

**CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD  
SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION**

**COMPLAINT NO. R2-2002-0044  
MANDATORY MINIMUM PENALTIES  
IN THE MATTER OF  
CONOCOPHILLIPS-SAN FRANCISCO REFINERY  
RODEO, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY**

Pursuant to California Water Code Section 13385, this Complaint is issued to ConocoPhillips (hereafter Discharger) to assess mandatory minimum penalties, based on a finding of the Discharger's violations of Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. 00-015 (NPDES No. CA 0005053) for the period between January 1, 2003 and March 30, 2003.

The Executive Officer finds the following:

1. On March 15, 2000, the Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, (Regional Board) adopted Order No. 00-015 to regulate discharges of waste from the Discharger's facility.
2. Water Code Section 13385(h)(1) requires the Regional Board to assess a mandatory minimum penalty (MMP) of three thousand dollars (\$3,000) for each serious violation.
3. Water Code Section 13385(h)(2) defines a "serious violation" as any waste discharge of a Group I pollutant that exceeds the effluent limitation contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements by 40 percent or more, or any waste discharge of a Group II pollutant that exceeds the effluent limitation by 20 percent or more.
4. Water Code Section 13385(i) requires the Regional Board to assess a mandatory penalty of three thousand dollars (\$3,000) for each violation, not counting the first three violations, if the discharger does any of the following four or more times in any six consecutive months:
  - (a) Violates a waste discharge requirement effluent limitation.
  - (b) Fails to file a report pursuant to Section 13260.
  - (c) Files an incomplete report pursuant to Section 13260.
  - (d) Violates a toxicity discharge limitation contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements where the waste discharge requirements do not contain pollutant-specific effluent limitations for toxic pollutants.
5. Water Code Section 13385(l) allows the Regional Board, with the concurrence of the discharger, to direct a portion of the penalty amount to be expended on a supplemental environmental project (SEP) in accordance with the enforcement policy of the State Water Resources Control Board. The discharger may undertake an SEP up to the full amount of the penalty for liabilities less than or equal to \$15,000. If the penalty amount exceeds \$15,000, the maximum penalty amount that may be expended on a SEP may not exceed \$15,000 plus 50 percent of the penalty amount that exceeds \$15,000.
6. Effluent Limitations  
Order Nos. 00-015 include the following applicable effluent limitations:


***EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS***

1. *The discharge of Waste 002 shall not have a pH value less than 6.0 nor greater than 9.0.*
  
3. *The discharge of Waste 002 shall not have residual chlorine greater than 0.0 mg/l.*
  
7. **Summary of Effluent Limit Violations**  
During the period between January 1, 2003 and March 30, 2003, the Discharger had two violations of its effluent discharge limits. These are: one pH instantaneous maximum limit violation on March 30, 2003 and one chlorine residual instantaneous maximum limit on March 3, 2003.
  
8. **pH is neither a Group I nor Group II pollutant**  
The one pH instantaneous maximum limit violation in a non-serious violation. Because this violation is the fourth or more violation in a six-month period, it is subject to \$3,000 fine.
  
9. **Chlorine residual is a Group II pollutant**  
The one chlorine residual instantaneous maximum effluent limit violations is a serious violation, as this violation is 20% or greater than the effluent limitation. The one chlorine residual limit violation is subject to a \$3,000 fine.
  
12. **Water Code Exception**  
Water Code Section 13385(j) provides some exceptions related to the assessment of MMPs for effluent limit violations. None of the exceptions apply to the violations cited in this Complaint.
  
13. **Assessment of MMPs**  
The two violations are subject to MMPs. The total MMP amount is \$6,000.
  
14. **Suspended MMP Amount**  
Instead of paying the full penalty amount to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account, the Discharger may spend an amount of up to \$6,000 on a SEP acceptable to the Executive Officer. Any such amount expended to satisfactorily complete an SEP will be permanently suspended.
  
15. **SEP Categories**  
If the Discharger chooses to propose an SEP, the proposed SEP shall be in following categories:
  1. Pollution prevention;
  2. Pollution reduction;
  3. Environmental clean-up or restoration; and
  4. Environmental education.

**THE CONOCOPHILLIPS-SAN FRANCISCO REFINERY IS HEREBY GIVEN NOTICE THAT:**

1. The Executive Officer proposes that the Discharger be assessed MMPs in the total amount of \$6,000.
2. The Regional Board will hold a hearing on this Complaint on August 20, 2003, unless the Discharger waives the right to a hearing by signing the waiver in this Complaint and checks the appropriate box. By doing so, the Discharger agrees to:

- a) Pay the full penalty of \$6,000 within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective, or
  - b) Propose a SEP in an amount up to \$6,000. Pay the balance of the penalty within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective. The sum of the SEP amount and the amount of the fine to be paid to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account shall equal the full penalty of \$6,000.
3. If the Discharger chooses to propose a SEP, it must submit a preliminary proposal by 5:00 p.m., August 4, 2003 to the Executive Officer for conceptual approval. Any SEP proposal shall also conform to the requirements specified in Section IX of the Water Quality Enforcement Policy, which was adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board on February 19, 2002 and the attached Standard Criteria and Reporting Requirement for Supplemental Environmental Project. If the proposed SEP is not acceptable to the Executive Officer, the Discharger has 30 days from receipt of notice of an unacceptable SEP to either submit a new or revised proposal, or make a payment for the suspended penalty of \$6,000. All payments, including any money not used for the SEP, must be payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account. Regular reports on the SEP implementation shall be provided to the Executive Officer according to a schedule to be determined. The completion report for the SEP shall be submitted to the Executive Officer within 60 days of project completion.
  4. The signed waiver will become effective on the day after the public comment period for this Complaint is closed, provided that there are no significant public comments on this Complaint during the public comment period. If there are significant public comments, the Executive Officer may withdraw the Complaint and reissue it as appropriate.
  5. If a hearing is held, the Regional Board may impose an administrative civil liability in the amount proposed or for a different amount; decline to seek civil liability; or refer the matter to the Attorney General to have a Superior Court consider imposition of a penalty.



Loretta K. Barsamian  
Executive Officer

7/2/03  
Date

