APPENDIX 2

BASIN PLAN

SURFACE WATER AND GROUNDWATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES

Bioaccumulation

Many pollutants can bioaccumulate in fish and other aquatic organisms at levels which are harmful for both the organisms as well as organisms that prey upon these species (including humans).

Toxic pollutants shall not be present at levels that will bioaccumulate in aquatic life to levels which are harmful to aquatic life or human health.

Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD_5)

The 5-day BOD test indirectly measures the amount of readily degradable organic material in water by measuring the residual dissolved oxygen after a period of incubation (usually 5 days at 20 °C), and is primarily used as an indicator of the efficiency of wastewater treatment processes.

Waters shall be free of substances that result in increases in the BOD which adversely affect beneficial uses.

Biostimulatory Substances

Biostimulatory substances include excess nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus) and other compounds that stimulate aquatic growth. In addition to being aesthetical unpleasant (causing taste, odor, or color problems), this excessive growth can also cause other water quality problems.

Waters shall not contain biostimulatory substances in concentrations that promote aquatic growth to the extent that such growth causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.

Chemical Constituents

Chemical constituents in excessive amounts in drinking water are harmful to human health. Maximum levels of chemical constituents in drinking waters are listed in the California Code of Regulations and the relevant limits are described below.

Surface waters shall not contain concentrations of chemical constituents in amounts that adversely affect any designated beneficial use.

Water designated for use as Domestic or Municipal Supply (MUN) shall not contain concentrations of chemical constituents in excess of the limits specified in the following provisions of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations which are incorporated by reference into this plan: Table 64431-A of Section 64431 (Inorganic Chemicals), Table 64431-B of Section 64431 (Fluoride), and Table 64444-A of Section 64444 (Organic Chemicals). This incorporation by reference is prospective including future changes to the incorporated provisions as the changes take effect. (See Tables 3-5, 3-6, and 3-7.)

Table 3-5. The Maximum Contaminant Levels: Inorganic Chemicals (for MUN beneficial use) specified in Table 64431-A of Section 64431 of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations as of 9-8-94.

Constituent	Maximum Contaminant Level mg/L
Aluminum	1.
Antimony	0.006
Arsenic	0.05
Asbestos	7 MFL*
Barium	1.
Beryllium	0.004
Cadmium	0.005
Chromium	0.05
Cyanide	0.2
Mercury	0.002
Nickel	0.1
Nitrate (as NO_3)	45.
Nitrate + Nitrite (sum as nitrogen)	10.
Nitrite (as nitrogen)	1.
Selenium	0.05
Thallium	0.002

* MFL = million fibers per liter; MCL for fibers exceeding 10 μm in lenght

Table 3-7. The Maximum Contaminant Levels: Organic Chemicals (for MUN beneficial use) specified in Table 64444-A of Section 64444 of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations as of 9-8-94.

Constituent	Maximum Contaminant Level mg/L
A. Volatile Organic Chamicals (VOCs)	
Benzene	0.001
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.0005
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.6
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.005
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.005
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.0005
1,1-Dichloroethylene	0.006
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.006
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.01
Dichloromethane	0.005
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.005
1,3-Dichloropropene	0.0005
Ethylbenzene	0.7
Monochlorobenzene	0.07
Styrene	0.1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachlorethane	0.001
Tetrachloroethylene	0.005
Toluene	0.15
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.07
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.200
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.005
Trichloroethylene	0.005
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.15
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-Trifluoroethane	1.2
Vinyl Chloride	0.0005
Xylenes (single isomer or sum of isomers)	1.750
B. Non-Volatile Synthetic Organic Chemicals (SOCs)	
Alachlor	0.002
Atrazine	0.003
Bentazon	0.018
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.0002
Carbofuran	0.018
Chlordane	0.0001
2,4-D	0.07
Dalapon	0.2
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.0002
Di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate	0.4
Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	0.004
Dinoseb	0.007
Diquat	0.02
Endothall	0.1
Endrin	0.002
Ethylene Dibromide	0.00005
Glyphosate	0.7
Heptachlor	0.00001
Heptachlor Epoxide	0.00001
Hexachlorobenzene	0.001
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.05
Lindane	0.0002
Methoxychlor	0.04
Molinate	0.02
Oxamyl	0.2
Pentachlorophenol	0.001
Picloram	0.5
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	0.0005
Simazine	0.004
Thiobencarb	0.07
Toxaphene	0.003
2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin)	$3X10^{-8}$
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	0.05

Table 3-8. Water Quality Objectives for Selected Constituents in Inland Surface Waters[a].

Reaches are in upstream to downstream order.

WATERSHED/STREAM REACH[b]	TDS (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Boron[c] (mg/L)	Nitrogen[d] (mg/L)	SAR[e] (mg/L)
Miscellaneous Ventura Coastal Streams	*no waterbody specific objectives [f]*					
Ventura River Watershed:						
Above Camino Cielo Road	700	300	50	1.0	5	5
Between Camino Cielo Road and Casitas Vista Road	800	300	60	1.0	5	5
Between Casitas Vista Road and confluence with Weldon Canyon	1000	300	60	1.0	5	5
Between confluence with Weldon Canyon and Main Street	1500	500	300	1.5	10	5
Between Main St. and Ventura River Estuary	*no waterbody specific objectives [f]*					
Santa Clara River Watershed:						
Above Lang gaging station	500	100	50	0.5	5	5
Between Lang gaging station and Bouquet Canyon Road Bridge	800	150	100	1.0	5	5
Between Bouquet Canyon Road Bridge and West Pier Highway 99	1000	300	100	1.5	10	5
Between West Pier Highway 99 and Blue Cut gaging station	1000	400	100	1.5	5	10
Between Blue Cut gaging station and A Street, Fillmore	1300	600	100	1.5	5	5
Between A Street, Fillmore and Freeman Diversion "Dam" near Saticoy	1300	650	80	1.5	5	5
Between Freeman Diversion "Dam" near Saticoy and Highway 101 Bridge	1200	600	150	1.5	-	-
Between Highway 101 Bridge and Santa Clara River Estuary	*no waterbody specific objectives [f]*					
Santa Paula Creek above Santa Paula Water Works Diversion Dam	600	250	45	1.0	5	5
Sespe Creek above gaging station, 500' downstream from Little Sespe Creek	800	320	60	1.5	5	5
Piru Creek above gaging station below Santa Felicia Dam	800	400	60	1.0	5	5
Calleguas Creek Watershed:						
Above Potrero Road	850	250	150	1.0	10	f
Below Potrero Road	*no waterbody specific objectives [f]*					

Table 3-8. Water Quality Objectives for Selected Constituents in Inland Surface Waters[a] (cont.)

Reaches are in upstream to downstream order.

WATERSHED/STREAM REACH[b]	TDS (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Boron[c] (mg/L)	Nitrogen[d] (mg/L)	SAR[e] (mg/L)
Miscellaneous Los Angeles County Coastal Streams	*no waterbody specific objectives [f]*					
Malibu Creek Watershed	*2000*	*500*	*500*	*2.0*	*10*	-
Ballona Creek Watershed	*no waterbody specific objectives [f]*					
Dominguez Channel Watershed	*no waterbody specific objectives [f]*					
Los Angeles River Watershed:						
Above Figueroa Street	950	300	150	g	8	g
Between Figueroa Street and Los Angeles River Estuary (Willow Street). Includes Rio Hondo below Santa Ana Freeway	1500	350	150	g	8	g
Rio Hondo above Santa Ana Freeway [h]	750	300	150	g	8	g
Santa Anita Creek above Santa Anita spreading grounds	250	30	10	g	f	g
Eaton Canyon Creek above Eaton Dam	250	30	10	g	f	g
Arroyo Seco above spreading grounds	300	40	15	g	f	g
Big Tujunga Creek above Hansen Dam	350	50	20	g	f	g
Pacoima Wash above Pacoima spreading grounds	250	30	10	g	f	g
San Gabriel River Watershed:						
Above Morris Dam	250	30	10	0.6	2	2
Between Morris Dam and Ramona Blvd.	*450*	*100*	*100*	*0.5*	8	g
Between Ramona Blvd. and Firestone Blvd.	750	300	150	*1.0*	8	g
Between Firestone Blvd. and San Gabriel River Estuary (downstream from Willow Street) including Coyote Creek	*no waterbody specific objectives [f]*					
All other minor San Gabriel Mountain streams tributary to San Gabriel Valley [i]	300	40	15	g	f	g
Island Watercourses:						
Anacapa Island	*no waterbody specific objectives [f]*					
San Nicolas Island	*no waterbody specific objectives [f]*					
Santa Barbara island	*no waterbody specific objectives [f]*					
Santa Catalina Island	*no waterbody specific objectives [f]*					
San Clemente Island	*no waterbody specific objectives [f]*					

Table 3-8. Water Quality Objectives for Selected Constituents in Inland Surface Waters[a] (cont.)

Reaches are in upstream to downstream order.

WATERSHED/STREAM REACH[b]	TDS (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Boron[c] (mg/L)	Nitrogen[d] (mg/L)	SAR[e] (mg/L)
Other Watercourses:						
San Antonio Creek[j]	225	25	6	--	--	--
Chino Creek[j]	--	--	--	--	--	--

a. As part of the State's continuing planning process, data will continue to be collected to support the development of numerical water quality objectives for waterbodies and constituents where sufficient information is presently unavailable. Any new recommendations for water quality objectives will be brought before the Regional Board in the future.

b. All references to watersheds, streams and reaches include all tributaries. Water quality objectives are applied to all waters tributary to those specifically listed in the table. See Figures 2-1 to 2-10 for locations.

c. Where naturally occurring boron results in concentrations higher than the stated objective, a site-specific objective may be determined on a case-by-case basis.

d. Nitrate-nitrogen plus nitrite-nitrogen (NO3-N + NO2-N). The lack of adequate nitrogen data for all streams precluded the establishment of numerical objectives for all streams.

e. Sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) predicts the degree to which irrigation water tends to enter into cation-exchange reactions in soil.

$$SAR = Na+/((Ca++ + Mg++)/2)1/2$$

f. Site-specific objectives have not been determined for these reaches at this time. These areas are often impaired (by high levels of minerals) and there is not sufficient historic data to designate objectives based on natural background conditions. The following table illustrates the mineral or nutrient quality necessary to protect different categories of beneficial uses and will be used as a guideline for establishing effluent limits in these cases. Protection of the most sensitive beneficial use(s) would be the determining criteria for the selection of effluent limits.

Recommended objective (mg/L)	Beneficial Use Categories				
	MUN (Drinking Water Standards) [1]	PROC	AGR	AQ LIFE*(Frshwtr)	GWR
TDS	500 (USEPA secondary MCL)	50-1500 [2,7,9]	450-2000 [2,3,6]		Limits based on appropriate groundwater basin objectives and/or beneficial uses
Chloride	250 (USEPA secondary MCL)	20-1000 [2,9]	100-355 [2,3,8]	230 (4 day ave. continuous conc) [4]	
Sulfate	400-500 (USEPA proposed MCL)	20-300 [2,9]	350-600 [2,8]		
Boron			0.5-4.0 [2,6,8]		
Nitrogen	10 (USEPA MCL)				

References: 1) USEPA CFR § 141 et seq., 2) McKee and Wolf, 1963, 3) Ayers and Westcot, 1985, 4) USEPA, 1988, 5) Water Pollution Control Federation, 1989, 6) USEPA, 1973, 7) USEPA 1980, 8) Ayers, 1977.
* Aquatic life includes a variety of Beneficial Uses including WARM, COLD, SPWN, MIGR and RARE.

g. Agricultural supply is not a beneficial use of the surface water in the specified reach.

h. Rio Hondo spreading grounds are located above the Santa Ana Freeway

i. The stated objectives apply to all other surface streams originating within the San Gabriel Mountains and extend from their headwaters to the canyon mouth.

j. These watercourses are primarily located in the Santa Ana Region. The water quality objectives for these streams have been established by Santa Ana Region. Dashed lines indicate that numerical objectives have not been established, however, narrative objectives shall apply. Refer to the Santa Ana Region Basin Plan for more details.

Pesticides

Pesticides are used ubiquitously for a variety of purposes; however, their release into the environment presents a hazard to aquatic organisms and plants not targeted for their use. The extent of risk to aquatic life depends on many factors including the physical and chemical properties of the pesticide. Those of greatest concern are those that persist for long periods and accumulate in aquatic life and sediments.

No individual pesticide or combination of pesticides shall be present in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses. There shall be no increase in pesticide concentrations found in bottom sediments or aquatic life.

Waters designated for use as domestic or municipal supply (MUN) shall not contain concentrations of pesticides in excess of the limiting concentrations specified in Table 64444-A of Section 64444 (Organic Chemicals) of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations which is incorporated by reference into this plan. This incorporation by reference is prospective including future changes to the incorporated provisions as the changes take effect. (See Table 3-7.)

pH

The hydrogen ion activity of water (pH) is measured on a logarithmic scale, ranging from 0 to 14. While the pH of "pure" water at 25 °C is 7.0, the pH of natural waters is usually slightly basic due to the solubility of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. Minor changes from natural conditions can harm aquatic life.

The pH of inland surface waters shall not be depressed below 6.5 or raised above 8.5 as a result of waste discharges. Ambient pH levels shall not be changed more than 0.5 units from natural conditions as a result of waste discharge.

The pH of bays or estuaries shall not be depressed below 6.5 or raised above 8.5 as a result of waste discharges. Ambient pH levels shall not be changed more than 0.2 units from natural conditions as a result of waste discharge.

Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are a highly toxic and persistent group of organic chemicals that have been historically released into the environment. Many historic discharges still exist as sources in the environment.

The purposeful discharge of PCBs (the sum of chlorinated biphenyls whose analytical characteristics resemble those of Aroclor-1016, Aroclor-1221, Aroclor-1232, Aroclor-1242, Aroclor-1248, Aroclor-1254, and Aroclor-1260) to waters of the Region, or at locations where the waste can subsequently reach waters of the Region, is prohibited.

Pass-through or uncontrollable discharges to waters of the Region, or at locations where the waste can subsequently reach water of the Region, are limited to 70 pg/L (30 day average) for protection of human health and 14 ng/L and 30 ng/L (daily average) to protect aquatic life in inland fresh waters and estuarine waters respectively.

Radioactive Substances

Radioactive substances are generally present in natural waters in extremely low concentrations. Mining or industrial activities increase the amount of radioactive substances in waters to levels that are harmful to aquatic life, wildlife or humans.

Radionuclides shall not be present in concentrations that are deleterious to human, plant, animal, or aquatic life or that result in the accumulation of radionuclides in the food web to an extent that presents a hazard to human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.

Waters designated for use as domestic or municipal supply (MUN) shall not contain concentrations of radionuclides in excess of the limits specified in Table 4 of Section 64443 (Radioactivity) of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations which is incorporated by reference into this plan. This incorporation by reference is prospective including future changes to the incorporated provisions as the changes take effect. (See Table 3-9.)

Table 3-9. The Maximum Contaminant Levels: Radioactivity (for MUN beneficial use) specified in Table 4 of Section 64443 of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations as of 12-22-88.

MCL Radioactivity	Maximum Contaminant Level pCi/L
Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228	5
Gross Alpha particle activity (including Radium-226 but excluding Radon and Uranium)	15
Tritium	20,000
Strontium-90	8
Gross Beta particle activity	50
Uranium	20

(pCi/L = picocuries = curies x 10^{-12})

Solid, Suspended, or Settleable Materials

Surface waters carry various amounts of suspended and settleable materials from both natural and human sources. Suspended sediments limit the passage of sunlight into waters, which in turn inhibits the growth of aquatic plants. Excessive deposition of sediments can destroy spawning habitat, blanket benthic (bottom dwelling) organisms, and abrade the gills of larval fish.

Waters shall not contain suspended or settleable material in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

Taste and Odor

Undesirable tastes and odors in water are an aesthetic nuisance, can impact recreational and other uses, and can indicate the presence of other pollutants.

Waters shall not contain taste or odor-producing substances in concentrations that impart undesirable tastes or odors to fish flesh or other edible aquatic resources, cause nuisance, or adversely affect beneficial uses.

Temperature

Discharges of wastewaters can cause unnatural and/or rapid changes in the temperature of receiving waters which can adversely affect aquatic life.

The natural receiving water temperature of all regional waters shall not be altered unless it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Regional Board that such alteration in temperature does not adversely affect beneficial uses. Alterations that are allowed must meet the requirements below.

For waters designated WARM, water temperature shall not be altered by more than 5 °F above the natural temperature. At no time shall these WARM-designated waters be raised above 80 °F as a result of waste discharges.

For waters designated COLD, water temperature shall not be altered by more than 5 °F above the natural temperature.

Temperature objectives for enclosed bays and estuaries are specified in the "Water Quality Control Plan for Control of Temperature in the Coastal and Interstate Waters and Enclosed Bays of California" (Thermal Plan), including any revisions thereto. See Chapter 5 for a description of the Thermal Plan.

Toxicity

Toxicity is the adverse response of organisms to chemical or physical agents. When the adverse response is mortality, the result is termed acute toxicity. When the adverse response is not mortality but instead reduced growth in larval organisms or reduced reproduction in adult organisms (or other appropriate measurements), a critical life stage effect (chronic toxicity) has occurred. The use of aquatic bioassays (toxicity tests) is widely accepted as a valid approach to evaluating toxicity of waste and receiving waters.

All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that are toxic to, or that produce detrimental physiological responses in, human, plant, animal, or aquatic life. Compliance with this objective will be determined by use of indicator organisms, analyses of species diversity, population density, growth anomalies, bioassays of appropriate duration or other appropriate methods as specified by the State or Regional Board.

Table 3-10. Water Quality Objectives for Selected Constituents in Regional Ground Waters[a].

DWR Basin No.[b]	BASIN	OBJECTIVES (mg/L)			
		TDS	Sulfate	Chloride	Boron
	Pitas Point Area [c]	None specified			
	Ojai Valley				
4-1	Upper Ojai Valley				
	West of Sulfur Mountain Road	1,000	300	200	1.0
	Central area	700	50	100	1.0
	Sisar area	700	250	100	0.5
4-2	Lower Ojai Valley				0.5
	West of San Antonio--Senior Canyon Creeks	1,000	300	200	0.5
	East of San Antonio--Senior Canyon Creeks	700	200	50	
4-3	**Ventura River Valley**				
	Upper Ventura	800	300	100	0.5
	San Antonio Creek area	1,000	300	100	1.0
	Lower Ventura	1,500	500	300	1.5
	Ventura Central [d]				
4-4	Santa Clara--Piru Creek area				
	Upper area (above Lake Piru)	1,100	400	200	2.0
	Lower area east of Piru Creek	2,500	1,200	200	1.5
	Lower area west of Piru Creek	1,200	600	100	1.5
	Santa Clara--Sespe Creek area				
	Topa Topa (upper Sespe) area	900	350	30	2.0
	Fillmore area				
	Pole Creek Fan area	2,000	800	100	1.0
	South side of Santa Clara River	1,500	800	100	1.1
	Remaining Fillmore area	1,000	400	50	0.7
	Santa Clara--Santa Paula area				
	East of Peck Road	1,200	600	100	1.0
	West of Peck Road	2,000	800	110	1.0
	Oxnard Plain				
	Oxnard Forebay	1,200	600	150	1.0
	Confined aquifers	1,200	600	150	1.0
	Unconfined and perched aquifers	3,000	1,000	500	--
4-6	Pleasant Valley				
	Confined aquifers	700	300	150	1.0
	Unconfined and perched aquifers	--	--	--	--
4-7	Arroyo Santa Rosa	900	300	150	1.0
4-8	Las Posas Valley				
	South Las Posas area				
	NW of Grimes Cyn Rd & LA Ave & Somis Rd	700	300	100	0.5
	E of Grimes Cyn Rd and Hitch Blvd	2,500	1,200	400	3.0
	S of LA Ave between Somis Rd & Hitch Blvd	1,500	700	250	1.0
	Grimes Canyon Rd & Broadway area	250	30	30	0.2
	North Las Posas area	500	250	150	1.0
4-5	**Upper Santa Clara**				
	Acton Valley	550	150	100	1.0
	Sierra Pelona Valley (Agua Dulce)	600	100	100	0.5
	Upper Mint Canyon	700	150	100	0.5
	Upper Bouquet Canyon	400	50	30	0.5
	Green Valley	400	50	25	--
	Lake Elizabeth--Lake Hughes area	500	100	50	0.5

Table 3-10. Water Quality Objectives for Selected Constituents in Regional Ground Waters[a] (cont.)

DWR Basin No.[b]	BASIN	OBJECTIVES (mg/L)			
		TDS	Sulfate	Chloride	Boron
4-4.07	**Eastern Santa Clara**				
	Santa Clara--Mint Canyon	800	150	150	1.0
	South Fork	700	200	100	0.5
	Placerita Canyon	700	150	100	0.5
	Santa Clara--Bouquet & San Francisquito Canyons	700	250	100	1.0
	Castaic Valley	1,000	350	150	1.0
	Saugus Aquifer	--	--	--	--
4-9	**Simi Valley**				
	Simi Valley Basin				
	Confined aquifers	1,200	600	150	1.0
	Unconfined aquifers	--	--	--	--
	Gillibrand Basin	900	350	50	1.0
4-10	**Conejo Valley**	800	250	150	1.0
4-11	**Los Angeles Coastal Plain**				
	Central Basin	700	250	150	1.0
	West Coast Basin	800	250	250	1.5
	Hollywood Basin	750	100	100	1.0
	Santa Monica Basin	1,000	250	200	0.5
4-12	**San Fernando Valley**				
	Sylmar Basin	600	150	100	0.5
	Verdugo Basin	600	150	100	0.5
	San Fernando Basin				
	West of Highway 405	800	300	100	1.5
	East of Highway 405 (overall)	700	300	100	1.5
	Sunland-Tugunga area [e]	400	50	50	0.5
	Foothill area [e]	400	100	50	1.0
	Area encompassing RT-Tujunga-Erwin-N. Hollywood-Whithall-LA/Verdugo-Crystal Springs-Headworks-Glendale/Burbank Well Fields	600	250	100	1.5
	Narrows area (below confluence of Verdugo Wash with the LA River)	900	300	150	1.5
	Eagle Rock Basin	800	150	100	0.5
4-13	**San Gabriel Valley**				
	Raymond Basin				
	Monk Hill sub-basin	450	100	100	0.5
	Santa Anita area	450	100	100	0.5
	Pasadena area	450	100	100	0.5
	Main San Gabriel Basin				
	Western area [f]	450	100	100	0.5
	Eastern area [f]	600	100	100	0.5
	Puente Basin	1,000	300	150	1.0
4-14 8-2 [g]	**Upper Santa Ana Valley**				
	Live Oak area	450	150	100	0.5
	Claremont Heights area	450	100	50	--
	Pomona area	300	100	50	0.5
	Chino area	450	20	15	--
	Spadra area	550	200	120	1.0
4-15	**Tierra Rejada**	700	250	100	0.5
4-16	**Hidden Valley**	1,000	250	250	1.0
4-17	**Lockwood Valley**	1,000	300	20	2.0
4-18	**Hungry Valley and Peace Valley**	500	150	50	1.0

Table 3-10. Water Quality Objectives for Selected Constituents in Regional Ground Waters[a] (cont.)

DWR Basin No.[b]	BASIN	OBJECTIVES (mg/L)			
		TDS	Sulfate	Chloride	Boron
4-19	Thousand Oaks area	1,400	700	150	1.0
4-20	Russell Valley				
	Russell Valley	1,500	500	250	1.0
	Triunfo Canyon area	2,000	500	500	2.0
	Lindero Canyon area	2,000	500	500	2.0
	Las Virgenes Canyon area	2,000	500	500	2.0
4-21	Conejo-Tierra Rejada Volcanic area[h]	--	--	--	--
4-22	Santa Monica Mountains--southern slopes[i]				
	Camarillo area	1,000	250	250	1.0
	Point Dume area	1,000	250	250	1.0
	Malibu Valley	2,000	500	500	2.0
	Topanga Canyon area	2,000	500	500	2.0
	San Pedro Channel Islands[j]				
	Anacapa Island	--	--	--	--
	San Nicolas Island	1,100	150	350	--
	Santa Catalina Island	1,000	100	250	1.0
	San Clemente Island	--	--	--	--
	Santa Barbara Island	--	--	--	--

a. Objectives for ground waters outside of the major basins listed on this table and outlined in Figure 1-9 have not been specifically listed. However, ground waters outside of the major basins are, in many cases, significant sources of water. Furthermore, ground waters outside of the major basins are either potential or existing sources of water for downgradient basins and, as such, objectives in the downgradient basins shall apply to these areas.

b. Basins are numbered according to Bulletin 118-80 (Department of Water Resources, 1980).

c. Ground waters in the Pitas Point area (between the lower Ventura River and Rincon Point) are not considered to comprise a major basin, and accordingly have not been designated a basin number by the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) or outlined on Figure 1-9.

d. The Santa Clara River Valley (4-4), Pleasant Valley (4-6), Arroyo Santa Rosa Valley (4-7) and Las Posas Valley (4-8) Ground Water Basins have been combined and designated as the Ventura Central Basin (DWR, 1980).

e. The category for the Foothill Wells area in previous Basin Plan incorrectly groups ground water in the Foothill area with ground water in the Sunland-Tujunga area. Accordingly, the new categories, Foothill area and Sunland-Tujunga area, replace the old Foothill Wells area.

f. All of the ground water in the Main San Gabriel Basin is covered by the objectives listed under Main San Gabriel Basin – Eastern area and Western area. Walnut Creek, Big Dalton Wash, and Little Dalton Wash separate the Eastern area from the Western area (see dashed line on Figure 2-17). Any ground water upgradient of these areas is subject to downgradient beneficial uses and objectives, as explained in Footnote a.

g. The border between Regions 4 and 8 crosses the Upper Santa Ana Valley Ground Water Basin.

h. Ground water in the Conejo-Tierra Rejada Volcanic Area occurs primarily in fractured volcanic rocks in the western Santa Monica Mountains and Conejo Mountain areas. These areas have not been delineated on Figure 1-9.

i. With the exception of ground water in Malibu Valley (DWR Basin No. 4-22), ground waters along the southern slopes of the Santa Monica Mountains are not considered to comprise a major basin and accordingly have not been designated a basin number by the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) or outlined on Figure 1-9.

j. DWR has not designated basins for ground waters on the San Pedro Channel Islands.

Statewide Objectives for Ocean Waters

The State Board's *Water Quality Control Plan for Ocean Waters of California* (Ocean Plan) and the *Water Quality Control Plan for Control of Temperature in the Coastal and Interstate Waters and Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California* (Thermal Plan) and any revision thereto, shall also apply to all ocean waters of the Region. These plans are described in Chapter 5, Plans and Policies. Copies of these plans can be obtained at the Office of Legislative and Public Affairs (OLPA) in Sacramento or at the Regional Board office.

Site Specific Objectives

While many pollutants are regulated under federal, state or regionally applied water quality standards, the Regional Board supports the idea of developing site-specific objectives (SSOs) in appropriate circumstances. Site-specific, or reach-specific, objectives are already in place for some parameters (i.e., mineral quality). These were established to protect a specific beneficial use or were based on antidegradation policies. The development of site-specific objectives requires complex and resource intensive studies; resources will limit the number of studies that will be performed in any given year. In addition, a Use Attainability Analysis (UAA) study will be necessary if the attainment of designated aquatic life or recreational beneficial uses is in question. UAAs include waterbody surveys and assessments which define existing uses, determine appropriateness of the existing and designated uses, and project potential uses by examining the waterbody's physical, chemical, and biological characteristics. Under certain conditions, a designated use may be changed if attaining that use would result in substantial and widespread economic and social impacts. Uses that have been attained can not be removed under a UAA analysis. If a UAA study is necessary, that study must be completed before a SSO can be determined. Early planning and coordination with Regional Board staff will be critical to the development of a successful plan for developing SSOs.

Site-specific objectives must be based on sound scientific data in order to assure protection of beneficial uses. There may be several acceptable methods for developing site-specific objectives. A detailed workplan will be developed with Regional Board staff and other agencies (if appropriate) based on the specific pollutant and site involved. State Board staff and the USEPA will participate in the development of the studies so that there is agreement on the process from the beginning of the study.

Although each study will be unique, there are several elements that should be addressed in order to justify the need for a site-specific objective. These may include, but are not limited to:

- Demonstration that the site in question has different beneficial uses (e.g., more or less sensitive species) as demonstrated in a UAA or that the site has physical or chemical characteristics that may alter the biological availability or toxicity of the chemical.
- Provide a thorough review of current technology and technology-based limits which can be achieved at the facility(ies) on the study reach.
- Provide a thorough review of historical limits and compliance with these limits at all facilities in the study reach.
- Conduct a detailed economic analysis of compliance with existing, proposed objectives.
- Conduct an analysis of compliance and consistency with all federal, state, and regional plans and policies.

Once it is agreed that a site-specific objective is needed, the studies are performed, and an objective is developed, the following criteria must be addressed in the proposal for the new objective.

- Assurance that aquatic life and terrestrial predators are not currently threatened or impaired from bioaccumulation of the specific pollutant <u>and</u> that the biota will not be threatened or impaired by the proposed site-specific level of this pollutant. Safe tissue concentrations will be determined from the literature and from consultation with the California Department of Fish and Game and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

  For terrestrial predators, the presence, absence, or threat of harmful bioaccumulated pollutants will be determined through consultation with the

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
LOS ANGELES REGION
January 27, 1997
Resolution No. 97-02

Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan to incorporate a Policy for Addressing Levels of Chloride in Discharges of Wastewaters

WHEREAS, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region finds that:

1. In 1975, the Regional Board established water quality objectives for chloride in most of the Region's waterbodies based on background concentrations of chloride, in accordance with the *Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality Water in California* (State Board Resolution No. 68-16, commonly known as the State *Antidegradation Policy*) and the federal *Antidegradation Policy* (as set forth in 40 CFR 131.12). Water quality objectives are the basis for limits in Waste Discharge Requirements that are prescribed by the Regional Board.

2. When water quality objectives for chloride were set in accordance with the State *Antidegradation Policy* and the federal *Antidegradation Policy*, the Regional Board assumed that chloride concentrations in imported waters would remain relatively low. Since 1975, however, chloride concentrations in supply waters imported into the Region have been increasing. During the late 1980s, drought in watersheds that are sources of imported supply waters made it difficult for many dischargers in the Los Angeles Region to comply with water quality limits for chloride.

3. In addition to relatively high chloride levels in supply waters, chloride levels in wastewaters in the Region can be affected by salt loading that occurs during beneficial use and treatment of supply waters and wastewaters. In some areas of the Region, a significant amount of loading may occur from the use of water softeners.

4. In 1990, the Regional Board adopted Resolution No. 90-04: *Effects of Drought-Induced Water Supply Changes and Water Conservation Measures on Compliance with Waste Discharge Requirements within the Los Angeles Region.* This resolution, commonly referred to as the *Drought Policy*, was intended to provide short-term and temporary relief to dischargers who were unable to comply with limits for chloride due to the effects of drought on chloride levels in supply waters imported into the Region.

   For those dischargers who applied for relief under the Drought Policy, the Regional Board temporarily reset limits on concentrations of chloride at the lesser of: (i) 250 mg/L, or (ii) the chloride concentrations in supply waters plus 85 mg/L. An important condition of this relief was that dischargers demonstrate that high chloride concentrations in their discharges of wastewaters are due to increased salinity levels in supply waters imported into their service areas. Several dischargers provided data that confirm that supply waters imported into the Region are the cause of exceedances of chloride limits in discharges of wastewaters. However, many other dischargers have not yet adequately assessed the source(s) of relatively high levels of chloride in wastewaters and the extent to which exceedances are due to factors such as chloride in supply waters and/or significant chloride loading during beneficial use and treatment of supply waters and wastewaters.

November 15, 1996
Revised January 10, 1997
Revised January 14, 1997
Revised January 27, 1997

5. The drought ended before the *Drought Policy* was due to expire in 1993. However, because water supply reservoirs still had high chloride concentrations in 1993 and because water suppliers estimated that it would take 12 to 18 months for complete replenishment of imported waters in reservoirs, the Regional Board renewed the *Drought Policy* in June 1993 and again in February 1995. The *Drought Policy* currently is due to expire on the earlier of February 27, 1997 or at that point in time when it has been determined that chloride levels in water supplies imported into the Region have returned to pre-drought conditions.

6. Chloride levels in supply waters imported into the Region and in reservoirs are no longer impacted by drought. However, chloride levels in supply waters imported into the Region are generally higher than they were before drought conditions in the late 1980. The higher levels of chloride in imported waters appear to be the result of intensifying demands for and utilization of water resources in watersheds that are the sources of supply waters. In addition, future droughts may affect levels of chloride in supply waters imported into the Region.

7. The Regional Board recognizes the shortage of water in the Region and the need to conserve supplies of fresh water for protection of beneficial uses. Accordingly, the Regional Board supports water reclamation, as described in State Board Resolution No 77-01: *Policy with Respect to Water Reclamation in California*. However, achievements in water conservation and reclamation can increase levels of chloride and other ionic constituents in reclaimed waters and wastewaters that are ultimately discharged to waterbodies in the Region.

8. In order to develop a long-term solution to the chloride compliance problems stemming from elevated levels of chloride in supply waters imported into the Region, the Regional Board has been working with a group of technical advisors, formerly know as the Chloride Subcommittee of the Surface Water Technical Review Committee. This group of technical advisors represents a variety of interests, including: water supply, reclamation, and wastewater management; environmental protection; and water softener industry interests. The group concurs with:

   (a) an approach to permanently reset water quality objectives for chloride in certain surface waters, using levels of chloride in water supply plus a chloride loading factor.

   (b) a need to assess long-term loading trends for chloride and other saline constituents.

Furthermore, due to concerns expressed about the potential for future adverse impacts to agricultural resources in Ventura County, the Regional Board proposes to work with a local group of agencies, municipalities, representatives of the agricultural community, and other interested parties in order to clarify chloride objectives needed to protect waters used for irrigation in the Santa Clara River and Calleguas Creek watersheds. In addition, this local group concurs with the need to undertake assessments of significant sources of chloride loading and–contingent upon results–identify methods that could control chloride loading and the costs and effectiveness of the various loading control methods.

9. The Secretary of Resources has certified the basin planning process exempt from certain requirements under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), including preparation an initial study, a negative declaration and environmental impact report (Title 14, California Code of Regulations, Section 15251). As per this certification, an amendment to the *Basin Plan* is considered 'functionally equivalent' to an initial study, negative declaration, and environmental impact report.

   Any regulatory program of the Regional Board certified as functionally equivalent, however, must satisfy the documentation requirements of Title 23, California Code of Regulations, Section 377(a), which requires an environmental checklist with a description of the proposed activity, and a determination with respect to significant environmental impacts. On November 15, 1996, the Regional Board distributed information regarding a proposed amendment to the *Basin Plan* to incorporate a *Policy for Addressing Levels of Chloride in Discharges of Wastewaters* (*Chloride Policy*). This information included an environmental checklist, a description of the proposed amendment to the *Basin Plan*, and a determination that the proposed amendment could not have a significant effect on the environment.

10. The public has had reasonable opportunity to participate in review of the amendment to the *Basin Plan*. Efforts to solicit public review and comment include: public notification, more than 45 days preceding Board action; public workshops, held on December 2, 1996, December 3, 1996, and January 6, 1997; responses from the Regional Board to oral and written comments received from the public, and a public hearing held on January 27, 1997.

11. In amending the *Basin Plan*, the Regional Board considered factors set forth in section 13241 of the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act (California Water Code, Division 1, Chapter 2, Article 3, et seq., plus others).

12. The amendment is consistent with the State *Antidegradation Policy* (State Board Resolution No. 68-16), in that the changes to water quality objectives (i) consider maximum benefits to the people of the state, (ii) will not unreasonably affect present and anticipated beneficial use of waters, and (iii) will not result in water quality less than that prescribed in policies. Likewise, the amendment is consistent with the federal *Antidegradation Policy* (40 CFR 131.12).

13. Revision of water quality objectives for chloride is subject to approval by the State Water Resources Control Board, the State Office of Administrative Law, and the US Environmental Protection Agency.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

1. Water quality objectives for chloride for certain surface waters will be revised as specified below.

Waterbody	New Objective
Los Angeles River–between Sepulveda Flood Control Basin and Figueroa Street (including Burbank Western Channel only)	190 mg/L
Los Angeles River–between Figueroa Street and estuary (including Rio Hondo below Santa Ana Freeway only)	190 mg/L
Rio Hondo–between Whittier Narrows Flood Control Basin and Santa Ana Frwy	180 mg/L
San Gabriel River–between Valley Blvd. and Firestone Blvd. (including Whittier Narrows Flood Control Basin, and San Jose Creek downstream of 71 Frwy only)	180 mg/L

These new objectives are set at the lower of (i) levels needed to protect beneficial uses, or (ii) chloride levels in supply waters imported into the Region plus a chloride loading factor of 85 mg/L. The levels at which the new water quality objectives have been set are expected to accommodate fluctuations in chloride concentrations that may be due to future drought. Although the new water quality objectives do not match background levels of chloride, they nevertheless are expected to be fully protective of drinking water and freshwater aquatic life.

2. Due to concerns expressed about the potential for future adverse impacts to agricultural resources in Ventura County, water quality objectives for chloride in the Santa Clara River and Calleguas Creek watersheds will not be revised at this time. To address compliance problems with chloride limits based on existing water quality objectives, the Regional Board hereby grants variances (interim relief) to existing dischargers identified on Attachment A. The Executive Officer is directed to notify these dischargers that they are subject to surface water interim limits specified below.

Waterbody Segments for which Existing Dischargers Are Subject to Interim Chloride Limits	Interim Chloride Limit
Santa Clara River–between Bouquet Canyon Road Bridge and West Pier Highway 99	190 mg/L
Santa Clara River–between West Pier Highway 99 and Blue Cut gaging station	190 mg/L
Santa Clara River–between Blue Cut gaging station and A Street (Fillmore)	190 mg/L
Arroyo Simi and tributaries–upstream Madera Road	160 mg/L
Arroyo Simi–downstream Madera Road, Arroyo Las Posas, and tributaries	190 mg/L
Calleguas Creek and tributaries–between Potrero Road and Arroyo Las Posas (including Conejo Creek, Arroyo Conejo, and Arroyo Santa Rosa)	190 mg/L

The variance period for interim relief will extend for three years following final approval of the amendment. During this period, the Regional Board expects that the local group of agencies, municipalities, representatives of the agricultural community, and other interested parties which have commented upon this policy will work together to: (i) clarify water quality objectives needed to protect waters used for irrigation in the Santa Clara River and Calleguas Creek

watersheds, (ii) assess significant sources of chloride loading, and (iii) contingent upon results of the chloride loading assessment, identify cost-effective ways that could protect beneficial uses of waters in the Santa Clara and Calleguas Creek watersheds. Should these issues not be resolved within the three-year variance period, the Regional Board intends to renew the variance.

At the end of the variance period, the Regional Board may reconsider revisions to water quality objectives for chloride in the Santa Clara River and Calleguas Creek watersheds. Future revisions of water quality objectives will consider chloride levels in supply waters (including fluctuations that may be due to future drought conditions), reasonable loading factors during beneficial use and treatment of supply waters and wastewaters, methods that could control chloride loading, and the associated costs and effectiveness of the various loading control methods.

3. To address the need to continue and, as appropriate, improve tracking and assessment of salinity loading throughout the Region, publicly-owned treatment works (POTWs) shall be required, as part of their NPDES permits, to monitor and assess salinity concentrations derived from: (i) source waters, (ii) loading that occurs during beneficial use of supply waters, and (iii) loading that occurs during treatment and disinfection of supply waters and wastewaters. Furthermore, those POTWs not already monitoring and assessing chloride loading from industrial sources shall expand their pre-treatment programs to include such assessments.

   Monitoring data and assessments shall be reported by the POTWs to the Regional Board on an annual basis; the content and format of these reports shall be subject to approval by the Executive Officer of the Regional Board.

4. To address water quality problems from water softening processes throughout the Region, the Regional Board recommends that water suppliers, POTWs, and representatives of the water softener industry undertake educational campaigns, targeting residential, commercial, and industrial water consumers, on issues relating to water hardness, water quality problems associated with water softeners, and types of water softeners (encouraging the use of those types of softeners that pose less of a threat to water quality).

5. To address chloride loading that occurs during treatment and disinfection of supply waters and wastewaters, the Regional Board encourages shifts to less chlorine-intensive processes to achieve treatment and disinfection of supply waters and wastewaters, to the extent that such shifts are cost-effective and consistent with water quality and reclamation objectives.

6. Contingent upon the success of the salinity loading measures set forth in paragraphs (2) through (5) immediately above, the Regional Board may consider other salinity control measures at a later date. Such measures may include--but are not limited to--salt loading fees, bans or restrictions on inefficient water and/or "self-regenerating" types of softeners, regulatory controls of agricultural discharges, and expansion of POTW pretreatment programs to include salinity loading controls from commercial discharges.

7. Water quality objectives for chloride will not be changed for the headwaters of the Region's major stream systems. Furthermore, due to concerns over degradation of ground waters stored in the Region's basins, water quality objectives for chloride in ground waters will not be changed. In accordance with the State *Antidegradation Policy*, water quality objectives currently in effect will continue to protect the naturally-high quality of such surface and ground waters.

8. Resolution No. 90-04: *Effects of Drought-Induced Water Supply Changes and Water Conservation Measures on Compliance with Waste Discharge Requirements within the Los Angeles Region (Drought Policy)*, which was intended to provide short-term and temporary relief to dischargers who were unable to comply with limits for chloride due to the effects of drought on chloride levels in supply waters, is hereby rescinded with the adoption of this resolution.

   While this resolution and amendment to the *Basin Plan* are under review by the State Water Resources Control Board, Office of Administrative Law, and the US Environmental Protection Agency, the Regional Board will evaluate compliance consistent with provisions set forth in this resolution.

I, John Norton, Acting Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region, on January 27, 1997.

John Norton

John Norton
Acting Executive Officer

WP

Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan to incorporate a Policy for Addressing Levels of Chloride in Discharges of Wastewaters

Attachment A

Publicly-owned Treatment Plants Subject to a Variance from Chloride Limits Based on Existing Water Quality Objectives

Publicly-owned Treatment Plant	Operator
Saugus Water Reclamation Plant 26200 Springbrook Road, Saugus	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County
Valencia Water Reclamation Plant 28185 The Old Road, Valencia	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County
Santa Paula Wastewater Reclamation Facility 905 Corporate Street, Santa Paula	City of Santa Paula & Ventura Regional Sanitation District
City of Simi Valley Water Quality Control Facility 600 West Los Angeles Avenue, Simi Valley	City of Simi Valley
Moorpark Wastewater Treatment Plant 9550 Los Angeles Avenue, Moorpark	Ventura County Waterworks, District No. 1
Camrosa Wastewater Treatment Plant Lewis Road & Potrero Road, Camarillo	Ventura County Regional Sanitation District & Camrosa County Water District
Hill Canyon Wastewater Treatment Plant 9600 Santa Rosa Road, Camarillo	City of Thousand Oaks
Olsen Road Water Reclamation Plant 2025 Olsen Road, Thousand Oaks	City of Thousand Oaks
Camarillo Sanitary District Water Reclamation Plant 150 East Howard Road, Camarillo	Camarillo Sanitary District

Table 3-8. Water Quality Objectives for Selected Constituents in Inland Surface Waters[a] (cont.)

Reaches are in upstream to downstream order.

WATERSHED/STREAM REACH[b]	TDS (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Boron[c] (mg/L)	Nitrogen[d] (mg/L)	SAR[e] (mg/L)
Miscellaneous Los Angeles County Coastal Streams	*no waterbody specific objectives[f]*					
Malibu Creek Watershed	*2000*	*500*	*500*	*2.0*	*10*	-
Ballona Creek Watershed	*no waterbody specific objectives[f]*					
Dominguez Channel Watershed	*no waterbody specific objectives[f]*					
Los Angeles River Watershed:						
Above Figueroa Street	950	300	~~150~~ 190	g	8	g
Between Figueroa Street and Los Angeles River Estuary (Willow Street). Includes Rio Hondo below Santa Ana Freeway	1500	350	~~150~~ 190	g	8	g
Rio Hondo above Santa Ana Freeway[h]	750	300	~~150~~ 180	g	8	g
Santa Anita Creek above Santa Anita spreading grounds	250	30	10	g	f	g
Eaton Canyon Creek above Eaton Dam	250	30	10	g	f	g
Arroyo Seco above spreading grounds	300	40	15	g	f	g
Big Tujunga Creek above Hansen Dam	350	50	20	g	f	g
Pacoima Wash above Pacoima spreading grounds	250	30	10	g	f	g
San Gabriel River Watershed:						
Above Morris Dam	250	30	10	0.6	2	2
Between Morris Dam and Ramona Blvd.	*450*	*100*	*100*	*0.5*	8	g
Between Ramona Blvd. and Firestone Blvd.	750	300	~~150~~ 180	*1.0*	8	g
Between Firestone Blvd. and San Gabriel River Estuary (downstream from Willow Street) including Coyote Creek	*no waterbody specific objectives[f]*					
All other minor San Gabriel Mountain streams tributary to San Gabriel Valley[i]	300	40	15	g	f	g
Island Watercourses:						
Anacapa Island	*no waterbody specific objectives[f]*					
San Nicolas Island	*no waterbody specific objectives[f]*					
Santa Barbara Island	*no waterbody specific objectives[f]*					
Santa Catalina Island	*no waterbody specific objectives[f]*					
San Clemente Island	*no waterbody specific objectives[f]*					

Table 3-8. Water Quality Objectives for Selected Constituents in Inland Surface Waters[a] (cont.)

Reaches are in upstream to downstream order.

WATERSHED/STREAM REACH[b]	TDS (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Boron[c] (mg/L)	Nitrogen[d] (mg/L)	SAR[e] (mg/L)
Other Watercourses:						
San Antonio Creek[j]	225	25	6	–	–	–
Chino Creek[j]	–	–	–	–	–	–

a. As part of the State's continuing planning process, data will continue to be collected to support the development of numerical water quality objectives for waterbodies and constituents where sufficient information is presently unavailable. Any new recommendations for water quality objectives will be brought before the Regional Board in the future.

b. All references to watersheds, streams and reaches include all tributaries. Water quality objectives are applied to all waters tributary to those specifically listed in the table. See Figures 2-1 to 2-10 for locations.

c. Where naturally occurring boron results in concentrations higher than the stated objective, a site-specific objective may be determined on a case-by-case basis.

d. Nitrate-nitrogen plus nitrite-nitrogen (NO3-N + NO2-N). The lack of adequate nitrogen data for all streams precluded the establishment of numerical objectives for all streams.

e. Sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) predicts the degree to which irrigation water tends to enter into cation-exchange reactions in soil.

$$SAR = Na+/((Ca++ + Mg++)/2)1/2$$

f. Site-specific objectives have not been determined for these reaches at this time. These areas are often impaired (by high levels of minerals) and there is not sufficient historic data to designate objectives based on natural background conditions. The following table illustrates the mineral or nutrient quality necessary to protect different categories of beneficial uses and will be used as a guideline for establishing effluent limits in these cases. Protection of the most sensitive beneficial use(s) would be the determining criteria for the selection of effluent limits.

Recommended objective (mg/L)	Beneficial Use Categories				
	MUN (Drinking Water Standards) [1]	PROC	AGR	AQ LIFE*(Frshwtr)	GWR
TDS	500 (USEPA secondary MCL)	50-1500 [2,9]	450-2000 [2,3,6]		Limits based on appropriate groundwater basin objectives and/or beneficial uses
Chloride	250 (USEPA secondary MCL)	20-1000 [2,9]	100-355 [2,3,8]	230 (4 day ave. continuous conc) [4]	
Sulfate	400-500 (USEPA proposed MCL)	20-300 [2,9]	350-600 [2,8]		
Boron			0.5-4.0 [2,6,8]		
Nitrogen	10 (USEPA MCL)				

References: 1) USEPA CFR § 141 et seq., 2) McKee and Wolf, 1963, 3) Ayers and Westcot, 1985, 4) USEPA, 1988, 5) Water Pollution Control Federation, 1989, 6) USEPA, 1973, 7) USEPA 1980, 8) Ayers, 1977.
* Aquatic life includes a variety of Beneficial Uses including WARM, COLD, SPWN, MIGR and RARE.

g. Agricultural supply is not a beneficial use of the surface water in the specified reach.

h. Rio Hondo spreading grounds are located above the Santa Ana Freeway

i. The stated objectives apply to all other surface streams originating within the San Gabriel Mountains and extend from their headwaters to the canyon mouth.

j. These watercourses are primarily located in the Santa Ana Region. The water quality objectives for these streams have been established by Santa Ana Region. Dashed lines indicate that numerical objectives have not been established, however, narrative objectives shall apply. Refer to the Santa Ana Region Basin Plan for more details.

State of California
California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region

RESOLUTION NO. 01-013
September 19, 2001

Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan for the Los Angeles Region to Incorporate a Total Maximum Daily Load for Trash in the Los Angeles River Watershed

WHEREAS, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region, finds that:

1. The Federal Clean Water Act (CWA) requires the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Board) to develop water quality objectives which are sufficient to protect beneficial uses for each water body found within its region.

2. A consent decree between the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), Heal the Bay, Inc. and BayKeeper, Inc. was approved on March 22, 1999. This court order directs the USEPA to complete Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) for all impaired waters within 13 years. A schedule was established in the consent decree for the completion of the first 29 TMDLs within 7 years. The remaining TMDLs will be scheduled by Regional Board staff within the 13-year period.

3. The elements of a TMDL are described in 40 CFR 130.2 and 130.7 and section 303(d) of the CWA, as well as in USEPA guidance documents (Report No. EPA/440/4-91/001). A TMDL is defined as the sum of the individual waste load allocations for point sources and load allocations for nonpoint sources and natural background (40 CFR 130.2). Regulations further stipulate that TMDLs must be set at levels necessary to attain and maintain the applicable narrative and numeric water quality standards with seasonal variations and a margin of safety that takes into account any lack of knowledge concerning the relationship between effluent limitations and water quality (40 CFR 130.7(c)(1)). The regulations in 40 CFR 130.7 also state that TMDLs shall take into account critical conditions for stream flow, loading and water quality parameters.

4. Upon establishment of TMDLs by the State or USEPA, the State is required to incorporate the TMDLs along with appropriate implementation measures into the State Water Quality Management Plan (40 CFR 130.6(c)(1), 130.7). This Water Quality Control Plan for the Los Angeles Region (Basin Plan), and applicable statewide plans, serve as the State Water Quality Management Plans governing the watersheds under the jurisdiction of the Regional Board.

5. The Los Angeles River is located in Los Angeles County, California. The Los Angeles River flows 51 miles from the western end of the San Fernando Valley to the Queensway Bay and Pacific Ocean at Long Beach. Also part of the watershed are a number of lakes including Peck Lake, Echo Lake, and Lincoln Lake.