

---

**Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board**

**TO:** Wendy Wyels  
Environmental Program Manager  
**COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT SECTION**

**FROM:** Nichole Morgan   
Senior Water Resource Control Engineer  
**NPDES COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT UNIT**

**DATE:** 6 November 2014

**SUBJECT: SUBMITTAL OF NPDES MONITORING REPORTS, JANUARY-JUNE 2014**

Board staff queried the California Integrated Water Quality System (CIQWS) to determine the number of Dischargers that are currently enrolled under an individual NPDES permit, the *General Waste Discharge Requirements/NPDES Permit for Dewatering and Other Low Threat Discharges to Surface Waters* (Low Threat General Order) and the *General Waste Discharge Requirements/NPDES Permit for Limited Threat Discharges of Treated/Untreated Groundwater from Cleanup Sites, Wastewater from Super Chlorinated Projects, and Other Limited Threat Wastewaters to Surface Waters* (Limited Threat General Order) and are located in counties managed by the Sacramento office of the Central Valley Water Board.

As of 6 November 2014, there were 70 Dischargers covered by individual NPDES permits, 37 enrolled under the Low Threat General Order, and 15 enrolled under the Limited Threat General Order. Between 1 January 2014 and 30 June 2014, approximately 618 monitoring reports were required to be submitted to the NPDES Compliance and Enforcement unit by the 122 Dischargers. The table on page 2 provides a summary of the reports that were due during this time period.

In summary, between 1 January 2014 and 30 June 2014 approximately 11% of the self-monitoring reports that were due during the time period were submitted late. Of the 11% of late reports, 7% were submitted less than thirty days late (and were therefore not subject to mandatory minimum penalties). The remaining 4% percent were submitted greater than thirty days late. On 8 September 2014, the Assistant Executive Officer issued ACL Complaints to the two Dischargers that submitted reports greater than thirty days late and discharged to surface waters during the monitoring period. One of the Dischargers has settled the ACL Complaint by payment, and the other (California Sprouts) has asked that the matter be heard before the Board.

**Summary of Self-Monitoring Reports  
Submitted between 1 January 2014 and 30 June 2014**

<b>NPDES Permit</b>	<b>Number of Reports due</b>	<b>Number of Reports Submitted Late</b>	<b>Number of Reports Submitted &lt; 30 days late</b>	<b>Number of Reports Submitted &gt; 30 days late</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<b>Individual</b>	508	30	28	2	The Dischargers with the two late reports greater than 30 day late certified that there were no discharges to surface waters during the monitoring periods.
<b>Low Threat General Order</b>	66	18	10	8	The Dischargers with late reports greater than 30 days late certified that there were no discharges to surface waters during these monitoring periods.
<b>Limited Threat General Order</b>	44	17	3	14	The Dischargers with late reports certified that there were no dischargers to surface water during the reporting period for 11 of the 14 reports that were submitted greater than 30 days late. Two ACL Complaints were issued for the remaining three reports that were submitted greater than 30 days late. One of the ACL Complaints was settled by payment, and one will be heard before the Board.
<b>Total</b>	618	65	41	24	
<b>Percent of Total</b>		<b>11%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>4%</b>	