium (Cr)	29.27	11.74	52.78	33.92	43.35	18.66	42.20	10.69	54.41	16.19	55.96
Cobalt (Co)	5.49	2.43	9.06	6.22	7.64	3.07	7.34	2.41	9.22	2.62	10.24
Copper (Cu)	108.40	83.32	280.73	201.68	241.21	109.12	203.03	38.51	348.47	176.91	230.00
Iron (Fe)	19979.00	8141.09	36842.67	20527.33	28685.00	12426.49	27829.33	9431.61	34596.00	10269.26	41816.00
Lead (Pb)	20.42	6.48	83.23	51.10	67.17	30.20	86.38	5.23	80.72	13.74	63.66
Manganese (Mn)	170.07	60.05	258.63	161.99	210.31	69.41	258.83	55.80	247.13	76.41	288.23
Mercury (Hg)	0.48	#DIV/0!	0.41	0.06	0.23	0.28	0.20	0.20	0.46	0.50	0.69
Molybdenum (Mo)	3.80	2.64	3.07	7.60	5.33	5.05	45.59	74.83	7.78	5.01	2.45
Nickel (Ni)	15.70	7.03	26.17	19.70	22.93	9.70	18.88	8.88	28.38	6.57	26.76
Selenium (Se)	1.00	0.45	1.53	1.54	1.54	0.69	6.90	9.78	1.92	0.79	1.42
Silver (Ag)	0.19	0.10	0.46	0.36	0.41	0.21	64.95	111.76	0.63	0.23	0.61
Strontium (Sr)	51.80	10.03	74.34	55.66	65.00	23.65	39.77	33.85	85.73	16.71	109.00
Thallium (Tl)	0.24	0.06	0.37	0.23	0.30	0.14	38.86	66.80	0.33	0.11	0.38
Tin (Sn)	2.67	1.05	9.41	7.54	8.47	3.34	5.19	4.34	13.56	2.27	7.06
Titanium (Ti)	793.57	352.33	1188.97	715.20	952.08	611.94	718.60	272.72	1206.77	718.96	1178.63
Vanadium (V)	51.93	16.80	88.41	52.09	70.25	32.84	322.18	427.88	88.03	26.71	104.90
Zinc (Zn)	207.12	132.72	443.42	411.74	427.58	203.49	372.26	87.60	649.19	356.88	316.09

Sample Sites	Lido Village 2	Lido Village 3	Lido Village Marina		Lido Village Channel Site		Lido Yacht Anchorage 1	Lido Yacht Anchorage 2	Lido Yacht Anchorage 3	Lido Yacht Anchorage Marina	
	mean	mean	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation	mean	mean	mean	mean	standard deviation
Units	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Aluminum (Al)				13461.00		16426.09					10935.44
Antimony (Sb)	0.82	0.79	0.79	0.57	0.58	0.48	0.60	0.76	0.51	0.63	0.43
Arsenic (As)	11.26	10.33	10.88	1.03	9.57	2.79	13.05	12.06	12.14	12.42	1.39
Barium (Ba)	132.40	115.81	124.45	36.00	110.85	62.25	117.24	124.03	113.05	118.11	22.90
Beryllium (Be)	1.11	0.99	1.08	0.37	0.96	0.54	1.12	1.24	1.11	1.16	0.47
Cadmium (Cd)	0.99	0.98	1.00	0.11	0.77	0.32	1.19	1.17	1.19	1.18	0.21
Chromium (Cr)	60.14	51.16	55.75	9.75	45.59	20.75	56.31	57.47	52.15	55.31	7.76
Cobalt (Co)	10.86	9.43	10.18	1.07	8.73	3.06	10.57	10.77	9.81	10.38	1.78
Copper (Cu)	228.37	239.97	232.78	51.85	104.43	47.15	312.63	319.83	318.13	316.87	40.04
Iron (Fe)	45396.00	38946.00	42052.67	5833.58	36609.33	13826.61	44206.00	44669.33	41366.00	43413.78	4396.85
Lead (Pb)	58.89	47.05	56.53	15.94	45.28	21.68	43.40	43.54	48.10	45.01	4.30
Manganese (Mn)	305.50	260.03	284.59	33.94	253.80	88.15	295.83	303.17	273.53	290.84	40.77
Mercury (Hg)	0.65	0.60	0.64	0.33	0.81	0.57	1.55	1.52	2.28	1.78	0.58
Molybdenum (Mo)	2.57	2.60	2.54	0.70	2.07	0.67	3.89	3.22	3.02	3.38	1.01
Nickel (Ni)	28.32	25.06	26.71	2.89	22.37	8.47	26.71	27.28	25.08	26.36	4.00
Selenium (Se)	1.55	1.35	1.44	0.19	0.97	0.30	1.62	1.93	1.55	1.70	0.28
Silver (Ag)	0.71	0.66	0.66	0.35	0.43	0.27	0.55	0.66	0.44	0.55	0.20
Strontium (Sr)	91.68	80.09	93.59	25.75	68.09	20.47	102.00	140.28	89.74	110.67	33.88
Thallium (Tl)	0.41	0.37	0.39	0.08	0.40	0.12	0.43	0.42	0.38	0.41	0.05
Tin (Sn)	6.65	5.50	6.41	1.71	4.23	2.40	5.65	5.30	5.11	5.35	0.65
Titanium (Ti)	1346.87	1089.57	1205.02	643.91	1113.00	946.00	1147.90	1243.20	1041.30	1144.13	513.90
Vanadium (V)	114.60	97.47	105.66	21.06	92.20	43.30	108.43	108.87	99.60	105.63	12.88
Zinc (Zn)	311.76	305.12	310.99	35.17	182.49	63.18	396.49	401.89	411.39	403.26	64.79

Sample Sites	Lido Yacht Anchorage Channel Site		H&J Moorings 1	H&J Moorings 2	H&J Moorings 3	H&J Moorings Marina		H&J Moorings 4 (channel site)	
	mean	standard deviation	mean	mean	mean	mean	standard deviation	mean	standard deviation
Units	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Aluminum (Al)		#DIV/0!					7435.83		#DIV/0!
Antimony (Sb)	0.49	0.34	0.52	0.45	0.53	0.50	0.34	0.56	0.40
Arsenic (As)	9.79	0.89	10.16	8.61	8.88	9.22	1.56	9.37	0.61
Barium (Ba)	117.49	32.38	116.13	129.57	132.66	126.12	40.13	143.66	48.87
Beryllium (Be)	1.01	0.27	0.89	0.82	0.90	0.87	0.17	1.04	0.13
Cadmium (Cd)	0.63	0.15	0.99	0.93	0.87	0.93	0.23	1.01	0.19
Chromium (Cr)	49.47	1.36	48.16	44.79	45.76	46.23	9.76	49.61	7.94
Cobalt (Co)	9.46	0.97	9.03	8.65	9.00	8.90	1.34	10.00	0.27
Copper (Cu)	174.70	15.33	196.73	146.97	122.00	155.23	36.42	136.07	34.81
Iron (Fe)	39552.67	712.94	39139.33	36902.67	37409.33	37817.11	7207.23	41666.00	4442.98
Lead (Pb)	35.94	5.36	35.07	28.83	27.75	30.55	5.30	29.17	1.87
Manganese (Mn)	279.93	14.21	262.77	257.30	271.40	263.82	45.18	296.67	16.76
Mercury (Hg)	1.23	0.31	1.06	0.51	0.84	0.80	0.60	0.34	0.09
Molybdenum (Mo)	1.56	0.29	1.83	1.65	1.75	1.74	0.51	1.73	0.43
Nickel (Ni)	23.64	1.88	23.12	21.66	16.06	20.28	6.49	25.17	1.00
Selenium (Se)	1.17	0.25	1.21	0.93	0.99	1.04	0.27	1.27	0.33
Silver (Ag)	0.42	0.20	0.40	0.30	0.31	0.33	0.17	0.41	0.20
Strontium (Sr)	74.47	9.94	94.50	103.60	94.32	97.47	39.39	85.71	10.93
Thallium (Tl)	0.37	0.09	0.39	0.40	0.45	0.41	0.10	0.44	0.09
Tin (Sn)	3.97	0.45	4.23	3.47	3.60	3.77	0.83	3.54	0.60
Titanium (Ti)	1194.67	649.75	1134.57	1139.40	1156.00	1143.32	678.80	1096.20	843.57
Vanadium (V)	95.81	8.31	94.65	91.59	91.75	92.66	24.46	100.83	23.20
Zinc (Zn)	252.02	32.44	354.86	238.96	205.46	266.42	94.57	242.16	47.00

Newport Dunes

Sample Sites	Newport Dunes1				Newport Dunes2				Newport Dunes3				Marina Averages	Newport Dunes4 (Channel Site)			
Date	May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**	May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**	May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**		May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**
DOC	4.58	1.09	5.15	**3.61**	3.84	0.99	5.00	**3.28**	4.20	1.39	5.24	**3.61**	**5.25**	3.97	1.38	5.18	**3.51**
TOC (%)										1.80							
Salinity (ppm)	33	32.5	32	**32.5**	33	33	29	**31.7**	32	33.5	29	**31.5**	**31.9**	31	34	27	**30.7**
Turbidity (FAU)	4	6	5	**5**	3	1	4	**2.7**	1	3	4	**2.7**	**3.5**	28	7	2	**12.3**
TSS (mg/L)	4.9	52.3	6.3	**21.2**	6.9	43.75	7.7	**19.45**	2.8	48.5	6.5	**19.27**	**19.96**	8.3	60.5	7	**25.3**

De Anza

Sample Sites	DeAnza 1				De Anza 2				De Anza 3				Marina Averages	De Anza 4 (Inner Channel Site)				De Anza 5(Outer Channel Site)			
Date	May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**	May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**	May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**		May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**	May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**
DOC	3.54	0.60	3.3	**2.48**	3.56	1.05	3.14	**2.58**	3.38	1.04	3.41	**2.61**	**2.56**	3.32	0.68	3.28	**2.43**	3.39	0.55	3.60	**2.51**
TOC (%)						1.74															
Salinity (ppm)	33	34	32	**33**	32.5	33	33	**32.8**	32.5	33	32	**32.5**	**32.8**	33	34	32	**33**	32.5	33	31	**32.2**
Turbidity (FAU)	0	10	3	**4.3**	0	1	3	**1.3**	0	5	0	**1.7**	**2.4**	0	6	ND	**3**	1	13	4	**6**
TSS (mg/L)	5.1	43.5	4.3	**17.63**	5.2	31	6	**14.07**	3.6	46.3	4.5	**18.12**	**16.61**	5.7	34	5.3	**15**	7	48.8	15.3	**23.7**

Balboa Yacht Basin

Sample Sites	Balboa Yacht Basin 1				Balboa Yacht Basin 2				Balboa Yacht Basin 3				Marina Averages	Balboa Yacht Basin 4 (channel site)				Balboa Yacht Basin Ship Yard			
Date	May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**	May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**	May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**		May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**	May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**
DOC	2.75	0.52	2.38	**1.88**	2.92	0.49	2.56	**1.99**	2.45	0.69	2.39	**1.84**	**1.90**	2.57	0.55	3.00	**2.04**	3.17	0.52	2.77	**2.15**
TOC (%)										1.54											
Salinity (ppm)	33.5	34	32	**33.2**	33	34	32	**33**	33.5	38	31	**34.2**	**33.5**	33.5	34.5	32	**33.3**	33	35	33	**33.7**
Turbidity (FAU)	0	0	3	**1**	5	5	1	**3.7**	0	7	5	**4**	**2.9**	5	2	4	**3.7**	0	0	4	**1.3**
TSS (mg/L)	5.3	4.5	3.5	**4.43**	9.4	34.8	3.5	**15.88**	6.1	3.8	34.8	**14.9**	**11.73**	4.5	8.5	6.3	**6.43**	4.3	11.8	6.7	**7.58**

Bahia Corinthian

Sample Sites	Bahia Corinthian 1				Bahia Corinthian 2				Bahia Corinthian 3				Marina Averages	Bahia Corinthian 4 (channel site)				Bahia Corinthian Storm Drain			
Date	May	Aug	Dec	Ave	May	Aug	Dec	Ave	May	Aug	Dec	Ave		May	Aug	Dec	Ave	May	Aug	Dec	Ave
DOC	2.79	0.60	2.97	**2.12**	4.73	0.68	2.50	**2.64**	3.79	0.61	2.98	**2.46**	**2.41**	2.62	0.55	2.64	**1.94**	3.98	0.57	3.00	**2.52**
TOC (%)		2.72																			
Salinity (ppm)	33	35	33	**33.7**	33.5	35	32	**33.5**	33	34	30	**32.3**	**33.2**	33	34	33	**33.3**	33.5	33	32	**32.8**
Turbidity (FAU	0	1	0	**0.3**	0	1	ND	**0.5**	0	5	ND	**1.7**	**0.8**	0	0	0	**0**	0	2	3	**1.7**
TSS (mg/L)	7.8	3.5	3	**4.77**	7.7	8.25	3.6	**6.52**	5.6	33.25	4.2	**14.35**	**8.46**	8.6	39.5	6.3	**18.1**	6.6	10	4	**6.87**

Harbor Marina

Sample Sites	Harbor Marina 1				Harbor Marina 2				Marina Averages	Harbor Marina 3 (channel site)				Harbor Marina Storm Drain			
Date	May	Aug	Dec	Ave	May	Aug	Dec	Ave		May	Aug	Dec	Ave	May	Aug	Dec	Ave
DOC	3.50	0.69	2.97	**2.39**	2.31		2.58	**2.45**	**2.42**	2.68	0.57	2.56	**1.94**	3.17	0.55	2.73	**2.15**
TOC (%)		4.74															
Salinity (ppm)	33.5	35	32	**33.5**	33	34	30	**32.3**	**32.9**	34	33	32	**33**	33.5	33	31	**32.5**
Turbidity (FAU)	3	0	0	**1**	2	0	0	**0.7**	**0.9**	0	0	0	**0**	0	0	0	**0**
TSS (mg/L)	2.3	1.5	2.8	**2.3**	3.47	3.33	4.35	**3.72**	**3.01**	2.7	3.5	4.5	**3.57**	2.3	7	3.2	**4.17**

Lido Village

Sample Sites	Lido Village 1				Lido Village 2				Lido Village 3				Marina Averages	Lido Village 4 (channel Site)			
Date	May	Aug	Dec	Ave	May	Aug	Dec	Ave	May	Aug	Dec	Ave		May	Aug	Dec	Ave
DOC	2.68	0.59	2.38	**1.88**	2.69	0.57	2.40	**1.89**	2.97	0.70	2.41	**2.02**	**1.93**	2.86	0.66	2.44	**1.99**
TOC (%)										2.79					1.06		
Salinity (ppm)	34	33	32	**33**	33	34	33	**33.7**	33.5	33	31	**32.5**	**33.1**	33	34	33	**33.3**
Turbidity (FAU)	3	0	0	**1**	0	1	0	**0.3**	6	1	0	**0.3**	**0.5**	0	1	4	**1.7**
TSS (mg/L)	2.5	2	4.3	**2.93**	2.9	5.75	3.7	**4.12**	2.2	2.25	4	**2.82**	**3.29**	1.6	5	5	**3.87**

Lido Yacht Anchorage

Sample Sites	Lido Yacht Anchorage 1				Lido Yacht Anchorage 2				Lido Yacht Anchorage 3				Marina Averages	Lido Yacht Anchorage 4 (channel site)			
Date	May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**	May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**	May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**		May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**
DOC	2.84	0.60	2.27	**1.90**	4.35	0.74	2.39	**2.49**	4.39	0.58	2.85	**2.61**	**2.33**	2.58	0.73	2.70	**2.00**
TOC (%)						2.44									2.03		
Salinity (ppm)	33	34	32	**33**	33	33	33	**33**	33.5	34	33	**33.5**	**33.2**	34	32	32	**32.7**
Turbidity (FAU)	0	1	4	**1.7**	2	2	3	**2.3**	0	2	7	**3**	**1.4**	0	2	ND	**1**
TSS (mg/L)	3.8	13.8	9.2	**8.92**	2.9	4.5	7.8	**5.07**	3.3	3.5	9.5	**5.43**	**6.47**	4.4	7.5	11.8	**7.9**

H&J Mooring

Sample Sites	H&J Moorings 1				H&J Moorings 2				H&J Moorings 3				Marina Averag	H&J Moorings 4 (channel site)			
Date	May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**	May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**	May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**		May	Aug	Dec	**Ave**
DOC	2.43		2.58	**2.51**	3.73	0.64	2.32	**2.23**	3.18	0.85	2.32	**2.12**	**2.29**	3.31	0.61	1.99	**1.97**
TOC (%)						1.79											
Salinity (ppm)	32.5	34	30	**32.2**	33	35	31	**33**	33.5	35	31	**33.2**	**32.8**	33.5	32	32	**32.5**
Turbidity (FAU)	2	11	0	**4.3**	0	5	0	**1.7**	1	10	0	**3.7**	**3.2**	0	2	0	**0.7**
TSS (mg/L)	5.3	56.5	7	**22.93**	7.2	5.25	8.5	**6.98**	4.3	6.25	10	**6.85**	**12.25**	3.9	37	11.3	**17.4**

Appendix E
Organics, Grain Size, Pore Water Metals, Acid Volatile Sulfides

PAH(Seawatwer)

Sample ID	MDL	42956	42959	42978	42981	43239	43240	43242	43244	43246	43249
Client Sample ID		NB6013W	NB6022W	NB6033W	NB6041W	NB 6063 W	NB 6064 W	NB 6072 W	NB 6074 W	NB 6082 W	NB 6051 W
Replicate Number		R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1
Date Sampled		8/22/2006	8/22/2006	8/22/2006	8/22/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006
Matrix		Seawater	Seawater	Seawater	Seawater	Seawater	Seawater	Seawater	Seawater	Seawater	Seawater
Units	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L
(d10-Acenaphthene)		96	84	91	92	92	99	88	93	88	98
(d10-Phenanthrene)		96	95	99	98	98	101	96	105	98	89
(d12-Chrysene)		82	105	109	87	94	98	100	105	98	86
(d12-Perylene)		75	105	103	80	77	82	81	91	87	71
(d8-Naphthalene)		86	76	86	84	83	91	81	88	81	95
1-Methylnaphthalene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	28.1
1-Methylphenanthrene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
2-Methylnaphthalene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	33.1
Acenaphthene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	3.6
Acenaphthylene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	73.7
Anthracene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Benz_a_anthracene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Benzo_a_pyrene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Benzo_b_fluoranthene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Benzo_e_pyrene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Benzo_g,h,i_perylene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Benzo_k_fluoranthene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Biphenyl	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	5.5
Chrysene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Dibenz_a,h_anthracene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Dibenzothiophene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Fluoranthene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Fluorene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	10.9
Indeno_1,2,3-c,d_pyrene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Naphthalene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	172
Perylene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Phenanthrene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	10.2
Pyrene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Total Detectable PAHs	NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	337

PAH (Sediment)

Sample ID	MDL	43255	43255	43256	43258	43260	43262	43265	43298	43301	43307	43310
Client Sample ID		NB 6063 S	NB 6063 S	NB 6064 S	NB 6072 S	NB 6074 S	NB 6082 S	NB 6051 S	NB6013 S	NB6022 S	NB6033 S	NB6041 S
Replicate Number		R1	R2	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1
Date Sampled		8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/22/2006	8/22/2006	8/22/2006	8/22/2006
Matrix		Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment
Units	ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g
(d10-Acenaphthene)		59	56	80	56	68	51	56	66	38	79	40
(d10-Phenanthrene)		82	93	83	70	81	70	63	86	65	93	56
(d12-Chrysene)		104	101	108	111	101	99	102	106	96	109	80
(d12-Perylene)		98	94	96	104	96	94	96	105	87	100	73
(d8-Naphthalene)		42	33	60	38	39	32	46	40	24	57	31
1-Methylnaphthalene	1	1.8	0.9	<1	<1	<1	<1	9.6	<1	0.2	0.3	<1
1-Methylphenanthrene	1	1	0.8	<1	0.6	<1	<1	9.8	0.3	0.5	2.3	2.9
2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	1	1	0.3	<1	<1	<1	<1	5.5	<1	<1	<1	<1
2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	1	3.4	2.3	0.6	0.7	<1	<1	18.6	0.5	0.5	<1	0.3
2-Methylnaphthalene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	12.8	<1	<1	<1	<1
Acenaphthene	1	1.5	1.1	0.6	1	<1	<1	4.7	0.6	0.6	1.5	2.1
Acenaphthylene	1	1.2	1	0.8	4.3	0.9	1	2.8	0.4	0.4	2.2	1.4
Anthracene	1	4.1	3.6	1.8	9.9	1.1	1.3	18.4	1.5	1	6.3	6.8
Benz_a_anthracene	1	21	16.1	5.7	28.1	4.6	4.5	93.3	8	5	25.3	33.7
Benzo_a_pyrene	1	31.1	21.2	8.4	37.4	6.3	4.7	126	11.8	7.6	34.5	43.5
Benzo_b_fluoranthene	1	31.1	22.9	10.9	40.7	6.8	6	129	13	6.9	33.4	39.9
Benzo_e_pyrene	1	31.5	22.9	9.4	35.4	6.5	5.3	126	11.8	4.6	32.2	38.7
Benzo_g,h,i_perylene	1	43.6	27.6	11.1	32.3	7.3	6.7	150	16.9	9.5	37.6	41.6
Benzo_k_fluoranthene	1	36.4	25.7	10.5	47.6	6.9	6.3	149	13.2	8.5	48.2	53.1
Biphenyl	1	0.9	<1	<1	0.4	<1	<1	2.1	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.3
Chrysene	1	35.9	25.3	10	59	7.6	14.5	166	14.6	7.7	42	54.5
Dibenz_a,h_anthracene	1	9.7	5.8	1.4	9	<1	<1	29.5	2.9	<1	7.1	8.4
Dibenzothiophene	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	4.7	0.5	<1	<1	<1
Fluoranthene	1	40.7	29.1	11	32	7.1	7.7	252	15.1	8.6	52.7	70.3
Fluorene	1	<1	<1	<1	0.3	<1	0.3	3.9	<1	0.5	2.1	1.2
Indeno_1,2,3-c,d_pyrene	1	32.3	21.4	8	31.8	5.4	4.6	121	14.2	8.4	34	33.5
Naphthalene	1	2.6	0.8	0.6	2.7	1	0.4	6.4	2	1.5	1.7	1.2
Perylene	1	10.2	6	2.5	14.5	1.5	1.2	44.4	5.9	2.6	10.5	13.3
Phenanthrene	1	13.1	9.1	2.1	6.9	<1	<1	101	3.9	3.6	22	27.7
Pyrene	1	45.8	33.4	13.1	38.6	8.7	8	259	17.2	10	52.8	72.1
Total Detectable PAHs	NA	400	277	108	433	71.7	72.5	1845	155	88.7	449	546

PCB (seawater)

Sample ID	MDL	42956	42959	42978	42981	43239	43240	43242	43244	43246	43249
Client Sample ID		NB6013W	NB6022W	NB6033W	NB6041W	NB 6063 W	NB 6064 W	NB 6072 W	NB 6074 W	NB 6082 W	NB 6051 W
Replicate Number		R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1
Date Sampled		8/22/2006	8/22/2006	8/22/2006	8/22/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006
Matrix		Seawater	Seawater	Seawater	Seawater	Seawater	Seawater	Seawater	Seawater	Seawater	Seawater
Units		ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L
PCB018	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB028	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB031	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB033	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB037	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB044	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB049	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB052	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB066	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB070	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB074	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB077	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB081	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB087	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB095	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB097	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB099	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB101	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB105	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB110	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB114	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB118	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB119	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB123	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB126	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB128+167	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB138	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB141	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB149	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB151	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB153	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB156	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB157	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB158	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB168+132	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB169	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB170	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB177	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB180	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB183	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB187	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB189	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB194	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB200	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB201	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB206	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Total Detectable PCBs	NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PCB (sediment)

Sample ID	MDL	43255	43255	43256	43258	43260	43262	43265	43298	43301	43307
Client Sample ID		NB 6063 S	NB 6063 S	NB 6064 S	NB 6072 S	NB 6074 S	NB 6082 S	NB 6051 S	NB6013 S	NB6022 S	NB6033 S
Replicate Number		R1	R2	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1
Date Sampled		8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/23/2006	8/22/2006	8/22/2006	8/22/2006
Matrix		Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment
Units		ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g	ng/dry g
PCB018	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB028	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB031	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB033	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB037	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB044	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB049	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB052	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB066	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB070	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB074	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB077	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB081	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB087	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB095	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1.2	<1	<1	<1
PCB097	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB099	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB101	1	1.8	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1.7
PCB105	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB110	1	1.4	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1.3	<1	<1	1.5
PCB114	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB118	1	<1	1.5	<1	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1.8
PCB119	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB123	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB126	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB128+167	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB138	1	2.7	2.7	1	2.8	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	2.3
PCB141	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB149	1	1.8	1.6	<1	1.1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1.2	1.1
PCB151	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1.3	<1
PCB153	1	2.1	2.7	1.1	2.1	<1	<1	1.6	<1	<1	1.8
PCB156	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB157	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB158	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1.5
PCB168+132	1	2	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB169	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB170	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB177	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB180	1	2.6	<1	<1	1.2	<1	<1	3.5	<1	<1	1.4
PCB183	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB187	1	1.3	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1	<1	<1	<1
PCB189	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB194	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB200	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB201	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PCB206	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Total Detectable PCBs	NA	15.7	9.5	2.1	8.2	0	0	8.6	0	2.5	13.1

Grain Size

		phi Size																										
		<-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	10.5	11	11.5	>12
		Microns																										
		>2000	1410	1000	710	500	354	250	177	125	88.4	62.5	44.2	31.3	22.1	15.6	11.1	7.8	5.5	3.9	2.8	1.95	1.38	0.98	0.69	0.46	0.35	<0.24
Sample ID	Lab Rep.	coarse Sand	coarse sand	med sand	med sand	med sand	med sand	fine sand	very fine sand	very fine sand	very fine sand	very fine sand	very fine sand	coarse silt	coarse silt	coarse silt	silt	fine silt	very fine silt	very fine silt	clay	clay	clay	clay	clay	clay	clay	clay
NB 6013 S	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	3.20	8.07	11.91	13.28	13.90	12.75	10.60	7.52	6.28	3.81	2.05	2.14	2.11	1.31	0.48	0.00
NB 6013 S	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	1.22	3.98	6.90	8.43	9.02	9.64	10.26	11.04	10.20	8.70	6.00	5.07	3.17	1.75	1.68	1.57	1.01	0.39	0.00
NB 6022 S	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.88	3.37	6.63	8.93	10.49	11.47	12.48	11.73	9.94	7.12	5.96	3.62	1.95	1.95	1.96	1.14	0.37	0.00
NB 6033 S	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.96	2.82	5.07	7.17	9.02	10.70	11.72	12.35	10.92	8.70	5.94	4.85	2.96	1.65	1.71	1.76	1.16	0.43	0.00
NB 6041 S	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.34	1.43	3.47	5.91	7.30	8.21	9.26	10.41	10.99	11.18	9.47	7.19	4.66	3.61	2.12	1.17	1.16	1.17	0.75	0.19	0.00
NB 6051 S	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.16	1.48	3.86	6.11	6.70	6.94	7.95	9.77	11.42	12.32	10.46	7.68	4.82	3.66	2.13	1.19	1.14	1.11	0.77	0.32	0.00
NB 6063 S	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	1.25	3.94	7.50	11.03	13.55	15.06	13.46	10.51	7.01	5.64	3.38	1.86	1.96	2.01	1.28	0.46	0.00
NB 6063 S	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.17	1.11	2.60	4.67	7.17	10.23	12.91	14.66	13.15	10.21	6.80	5.52	3.36	1.87	1.93	1.91	1.25	0.48	0.00
NB 6064 S	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.33	1.31	2.86	4.72	6.74	9.10	11.26	13.20	12.83	10.87	7.72	6.47	3.98	2.17	2.25	2.27	1.42	0.50	0.00
NB 6072 S	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.62	1.84	3.51	4.73	6.05	8.10	10.84	12.96	13.81	11.54	8.48	5.40	4.19	2.46	1.37	1.41	1.44	0.92	0.33	0.00
NB 6074 S	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.67	2.25	4.74	7.53	10.53	12.92	14.51	13.11	10.31	6.91	5.58	3.37	1.87	1.96	2.03	1.27	0.44	0.00
NB 6082 S	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.18	1.49	3.74	6.16	8.31	10.35	11.84	12.96	11.88	9.71	6.77	5.63	3.46	1.91	1.98	2.01	1.21	0.41	0.00

			Summary					Percentile (microns)					Percentile (phi)					micron			phi			Dispersion Sorting Index	Distributior (phi)	
Sample ID	Lab Rep.	Analysis Date	Gravel*	Sand	Silt	Clay	Silt-Clay	5%	16%	50%	84%	95%	5%	16%	50%	84%	95%	Mean	Median	Mode	Mean	Median	Mode		Skewness	Kurtosis
NB 6013 S	1.00	13-Sep-06	0.00	0.51	81.31	18.18	99.49	0.83	2.47	7.99	19.54	29.61	10.26	8.67	6.97	5.68	5.08	10.69	7.99	9.37	6.55	6.97	6.74	1.50	-0.28	-2.73
NB 6013 S	2.00	13-Sep-06	0.00	12.30	73.05	14.65	87.70	1.05	3.02	10.97	37.99	64.72	9.91	8.38	6.51	4.72	3.95	19.32	10.97	9.31	5.70	6.51	6.75	1.83	-0.45	-2.63
NB 6022 S	1.00	13-Sep-06	0.00	4.25	78.79	16.96	95.75	0.91	2.64	8.80	25.64	42.52	10.12	8.58	6.83	5.29	4.55	13.58	8.80	9.27	6.21	6.83	6.76	1.64	-0.38	-2.69
NB 6033 S	1.00	13-Sep-06	0.00	8.93	76.54	14.52	91.07	0.97	3.04	10.35	31.46	57.84	10.03	8.37	6.60	4.99	4.11	17.14	10.35	9.41	5.87	6.60	6.74	1.69	-0.43	-2.75
NB 6041 S	1.00	13-Sep-06	0.00	18.45	71.38	10.17	81.55	1.51	4.12	13.93	49.66	90.61	9.38	7.93	6.17	4.33	3.46	25.90	13.93	9.53	5.27	6.17	6.72	1.80	-0.50	-2.64
NB 6051 S	1.00	13-Sep-06	0.00	18.31	71.37	10.32	81.69	1.49	4.05	12.65	49.80	92.46	9.40	7.96	6.31	4.33	3.43	25.15	12.65	9.43	5.31	6.31	6.73	1.82	-0.55	-2.65
NB 6063 S	1.00	13-Sep-06	0.00	1.36	82.06	16.58	98.64	0.86	2.70	8.26	19.97	32.13	10.19	8.54	6.93	5.65	4.96	11.25	8.26	9.31	6.48	6.93	6.75	1.45	-0.31	-2.81
NB 6063 S	2.00	13-Sep-06	0.00	3.89	79.80	16.31	96.11	0.88	2.74	8.49	21.90	40.72	10.16	8.52	6.89	5.51	4.62	12.76	8.49	9.28	6.30	6.89	6.76	1.50	-0.39	-2.84
NB 6064 S	1.00	13-Sep-06	0.00	4.50	76.44	19.06	95.50	0.78	2.36	7.70	22.07	42.62	10.33	8.74	7.03	5.50	4.55	12.63	7.70	9.11	6.31	7.03	6.78	1.62	-0.44	-2.79
NB 6072 S	1.00	13-Sep-06	0.00	10.70	77.18	12.12	89.30	1.23	3.55	10.72	32.68	68.77	9.68	8.15	6.55	4.94	3.86	18.84	10.72	9.48	5.73	6.55	6.73	1.61	-0.51	-2.81
NB 6074 S	1.00	13-Sep-06	0.00	2.92	80.55	16.53	97.08	0.86	2.71	8.42	21.52	38.00	10.19	8.54	6.90	5.54	4.72	12.24	8.42	9.28	6.36	6.90	6.76	1.50	-0.36	-2.82
NB 6082 S	1.00	13-Sep-06	0.00	5.41	77.98	16.60	94.59	0.88	2.69	8.95	26.01	45.93	10.16	8.55	6.81	5.27	4.44	14.12	8.95	9.30	6.15	6.81	6.75	1.64	-0.40	-2.74

Table 10. Pore water dissolved metals from Newport Bay marina sediment samples. All values are expressed in μg/L.

MDL	RL		6011	6013	6021	6022	6032	6042	6051	6063	6073	6082	Lab Blank
3	6	Aluminum (Al)	11	12	12	9	11	14	11	11	11	14	ND
0.01	0.015	Arsenic (As)	4.33	6.71	4.47	2.57	2.02	2.38	2.98	1.30	2.59	2.49	3.32
0.005	0.01	Beryllium (Be)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.261
0.025	0.05	Chromium (Cr)	0.38	0.44	0.40	0.44	0.40	0.38	0.41	0.37	0.51	0.39	3.19
0.005	0.01	Cobalt (Co)	0.46	0.438	0.424	0.457	0.392	0.341	0.343	0.369	0.336	0.356	0.263
0.01	0.02	Manganese (Mn)	505.5	332.5	198.3	382.3	115.6	85.83	127.2	87.46	51.4	118.5	0.580
0.02	0.04	Silver (Ag)	0.624	0.641	0.674	0.639	0.609	0.596	0.569	0.555	0.511	0.478	0.590
0.005	0.01	Thallium (Tl)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
0.035	0.07	Titanium (Ti)	0.529	0.977	0.739	0.674	0.498	0.455	0.540	0.408	1.047	0.327	2.949
0.02	0.04	Vanadium (V)	1.03	1.51	1.27	0.50	0.34	0.39	0.93	0.24	3.04	0.4	3.61
0.005	0.01	Zinc (Zn)	3.149	3.784	4.135	3.710	3.256	3.605	3.059	2.926	3.760	3.173	8.835
0.005	0.01	Cadmium (Cd)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.135
0.01	0.02	Copper (Cu)	1.48	1.84	1.86	1.95	1.60	1.60	1.52	1.44	4.56	6.20	3.16
0.005	0.01	Lead (Pb)	0.03	0.037	0.037	0.011	0.013	0.057	0.045	0.01	0.028	0.012	ND
0.005	0.01	Nickel (Ni)	1.185	1.26	1.207	0.979	0.837	1.054	0.957	0.981	0.673	0.925	ND
0.01	0.015	Selenium (Se)	1.22	1.48	1.32	1.38	1.28	1.15	1.12	1.29	1.74	1.13	5.87
0.005	0.01	Tin (Sn)	0.025	0.026	0.033	0.033	0.027	0.021	0.032	0.026	0.14	0.14	0.051

Table 9. Acid volatile sulfides (AVS) and simultaneously extracted metals (SEM) from Newport Bay Marina sediment samples.

	NB6013		NB6022		NB6033		NB6041		NB6063	
	umoles/dry g	mg/kg	umoles/dry g	mg/kg	umoles/dry g	mg/kg	umoles/dry g	mg/kg	umoles/dry g	mg/kg
Cadmium	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.0041	0.461
Copper	0.0325	2.07	ND	ND	0.192	12.2	ND	ND	0.0703	4.47
Lead	0.0253	5.24	ND	ND	0.0434	8.99	ND	ND	0.0679	14.1
Nickel	0.0379	2.23	0.05	2.94	0.0298	1.75	0.0426	2.50	0.0517	3.04
Zinc	1.01	66.3	1.77	116	1.65	108	1.76	115	2.57	168
Total SEM	1.11	75.8	1.80	119	1.90	131	1.80	118	2.76	190
AVS	5.00	160	9.56	306	6.88	220	7.19	230	1.92	61.6

Table 9. (continued)

	NB6064		NB6072		NB6074		NB6082		NB6051	
	umoles/dry g	mg/kg	umoles/dry g	mg/kg	umoles/dry g	mg/kg	umoles/dry g	mg/kg	umoles/dry g	mg/kg
Cadmium	0.0021	0.236	ND	ND	0.0028	0.315	0.0028	0.315	0.005	0.562
Copper	0.0314	2.00	ND	ND	0.0157	1.00	0.0161	1.02	ND	ND
Lead	0.0335	6.94	0.0217	4.50	0.036	7.46	0.0254	5.26	0.0835	17.3
Nickel	0.0209	1.23	0.0489	2.87	0.0423	2.48	0.0397	2.33	0.0556	3.26
Zinc	0.652	42.6	3.17	207.3	2.36	154	1.83	120	3.66	239
Total SEM	0.740	53.0	3.24	214.6	2.46	165	1.91	129	3.80	260
AVS	0.516	16.5	18.9	606	0.741	23.7	1.92	61.5	7.91	253

ND = Not Detected