

Genera, Sue@Waterboards

From: Kouyoumdjian, Patty@Waterboards
Sent: Thursday, March 21, 2013 1:06 PM
To: Genera, Sue@Waterboards
Subject: FW: Response whole house replacement

One more to add to comments

From: daronbanks@aol.com [mailto:daronbanks@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 4:18 PM
To: Kouyoumdjian, Patty@Waterboards
Subject: Response whole house replacement

Request for Public Comments

Modification of Whole House Replacement Order

(R6V-2011-0005A1&R6V-2011-0005A2)

Attention: Patty Zwartz Kouyoumdjian Executive Officer Lahontan Water Board, This is a response for public comments for the whole House Replacement program. I have signed the access agreement twice which your staff has a copy of one of the forms. I received a letter from PG&E stating that because I have not chosen either the whole house replacement or the home purchase option that I would be excluded from either program if this statement is incorrect contact PG&E to let them know. Your staff also has an emailed copy of that letter. I called PG&E the next day and told Erin in their community office that I want the system so my family is not exposed to PG&E's discharge or contamination because we could be here for another year or more, until PG&E negotiates with my representatives for the purchase of my home. I understand that the board has nothing to do with private property purchase but that should go both ways PG&E should not be able to use an order from the board a place stipulations on it directly or indirectly PG&E is mixing the two and that is not the true intentions of the order. Continuing with the phone conversation with Erin from PG&E which took place the week of March 4-8 he stated it would take two weeks for the them to call me back in order to have my water tested for the ION system. I had already had an appointment for the water test many months ago it was supposed to be done the same time as Roberta Walker's well was test but they never came or called to confirm their appointment. It is now the middle of the second week and I have not received a call to confirm my water test. The reason I bring this up is because this has been another stall tactic on their part. PG&E wants the water board to think that it has been the community not happy with the Ion exchange unit this is a complete fabrication on PG&E's part. I know it's difficult to believe but it's true they are stalling. PG&E chose the system, chose who was going to make the system had their opportunity to study the system and presented it to the community and board as their sole viable choice given today to the community. PG&E has mislead the community on how intrusive they would be in putting in the system not the other way around. It is my belief that PG&E has had unforeseen problems with the system and are making it an impossible option threw their manipulation of the community and the CAO which was written by both the board and PG&E . The bottom line is that PG&E has and continues to be in violation of their own order by not turning on systems already in place and not putting in systems requested many months ago. I request that the board does not give the 90 day extension for the order currently in place and further more violate PG&E if any system is not up and running by the August 31 deadline. If another WHR option is offered adding to the existing CAO it is PG&E's responsibility to comply with the CAO and they do not deserve any more time PG&E has agreed on the

deadline and needs to be held accountable to it, not continually changing the rules because they got caught manipulating the order.

Daron Banks

Hinkley resident