

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS REPORT North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board

December 2012

Update on Water Quality Assessment -The 305(b) and 303(d) Integrated Report

Katharine Carter

California combines the 305(b) Surface Water Quality Assessment (305(b) Assessment) and the 303(d) List of Impaired Waters (303(d) List) into a single process known as the *Integrated Report*, satisfying the requirements of both sections 305(b) and 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act. Placement on the 303(d) List generally triggers development of a pollution control plan called a total maximum daily load (TMDL) for each water body-pollutant-pair on the list.

The 2010 Integrated Report:

The current 303(d) List and 305(b) Assessment for waters within the North Coast Region comes from the *2010 Integrated Report*, which was approved by the USEPA on November 12, 2010. The 2010 303(d) List will remain in effect until the next 303(d) List update is approved by the USEPA.

The 2012/2014 Integrated Report:

North Coast Regional Water Board staff is working closely with State Water Board staff to assess data and develop the *2012/2014 Integrated Report*. Data are currently assessed and documented in lines of evidence (LOEs). LOEs describe the data, quality control information, the water quality objective to which the data are compared, and how many times the data exceed that objective.

Thus far, State Water Board staff has developed over 1,300 (LOEs). Regional Water Board staff has reviewed and commented on these LOEs, as well as created over 100 LOEs to assist the State Water Board in the data evaluation process.

When all the data submitted for the 2012/2014 Integrated Report have been evaluated and translated into final LOEs, Regional Water Board staff will determine if the evidence is sufficient to classify a water body as supporting beneficial uses, lacking enough data, or not supporting beneficial uses (i.e., impaired) for a particular pollutant. Staff will describe the basis for each determination in a decision. Fact sheets for each water body-pollutantpair will include a decision and supporting lines of evidence.

The development of the Integrated Report, including lines of evidence and decisions, is accomplished according to the State Water Board's 2004 *Water Quality Control Policy for Developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List*, also known as the Listing Policy.

California Environmental Protection Agency

Data for the 2012/2014 Integrated Report:

The data and information listed in the following table are being assessed by Water Board staff as part of the *2012/2014 Integrated Report.* These data are limited to waters in the North Coast Region and were submitted by members of the public, stakeholders, and Water Board staff. Data and information submitted by August 30, 2010, are being evaluated as part of the *2012/2014 Integrated Report cycle.*

Waterbody	Pollutant	
Big River & Berry Gulch	Temperature, DO, pH, Specific Conductivity	
Eel River	Algae	
Elk River, Little South Fork	Sediment	
Elk River, South Fork	DO, Turbidity	
Freshwater Creek	Sediment	
Humboldt Bay	Indicator Bacteria	
Humboldt Bay Tributaries	Indicator Bacteria	
Klamath River	Dioxin, Pesticides, Metals/Metalloids, Sediment, Modeling Info on Landslide Potential	
Klamath Project and Tule Lake	Metals, Pesticides, Nutrients	
Mark West Creek	Various	
Mendocino Coast Streams	Metals/Metalloids, Nutrients, Sediment, Misc.	
Pudding Creek	Temperature	
Redwood Creek	Sediment, Temperature, Misc.	
Region-wide	Pesticides (Mussel Watch Data), Various (SWAMP Data)	

Waterbody	Pollutant		
Region-wide Lakes	Mercury in Fish Tissue		
Russian River	Indicator Bacteria, Algae, Ludwigia		
Scott River	Flow, Nutrients, Bacteria, DO, pH, Temperature		
Shasta River	DO, Temperature, Flow, Algae, Nutrients, Metals/Metalloids, Misc.		

Schedule

The estimated schedule for the 2012 Integrated Report is shown below.

Activity	<u>Timeframe</u>
Develop Lines of Evidence	Winter 2012
Develop Decisions	Spring 2013
Develop Staff ReportS	ummer 2013
Public Review	Fall 2013
Regional Board ConsiderationFall/	Winter 2013
State Board ConsiderationS	ummer 2014
EPA Consideration Fall/	Winter 2014

For More Information

Additional information is available on our website at: http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoas t/water issues/programs/tmdls/303d/ or by contacting Katharine Carter at 707-576-2290 or kcarter@waterboards.ca.gov

\approx \approx \approx \approx \approx \approx

Petition to the Green Diamond Forest Management WDR

Kaete King

On November 5, 2012, a petition was received on the Green Diamond Forest Management WDR. This WDR was adopted on October 4, 2012 and regulates industrial timber harvest activities on most of Green Diamond's ownership in the region. Staff will work closely with Regional Board counsel while the State Board Office of Chief Counsel evaluates the petition.

\approx \approx \approx \approx \approx \approx

Assembly Bill 1492

Fred Blatt

AB1492 was adopted by the Legislature and signed by the Governor in September 2012. One of the highlights of this legislation is that it establishes a Timber **Regulation and Forest Restoration Fund** (Fund) in the State Treasury. Revenues for the Fund come from a specified assessment imposed on the retail sale of lumber products in the state. The Fund is specified for use in covering the costs of departments and state agencies (i.e. CalFire, CDF&G, CGS, and the Water Boards) related to regulatory activities associated with the management of forest lands. These revenues are designed to replace the General Fund in funding our regulation of forestry activities. The Fund also provides resources for the restoration of the state's forested lands and promotes restoration of fisheries and wildlife habitat and improvement of water quality. AB1492 also prohibits the collection of fees by timber review agencies for costs associated with the review, inspection, oversight and permitting of timber operations. The retail assessments begin January 1, 2013 and funding of State forest regulatory activities begins with the 2013-14 state fiscal year.

 \approx \approx \approx \approx \approx \approx

Date Issued	Discharger	Action Type	Violation Type	Status as of November 13, 2012
8/20/12	Fairchild Camera & Instrument	Rescission of CAO	Soil and groundwater contamination	No Further Action

Enforcement Report for December 6, 2012 Executive Officer's Report

Comments: On April 21, 1982, the Regional Water Board Executive Officer (EO) issued Cleanup and Abatement Order (CAO) No. 82-60 to Fairchild Camera & Instrument Corporation for soil and groundwater contamination. The site has been investigated and remediated. On August 16, 2012, the EO issued a *No Further Action Required* letter, and on August 20, 2012, the EO issued Order No. R1-2012-0094 rescinding CAO 82-60.

Date Issued	Discharger	Action Type	Violation Type	Status as of November 13, 2012
9/21/12	Siskiyou County General Hospital	Rescission of CAO	Soil and groundwater contamination	No Further Action

Comments: On January 9, 1991, the Regional Water Board EO issued CAO No. 91-15 to Siskiyou County General Hospital for soil and groundwater contamination. The site has been investigated and remediated. On September 21, 2012, the EO issued Order No. R1-2012-0103, rescinding CAO 91-15.

Date Issued	Discharger	Action Type	Violation Type	Status as of November 15, 2012
9/24/12	Sierra Pacific Industries	NOV	Potential sediment discharge from unauthorized activities	In Compliance

Comments: On September 24, 2012, the Division Chief of the Nonpoint Source and Timber Harvest Division issued a Notice of Violation (NOV) to Sierra Pacific Industries for unauthorized activities. The Discharger had installed a new bridge on Bierce Creek, a tributary of the South Fork of the Trinity River, reactivating an old landslide. The NOV requested that the Discharger develop and implement a short term mitigation plan to limit sediment delivery into Bierce Creek over the 2012-13 winter period. In response, the Discharger submitted a workplan to remediate the problem and has completed work at the site.

Date Issued	Discharger	Action Type	Violation Type	Status as of November 13, 2012
10/16/12	Mr. Robert O'Brien	Rescission of CAO and 13267(b)	Unauthorized dredge and fill activities in waters of the United States	No Further Action

Comments: On June 30, 2008, the Regional Water Board EO issued CAO No. R1-2008-0096 to Mr. Robert O'Brien for unauthorized activities in waters of the United States, including disturbance of and construction in wetlands and a watercourse on a site located on Occidental Road in Sonoma County. The CAO required the restoration of the dredged stream, removal of an illegally installed stream crossing, and a jurisdictional delineation of the subject site. The discharger has satisfactorily completed the requirements of the CAO. On October 16, 2012, the EO issued Order No. R1-2012-0111, rescinding CAO R1-2008-0096.

Date Issued	Discharger	Action Type	Violation Type	Status as of November 13, 2012
11/5/12	Ca. Dept. of Transportation and Ghilotti Construction Company	ACLC	Violation of CWA section 401 Water Quality Certification and NPDES Stormwater permit	Ongoing

Comments: On November 5, 2012, the Regional Water Board AEO issued Administrative Civil Liability Complaint (ACLC) R1-2012-0112 to the California Department of Transportation and its contractor, the Ghilotti Construction Company, for discharges of waste and water quality impacts associated with the Highway 101 High Occupancy Vehicle Central Project, which extends from north of Pepper Road in Petaluma to Rohnert Park Expressway in Rohnert Park, Sonoma County. The discharges violated provisions of both the Clean Water Act section 401 Water Quality Certification and the NPDES Stormwater permit covering the project. The ACLC proposes a penalty of \$5,565,860.