

From: Dania Huggins
To: Melissa Turner
CC: Chris Jimmerson; Dania Huggins
Date: 1/13/2011 7:27 AM
Subject: RE: ESJ_2011_Monitoring_Schedule

Thank you Melissa for the detailed explanation. I understood almost everything, except for the last paragraph, but I think it will make more sense once that I have the tables in front of me.

Thank you

Dania

>>> Melissa Turner <mturner@mlj-llc.com> 1/11/2011 8:43 AM >>>

Hi Dania,

We have created a separate file to track 303d and TMDL site/constituents – it is an excel file that is based off the table in the 2008 MRPP. We have updated that file to track what was in the 2006 303d list and the 2008 303d list including any updates, delistings, etc. When I send that file to you with the monitoring schedule I will add some verbiage in the email to help navigate you through that file. This file has been made on our review of the online 2008 303d list – we have not yet compared it to the list that Chris sent us. We think it might be better for you/Chris to review our file against your internal file to double check/confirm our tracker. The second file I will send you is another excel file which lists what sites will be monitored for what constituents and what type of monitoring that will be (i.e. A for assessment, C for core, MPM for management plan monitoring and an * for TMDL monitoring – there will be a key at the bottom of the table in excel). This file was built after recommendations/requests from the Regional Board staff to send a more detailed schedule documenting our monitoring and is in a similar format to what was recommended. Due to the overlap between assessment monitoring at assessment sites and core sites this year we will be conducting representative monitoring for all 303d listed waterbodies/constituents as part of normal monitoring and the first file I described above can be used to determine which sites are representative of a specific 303d waterbody/constituent. Because we always have at least one site in each zone with assessment monitoring we should always be covered for TMDL constituents and 303d listed (TMDL needed) constituents. This will probably make much more sense when I send you the files but I think we are essentially on the same page.

Melissa

From: Dania Huggins [<mailto:dhuggins@waterboards.ca.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2011 7:34 AM
To: Melissa Turner
Cc: Dania Huggins
Subject: Re: ESJ_2011_Monitoring_Schedule

Good morning Melissa,

Thank you. Yes, Chris has keeping me up to date with the 303d/TMDL discussions. Just to confirm, so the 303d/TMDL considerations for your updates in the 2011 schedule will include:

- (1) A distinction between 303d and TMDL site/constituents
- (2) 303d site/constituents only in core site/years
- (3) For the 2 above you will be using the 2010 303d list that Chris sent you on 01/04/2011

Is that correct?

Dania

>>> Melissa Turner <mturner@mlj-llc.com> 1/11/2011 7:08 AM >>>
Good morning Dania,

We should be able to get you the schedule early next week - it is mostly done but we need to refine the 303d/TMDL listings. Also, as with the SJCDWQC, the MPM schedule is subject to change based on October through December results which have not been reviewed (in some cases not received yet) in regards to 2011 MPM.

Melissa

On Mon, Jan 10, 2011 at 7:42 AM, Dania Huggins <dhuggins@waterboards.ca.gov> wrote:

Good morning Melissa,

I am reviewing the Coalition's request letter to amend its current MRP Plan (for reducing and omitting certain constituents). Could you please provide me with the ESJ_2011_Monitoring_Schedule? Could you please include in this schedule the 303(d) monitoring sites/constituents as well as Management Plan (MPM)sites/constituents?

This information will help me complete your request review more efficiently.

Thank you Melissa

Dania