

**CENTRAL VALLEY REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD**

**585<sup>th</sup> BOARD MEETING MINUTES**

**THURSDAY, 23 FEBRUARY 2023, 9:00 A.M.**

---

**BOARD MEETING LOCATION**

---

Zoom Teleconference and Webcast

---

**BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT**

---

Avdis, Nick	Kadara, Denise
Brar, Raji	Lee Reeder, Elena
Bradford, Mark	Yang, Sean

---

**STATE WATER BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT**

---

Morgan, Nichole

---

**STATE WATER BOARD OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL PRESENT**

---

Jahr, Jessica	Okun, Lori
Knight, Kennedy	Toft-Dupuy, Bailey
Moskal, Christopher	

---

**REGIONAL BOARD STAFF PRESENT**

---

Baum, JJ	Hatton, Scott	Snyder, Clint
Bautista, Victor	Kauffman, Galvin	Walters, Anne
Chow, Bob	Laputz, Adam	
Coster, Lynn	Lee, Petra	
Cottrell, Maxine	Lovato, Maria	
Coughlin, Gene	Maxwell, Mindy	
Dotson, Paul	McConnell, Sue	
Durette, David	Mushegan, Alex	
Falkowski, David	Neptune, Chad	
Garver, Kelli	Palmer, Josh	
Gross, Warren	Pulupa, Patrick	
Hang, Camille	Rodgers, Clay	
Harvey, Dale	Schroeder, Jason	

---

## **ADDITIONAL IDENTIFIED ATTENDEES**

---

Fisher, Kari  
Lile, David  
Saitone, Tina

Giacomini, Pam  
Nelson, Marlee  
Schohr, Tracy

Houdesheldt, Bruce  
Roberti, Carolyn  
Tate, Kenneth

---

## **AGENDA ITEM 1 – CALL TO ORDER**

---

Chair Bradford called the 585<sup>th</sup> Board Meeting to order and made introductions. Executive Officer (EO) Patrick Pulupa introduced staff. Chair Bradford led the Pledge of Allegiance.

---

## **AGENDA ITEM 2 – BOARD MEMBER COMMUNICATIONS**

---

### **Chair Bradford submitted the following communications:**

- 5 January 2023 – Participated on a call discussing CV-SALTS Management Zone Implementation Plans.
- 9 February 2023 – Participated on a call discussing CV-SALTS Management Zone Implementation Plans.

### **Vice Chair Kadara submitted the following communications:**

- 31 January 2023 – Vice Chair Kadara shared a letter reviewing the 2022 accomplishments for the Community of Allensworth. Letter is included as an addendum to the minutes.
  - 22 February 2023 – The Community of Allensworth hosted visitors from various agencies to commemorate funding received for Allensworth Community projects. Attendees included Secretary Wade Crowfoot from CA Natural Resources Agency, Secretary Karen Ross from CA Department of Food and Agriculture, and Director Armando Quintero from CA State Parks.
- 

## **AGENDA ITEM 3 – STATE WATER BOARD LIAISON UPDATE**

---

State Water Board Member Nichole Morgan provided the following updates:

### **State Investments on Flood & Drought** *(current as of January 24, 2023)*

- Staff took advantage of the runoff from the nine atmospheric rivers that reached California in late December 2022 and early January 2023 to raise storage above and below ground. The Water Supply Strategy called on the State to expand average annual groundwater storage by at least 500,000 acre-feet. Agencies are working together to create incentives, streamline permits, and provide technical assistance to facilitate recharge.

- Since 2020, the State Water Board has provided a total of \$1 billion in assistance to 13 projects that will bring a total of 88,000 acre-feet a year to the State's water supplies. Five of these projects are complete and current, providing 25,000 acre-feet of additional storage.
- To date, the State Water Board provided approximately \$176 million for 67 stormwater capture projects funded under voter-approved Proposition 1 of 2014.
- Since 2016, the State Water Board issued 24 temporary (180-day and five-year) groundwater recharge permits, five of which were issued in 2023.

### **Budget Update**

- The Governor's office released the administration's proposed budget on 10 January 2023. The budget as proposed may be modified, but a final budget must be adopted by the legislature by July 2023.

### **Water Boards Strategic Work Plan**

- During the 18 January 2023 State Board Meeting, staff presented the 2023 State Board Strategic Work Plan for discussion among the Board Members and staff leadership.

### **Racial Equity Action Plan**

- During the 18 January 2023 State Board Meeting, staff presented the 2023-2025 Racial Equity Action Plan. Staff will update the Board on its implementation at least annually.

### **Drinking Water Updates**

- SAFER: Staff held a public webinar on 3 February 2023 to provide an opportunity for stakeholders to review and discuss proposed changes to the 2023 Drinking Water Needs Assessment.
  - In addition, the SAFER Advisory Group held Onboarding and Collaboration Meetings on February 9 & 10 to welcome the newly appointed group members. The first SAFER Advisory Group Meeting will be held on 2 March 2023.
- Staff held three Expedited Drinking Water Grant Funding Program Workshops to introduce a proposed program that would reduce the time it takes for disadvantaged communities to get the assistance they need to ensure access to safe drinking water. State Board staff plan to bring the proposed Program back to the Board for consideration by 30 June 2023.

### **Hydrology and Urban Conservation Update**

December 2022

- Statewide water use dropped in December 17.1% relative to December 2020, with 6.0% cumulative savings from July 2021 to December 2022, relative to 2020.
- Monthly (November 2022) and cumulative savings by hydrologic region were as follows:
  - Sacramento River: -17.8%, -12.0%
  - North Coast: -17.3%, -12.6%
  - San Francisco Bay: -16.9%, -15.0%
  - Colorado River: -15.2%, -9.1%
  - San Joaquin River: -14.1%, -11.5%
  - South Coast: -13.8%, -20.3%
  - Central Coast: -13.7%, -18.6%
  - South Lahontan: -9.9%, -8.0%
  - Tulare Lake: -8.7%, -11.3%
  - North Lahontan: -3.9%, -4.0%

### **California’s Water Supply Strategy Implementation**

In August 2022, the Office of Governor Gavin Newsom released the "California's Water Supply Strategy: Adapting to a Hotter, Drier Future" that outlined the state's actions to increase water supply and adapt to more extreme weather patterns caused by climate change. The State Water Board has a lead role in some of these actions and is collaborating with other state, federal, and industry partners to implement the Strategy.

The Water Supply Strategy requires the State Water Board, by 1 January 2024, working with local water and sanitation agencies to identify recycled water projects that hold the potential to be operational by 2030 and by no later than 2040.

Division of Water Quality’s 2022 Volumetric Report that opened in early January includes a new module to gather information about potential new or expanded recycled water projects that could be operational by 2030.

Another implementation action specified for the State Water Board requires convening a strike team to identify and resolve permitting and funding obstacles for recycled water projects. The first meeting of the strike team was held on 31 January 2023.

### **Expanding Groundwater Storage and Supporting Local Stormwater Capture Projects**

Since August 2022, the State Water Board has received eight temporary permits for groundwater recharge. As of February 22, 2023, the Board has issued six of these permits. The Board posts the status of all temporary recharge permit applications online at the [“Pending Temporary Permits for Underground Storage consistent with Governor Executive Orders”](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/applications/groundwater_recharge/pending_applications.html) webpage.

([https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water\\_issues/programs/applications/groundwater\\_recharge/pending\\_applications.html](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/applications/groundwater_recharge/pending_applications.html))

## **Questions and Comments from Board Members**

Chair Bradford thanked Ms. Morgan for the liaison report and noted he was happy to see a large SAFER grant was given to the Lamont Water District. Ms. Morgan replied it was a \$26.4 million grant, the largest SAFER grant awarded to a single water system.

Vice Chair Kadara thanked Ms. Morgan for the report and commented she was happy to see the Expedited Drinking Water Grant Funding Program Workshops to introduce a proposed program to reduce the time it takes for disadvantaged communities to receive needed assistance to ensure access to safe drinking water. Vice Chair Kadara stated an expedited timeframe was very important to her as a representative of disadvantaged communities.

Member Brar thanked Ms. Morgan for the report and commented she echoed Vice Chair Kadara's comments. On behalf of Kern County residents, she was pleased to see Lamont Water District as a grant recipient, because residents have struggled with access to safe drinking water for years.

EO Pulupa commented he appreciated the report and affirmed Region 5's commitment to support State Water Board efforts to achieve targets under the Water Supply Strategy.

---

## **AGENDA ITEM 4 – PUBLIC FORUM**

---

None

---

## **AGENDA ITEM 5 – EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT**

---

Chair Bradford noted prior to each Board Meeting, the EO works with the Executive Assistant and the Board's Program Managers to compile a report on the status of the Board's programs and initiatives.

EO Pulupa commented recent changes to the EO Report made it more streamlined, readable, and useful. The goal is no matter what page you are reading, the report will describe the regulatory action, as well as provide additional contact information. The EO Report will be further refined and utilized for additional reporting purposes (community engagement) in the future, as well as meeting the requirements of AB 2108. The EO report will also be consistently updated with the most recent numbers for domestic well testing in the CV-SALTS and Nitrate Control Programs. Regarding the Racial Equity Plan, EO Pulupa indicated he would be meeting with the Racial Equity Team to transition the focus to Accountability for implementing the actions identified in the Plan.

### **Superior Accomplishment Awards:**

EO Pulupa presented Superior Accomplishment Awards to the following staff (no specific order):

Paul Dotson, Engineering Geologist  
Bethany Soto, Environmental Scientist  
Camille Hang, Associate Governmental Program Analyst  
Hien Tran, Associate Governmental Program Analyst  
Holly Grover, Environmental Scientist  
Jenna Yang, Environmental Scientist  
Marilyn Petruescu, Engineering Geologist  
Peter Minkel, Engineering Geologist  
Bryan Rock, Engineering Geologist  
Debra Hollis, Engineering Geologist  
Ron Falkowski, Engineering Geologist

### **Questions and Comments from Board Members**

Chair Bradford thanked the awardees for their efforts. Regarding the EO Report, Chair Bradford asked about the additional sampling performed late Summer/early Fall in the Lower American River. EO Pulupa replied results were currently being drafted. However, sampling showed humans were not a major contributor to the e. Coli contamination. The major contributors were geese, wild animals, and canines. Staff is continuing to mitigate the trash issue and would be providing a comprehensive report after the scientists evaluate the data.

Board Member Avdis stated he looked forward to the report on the Lower American River and hoped to have further discussions about opportunities and partnerships with local agencies to reduce the amount of trash.

Vice Chair Kadara acknowledged the award recipients for their outstanding work. She further stated the Board was grateful for the talented professionals dedicated to protecting our waterways (even those that did not receive an award today). Regarding the EO Report, she appreciated the adjustments made and felt it served the public better.

Chair Bradford commented he had some suggestions to streamline even further and would speak to EO Pulupa after the Board Meeting.

Member Yang expressed his gratitude to the award recipients and congratulated them for their efforts.

---

## **AGENDA ITEM 6 – ADOPTION OF MINUTES FROM PRIOR BOARD MEETING**

---

### **MOTION TO ADOPT 8-9 DECEMBER 2022 BOARD MEETING MINUTES**

Motioned: Member Nick Avdis  
Seconded: Member Brar

Roll Call Vote:

Member Avdis	Yes
Member Yang	Yes
Member Brar	Yes
Member Lee Reeder	Yes
Vice Chair Kadara	Yes
Chair Bradford	Yes

Approved by Roll Call Vote of 6-0-0

---

**AGENDA ITEM 7 – ADOPTION OF UNCONTESTED CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS 10 THROUGH 12**

---

**UNCONTESTED CALENDAR**

(Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, section 647.2, subd. (f).) Uncontested items are those items that are not being contested at the Board Meeting and will be acted on without discussion. If any person or Board Member requests discussion, the item may be removed from the Uncontested Calendar.

**NPDES PERMITS (AGENDA ITEM 10)**

- a. Department of Parks and Recreation, Malakoff Diggins State Historic Park, Nevada County – Consideration of NPDES Permit Renewal and Time Schedule Order Amendment (NPDES Permit CA0085332)

**RESCISSIONS (AGENDA ITEM 11)**

- a. McArthur Burney Falls Memorial State Park, Shasta County – Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. 98-138
- b. Mozzarella Fresca, Inc., Tipton Cheese Processing Plant, Tulare County – Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. R5-2007-0122
- c. Shasta Community College, Shasta County – Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. R5-2012-0040
- d. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New Hogan Lake Recreational Areas, Calaveras County – Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. 98-075

**CHANGE OF NAME (AGENDA ITEM 12)**

- a. Panelli Family Irrevocable Trust, Shady Glen Mobile Home Park, Placer County – Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. 86-187 and MRP 5-01-880
- b. Soper Company, Oroville Log Yard, Butte County – Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. R5-2018-0083

- c. The Garlic Company, Inc. TGC Cold Storage Facility, Kern County – Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. 75-281

**MOTION TO ADOPT AGENDA ITEM 7 (Uncontested Calendar Items 10 through 12)**

Motioned: Member Avdis  
Seconded: Member Yang

Roll Call Vote:

Member Avdis	Yes
Member Yang	Yes
Member Brar	Yes
Member Lee Reeder	Yes
Vice Chair Kadara	Yes
Chair Bradford	Yes

Approved by Roll Call Vote of 6-0-0

---

**AGENDA ITEM 8 – Order Amending the Waste Discharge Requirements General Order R5-2014-0030-10 for Growers within the Sacramento River Watershed that are Members of a Third-party Group - *Consideration of an Order to Exempt Upper Feather River Sub Watershed***

---

**Staff Presentation**

Victor Bautista, Environmental Scientist, Irrigated lands Regulatory Program (Redding office), indicated he took the Oath. He stated staff was proposing a Resolution to the Board to remove the requirement to participate in the Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program (ILRP) for a group of irrigated pasture and hay operations. The Resolution would revise the Sacramento River Watershed General Order to exempt irrigated agriculture in the Upper Feather River Sub Watershed.

The ILRP was developed in 2003 to protect surface waters in the Central Valley from agricultural runoff. In 2012, regulations to protect groundwater were added. The Program was developed as a broad regulatory tool to encompass all commercial irrigated agriculture, especially the most intensive valley floor operations. The Upper Feather River Watershed contained over 2 million acres of total land, with 98% of it as forests and other natural areas with scattered towns.

In 2021, Goose Lake was the first sub watershed to be exempt from ILRP requirements. Since then, staff met with Upper Watershed groups to see firsthand their low-impact farming practices and to hear grower’s concerns. One of the Central Valley Water Board’s Strategic Plan objectives is Adaptive Prioritization, where the Board tailors permits based on threat to water quality. The proposed and adopted exemptions of these Upper Watersheds where data shows a low to no-threat to water quality is necessary Adaptive Prioritization. In 2022, staff met with



UC Davis/UC Cooperative Extension, Upper Pit River, and Upper Feather River Watershed groups to discuss recent results from farm surveys and research done in both watersheds. Based on those findings, staff decided it was important to address the use of pesticides in the Upper Feather River through farm tours and site-specific case studies. Staff could not find evidence of a risk to water quality degradation from the tour and UC Davis/UC Cooperative Extension case studies. In December 2022, staff released a draft exemption recommendation and Resolution for a 30-day public comment period.

Dr. Ken Tate, Dr. Tina Saitone, and their colleagues at UC Davis and UC Cooperative Extension provided invaluable information through research and reports conducted in the Upper Feather River Watershed.

The Upper Feather River Watershed 2020 Farm Survey reported just over 30,000 irrigated acres managed by 70 ranchers and growers, with 84% in grass pasture and 16% in alfalfa hay. These pasture and alfalfa operations represent less than 2% of the total land area. 4% of acres reported using nitrogen fertilizer, and 16% reported using pesticides. This was confirmed by staff review of the Department of Pesticide Regulation Pesticide Use Reports and discussion with the Agricultural Commissioner.

Although some ranches use nitrogen fertilizer and pesticides, UC Research and Central Valley Water Board Staff determined there was not sufficient nitrogen and pesticide use to affect beneficial uses in this watershed.

Five case studies were conducted by researchers from UC Davis and UC Cooperative Extension at grower sites with pesticide applications. The studies were done via onsite farm visits and were designed as site specific assessments to evaluate the potential risk of hydrologic transport. Pesticide amounts, application timing, application locations relative to hydrologic transport pathways and events were determined for all sites. For growers that apply pesticides on irrigated pasture, the transport risk is unlikely due to the following: growers use a targeted approach of spot spraying dryland areas adjacent to fields, irrigated pastures are downslope from surface waters meaning runoff would have to flow uphill to reach surface waters, nearest waterway to any grower is  $\frac{1}{4}$  of a mile, drylands consume all tailwater if any is produced, and pesticides are applied once a year. The transport risk for growers that apply pesticides to alfalfa is unlikely due to the following: fields are irrigated with low sprinklers that produce no runoff, timing of pesticide applications relative to hydrologic events prevents runoff, nearest waterway to any grower is one mile, and all fields are surrounded by berms, roads, railroad tracks which prohibit any surface flow reaching nearby waterways, and pesticides are applied once year.

In summary, staff tours and site visits indicate the case study sites are typical of operations across the watershed. The weather, soil, hydrology, irrigation, and management practices of the region make transport of pesticides unlikely.

In the Valley Floor Regions where agriculture is the predominant land use, several water quality issues were identified through monitoring, and have emerged as the focus of efforts in the Program. These include active pesticides and toxicity in surface water, and nitrate in

groundwater. However, these impacts have not been detected in the Upper Feather River Sub Watershed, where open rangeland is the predominant crop type, with low pesticide and fertilizer use.

Surface water and groundwater monitoring conducted by multiple agencies from 2000 through 2023 in the Upper Feather River Sub Watershed did not find any of the Program's high priority water quality issues.

The UC Cooperative Extension 2022 Upper Feather River Economic Analysis found that while the Upper Feather River growers rarely (if ever) use inputs tied to high priority water quality issues, and while none of these issues have been identified in the Upper Feather River Watershed, the regulatory costs to a typical rancher here can be 31 times higher proportional to a typical Valley Floor grower's costs, when revenue figures are factored in. This equates to a de facto subsidization of intensive crop regions by low-profit, low impact crops located hundreds of miles from water quality issues.

In December 2022, staff released the draft exemption recommendation and exemption resolution for a 30-day public comment period. Nine comment letters were received from industry organizations, coalition representatives, and local ranchers. A comment letter from the California Farm Bureau was inadvertently missed but was added later.

All nine commenters voiced support for the proposed exemption, as well as the request to expand the exemption to additional upper watersheds.

The proposed order revision would exempt Upper Feather River Sub Watershed irrigated agricultural operations from the requirement to obtain regulatory coverage in the ILRP. All comment letters were in support. If actions are needed in the future to address any unforeseen water quality issues or new priorities, an appropriate regulatory mechanism will be identified and implemented.

Mr. Bautista submitted the presentation, agenda package, and associated files into the record.

### **Staff Recommendation**

Sue McConnell, ILRP Program Manager, reiterated the Program has prioritized evaluating alternative regulatory frameworks for low risk commodity types. This led to the exemption of the Goose Lake Sub Watershed and Managed Wetlands in 2021. This Resolution is very similar to the Goose Lake effort, with the exception of some pesticide use in some of the upper Feather River operations. However, staff thoroughly evaluated the pesticide use and visited all operations. Ms. McConnell thanked the local producers and UC Extension researchers for helping bring this action to fruition. As a result of the field visits, staff was assured there were no threats to water quality. In summary, staff recommended adoption of the Resolution to exempt the Upper Feather River Sub Watershed from ILRP regulations.

### **Questions and Comments from Board Members**

Chair Bradford commented he recalled the support received for the Goose Lake Sub Watershed exemption and was pleased to see this Resolution for the Upper Feather River Sub Watershed before the Board. Regarding the relative cost being 31 times higher, Chair Bradford asked if that was because cattle are lower in revenue as opposed to farmers with crops. Mr. Bautista confirmed it was.

Vice Chair Kadara commented this was a project where the impact is less, with low to no pesticide use or impact to waterbodies. She felt the staff presentation was excellent and she was confident should there be any issues arising in the future, staff would address them appropriately.

Member Avdis stated he appreciated the comments from the interested persons. The Board is aware a one-size-fits-all approach to regulating threats to water quality is not an equitable approach. Member Avdis commented he hoped to see continued Adaptive Prioritization in the future. Exempting Watersheds from the ILRP was not to be taken lightly and must be properly evaluated. However, he was pleased to see this action before the Board and thanked everyone involved in this effort.

Chair Bradford closed by stating this process involved science, cooperation from ranchers, expert input from UC Davis, and staff knowledge to make these decisions. He stated he was in support of the staff recommendation and looked forward to continuing this process for other Sub Watersheds, such as Upper Pit River.

### **Comments from Interested Persons**

Tracy Shohr, University of California Cooperative Extension, stated Mr. Bautista did a great job of summarizing the land management and conservation stewardship of the producers in the region. On behalf of the Upper Feather River Watershed Coalition, they appreciated the Board recognizing the years of positive water quality data that is demonstrative of their stewardship. They welcomed the Board for a visit to their family farms and ranchers. Ms. Shohr thanked staff for taking the time to evaluate specifics for the Region and for the Board 's consideration of this Resolution.

Bruce Houdesheldt, Northern California Water Association, stated he was representing the Sacramento Valley Water Quality Coalition for the owners and operators of irrigated pasture, alfalfa, and hay operations in the Upper Feather River. The Resolution before the Board today represented the culmination of efforts that began in 2016 with the commitment of regional board staff and Board Members to explore a new way forward for low to no risk operations unlikely to cause or contribute detrimental impacts to surface or groundwater quality beneficial uses. This decision would not be possible without the commitment of past regional board members and staff who walked the land with the producers. This work represents a collaborative effort and the Coalition is appreciative of everyone's efforts. They look forward to more work on this in the future and request the Board's support of the Resolution.

Kari Fisher, CA Farm Bureau, stated she represented the farmers and ranchers throughout the State, including and the Upper Feather River Sub Watershed. The Bureau supports the Resolution and thanked staff for continuing to look at these upper watersheds.

Pam Giacomini, Hat Creek Grown, thanked the Board and staff for considering the Resolution. She mentioned they would like to host a tour in the future. Ms. Giacomini updated the Board on the work in the Upper Pit River Watershed, similar to Goose Lake and Upper Feather River. 102 survey responses were received covering over 41,000 acres. They were also working with the commissioners in all three counties to gather all pesticide and herbicide use. She hopes to have a similar discussion about exemption with staff for the Upper Pit River Watershed. She thanked Board Members and staff for their efforts.

### **Executive Officer Recommendation**

EO Pulupa stated he endorsed and supported the recommendation to approve the Resolution and echoed thanks to the staff, researchers, and growers for their efforts.

### **MOTION TO ADOPT AGENDA ITEM 8 – ADOPT THE RESOLUTION TO EXEMPT UPPER FEATHER RIVER SUB WATERSHED GROWERS FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE IRRIGATED LANDS REGULATORY PROGRAM**

Motioned: Member Avdis

Seconded: Member Yang

#### Roll Call Vote:

Member Avdis	Yes
Member Yang	Yes
Member Brar	Yes
Member Lee Reeder	Yes
Vice Chair Kadara	Yes
Chair Bradford	Yes

Approved by Roll Call Vote of 6-0-0

---

### **AGENDA ITEM 9 – ELECTION OF CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR**

---

#### **Nominations:**

Member Avdis nominated Chair Bradford for the position of Board Chair. Member Brar and Vice Chair Kadara seconded the nomination. Chair Bradford accepted the nomination.

Member Lee Reeder nominated Member Avdis for the position of Vice Chair. Member Brar seconded the nomination. Member Avdis accepted the nomination.

EO Pulupa thanked the Board Members for their service and stated he would always entertain briefings requested from the Board on any topic in the Board's interest. EO Pulupa stated there was a vacancy on the Basin workgroup if Vice Chair Kadara was interested. Additionally, EO Pulupa indicated he would like to continue to work with her and Chair Bradford on the upcoming Board retreat. Vice Chair Kadara indicated she was happy to continue those conversations and provide support where needed.

**MOTION TO ADOPT AGENDA ITEM 9 – ELECTION OF MARK BRADFORD FOR 2023 CHAIR OF THE CENTRAL VALLEY WATER BOARD**

Motioned: Member Avdis  
Seconded: Member Lee Reeder

Roll Call Vote:

Member Avdis	Yes
Member Yang	Yes
Member Brar	Yes
Member Lee Reeder	Yes
Vice Chair Kadara	Yes
Chair Bradford	Yes

Approved by Roll Call Vote of 6-0-0

**MOTION TO ADOPT AGENDA ITEM 9 – ELECTION OF NICHOLAS AVDIS FOR 2023 VICE CHAIR OF THE CENTRAL VALLEY WATER BOARD**

Motioned: Vice Chair Kadara  
Seconded: Member Brar

Roll Call Vote:

Member Avdis	Yes
Member Yang	Yes
Member Brar	Yes
Member Lee Reeder	Yes
Vice Chair Kadara	Yes
Chair Bradford	Yes

Approved by Roll Call Vote of 6-0-0

---

**MEETING ADJOURNED**

---

The Board Meeting adjourned at 10:27 a.m. to the 27-28 April 2023 Board Meeting in Bakersfield, CA.