

Hinkley Community Members



Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3

Leave Cr3

Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional): Teresa J Gonzalez

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source.** Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3

Leave Cr3

Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

~~As quick~~ as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3

Leave Cr3

Not sure

Andy Martiny

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3

Leave Cr3

Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional): *Aquilla Halstead*

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

AK Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Patt Dickman

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 _____ Leave Cr3 _____ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source.** Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source.** Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure
if it can go
back to cr 6

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3

Leave Cr3

Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3

Leave Cr3

Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 _____ Leave Cr3 _____ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

~~Choice 1~~

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

~~Choice 3~~

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

However what will they use to remove?

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

_____ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

Leave Cr3

_____ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3

Leave Cr3

Not sure

Its Already Been 40 years!!
There has to be a better way!
Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional): Steven Douglas McKinny

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Its Already been 40 years !!
There has to be a better way !

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional): Theresa Conger

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure



Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Carson

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Carson

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional): Brian Depue

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.



Remove Cr3

Leave Cr3

Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.



Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

_____ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

WALT YOUNG

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

_____ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

_____ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

Leave Cr3

_____ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

_____ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 _____ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional): *Louie Aviles*

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

_____ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

Not sure

What is the impact of having high levels of Cr3?

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional): Sharon Haislip

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Scott Haislip

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3

Leave Cr3

Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

PAUL GREENBERG

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

~~Take as long as necessary~~ to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

X _____ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

~~Take as long as necessary~~ to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3 _____ Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

_____ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

_____ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

TONY ORTIZ

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3

Leave Cr3

Not sure

RAMON Aguayo

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

~~_____~~ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR
(circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

 X Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

Not sure

GUSTAVO Aguayo

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

_____ Not sure

None

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

No one will be alive here in 50 years!

What about paying all the papers now so we can leave!

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

NAAR

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

None

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3

Leave Cr3

Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

NONE

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

~~_____~~ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional): LARRY GRIEF

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

NONE

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 _____ Leave Cr3 _____ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

NONE

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Noise

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

None

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

None

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

None

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 _____ Leave Cr3 _____ Not sure

None

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Clean water source now for all,

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1 *to Long*

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2 *to Long*

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3 *to Long*

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

(4)

Leave it up now

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3 Leave Cr3 Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

4) CLEAN UP NOW - 25 YEARS HAS PASSED

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3 _____ Leave Cr3 _____ Not sure

STOP REMEDIATION UNTIL HUMAN ISSUES ARE DEALT WITH. PROVIDE NEW CLEAN WATER DISTRIBUTION.

Hinkley Community Members

FOR ENTIRE PLUME

Print Name (optional): D. CHENEY

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3 _____ Leave Cr3 _____ Not sure

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, **requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source**. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil?

Please put a check next to one of the following.

_____ Remove Cr3

_____ Leave Cr3

_____ Not sure

none of the above

None

Hinkley Community Members

Print Name (optional):

Please choose one of the three options for cleaning up the chromium plume based on the Water Board's draft EIR (circle your preferred choice).

Choice 1

As quick as possible regardless of the environmental impacts like: lower water table (less water in the aquifer), byproducts created in our aquifer and, maybe, in our wells such as TDS, manganese, arsenic, and uranium, requiring PG&E to provide us with a different clean water source. Chromium 3 left in aquifer after changing from chromium 6. Includes habitat loss, which effects part of what makes Hinkley great is nature. With this choice there are some unknowns and concerns. But chemicals created in aquifer will be cleaned up by project end. Choice 1 will still take nearly three decades (30 years) to clean up and be more than our children's lifetime to clean completely.

Choice 2

A balance between speed and some environmental impacts. Choosing this option will take longer than Option 1 (about 40 years) but have less effect on the environment. PG&E will still be injecting ethanol but include more alfalfa fields

Choice 3

Take as long as necessary to avoid most or all effects on the environment, such as low water table, byproducts in aquifer, and tortoise habitat. This will take a long time (about 50 years) but will create the least amount of effects on Hinkley.

Remove Water & Soil

Please give your opinion:

Should PG&E be required to remove chromium 3 from our plume after they change it from chromium 6 or leave chromium 3 in the soil? Please put a check next to one of the following.

Remove Cr3

Leave Cr3

Not sure

None

Hook into City water & put in community water treatment plant

Underground water

low water