| CTR# | Constituent                | Types of Analytical Methods <sup>(1)</sup><br>Minimum Levels (μg/L) |  |    |       |     |      |     |       |        |         |      |     |
|------|----------------------------|---|--|----|-------|-----|------|-----|-------|--------|---------|------|-----|
|      |                            | GC  | GCMS                                   | LC | Color | FAA | GFAA | ICP | ICPMS | SPGFAA | HYDRIDE | CVAF | DCP |
|      | Tributyltin <sup>(3)</sup> | 0.005   |  |    |       |     |      |     |       |        |         |      |     |
|      | Total Ammonia              |   | 0.2 mg/L (as N) using titration method |    |       |     |      |     |       |        |         |      |     |

#### Footnotes for Table E-1:

(1) Analytical Methods / Laboratory techniques are defined as follows:

Color = Colorimetric;

CVAF = Cold Vapor Atomic Fluorescence.

DCP = Direct Current Plasma FAA = Furnace Atomic Absorption;

GC = Gas Chromatography

GCMS = Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy GFAA = Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption;

ICP = Inductively Coupled Plasma

ICPMS = Inductively Coupled Plasma/Mass Spectrometry;

LC = Liquid Chromatography

SPGFAA = Stabilized Platform Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption (i.e. EPA 200.9)

- (2) Use USEPA Method 1613. Minimum Levels (MLs) shall be those specified by Table 8 of this Order for each congener.
- (3) Analysis of tributyltin shall be by GC-FPD, GS-MS, or a USEPA approved method; the method shall be capable of speciating organotins and have limits of detection for tributyltin of 5 nanograms per liter (ng/L). Alternative methods of analysis must be approved by the Executive Officer.

#### II. MONITORING LOCATIONS

The Discharger shall establish the following monitoring locations to demonstrate compliance with the effluent limitations, discharge specifications, and other requirements in this Order.

**Table E-2. Monitoring Station Locations** 

| Type of Sampling<br>Location | Monitoring<br>Location Name | Monitoring Location Description   |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Influent                     | INF-001                     | At any point in the treatment facility headworks at which all waste tributary to the treatment system is present, and proceeding any phase of treatment, and exclusive of any return flows or process side streams that would significantly impact the quantity or quality of the influent. |
| Effluent                     | EFF-001                     | At any point in the outfall from the treatment facility, following treatment, including disinfection, and before contact with receiving water, where all waste streams tributary to Discharge Point 001 are present.  |
| Effluent (flow only station) | EFF-002                     | At the point after filtration but before chlorination where all effluent flows are present (after flow diversion for filter backwash and Plant No. 3 water)   |

# III.INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The Discharger shall monitor influent to the facility at INF-001 as follows.

**Table E-3. Influent Monitoring** 

| Parameter           | Units  | Sample Type | Minimum Sampling<br>Frequency |
|---------------------|--------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Flow <sup>(1)</sup> | MGD/MG | Cont/D      | Cont                          |
| CBOD <sub>5</sub>   | mg/L   | C-24        | 1/week                        |
| CBOD5               | kg/day | Calculate   | 1/week                        |
| TSS                 | mg/L   | C-24        | 1/week                        |
| 133                 | kg/day | Calculate   | 1/week                        |
| Cyanide             | μg/L   | Grab        | 1/month                       |

#### **Legends for Table E-3**

(1) Unit Abbreviations

MGD = million gallons per day

MG = million gallons

mg/L = milligrams per liter

kg/day = kilograms per day

 $\mu g/L$  = micrograms per liter

(2) Sample type

Cont = continuous monitoring

Cont/D = measured continuously and recorded and reported daily

C-24 = 24-hour composite

(3) Sampling frequency

1/week = once per week

1/month = once per month

## **Footnote for Table E-3:**

- (1) Flows shall be monitored continuously and the following shall be reported in monthly self-monitoring reports:
  - a. Daily average flow rate (MGD)
  - b. Daily total flow volume (MG)
  - c. Monthly average flow rate (MGD)
  - d. Monthly total flow volume (MG)
  - e. Average daily maximum and average daily minimum flow rates (MGD) in a month
- (2) The Discharger may elect to monitor CBOD as BOD, as defined in the latest edition of *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*.

# IV. EFFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The Discharger shall monitor treated effluent discharged from the Plant at EFF-001 and EFF-002 (flow only) as follows.

**Table E-4. Effluent Monitoring** 

| Parameter  | Units        | Sample Type     | Minimum Sampling<br>Frequency |
|--|--------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Flow Rate <sup>(1)</sup>                                 | MGD/MG       | Cont/D          | Cont                          |
|  | mg/L         | C-24            | 1/week                        |
| CBOD <sub>5</sub>  | kg/day       | C-24            | 1/week                        |
| TOO  | mg/L         | C-24            | 1/week                        |
| TSS  | kg/day       | C-24            | 1/week                        |
| CBOD <sub>5</sub> and TSS percent removal <sup>(2)</sup> | %            | Calculate       | 1/month                       |
| pH <sup>(3)</sup>  | s.u.         | Grab            | 1/day                         |
| Oil and Grease <sup>(4)</sup>                            | mg/L         | Grab composites | 1/quarter                     |
|  | kg/day       | Grab            | 1/quarter                     |
| Turbidity  | NTU          | Grab            | 1/day                         |
| Total Chlorine Residual <sup>(5)</sup>                   | mg/L         | Cont/H          | 1/hour                        |
|  | kg/day       | Calculate       | 1/hour                        |
| Enterococcus Bacteria                                    | cfu/100 mL   | Grab            | 5/week                        |
| Temperature  | °C           | Grab            | 1/day                         |
| Dissolved Oxygen (DO)                                    | mg/L         | Grab            | 1/day                         |
| , ,  | % Saturation | Grab            | 1/day                         |
| Dissolve Sulfides<br>(if DO < 5 mg/L) <sup>(6)</sup>     | mg/L         | Grab            | 1/day                         |
| Total Ammonio Nitrogon                                   | mg/L as N    | C-24            | (7)                           |
| Total Ammonia Nitrogen                                   | kg/day as N  | C-24            | (7)                           |
| Unionized Ammonia<br>Nitrogen                            | mg/L as N    | Calculate       | (7)                           |
| Acute Toxicity <sup>(8)</sup>                            | % survival   | Flow through    | 1/month                       |
| Chronic Toxicity <sup>(9)</sup>                          | TUc          | C-24            | (9)                           |
| Copper   | μg/L         | C-24            | 1/month                       |
| Nickel   | μg/L         | C-24            | 1/month                       |
| Cyanide  | μg/L         | Grab            | 1/month                       |
| Dioxin-TEQ <sup>(10)</sup>                               | μg/L         | Grab            | 2/year                        |
| Endrin   | μg/L         | Grab            | 1/quarter                     |
| Tributyltin  | μg/L         | Grab            | 1/quarter                     |
| Remaining Priority<br>Pollutants <sup>(11)</sup>         | μg/L         | (10)            | 2/year                        |
| Standard Observations <sup>(12)</sup>                    |              |                 | 1/week                        |

# **Legends for Table E-4:**

(1) Unit Abbreviations

MGD = million gallons per day

 $\begin{array}{ll} MG & = million \ gallons \\ mg/L & = milligrams \ per \ liter \\ \mu g/L & = micrograms \ per \ liter \\ s.u. & = standard \ units \end{array}$ 

NTU = Nephelometric turbidity units ml/L-hr = milliliters per liter, per hour

kg/day = kilograms per day °C = degrees Celsius

cfu/100 mL = colony-forming units per 100 milliliters

TUc = chronic toxic units

(2) Sample Type Abbreviations

Cont = measured continuously

Cont/D = measured continuously, and recorded and reported daily

Cont/H = measured continuously, and recorded and reported hourly

C-24 = 24-hour composite

Flow-through = continuously pumped sample during duration of toxicity test

(3) Sampling frequency

1/hour = once per hour
1/day = once per day
5/week = five times per week
1/week = once per week
1/month = once per month
1/quarter = once per quarter
2/year = twice per year

#### **Footnotes for Table E-4:**

- (1) **Flow.** Flows shall be monitored continuously and the following shall be reported in monthly self-monitoring reports for both EFF-001 and 002 unless otherwise specified:
  - a. Daily average flow rate (MGD) (averaging period is 24 hours)
  - b. Daily average flow rate while discharging to Moffett Channel and daily discharge duration in hours (averaging period is the actual discharge duration) (EFF-001 only)
  - c. Average daily maximum and average daily minimum flow rates (MGD) in a month (averaging period is 24 hours),
  - d. Average daily maximum and average daily minimum flow rates (MGD) in a month while discharging to Moffett Channel (averaging period is the actual discharge duration) (EFF-001 only),
  - e. Daily total Moffet Channel discharge flow volume (EFF-001) or daily total effluent flow volume (EFF-002) (MG),
  - f. Monthly total Moffet Channel discharge flow volume (MG) (EFF-001)
  - g. Monthly total duration when discharging to Moffet Channel (hour) (EFF-001 only)
  - h. Monthly total flow volume (MG) (EFF-002)
  - i. Monthly average discharge flow rate to Moffet Channel based on (f) and (g) above (EFF-001) and monthly effluent flow rate (EFF-002) (MGD)
- (2) **CBOD**<sub>5</sub> and **TSS**. The percent removal for CBOD<sub>5</sub> and TSS shall be reported for each calendar month in accordance with Effluent Limitation IV.A.2. Samples for CBOD<sub>5</sub> and TSS shall be collected simultaneously with influent samples.
- (3) **pH.** If pH is monitored continuously; the minimum and maximum pH values for each day shall be reported in monthly self-monitoring reports.
- (4) **Oil and Grease.** Each oil and grease sampling event shall consist of a composite sample comprised of three grab samples taken at equal intervals during the sampling date, with each grab sample being collected in a glass container. The grab samples shall be mixed in proportion to the instantaneous flow rates occurring at the time of each grab sample, within the accuracy of plus or minus 5%. Each glass container used for sample collection or mixing shall be thoroughly rinsed with solvent as soon as possible after use, and the solvent rinsate shall be added to the composite sample for extraction and analysis.
- (5) **Total Chlorine Residual.** Effluent chlorine concentrations shall be monitored continuously. Chlorine residual concentrations shall be monitored and reported for sampling points both before and after dechlorination. The Discharger shall report the maximum residual chlorine concentration observed following dechlorination on a daily basis. Total chlorine dosage (kg/day) shall be recorded on a daily basis.

Alternatively, the Discharger may evaluate compliance with this requirement by recording discrete readings from the continuous monitoring every hour on the hour, or by collecting grab samples every hour, for a total of 24 readings or samples per day if the following conditions are met: (a) The Discharger shall retain continuous monitoring readings for at least three years; (b) The Discharger shall acknowledge in writing that the Regional Water Board reserves the right to use all other continuous monitoring data for discretionary enforcement; (c) The Discharger must provide in writing the brand name(s), model number(s), and serial number(s) of the equipment used to continuously monitor dechlorinated final effluent chlorine residual. If the identified equipment is replaced, the Discharger shall provide the Regional Water

Board in writing, within 72 hours of the successful startup of the new equipment, the new equipment's brand name, model number, and serial number. The written notification identified in items (a) through (c) shall be in the form of a letter addressed to the Regional Water Board's Executive Officer with a certification statement as listed in the October 19, 2004, Regional Water Board letter re: *Chlorine Compliance Strategy for Dischargers Using Continuous Monitoring Devices*.

- (6) **Dissolved Sulfides.** Monitoring for dissolved sulfides shall occur when D.O. concentrations are less than 5 mg/L.
- (7) **Total Ammonia Nitrogen and Un-ionized Ammonia Nitrogen**. Sampling frequency shall be 1/week (once per week) during October-April and 1/month (once per month) during May-September.
- (8) Acute Toxicity. Acute bioassay tests shall be performed in accordance with Section V.A of this MRP.
- (9) **Chronic toxicity.** Critical life stage toxicity tests shall be performed and reported in accordance with the Chronic Toxicity Requirements specified in Section V.B of the MRP. Sampling frequency is specified in V.B.1.c., except during the period when the Discharger is conducting the "Chronic Toxicity Identificationa and Toxicity Reduction Study" as required by Provision VI.C.2.d *i*, when the sampling frequency would be those specified for the study.
- (10) **Dioxin-TEQ.** Chlorinated dibenzodioxins and chlorinated dibenzofurans shall be analyzed using the latest version of USEPA Method 1613; the analysis shall be capable of achieving one half the USEPA method 1613 Minimum Levels. Alternative methods of analysis must be approved by the Executive Officer. In addition to reporting results for each of the 17 congeners, the dioxin-TEQ shall be calculated and reported using 1998 USEPA Toxicity Equivalent Factors for dioxin and furan congeners.
- (11) **Remaining priority pollutant.** The sample type and analytical method should be as described in the Regional Standard Provisions (Attachment G) or as amended and subsequently approved by the Executive Officer.
- (12) **Standard observations.** As specified in the Regional Standard Provisions (Attachment G).

# V. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTING REQUIREMENTS

The Discharger shall monitor acute and chronic toxicity at EFF-001 as follows.

# A. Whole Effluent Acute Toxicity

- 1. Compliance with the acute toxicity effluent limitations of this Order shall be evaluated by measuring survival of test organisms exposed to 96-hour continuous flow-through bioassays.
- 2. Test organisms shall be rainbow trout (*Onchorhynchus mykiss*) unless specified otherwise in writing by the Executive Officer.
- 3. All bioassays shall be performed according to the most up-to-date protocols in 40 CFR 136, currently in *Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Water to Freshwater and Marine Organisms*, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition.
- 4. If specific identifiable substances in the discharge can be demonstrated by the Discharger as being rapidly rendered harmless upon discharge to the receiving water, compliance with the acute toxicity limit may be determined after the test samples are adjusted to remove the influence of those substances. Written approval from the Executive Officer must be obtained to authorize such an adjustment.

5. Effluent used for fish bioassays must be dechlorinated prior to testing. Monitoring of the bioassay water shall include, on a daily basis, the following parameters: pH, dissolved oxygen, total ammonia, un-ionized ammonia (by calculation, if toxicity is observed), temperature, hardness, and alkalinity. These results shall be reported. If a violation of acute toxicity requirements occurs or if the control fish survival rate is less than 90 percent, the bioassay test shall be restarted with new batches of fish and shall continue back to back until compliance is demonstrated.

# **B.** Whole Effluent Chronic Toxicity

# 1. Chronic Toxicity Monitoring Requirements

- **a. Sampling.** The Discharger shall collect 24-hour composite samples of the effluent at monitoring location EFF-001, for critical life stage toxicity testing as indicated below. For toxicity tests requiring renewals, 24-hour composite samples collected on consecutive days are required.
- **b. Test Species.** The test species shall be *Americamysis bahia*. The Discharger shall conduct a screening chronic toxicity test as described in Appendix E-1 following any significant change in the nature of the effluent. The most sensitive species shall be used for routine chronic toxicity monitoring. The Executive Officer may change to another test species if data suggest that another test species is more sensitive to the discharge.
- **c. Frequency.** The frequency of routine and accelerated chronic toxicity monitoring shall be as specified below, except during the period when the Discharger is conducting the "Chronic Toxicity Identification and Toxicity Reduction Study" as required by Provision VI.C.2.d *i*, when the sampling frequency would be those specified for the study:
  - (1) Routine Monitoring: Monthly
  - (2) Accelerated Monitoring: Twice/Month

The Discharger shall conduct accelerated monitoring twice per month after exceeding a three-sample median of 1 TUc or a single sample maximum of 2 TUc for discharges via Discharge Point 001, or as otherwise specified by the Executive Officer.

Monitoring conducted pursuant to a TIE/TRE effort shall satisfy the requirements for routine and accelerated monitoring while the TIE/TRE investigation is underway.

**d. Methodology.** Sample collection, handling, and preservation shall be in accordance with USEPA protocols. In addition, bioassays shall be conducted in compliance with the most recently promulgated test methods, as shown in Appendix E-1. These are *Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Marine and Estuarine Organisms*, currently third edition (EPA-821-R-02-014), and *Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms*, currently fourth Edition (EPA-821-R-02-013), with exceptions granted the Discharger by the Executive Officer and the Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP).

e. Dilution Series. The Discharger shall conduct tests with a control and five effluent concentrations (including 100% effluent) and using a dilution factor  $\geq 0.5$ . Test sample pH in each dilution in the series may be controlled to the level of the effluent sample as received prior to being salted up.

# 2. Chronic Toxicity Reporting Requirements

- **a. Routine Reporting.** Toxicity test results for the current reporting period shall include, at a minimum, for each test:
  - (1) Sample date(s)
  - (2) Test initiation date
  - (3) Test species
  - (4) End point values for each dilution (e.g., number of young, growth rate, percent survival)
  - (5) NOEC value(s) in percent effluent
  - (6) IC<sub>15</sub>, IC<sub>25</sub>, IC<sub>40</sub>, and IC<sub>50</sub> values (or EC<sub>15</sub>, EC<sub>25</sub> ... etc.) as percent effluent
  - (7) TUc values (100/NOEC, 100/IC<sub>25</sub>, or 100/EC<sub>25</sub>)
  - (8) Mean percent mortality (±s.d.) after 96 hours in 100% effluent (if applicable)
  - (9) NOEC and LOEC values for reference toxicant test(s)
  - (10) IC<sub>50</sub> or EC<sub>50</sub> value(s) for reference toxicant test(s)
  - (11) Available water quality measurements for each test (pH, D.O., temperature, conductivity, hardness, salinity, ammonia)
- **b.** Compliance Summary. The results of the chronic toxicity testing shall be provided in the self-monitoring report and shall include a summary table of chronic toxicity data from at least eleven of the most recent samples. The information in the table shall include items listed above under 2.a, specifically item numbers (1), (3), (5), (6) (IC<sub>25</sub> or EC<sub>25</sub>), (7), and (8).

# VI. LAND DISCHARGE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

Not Applicable.

# VII. RECLAMATION MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

Not Applicable.

# VIII.RECEIVING WATER MONITORING REQUIREMENTS – SURFACE WATER

The Discharger shall continue to participate in the Regional Monitoring Program (RMP), which involves collection of data on pollutants and toxicity in water, sediment and biota of the Estuary. The Discharger's participation and support of the RMP is used in consideration of the level of receiving water monitoring required by this Order.

# IX.PRETREATMENT AND BIOSOLIDS MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The Discharger shall comply with the pretreatment requirements specified in Table E-5 for influent (at Monitoring Location INF-001), effluent (at Monitoring Location EFF-001), and biosolids monitoring.

Table E-5. Pretreatment and Biosolids Monitoring Requirements

| Constituents                       | Sar                 | npling Frequen                     |                          | Sample Type <sup>(5)</sup>           |                           |  |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
|                                    | Influent<br>INF-001 | Effluent <sup>(3)</sup><br>EFF-001 | Biosolids <sup>(4)</sup> | INF-001 &<br>EFF-001                 | Biosolids <sup>(5d)</sup> |  |
| VOC                                | 2/year              | 2/year                             |                          | multiple grabs <sup>(5a)</sup>       | grabs                     |  |
| BNA                                | 2/year              | 2/year                             |                          | multiple grabs <sup>(5a)</sup>       | grabs                     |  |
| Metals <sup>(1)</sup>              | 1/month             | 1/month                            | 2/year                   | 24-hour composite <sup>(5b)</sup>    | grabs                     |  |
| Hexavalent Chromium <sup>(2)</sup> | 1/month             | 1/month                            | 2/year                   | multiple grabs <sup>(5a)</sup>       | grabs                     |  |
| Mercury                            | 1/month             | 1/month                            | 2/year                   | 24-hour composite <sup>(5b,5c)</sup> | grabs                     |  |
| Cyanide                            | 1/month             | 1/month                            | 2/year                   | multiple grabs <sup>(5a)</sup>       | grabs                     |  |

#### **Legends for Table E-5:**

VOC = volatile organic compounds

BNA = base/neutrals and acids extractable organic compounds

N/A = not applicable 1/month = once per month 2/year = twice per year

#### **Footnotes for Table E-5:**

- (1) The parameters are arsenic, cadmium, copper, lead, nickel, silver, zinc, and selenium.
- (2) The Discharger may elect to run total chromium instead of hexavalent chromium. Sample collection for total chromium measurements may also use 24-hour composite sampling.
- (3) Effluent monitoring conducted in accordance with Table E-4 can be used to satisfy these pretreatment monitoring requirements.

#### (4) Sample types:

- a. Multiple grabs samples for VOC, BNA, hexavalent chromium, and cyanide, must be made up of a minimum of four (4) discrete grab samples, collected equally spaced over the course of a 24-hour period, with each grab analyzed separately and the results mathematically flow-weighted or with grab samples combined (volumetrically flow-weighted) prior to analysis.
- b. 24-hour composite sample may be made up discrete grab samples and may be combined (volumetrically flow-weighted) prior to analysis, or they should be mathematically flow-weighted. If automatic compositor is used, 24-hour composite samples must be obtained through flow-proportioned composite sampling.
- c. Automatic compositors are allowed for mercury if either 1) the compositing equipment (hoses and containers) comply with ultraclean specifications, or 2) appropriate equipment blank samples demonstrate that the compositing equipment has not contaminated the sample. This direction is consistent with the Regional Water Board's October 22, 1999, letter on this subject.
- d. Biosolids collection should comply with those requirements for sludge monitoring specified in Attachment H, Appendix H-3 of this of the Order for sludge monitoring. The biosolids analyzed shall be a composite sample of the biosolids for final disposal. The Discharger shall also comply with biosolids monitoring requirements required by 40 CFR 503.

# X. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

# A. General Monitoring and Reporting Requirements

The Discharger shall comply with the federal Standard Provisions (Attachment D) and the Regional Standard Provisions (Attachment G) related to monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping.

# **B.** Self Monitoring Reports (SMRs)

- At any time during the term of this permit, the State or Regional Water Board may notify the
  Discharger to electronically submit SMRs using the State Water Board's California
  Integrated Water Quality System (CIWQS) Program website
  (<a href="http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ciwqs/index.html">http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ciwqs/index.html</a>). Until such notification is given, the
  Discharger shall submit hard copy SMRs. The CIWQS website will provide additional
  directions for SMR submittal in the event there will be service interruption for electronic
  submittal.
- 2. The Discharger shall report in the SMR the results for all monitoring specified in this MRP under sections III through VIII. The Discharger shall submit monthly SMRs, including the results of all required monitoring using USEPA-approved test methods or other test methods specified in this Order. Monthly SMRs shall be due 30 days after the end of each calendar month. If the Discharger monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this Order, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculations and reporting of the data submitted in the SMR. Annual SMRs shall be due by February 1 of each year, covering the previous calendar year. The report shall contain the items described in the Regional Standard Provisions (Attachment G).
- 3. Monitoring periods and reporting for all required monitoring shall be completed according to the following schedule:

**Table E-6. Monitoring Periods** 

| Sampling<br>Frequency | Monitoring Period Begins On | Monitoring Period   |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Continuous            | Permit effective date       | All   |
| 1/hour                | Permit effective date       | Every hour on the hour  |
| 1/day                 | Permit effective date       | (Midnight through 11:59 PM) or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents a calendar day for purposes of sampling.       |
| 1/week                | Permit effective date       | Sunday through Saturday   |
| 1/month               | Permit effective date       | First day of calendar month through last day of calendar month  |
| 1/quarter             | Permit effective date       | Once during January 1 – March 31,<br>April 1- June 30, July 1 – September 30, and<br>October 1 – December 31                |
| 2/year                | Permit effective date       | Once during wet season (typically November 1 through April 30), once during dry season (typically May 1 through October 31) |

4. The Discharger shall report with each sample result the applicable reported ML and the current Method Detection Limit (MDL), as determined by the procedure in 40 CFR 136. The

Discharger shall report the results of analytical determinations for the presence of chemical constituents in a sample using the following reporting protocols:

- a. Sample results greater than or equal to the reported ML shall be reported as measured by the laboratory (i.e., the measured chemical concentration in the sample).
- b. Sample results less than the Reporting Level (RL), but greater than or equal to the laboratory's MDL, shall be reported as "Detected, but Not Quantified," or DNQ. The estimated chemical concentration of the sample shall also be reported.
  - For the purposes of data collection, the laboratory shall write the estimated chemical concentration next to DNQ as well as the words "Estimated Concentration" (may be shortened to "Est. Conc."). The laboratory may, if such information is available, include numerical estimates of the data quality for the reported result. Numerical estimates of data quality may be percent accuracy (+ a percentage of the reported value), numerical ranges (low to high), or any other means considered appropriate by the laboratory.
- c. Sample results less than the laboratory's MDL shall be reported as "Not Detected," or ND.
- d. Dischargers are to instruct laboratories to establish calibration standards so that the ML value (or its equivalent if there is differential treatment of samples relative to calibration standards) is the lowest calibration standard. At no time is the Discharger to use analytical data derived from extrapolation beyond the lowest point of the calibration curve.
- e. Compliance Determination. Compliance with effluent limitations for priority pollutants shall be determined using sample reporting protocols defined above, Attachment A, and Table E-1, priority pollutant MLs of this Order. For purposes of reporting and administrative enforcement by the Regional and State Water Boards, the Discharger shall be deemed out of compliance with effluent limitations if the concentration of the priority pollutant in the monitoring sample is greater than the effluent limitation and greater than or equal to the RL.
- f. When determining compliance with an average monthly effluent limit (AMEL) (or an average weekly effluent limit) for priority pollutants and more than one sample result is available, the Discharger shall compute the arithmetic mean unless the data set contains one or more reported determinations of DNQ or ND. In those cases, the Discharger shall compute the median in place of the arithmetic mean in accordance with the following procedure:
  - (1) The data set shall be ranked from low to high, ranking the reported ND determinations lowest, DNQ determinations next, followed by quantified values (if any). The order of the individual ND or DNQ determinations is unimportant.
  - (2) The median value of the data set shall be determined. If the data set has an odd number of data points, then the median is the middle value. If the data set has an even number of data points, then the median is the average of the two values around

the middle unless one or both of the points are ND or DNQ, in which case the median value shall be the lower of the two data points where DNQ is lower than a value and ND is lower than DNQ.

5. The Discharger shall submit SMRs in accordance with the following requirements: The Discharger shall arrange all reported data in a tabular format. The data shall be summarized to clearly illustrate whether the facility is operating in compliance with interim and/or final effluent limitations. The Discharger is not required to duplicate the submittal of data that is entered in a tabular format within CIWQS. When electronic submittal of data is required and CIWQS does not provide for entry into a tabular format within the system, the Discharger shall electronically submit the data in a tabular format as an attachment.

The Discharger shall attach a cover letter to the SMR. The information contained in the cover letter shall (1) clearly identify violations of the WDRs, (2) discuss corrective actions taken or planned, and (3) propose time schedule for corrective actions. Identified violations must include a description of the requirement that was violated and a description of the violation.

SMRs must be submitted to the Regional Water Board, signed and certified as required by the Standard Provisions (Attachment D), to the address listed below:

Executive Officer
California Regional Water Quality Control Board
San Francisco Bay Region
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400
Oakland, CA 94612
ATTN: NPDES Permit Division

# C. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs)

- 1. As described in Section XI.B.1 above, at any time during the term of this Order, the State or Regional Water Board may notify the Discharger to electronically submit SMRs that will satisfy federal requirements for submittal of DMRs. Until such notification is given, the Discharger shall submit DMRs in accordance with the requirements described below.
- 2. DMRs must be signed and certified as required by the standard provisions (Attachment D). The Discharge shall submit the original DMR and one copy of the DMR to one of the addresses listed below:

| Standard Mail                       | FedEx/UPS/Other Private Carriers      |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| State Water Resources Control Board | State Water Resources Control Board   |
| Division of Water Quality           | Division of Water Quality             |
| c/o DMR Processing Center           | c/o DMR Processing Center             |
| PO Box 100                          | 1001 I Street, 15 <sup>th</sup> Floor |
| Sacramento, CA 95812-1000           | Sacramento, CA 95814                  |

3. All discharge monitoring results must be reported on the official USEPA pre-printed DMR forms (EPA Form 3320-1). Forms that are self-generated will not be accepted unless they follow the exact same format of EPA Form 3320-1.

# **D.** Other Reports

In the first monthly SMR following the respective due dates, the Discharger shall report the results of any special studies, monitoring, and reporting required by Section VI.C.2 (Special Studies, Technical Reports, and Additional Monitoring Requirements) of this Order. The Discharger shall include a report of progress towards meeting compliance schedules established by Section VI.C.7 of this Order.

#### **APPENDIX E-1**

# CHRONIC TOXICITY DEFINITION OF TERMS AND SCREENING PHASE REQUIREMENTS

#### I. Definition of Terms

- A. No observed effect level (NOEL) for compliance determination is equal to IC<sub>25</sub> or EC<sub>25</sub>. If the IC<sub>25</sub> or EC<sub>25</sub> cannot be statistically determined, the NOEL shall be equal to the NOEC derived using hypothesis testing.
- B. <u>Effective concentration</u> (EC) is a point estimate of the toxicant concentration that would cause an adverse effect on a quantal, "all or nothing," response (such as death, immobilization, or serious incapacitation) in a given percent of the test organisms. If the effect is death or immobility, the term lethal concentration (LC) may be used. EC values may be calculated using point estimation techniques such as probit, logit, and Spearman-Karber. EC<sub>25</sub> is the concentration of toxicant (in percent effluent) that causes a response in 25 percent of the test organisms.
- C. <u>Inhibition concentration</u> (IC) is a point estimate of the toxicant concentration that would cause a given percent reduction in a nonlethal, nonquantal biological measurement, such as growth. For example, an IC<sub>25</sub> is the estimated concentration of toxicant that would cause a 25 percent reduction in average young per female or growth. IC values may be calculated using a linear interpolation method such as USEPA's Bootstrap Procedure.
- D. <u>No observed effect concentration</u> (NOEC) is the highest tested concentration of an effluent or a toxicant at which no adverse effects are observed on the aquatic test organisms at a specific time of observation. It is determined using hypothesis testing.

# **II. Chronic Toxicity Screening Phase Requirements**

- A. The Discharger shall perform screening phase monitoring:
  - 1. Subsequent to any significant change in the nature of the effluent discharged through changes in sources or treatment, except those changes resulting from reductions in pollutant concentrations attributable to source control efforts, or
  - Prior to permit reissuance. Screening phase monitoring data shall be included in the NPDES
    permit application for reissuance. The information shall be as recent as possible, but may be
    based on screening phase monitoring conducted within 5 years before the permit expiration
    date.
- B. Design of the screening phase shall, at a minimum, consist of the following elements:
  - 1. Use of test species specified in Appendix E-2, attached, and use of the protocols referenced in those tables, or as approved by the Executive Officer.

- 2. Two stages:
  - a. <u>Stage 1</u> shall consist of a minimum of one battery of tests conducted concurrently. Selection of the type of test species and minimum number of tests shall be based on Appendix E-2 (attached).
  - b. <u>Stage 2</u> shall consist of a minimum of two test batteries conducted at a monthly frequency using the three most sensitive species based on the Stage 1 test results and as approved by the Executive Officer.
- 3. Appropriate controls.
- 4. Concurrent reference toxicant tests.
- 5. Dilution series with a control and five effluent concentrations (including 100% effluent) and using a dilution factor  $\geq 0.5$ .
- C. The Discharger shall submit a screening phase proposal acceptable to the Executive Officer. The proposal shall address each of the elements listed above. If within 30 days, the Executive Officer does not comment, the Discharge shall commence with screening phase monitoring.

#### **APPENDIX E-2**

# SUMMARY OF TOXICITY TEST SPECIES REQUIREMENTS

Table AE-1. Critical Life Stage Toxicity Tests for Estuarine Waters

| Species                                 | (Scientific Name)   | Effect                                       | Test Duration | Reference |
|---|---|--|---------------|-----------|
| Alga                                    | (Skeletonema costatum)<br>(Thalassiosira pseudonana)                            | Growth rate                                  | 4 days        | 1         |
| Red alga                                | (Champia parvula)   | Number of cystocarps                         | 7–9 days      | 3         |
| Giant kelp                              | (Macrocystis pyrifera)  | Percent germination;<br>germ tube length     | 48 hours      | 2         |
| Abalone                                 | (Haliotis rufescens)  | Abnormal shell development                   | 48 hours      | 2         |
| Oyster<br>Mussel                        | (Crassostrea gigas)<br>(Mytilus edulis)   | Abnormal shell development; percent survival | 48 hours      | 2         |
| Echinoderms -<br>Urchins<br>Sand dollar | (Strongylocentrotus purpuratus,<br>S. franciscanus)<br>(Dendraster excentricus) | Percent fertilization                        | 1 hour        | 2         |
| Shrimp                                  | (Mysidopsis bahia)  | Percent survival; growth                     | 7 days        | 3         |
| Shrimp                                  | (Holmesimysis costata)  | Percent survival; growth                     | 7 days        | 2         |
| Topsmelt                                | (Atherinops affinis)  | Percent survival; growth                     | 7 days        | 2         |
| Silversides                             | (Menidia beryllina)   | Larval growth rate;<br>percent survival      | 7 days        | 3         |

#### **Toxicity Test References:**

- 1. American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM). 1990. Standard Guide for Conducting Static 96-Hour Toxicity Tests with Microalgae. Procedure E 1218-90. ASTM, Philadelphia, PA.
- 2. Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluent and Receiving Waters to West Coast Marine and Estuarine Organisms. EPA/600/R-95/136. August 1995.
- 3. Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluent and Receiving Waters to Marine and Estuarine Organisms. EPA/600/4-90/003. July 1994.

Table AE-2. Critical Life Stage Toxicity Tests for Fresh Waters

| Species        | (Scientific Name)           | Effect                    | Test Duration | Reference |
|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Fathead minnow | (Pimephales promelas)       | Survival; growth rate     | 7 days        | 4         |
| Water flea     | (Ceriodaphnia dubia)        | Survival; number of young | 7 days        | 4         |
| Alga           | (Selenastrum capricornutum) | Final cell density        | 4 days        | 4         |

#### **Toxicity Test Reference:**

4. Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, fourth Edition Chronic manual (EPA-821-R-02-013, October 2002).

**Table AE-3. Toxicity Test Requirements for Stage One Screening Phase** 

|  | Receiving Water Characteristics |  |                |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| Requirements   | <b>Discharges to Coast</b>      | Discharges to San Francisco Bay <sup>[2]</sup> |                |  |  |  |
|  | Ocean                           | Marine/Estuarine                               | Freshwater     |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Plant                         | 1 Plant  | 1 Plant        |  |  |  |
| Taxonomic diversity  | 1 invertebrate                  | 1 invertebrate                                 | 1 invertebrate |  |  |  |
|  | 1 fish                          | 1 fish   | 1 fish         |  |  |  |
| Number of tosts of each solinity type  |                                 |  |                |  |  |  |
| Number of tests of each salinity type:<br>Freshwater <sup>[1]</sup> Marine/Estuarine | 0                               | 1 or 2   | 3              |  |  |  |
| Treshwater Warme, Estuarme   | 4                               | 3 or 4   | 0              |  |  |  |
| Total number of tests  | 4                               | 5  | 3              |  |  |  |

- 1. The freshwater species may be substituted with marine species if:
  - a. The salinity of the effluent is above 1 part per thousand (ppt) greater than 95 percent of the time, or
  - b. The ionic strength (TDS or conductivity) of the effluent at the test concentration used to determine compliance is documented to be toxic to the test species.
- 2. a. Marine/Estuarine refers to receiving water salinities greater than 1 ppt at least 95 percent of the time during a normal water year.
  - b. Fresh refers to receiving water with salinities less than 1 ppt at least 95 percent of the time during a normal water year.

# ATTACHMENT F – FACT SHEET

# **Table of Contents**

| I.         | Per      | mit Information  | F-3 |
|------------|----------|--|-----|
| II.        |          | ility Description  |     |
|            | A.       | Description of Wastewater and Biosolids Treatment or Controls                            | F-4 |
|            |          | 1. Wastewater Treatment Processes  | F-4 |
|            |          | 2. Collection System   | F-6 |
|            |          | 3. Reclamation   | F-6 |
|            |          | 4. Storm Water Discharges  | F-6 |
|            | В.       | Discharge Point and Receiving Water  | F-6 |
|            | C.       | Summary of Previous Requirements and Self-Monitoring Data                                |     |
|            | D.       | Compliance Summary   |     |
|            | E.       | Planned Changes  |     |
| III.       |          | plicable Plans, Policies, and Regulations  |     |
|            | A.       | Legal Authorities  |     |
|            | В.       | California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)  |     |
|            | C.       | State and Federal Regulations, Policies, and Plans                                       |     |
|            | D.       | Impaired Water Bodies on CWA 303(d) List   |     |
| IV.        |          | ionale For Effluent Limitations and Discharge Specifications                             |     |
|            | A.       | Discharge Prohibitions   |     |
|            | В.       | Exceptions to Basin Plan Prohibitions  |     |
|            |          | Basin Plan Discharge Prohibition 1   |     |
|            |          | 2. History of Granting Exception to Prohibition 1  |     |
|            |          | 3. Compliance with State Water Board Order No. 90-5                                      |     |
|            | ~        | 4. Rationale for Continuing to Grant Exception   |     |
|            | C.       | Effluent Limitations for Conventional and Non-Conventional Pollutants                    |     |
|            |          | 1. Scope and Authority of Technology-Based Effluent Limitations                          |     |
|            | -        | 2. Applicable Effluent Limitations   |     |
|            | D.       | WQBELs   |     |
|            |          | 1. Scope and Authority   |     |
|            |          | 2. Applicable Beneficial Uses and WQC  |     |
|            |          | 3. Determining the Need for WQBELs   |     |
|            |          | 4. WQBEL Calculations  |     |
|            |          | 5. Whole Effluent Acute Toxicity   |     |
|            |          | 6. Whole Effluent Chronic Toxicity   |     |
|            | Б        | 7. Antibacksliding/Antidegradation   |     |
|            | E.       | Interim Effluent Limitations   |     |
|            |          | Feasibility Evaluation and Interim Effluent Limits      Compliance Schodule Requirements |     |
|            | Е        | 2. Compliance Schedule Requirements  |     |
|            | F.       | Land Discharge Specifications  |     |
| V.         | G.       | Reclamation Specifications   |     |
| <b>v</b> . | A.       | Surface Water  |     |
|            | A.<br>B. | Groundwater  |     |
|            |          |  |     |

| VI.   | Rat   | tionalE for Monitoring and Reporting Requirements   | F <b>-</b> 44 |
|-------|-------|---|---------------|
|       | A.    |   |               |
|       | B.    | Effluent Monitoring   |               |
|       | C.    | Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements  |               |
|       | D.    | Receiving Water Monitoring  |               |
|       | E.    | Pretreatment and Biosolids Monitoring Requirements  |               |
| VII.  | Rat   | tionale for Provisions  |               |
|       | A.    | Standard Provisions (Provision VI.A)  |               |
|       | B.    | Monitoring and Reporting Requirements (Provision VI.B)                                    |               |
|       | C.    | Special Provisions (Provision VI.C)   |               |
|       |       | 1. Reopener Provisions.   |               |
|       |       | 2. Special Studies and Additional Monitoring Requirements                                 |               |
|       |       | 3. Best Management Practices and Pollution Minimization Program                           |               |
|       |       | 4. Construction, Operation, and Maintenance Specifications                                |               |
|       |       | 5. Special Provisions for Municipal Facilities (POTWs Only)                               |               |
|       |       | 6. Other Special Provisions   |               |
| VIII. | Pul   | olic Participation  |               |
|       | A.    | Notification of Interested Parties  |               |
|       | B.    | Written Comments  |               |
|       | C.    | Public Hearing  |               |
|       | D.    | Waste Discharge Requirements Petitions  |               |
|       | E.    | Information and Copying   |               |
|       | F.    | Register of Interested Persons  |               |
|       | G.    | Additional Information  |               |
|       |       | List of Tables  |               |
|       |       | List of Tables  |               |
| Tabl  | e F-  | 1. Facility Information   | F-3           |
| Tabl  | e F-  | 2. Outfall Location   | F-7           |
| Tabl  | e F-  | 3. Previous Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Data for Conventional and                 |               |
|       |       | Non-Conventional Pollutants   | F-8           |
| Tabl  | e F-  | 4. Previous Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Data for Toxic Pollutants                 | F <b>-</b> 9  |
| Tabl  | e F-: | 5. Compliance with Numeric Effluent Limitations   | F <b>-</b> 9  |
| Tabl  | e F-  | 6. Compliance with Previous Order Provisions  | F-10          |
| Tabl  | e F-  | 7. Beneficial Uses of South San Francisco Bay   | F-11          |
|       |       | 8. Secondary Treatment Requirements   |               |
| Tabl  | e F-  | 9. Summary of Effluent Limitations for Conventional and Non-Conventional Pollutants       | F-18          |
|       |       | 10. Site-Specific Translators for Cu, Ni, Zn, Cr(VI), and Pb for South San Francisco Bay. |               |
|       |       | 11. Summary of RPA Results  |               |

#### ATTACHMENT F - FACT SHEET

As described in Section II of this Order, this Fact Sheet includes the legal requirements and technical rationale that serve as the basis for the requirements of this Order.

This Order has been prepared under a standardized format to accommodate a broad range of discharge requirements for dischargers in California. Only those sections or subsections of this Order that are specifically identified as "not applicable" have been determined not to apply to this Discharger. Sections or subsections of this Order not specifically identified as "not applicable" are fully applicable to this Discharger.

#### I. PERMIT INFORMATION

The following table summarizes administrative information related to the facility.

**Table F-1. Facility Information** 

| Tubic 1 1: Tucinty imormati    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| WDID                           | 2 438018001  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CIWQS Place ID                 | 259507   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discharger                     | City of Sunnyvale  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Name of Facility               | Sunnyvale Water Pollution Control Plant and its sewage collection system     |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                | 1444 Borregas Avenue   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Facility Address               | Sunnyvale, CA 94088  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                | Santa Clara County   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Facility Contact, Title, Phone | Lorrie Gervin, Environmental Division Manager, (408) 730-7268                |  |  |  |  |  |
| Authorized Person to Sign and  | Lorrie Gervin, Environmental Division Manager, (408) 730-7268, or            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Submit Reports                 | Marvin Rose, Director of Public Works, (408) 730-7441                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mailing Address                | P.O. Box 3707, Sunnyvale, CA 94088   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billing Address                | Same as Mailing Address  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of Facility               | Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major or Minor Facility        | Major  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Threat to Water Quality        | 1  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Complexity                     | A  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pretreatment Program           | Yes, under Order No. 94-069  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury Discharge              | Yes, under Order No. R2-2007-0077  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Requirements                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reclamation Requirements       | Yes  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Facility Permitted Flow        | 29.5 million gallons per day (MGD)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                | 29.5 MGD (average dry weather flow design capacity) with full advanced-      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Facility Design Flow           | secondary treatment  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                | 40 MGD (peak wet weather flow design capacity) with full secondary treatment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Watershed                      | Santa Clara Hydrologic Unit  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receiving Water                | Moffett Channel (flows to South San Francisco Bay via Guadalupe Slough)      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receiving Water Type           | Estuarine  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Areas                  | City of Sunnyvale, Rancho Rinconada, and Moffett Field                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Area Population        | 136, 000   |  |  |  |  |  |

**A.** The City of Sunnyvale owns and operates the Sunnyvale Water Pollution Control Plant (Plant) and its sewage collection system (collectively the facility). The facility provides advanced-secondary treatment of the wastewater collected from its service areas and discharges to Moffett Channel, a tributary to South San Francisco Bay via Guadalupe Slough.

For the purposes of this Order, references to the "discharger" or "permittee" in applicable federal and State laws, regulations, plans, or policy are held to be equivalent to references to the Discharger herein.

- B. The discharge of treated wastewater from the Plant to Moffett Channel, a water of the United States, has been regulated by Order No. R2-2003-0079 (previous Order) and NPDES Permit No. CA0037621, which was adopted on November 1, 2003, and expired on September 30, 2008.
- C. The Discharger filed a Report of Waste Discharge (ROWD) and submitted an application for reissuance of its Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) and NPDES permit on April 2, 2008. The application was deemed complete and the previous Order has been administratively extended.

#### II. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

# A. Description of Wastewater and Biosolids Treatment or Controls

#### 1. Wastewater Treatment Processes

The Discharger owns and operates the Plant, which provides primary, secondary, and advanced-secondary treatment of domestic and commercial wastewater collected from its service areas as indicated in Table F-1. The Discharger's current service area population is approximately 136,000.

Wastewater treatment processes at the Plant include grinding and grit removal, primary sedimentation, secondary and advanced secondary treatment through the use of oxidation ponds, fixed-film reactor nitrification, dissolved air flotation, dual-media filtration, disinfection (chlorine gas), and dechlorination (sulfur dioxide).

**Influent Flow Management.** The Plant has sufficient capacity for influent pumping, primary treatment, and flow equalization (in the oxidation ponds) to meet any expected maximum flow condition. Three main influent pumps have a total capacity of 45 MGD, and an auxiliary pump provides an additional capacity of 25 MGD, which provides a combined pumping capacity that exceeds the capacity of the influent sewer. In addition, an emergency gravity flow bypass line exists to route influent flows around the influent pumps to the oxidation ponds; however, the bypass line has not been used since its construction in 1984. Such use would be a bypass and would be subject to all restrictions and requirements applicable to a bypass.

**Preliminary Treatment.** Preliminary treatment consists of grinders located 30 feet below ground, removal of large debris from the raw sewage, followed by grit removal.

**Primary Treatment.** Following preliminary treatment, wastewater is pumped into rectangular primary clarifiers for the removal of floatable and settled material. The floatable material is skimmed off, the settled primary solids are removed from the bottom of the clarifiers, and primary sludge is pumped to the anaerobic digesters.

**Biological Treatment.** All wastewater flow receives biological (secondary) treatment. Primary effluent flows by gravity into 440 acres of mechanically aerated oxidation ponds.

As wastewater circulates through the pond system, aerobic and anaerobic mechanisms degrade the organic material. The average detention time for wastewater in the pond system is 30 to 45 days. The oxidation ponds simultaneously provide flow equalization for primary effluent so advanced treatment processes can be operated at a constant flow rate. The flow equalization capacity varies with pond depth, but is typically in the range of 50-100 million gallons.

**Advanced Secondary Treatment.** Following biological treatment, the wastewater is pumped to the fixed growth reactors (FGRs) for advanced secondary treatment. FGRs, or trickling filters, are a biological treatment process consisting of a tank filled with corrugated plates or plastic media on which a film of microorganisms (i.e., fixed growth) is allowed to develop. At the top of the tank a large wand rotates and trickles wastewater over the plates, where ammonia in the wastewater is converted to nitrate by the microorganism film. The effluent from the FGRs flows by gravity to the dissolved air flotation tanks (DAFTs). In this step, air and polymer are injected to coagulate and flocculate residual algae and other particulate matter, which rises to the top of the tank and is skimmed off. Skimmed material is sent to the anaerobic digesters or returned to the oxidation ponds. As a final polishing step, effluent from the DAFTs is percolated through dual media filters, which provide removal of remaining algae and particulate matter via gravity filtration. The filters are periodically backwashed, and the backwash water is returned to the oxidation ponds for treatment. The average dry weather design capacity of 29.5 MGD of the Plant reflects advanced-secondary treatment capacity; peak flow capacities of the primary and secondary treatment processes are greater than 40 MGD.

**Disinfection.** Effluent from the filters flows to the chlorine contact channels, where chlorine gas is added as a disinfectant. The contact time is at least one hour to achieve disinfection. Sulfur dioxide is then added to achieve dechlorination before discharging to Moffett Channel through an outfall pipe.

Recycled Water Production. The Plant may enter into two different treatment modes – slough discharge wastewater treatment and recycled water production. During periods of recycled water production in high recycled water demand seasons (typically 12–16 hours a day), the DAFT polymer dose, chlorine dose, and chlorine contact time are adjusted to meet Title 22 requirements (recycled water effluent turbidity needs to be below 2 NTU versus 10 NTU for slough discharge). The portion of the effluent that is diverted to the recycled water pump station is partially dechlorinated using sodium bisulfite. During recycled water production, there is no discharge to Moffett Channel.

**Effluent Flow Measuring.** There is no flow meter installed at the end of the treatment process (i.e., EFF-001 as described in the MRP [Attachment E]). Discharge flow is continuously metered by eight (8) flow meters installed after filtration and before disinfection and discharge. Diverted flows, which consist of tertiary recycled water and water used on site, are also continuously monitored. The total flow, minus the diverted flow, is used to calculate the discharge flow.

**Solids Management.** Solids removed from wastewater by primary treatment and floc skimmed from the DAFTs are treated in the primary anaerobic digesters for approximately 37-41 days at a temperature of 100°F, followed by an additional 16 days in an unheated

secondary digester. In the digesters, anaerobic bacteria consume the solid material, and produce methane gas, carbon dioxide, stabilized organic solids, and water as products of this process. Methane gas produced in the digesters is then used as fuel to generate the Plant's engines and generators. The biosolids that remain after treatment in the digesters are conditioned with a polymer and pumped to dewatering beds, which are beds of slotted tiles that allow water to drain by gravity back into the treatment system. The sludge is dried for 1-5 days to approximately 15-20 % solids, and is then spread on a tarmac to dry to approximately 50-70% solids. The biosolids are then hauled off-site by a contractor for land application or disposal at the City of Sunnyvale's Biosolids Monofill.

**Plant Electricity Generation.** Methane gas generated by the digesters is used to fuel the three engine-driven pumps and an on-site cogeneration facility that produces about 50-60% of the electricity used by the Plant. The cogeneration facility has two 16 cylinder engine generator sets (each one is capable of 800 kW power generation). The Plant also uses methane gas produced by an adjacent landfill to generate 20-30% of the electrical power. The rest is supplemented by PG&E natural gas.

## 2. Collection System.

The Discharger's collection system is 100 % separate sanitary sewer, and includes approximately 327 miles of sanitary sewer mains and one lift station.

#### 3. Reclamation

A fraction of tertiary treated water is recycled and used by numerous businesses throughout the service area and by the Discharger for irrigation of landscape and golf courses, and in decorative ponds. Recycled water is also available for construction use at remote locations. Currently about 10 percent of the daily flow is diverted for reuse. Disinfected secondary recycled water is used at the facility for landscape irrigation. Water recycling is accomplished in accordance with Regional Water Board Order No. 94-069, Water Reclamation Requirements for the Discharger.

#### 4. Storm Water Discharges

All storm water from within the Plant is directed to the headworks of the Plant; therefore, this Order regulates the discharges of storm water that originate on the grounds of the Plant, and coverage under the Statewide permit for discharges of storm water associated with industrial activities (NPDES General Permit No. CAS000001) is not required.

# **B.** Discharge Point and Receiving Water

The location of the discharge point and the receiving water are shown in Table F-2 below.

Table F-2. Outfall Location

| Discharge | Effluent  | Discharge Point | Discharge Point | Receiving Water |
|-----------|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Point     | Description   | Latitude        | Longitude       |                 |
| 001       | Advanced-<br>secondary treated<br>municipal<br>wastewater | 37° 25′ 13″ N   | 122° 01′ 00″ W  | Moffett Channel |

Moffett Channel is located in the Palo Alto Hydrologic Area of the Santa Clara Hydrologic Unit and is tributary to South San Francisco Bay via Guadalupe Slough.

South San Francisco Bay is a unique and sensitive portion of the San Francisco Bay Estuary, in part due to the freshwater inflow being lower there than in the greater portion of San Francisco Bay. Tributaries to South San Francisco Bay are small in number and size. It is characterized by higher, more uniform salinities and is generally shallow, except for a deep central channel. Surrounding South San Francisco Bay is an extensive network of tidal mudflats, tidal sloughs, coastal salt marshes, diked salt marshes, brackish water marshes, salt ponds, and freshwater marshes. In general, water quality in the entire San Francisco Bay can be characterized as a concentration gradient, with the lowest concentrations in Central Bay and highest concentrations in South San Francisco Bay and the southern sloughs, due to less tidal mixing and flushing in South San Francisco Bay and the southern sloughs than elsewhere in San Francisco Bay.

# C. Summary of Previous Requirements and Self-Monitoring Data

Effluent limitations contained in the previous Order for discharges to Moffett Channel and representative monitoring data from the term of the previous Order are presented in the following tables.

Table F-3. Previous Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Data for Conventional and Non-Conventional Pollutants

| Parameter                  | (units)             | Effluent Limitations   |                   |                    | Monitoring Data<br>(1/2003-1/2008) |                              |                                |
|----------------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                            |                     | Monthly<br>Average   | Weekly<br>Average | Daily<br>Maximum   | Highest<br>Monthly<br>Average      | Highest<br>Weekly<br>Average | Highest<br>Daily<br>Discharge  |
| CBOD <sub>5</sub>          | mg/L                | 10   |                   | 20                 | 7.9                                |                              | 11                             |
| TSS                        | mg/L                | 20   |                   | 30                 | 15.5                               |                              | 23.5                           |
| pН                         | standard<br>units   | 6.5 – 8.5  |                   |                    | Minimum – 6.5<br>Maximum – 8.1     |                              |                                |
| Oil and Grease             | mg/L                | 5  |                   | 10                 | 3.9                                |                              | 3.9                            |
| Enterococci                | colonies/<br>100 mL | 35 <sup>(1)</sup>  |                   | 276 <sup>(2)</sup> | 23 <sup>(1)</sup>                  |                              | 488.4 <sup>(2)</sup>           |
| Total Chlorine<br>Residual | mg/L                |  |                   | 0.0 (3)            |                                    |                              | 0.0                            |
| Settleable Matter          | mL/L-hr.            | 0.1  |                   | 0.2                |                                    |                              | < 0.1                          |
| Turbidity                  | NTU                 |  |                   | 10                 |                                    |                              | 9.92                           |
| Acute Toxicity             | %<br>survival       | 11-sample median value of not less than 90 percent survival and an 11-sample 90th percentile value of not less than 70 percent survival. |                   |                    |                                    | •                            | nedian – 95%<br>Oth percentile |
| Ammonia-N                  | mg/L                | 2 <sup>(4)</sup>   |                   | 5 <sup>(4)</sup>   | 17.4                               |                              | 24.1                           |

#### **Footnotes for Table F-3:**

- (1) As a 30-day geometric mean.
- (2) As a single sample maximum.
- (3) Requirement defined as below the limit of detection in standard test methods defined in the latest USEPA approved edition of *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*.
- (4) Ammonia effluent limitations apply June through September only. Effluent data during June through September were in compliance with these effluent limits.

<sup>&</sup>quot;<" Analyte not detected in effluent; value given is the MDL as reported by the analytical laboratory.

Table F-4. Previous Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Data for Toxic Pollutants

| Parameter              | Units | Final Limits     |                    | Interim Limits   |                    | Monitoring Data<br>(From 1/2003 to<br>1/2008) |
|------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|---|
|                        |       | Daily<br>Maximum | Monthly<br>Average | Daily<br>Maximum | Monthly<br>Average | Highest Daily<br>Concentration                |
| Copper                 | μg/L  | 20               | 10                 |                  |                    | 6.9   |
| Mercury                | μg/L  |                  |                    | 2.1              | 0.012              | 0.007   |
| Nickel                 | μg/L  | 40               | 24                 |                  |                    | 5.1   |
| Cyanide                | μg/L  |                  |                    | 32               |                    | 10  |
| Chlorodibromomethane   | μg/L  |                  |                    | 58               |                    | 37.2  |
| Dichlorobromomethane   | μg/L  |                  |                    | 68               |                    | 36  |
| Tributyltin            | μg/L  | 0.03             | 0.01               |                  |                    | 0.016   |
| 4,4'-DDE               | μg/L  |                  |                    | 0.05             |                    | < 0.002                                       |
| Dieldrin               | μg/L  |                  |                    | 0.01             |                    | < 0.002                                       |
| Heptachlor Epoxide     | μg/L  |                  |                    | 0.01             |                    | < 0.002                                       |
| Benzo(b)Fluoranthene   | μg/L  |                  |                    | 10.0             |                    | < 0.02  |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene | μg/L  |                  |                    | 0.05             |                    | < 0.02  |

<sup>&</sup>quot;<" Analyte not detected in effluent; value given is the minimum detection limit (MDL) as reported by the analytical laboratory.

# **D.** Compliance Summary

1. **Compliance with Previous Numeric Effluent Limits.** Exceedances of numeric effluent limitations for tributyltin and enterococci were observed during the previous permit term. The exceedances are summarized in Table F-5, below.

**Table F-5. Compliance with Numeric Effluent Limitations** 

| Date of Violation | Parameter   | Units Effluent Limitation |                        | Reported Effluent |
|-------------------|-------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
|                   |             |                           |                        | Concentration     |
| August 31, 2004   | Tributyltin | μg/L                      | Monthly Average – 0.01 | 0.02              |
| November 30, 2007 | Tributyltin | μg/L                      | Monthly Average – 0.01 | 0.016             |
| February 2, 2008  | Enterococci | MPN/100 mL                | Daily Maximum – 276    | 2,400             |

A mandatory minimum penalty of \$3,000 was assessed for the two tributyltin violations, in Order R2-2004-0091 (for the August 2004 violation), and in State Water Board Order SWB-2008-2-0030 (for the November 2007 violation). No enforcement action has yet been taken for the February 2008 enterococci violation.

- 2. **Compliance with Chronic Toxicity Trigger.** The chronic toxicity trigger of 2.0 chronic toxicity units (TUc) as a single-sample maximum was exceeded on 20 occasions (out of 97 samples), and the trigger of 1.0 TUc as a three-sample median was exceeded on 44 occasions out of 92 3-sample median values during the previous permit term (November 2003-March 2009). This Order imposes additional requirements for the Discharger to reduce chronic toxicity. See more discussed in Fact Sheet Sections IV.D.6.
- 3. **Compliance with Previous Provisions.** A list of special activities required by the previous Order and the status of those requirements are shown in Table F-6, below.