

**CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
COLORADO RIVER BASIN REGION**

SPECIAL BOARD ORDER R7-2015-0011
AMENDING WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS ORDER R7-2013-0009
NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES)
PERMIT NO. CA0104841 FOR PETER M. ORMOND,
DATE GARDENS MOBILE HOME PARK, WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT
EL CENTRO – IMPERIAL COUNTY

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Colorado River Basin Region (hereinafter Regional Water Board), finds:

A. Background.

1. On May 16, 2013, the Regional Water Board adopted Board Order R7-2013-0009, NPDES Permit No. CA0104841, prescribing Waste Discharge Requirements for Peter M. Ormond Date Gardens Mobile Home Park (Discharger). The amendment is for the wastewater treatment facility (Facility) located at 1020 W. Evan Hewes Highway, El Centro, CA 92243.
2. Board Order R7-2013-0009 permits the discharge of 0.02 million gallons per day (MGD) of secondary treated wastewater from Date Gardens Mobile Home park wastewater treatment plant (Facility) to the Rice Drain No. 3, a tributary to the New River, a water of the United States. Board Order R7-2013-0009 will expire on May 31, 2018.
3. Pursuant to California Water Code (CWC) Sections 13263(e) and 13381, and Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR) 122.41(f), 122.62, and 124.5, Board Order R7-2013-0009 may be modified, or rescinded and reissued, for cause. The filing of a request by the Discharger for a Board Order modification, rescission and reissuance, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any Board Order condition. (40 CFR 122.41(f).) Causes for modification include, but are not limited to, the promulgation of new regulations, modification of land application plans, or modification in sludge use or disposal practices, adoption of new regulations by the State Water Board or the Regional Water Board, including revisions to the Basin Plan, or to correct technical mistakes or mistaken interpretations of law made in determining permit conditions. (40 CFR 122.62(a), 122.62(a)(15).)
4. Water quality data were collected from July 2008 through November 2012 and analyzed in accordance with the State Water Board's State Implementation Plan for Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California. Based on the Reasonable Potential Analysis carried out prior to permit issuance, the Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs), established in Board Order R7-2013-0009, include effluent limitations for Free Cyanide.
5. Cyanide is found in many chemical forms – from being tightly complexed with metals to its readily soluble form as molecular cyanide, HCN. It is only in its molecular form that cyanide is toxic to aquatic life. Cyanide is primarily associated with industrial activities such as metal finishing and is regulated under 40 CFR Parts 413 and 433. In contrast, cyanide is unlikely to be present in household chemicals or products so there are no obvious sources of cyanide in households. Therefore, it is highly improbable that any cyanide would originate from the residential area that discharges to the Facility. Indeed, both U.S. EPA and Regional Board investigations have found that when analyzing for cyanide in complex media, such as wastewater effluent, false positive results are quite common. Even though the exact

chemistry is unknown, the causes for the observed exceedances have been attributed to the prescribed sample collection method, which includes sample preservation and sample holding times, and the use of certain, U.S. EPA approved, laboratory methods for cyanide determination.¹ Notably, in May 2012, U.S. EPA approved, for the first time, laboratory methods for the analysis of Free Cyanide. The May 2012 amendment of 40 CFR Part 136 also provides Dischargers with a path to scientifically establish alternative means to collect, preserve, store and analyze water quality samples for the determination of Free Cyanide.

6. Regional Board staff has inspected the Discharger's wastewater collection system and Facility and did not observe any potential sources of cyanide. Water quality samples were collected and preserved in accordance with U.S. EPA approved methods and holding time requirements were also adhered to. However, analysis of historical water compliance monitoring data revealed that the Discharger used the EPA approved laboratory method for Total Cyanide and not Free Cyanide, as prescribed in Board Order R7-2013-0009.
7. Staff has determined that the Reasonable Potential Analysis was incorrectly applied when setting WQBELs in Board Order R7-2013-0009 because: 1) there are no identifiable sources of cyanide onsite and, hence, no identifiable source of cyanide that can enter the wastewater collection system; 2) permit requirements for sample collection, including sample preservation methods, holding times, and the use of inappropriate laboratory methods routinely produces false positives, often with a magnitude that exceeds WQBELs in Board Order R7-2013-0009; and 3) incorrect laboratory methods have been used by the Discharger for determining compliance with cyanide effluent limitations.
8. As stated in Finding 3 above, Board Order R7-2013-0009 can be modified to correct technical mistakes made in determining permit conditions. This statutory provision states that a permit may be renewed, reissued, or modified to contain a less stringent effluent limitation applicable to a pollutant if "the Administrator determines that a technical mistake or mistake in interpretation of the law were made in issuing the permit..." Here, flawed water quality data was used in the Reasonable Potential Analysis, which resulted in an incorrect effluent limitation for Free Cyanide in Board Order R7-2013-0009.
9. This Special Board Order revises Board Order R7-2013-0009 to delete effluent limitations for Free Cyanide, related provisions and monitoring requirements. Pursuant to 40 CFR 124.10(b) and CWC Section 13167.5, public notice of this draft revised Board Order must be provided to allow at least thirty (30) days for the public to comment on it, and public notice of a public hearing must also be given at least 30 days before the hearing. The two notices may be combined. These public participation requirements provide stakeholders potentially affected by this action with an opportunity to comment on the proposed revised Board Order.
10. Pursuant to 40 CFR 124.10(b) and CWC Section 13167.5, the Regional Water Board published Public Notice No. 7-14-55 for this proposed Board Order on January 15, 2015.

B. Facility Description. The Date Gardens Mobile Home Park Wastewater Treatment Plant (Facility) services a population of approximately 250 individuals among 72 mobile home spaces. The Facility provides secondary treatment of domestic sewage that flows by gravity from the mobile home park into two (2) activated sludge package treatment plants that run in parallel. The Facility produces wastewater that is 100 percent domestic, with no industrial or commercial discharge. As previously noted, the Facility has a design capacity of 0.02 million

¹ Ref. "Cyanide Compliance – Some Problems, Some Solutions", RB7 Whitepaper, 29 November, 2014

gallons-per-day (MGD). The final effluent is discharged to the subsurface tile drain and then flows through a concrete pipe into Rice Drain No. 3. Rice Drain No. 3 flows approximately seven (7) miles before entering the New River, then 30 miles to the Salton Sea.

C. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). This action to amend an NPDES permit is exempt from the provisions of Chapter 3 of CEQA (commencing with Section 21100) of Division 13 of the California Public Resources Code in accordance with Section 13389 of the CWC.

D. Notification of Interested Parties. The Regional Water Board has notified the Discharger and interested agencies and persons of its intent to prescribe Waste Discharge Requirements for the discharge and has provided them with an opportunity to submit their written comments and recommendations (see Attachment A of this Order for full details on Public Participation).

E. Consideration of Public Comment. The Regional Water Board, in a public hearing, heard and considered all comments pertaining to the discharge.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that Board Order R7-2013-0009 is amended in the manner specified below upon the effective date of this Special Board Order, and, in order to meet the provisions contained in Division 7 of the California Water Code (CWC) and regulations adopted thereunder, and the provisions of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA), and regulations and guidelines adopted thereunder, the Discharger shall comply with the requirements in this Special Board Order as well as with those portions of Board Order R7-2013-0009 that were not amended by this Special Board Order:

1. Page 3, List of Attachments. Delete “Attachment J – Summary of WQBELS Calculations” in List of Attachments.
2. Page 6, IV.A.1.a, Table 5. Summary of Final Effluent Limitations. Replace Table 5 with the following (new items are underlined and deleted items are shown in red strikeout):

Table 5. Summary of Final Effluent Limitations

Parameter	Units	Effluent Limitations				
		Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Maximum Daily	Instantaneous Minimum	Instantaneous Maximum
Flow	MGD	0.02	---	---	---	---
pH	standard units	---	---	---	6.0	9.0
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (5 day @ 20 Deg. C)	mg/L	30	45	---	---	---
	lbs/day ¹	5.0	7.5	---	---	---
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/L	30	45	---	---	---
	lbs/day ¹	5.0	7.5	---	---	---
Free Cyanide	µg/L	0.5	---	1.0	---	---
	lbs/day⁴	0.000083	---	0.00017	---	---

¹ The mass-based effluent limitations are based on a design capacity of 0.02 MGD.

3. Page E-4, Attachment E, I.H. Replace this paragraph with the following (new items are underlined and deleted items are shown in red strikethrough):

H. In conformance with federal regulations at 40 C.F.R. § 122.45(c), analyses to determine compliance with the effluent limitations for metals shall be conducted using the total recoverable method. For Chromium (VI), the dissolved method in conformance with 40 C.F.R. part 136 shall be used to measure compliance with a Chromium (VI) effluent limitation. For Free Cyanide in conformance with 40 CFR part 136, the analytical method is ASTM Standard Practice D7237-10 or OIA-1677-09. However, analytical test methods for ~~For Cyanide Total Cyanide or Available Cyanide~~ ~~analytical test methods~~ in conformance with 40 C.F.R. part 136 may also be used as acceptable methods to measure compliance with a Cyanide effluent limitation. ~~The test for cyanide amenable to chlorination, Standard Methods 4500-CN-G is the most commonly used method by commercial laboratories.~~

4. Page E-6, IV.A.1. Table E-3. Effluent Monitoring. Replace Table E-3 with the following (new items are underlined and deleted items are shown in red strikethrough):

Table E-3. Effluent Monitoring at EFF-001

Parameter	Units	Sample Type	Minimum Sampling Frequency	Required Analytical Test Method and ML
Flow	MGD	Flow Meter (Totalizer) Reading/Calculation	1x/Day ^{1,2}	See Section I.B of the MRP
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (<i>E. coli</i>)	MPN/100 ml	Grab	5x/Month ³	4
Fecal Coliform	MPN/100 ml	Grab	5x/Month ³	See Section I.E and I.F of the MRP
Enterococci	MPN/100 ml	Grab	5x/Month ³	"
pH	Standard Units	Grab	1x/Month	"
20°C BOD ₅	mg/L	Grab	1x/Month	"
	lbs/day	Calculated		
TSS	mg/L	Grab	1x/Month	"
	lbs/day	Calculated		
Free Cyanide	µg/L	Grab	1x/Month	See Section I.G and I.H of the MRP
	lbs/day	Calculated		
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	Grab	1x/Month	See Section I.E and I.F of the MRP
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	Grab	1x/Year	"
Priority Pollutants ⁵	µg/L	Grab	1x/Year	See Section I.G of the MRP

¹ Report total daily flow. The Discharger calculates the daily effluent flow from daily readings taken from the effluent flow totalizer. The Discharger shall also calculate and report the average monthly flow.

² Daily (excluding holidays and weekends).

³ Five samples equally spaced over a 30-day period with a minimum of one sample per week.

⁴ The Discharger may monitor for *E. coli* using analytical methods, Standard Method 9221.F or 9223 (APHA, 1998, 1995, 1992. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. American Public Health Association, 20th, 19th, and 18th Editions. Amer. Publ. Hlth. Assoc., Washington D.C.)

⁵ Priority Pollutants as listed in Attachment H and as defined by the California Toxics Rule (CTR). pH and hardness as CaCO₃ shall also be sampled and measured with annual priority pollutant testing.

5. Page E-15, IX.A.1 Table E-8. Sludge Monitoring Required at SLD-001. Replace Table E-8 with the following (new items are underlined and deleted items are shown in red strikeout):

Table E-8. Sludge Monitoring Required at SLD-001

Constituent	Units	Sample Type ¹	Required Analytical Test Method
Arsenic	mg/kg	Composite	See Footnotes 2 and 3
Cadmium	mg/kg	Composite	"
Copper	mg/kg	Composite	"
Lead	mg/kg	Composite	"
Mercury	mg/kg	Composite	"
Molybdenum	mg/kg	Composite	"
Nickel	mg/kg	Composite	"
Selenium	mg/kg	Composite	"
Zinc	mg/kg	Composite	"
Total Solids	mg/kg	Composite	"
Fecal Coliform	MPN/gram	Composite	"
Cyanide	mg/kg	Composite	"

¹ Composite samples shall be collected prior to use or disposal.
² Pollutants shall be analyzed using the analytical methods described in 40 C.F.R. part 503.8.
³ Results shall be reported on a 100% dry weight basis. Records of all analyses shall state on each page of the analysis results whether the results are expressed on an "as-is" basis or on a 100% dry weight basis.

6. Page F-12, Attachment F-Fact Sheet, III.C.10. Replace this paragraph with the following (new items are underlined and deleted items are shown in red strikeout):

The discharge from the WWTP contains conventional pollutants (BOD, TSS, fecal coliform bacteria and pH) that are controlled through best practicable control technology currently available (BPT) and best available technology economically achievable (BAT) to prevent exceedance of the receiving water quality objectives for those pollutants and prevent adverse impacts on the beneficial uses of Rice Drain No. 3 (Imperial Valley Drains). The discharge also contains TDS in concentrations ranging from 606 mg/L to 2,364 mg/L, concentrations well below the 4,000 mg/L TDS WQO for the receiving water. ~~Cyanide has been measured in the effluent and is being controlled through a WQBEL derived from water quality criteria established in the CTR. The established WQBELs for cyanide prevent adverse impacts of the beneficial uses of Rice Drain No. 3 and ensure compliance with the Basin Plan.~~ Nevertheless, the BOD, TSS, and bacteria, ~~and cyanide~~ in the discharge are likely to lower water quality in the receiving water (i.e., cause degradation). For conventional pollutants, including BOD, TSS, and bacteria, this degradation is restricted to pollutants associated with domestic wastewater, is localized and will not result in water quality less than prescribed in the Basin Plan. ~~For toxic pollutants, including cyanide, this degradation will be not significant once controlled and will not result in water quality less than prescribed in the Basin Plan.~~

7. Page F-18, Table F-8 Applicable Beneficial Uses and Water Quality Criteria and Objectives. Replace Table F-8 with the following (new items are underlined and deleted items are shown in red strikeout):

Table F-8. Applicable Beneficial Uses and Water Quality Criteria and Objectives

CTR No.	Parameter	Most Stringent Criteria	CTR/NTR Water Quality Criteria				
			Freshwater		Saltwater		Human Health for Consumption of: Organisms Only
			Acute	Chronic	Acute	Chronic	
			µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
2	Arsenic	36	340	150	69	36	--
5a	Chromium (III)	535.2	4,490.4	535.2	--	--	--
6	Copper	3.73	41.76	25.14	5.78	3.73	--
8	Mercury	0.051	Reserved	Reserved	Reserved	Reserved	0.051
9	Nickel	8.28	1,251.8	139.2	74.75	8.28	4,600
10	Selenium	5	20	5	290.6	71.14	--
13	Zinc	85.62	320.17	320.17	95.14	85.62	--
14	Cyanide	1.0	22	5.2	1.0	1.0	220,000
23	Chlorodibromomethane	34	--	--	--	--	34
26	Chloroform	--	--	--	--	--	--
27	Dichlorobromomethane	46	--	--	--	--	46
54	Phenol	4,600,000	--	--	--	--	4,600,000
68	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate	5.9	--	--	--	--	5.9
81	Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	12,000	--	--	--	--	12,000

-- No water quality criteria available.

- Page F-19, 3. Replace this paragraph in section 3 with the following (new items are underlined and deleted items are shown in red strikethrough):

The RPA was performed on available priority pollutant monitoring data collected by the Discharger from samples collected during the period from July 2010 through October 2012. Based on the RPA, the discharge does not demonstrate reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion above a water quality standard ~~for cyanide~~. Data evaluated in the RPA for priority pollutants reported in detectable concentrations in the effluent or receiving water (upstream) are summarized in Table F-9.

- Page F-20, Table F-9. Summary of Reasonable Potential Analysis. Replace Table F-9 with the following (new items are underlined and deleted items are shown in red strikethrough):

Table F-9. Summary of Reasonable Potential Analysis

CTR No.	Priority Pollutant	Applicable Water Quality Criteria (C)	Max. Effluent Concentration (MEC)	Max. Detected Receiving Water Concentration (B)	RPA Result – Effluent Limit Required ?	Reason
		µg/L	µg/L	µg/L		
2	Arsenic	36	2.7	7.06	No	MEC & B < C
5a	Chromium (III)	535.2	<0.3	2.7	No	MEC & B < C
6	Copper	3.73	<0.82	8.4	No	B > C & MEC is ND
8	Mercury	0.051	<0.05	2	No	B > C & MEC is ND
9	Nickel	8.28	<0.616	8.9	No	B > C & MEC is ND
10	Selenium	5	<1.28	6.7	No	B > C & MEC is ND
13	Zinc	85.62	55.5	<0.26	No	MEC < C
14	Cyanide	1.0	0.018	16	Yes	B > C and MEC detected
23	Chlorodibromomethane	34	4.9	<0.08	No	MEC & B < C
26	Chloroform	--	120	<0.12	No	No Criteria
27	Dichlorobromomethane	46	22.4	<0.1	No	MEC & B < C
54	Phenol	4,600,000	<0.31	2.6	No	MEC & B < C
68	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate	5.9	<0.73	14	No	B > C & MEC is ND
81	Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	12,000	3.7	10.2	No	MEC & B < C

NC = No Criteria contained in the CTR

10. Page F-20, IV.C.4.a-b WQBEL Calculations. Delete sections IV.C.4.a-b and replace with IV.C.4.a. with “No WQBELs determined through RPA analysis.” Renumber remaining section IV.C.4.c to IV.C.4.b.

11. Page F-24, Table F-10. Summary of Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations. Replace Table F-10 with the following (new items are underlined and deleted items are shown in red strikethrough):

Table F-10. Summary of Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations

Parameter	Units	Effluent Limitations				
		Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Maximum Daily	Instantaneous Minimum	Instantaneous Maximum
<i>Escherichia Coli</i> (<i>E. Coli</i>)	MPN/100 ml	126 ¹	---	400 ²	---	---
Fecal coliform	MPN/100 ml	200 ¹	---	400 ³	---	---
Enterococci	MPN/100 ml	33 ¹	---	100 ²	---	---
Free Cyanide	µg/L	0.5	---	1.0	---	---
	lbs/day ⁴	0.000083	---	0.00017	---	---

¹ This effluent limitation is expressed as a geometric (or log) mean, based on a minimum of not less than five equally spaced samples collected for any 30-day period.

12. Page F-27, Table F-11. Summary of Final Effluent Limitations. Replace Table F-11 with the following (new items are underlined and deleted items are shown in red strikeout):

Table F-11. Summary of Final Effluent Limitations

Parameter	Units	Effluent Limitations					Basis
		Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Maximum Daily	Instantaneous Minimum	Instantaneous Maximum	
Flow ¹	MGD	0.02	---	---	---	---	
pH	standard units	---	---	---	6.0	9.0	BPJ
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (5 day @ 20 Deg. C)	mg/L	30	45	---	---	---	BPJ
	lbs/day ¹	5.0	7.5	---	---	---	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/L	30	45	---	---	---	BPJ
	lbs/day ¹	5.0	7.5	---	---	---	
Free Cyanide	µg/L	0.5	---	1.0	---	---	CTR, SIP
	lbs/day¹	0.000083	---	0.00017	---	---	

¹ The mass-based effluent limitations are based on a design capacity of 0.02 MGD.

13. Page G-2, Attachment G – List of Analytical Methods

Add the following method to the list in Attachment G (new items are underlined and deleted items are shown in red strikeout):

Parameter	Analytical Methods
<u>Cyanide, Free</u>	<u>ASTM Standard Practice D7237-10 or OIA-1677-09</u>

14. Page J-1, Attachment J – Summary of WQCBELS Calculations – Delete Attachment J.

I, Robert E. Perdue, Executive Officer, do hereby certify the following is a full, true, and correct copy of an Order adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Colorado River Basin Region, on January 15, 2015.

 ROBERT PERDUE, Executive Officer

ARTICLE I. ATTACHMENT A – PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Colorado River Basin Region (Regional Water Board) is considering the amendment of Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) that will serve as a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for Date Garden Mobile Home Park Wastewater Treatment Plant. As a step in the WDR adoption process, the Regional Water Board staff has developed tentative WDRs. The Regional Water Board encourages public participation in the WDR adoption process.

Section 1.01 A. Notification of Interested Parties

The Regional Water Board has notified the Discharger and interested agencies and persons of its intent to prescribe waste discharge requirements for the discharge and has provided them with an opportunity to submit their written comments and recommendations. Notification was published in the following newspaper: Imperial Valley Press. In addition, copies of the proposed permit were sent to interested agencies and persons.

Section 1.02 B. Written Comments

The Regional Water Board staff's determinations are tentative. Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning this tentative Special Board Order. Comments should be submitted either in person or by mail to the Executive Officer at the Regional Water Board at the address above on the cover page of this Order.

Comments made in reference to the tentative Special Board Order should be directed to:

California Regional Water Quality Control Board
Colorado River Basin Region
73-720 Fred Waring Drive, Suite 100
Palm Desert, CA 92260

To be fully responded to by staff and considered by the Regional Water Board, written comments should be received at the Regional Water Board office no later than 5:00 p.m. on January 7, 2014.

Section 1.03 C. Public Hearing

The Regional Water Board will hold a public hearing on the tentative Special Board Order during its regular Board meeting on the following date and time and at the following location:

Date: January 15, 2015
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Location: California Regional Water Quality Control Board
Colorado River Basin Region Board Room
73-720 Fred Waring Drive, Suite 100
Palm Desert, CA 92260

Interested persons are invited to attend. At the public hearing, the Regional Water Board will take testimony pertinent to the discharge and tentative Special Board Order. For accuracy of the record, however, a written copy of the proposed oral testimony to be given should be provided prior to or at the hearing.

Please be aware that dates and venues of the Regional Water Board's public meeting and hearing may change. The latest information concerning any scheduling changes can be found at the Regional Water Board's website: <http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/coloradoriver/>.

If you are disabled and require special accommodations to participate in this public meeting and hearing, please contact Hilda Vasquez at (760) 776-8950 no later than ten (10) days before the scheduled event.

Section 1.04 D. Waste Discharge Requirements Petitions

Any person aggrieved by this action of the Regional Water Board may petition the State Water Board to review the action in accordance with Water Code section 13320 and the California Code of Regulations, title 23, sections 2050 and following. The State Water Board must receive the petition by 5:00 p.m., 30 days after the date of this Order, except that if the thirtieth day following the date of this Order falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or state holiday, the petition must be received by the State Water Board by 5:00 p.m. on the next business day. Copies of the law and regulations applicable to filing petitions may be found on the Internet at:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/public_notices/petitions/water_quality

or will be provided upon request.

State Water Resources Control Board
Office of Chief Counsel
P.O. Box 100, 1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Section 1.05 E. Information and Copying

Information related to the discharge facility and this proposed amendment, including any comments received on the proposed amendment, are on file and may be inspected at the address above at any time between 8:30 a.m. and 4:45 p.m., Monday through Friday. Copying of documents may be arranged through the Regional Water Board by calling (760) 346-7491.

Section 1.06 F. Register of Interested Persons

Any person interested in being placed on the mailing list for information regarding this tentative Special Board Order should contact the Regional Water Board, reference this facility, and provide a name, address, and phone number.

Section 1.07 G. Additional Information

Requests for additional information or questions regarding this draft Special Board Order should be directed to Anders Wistrom, Water Resources Control Engineer, at (760) 776-8964.