CALIFORNIA
Water Boards

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
GOVERNOR

MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ
SECRETARY FOR
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board

September 3, 2015

Portfolio Hotels & Resorts, LLC
Graham Hershman
Agent for Service of Process
601 Oakmont Lane, Suite 420
Westmont, IL 60559-5557

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
CLAIM NO. 7014 2120 0004 7561 6170

Portfolio Hotels & Resorts, LLC
9501 Technology Boulevard, Suite 401
Rosemont, IL 60018-5237

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
CLAIM NO. 7014 2120 0004 7561 9638

Peter G. Dumon
1110 Jorie Boulevard, 3rd Floor
Oak Brook, IL 60523

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
CLAIM NO. 7014 2120 0004 7561 9645

Portfolio Hotels & Resorts, LLC
c/o Corporation Service Company
2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 150N
Sacramento, CA 95833-3502

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
CLAIM NO. 7014 2120 0004 7561 9652

Peter G. Dumon
555 N. Garfield Ave.
Hinsdale, IL 60521-3571

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
CLAIM NO. 7014 2120 0004 7561 9669

COMPLAINT NO. R4-2014-0129 FOR MANDATORY MINIMUM PENALTY AGAINST RADLAX GATEWAY HOTEL, LLC, AND PORTFOLIO HOTELS AND RESORTS, LLC, FORMER RADISSON HOTEL AT THE LOS ANGELES INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, 6225 WEST CENTURY BOULEVARD, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA (ORDER NOS. R4-2004-0058 AND R4-2009-0047, NPDES PERMIT NO. CAG994003, CI-4581)

Enclosed is Complaint No. R4-2014-0129 for Mandatory Minimum Penalties in the amount of $915,000 against RadLAX Gateway Hotel, LLC, and Portfolio Hotels and Resorts, LLC (hereinafter collectively Permittee) for violating waste discharge requirements and monitoring and reporting requirements contained in Regional Board Order Nos. R4-2004-0058 and R4-2009-0047. Also enclosed is a copy of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region (Regional Board) "*Hearing Procedures for Administrative Civil Liability Complaint No. R4-2014-0129*" (Hearing Procedures).

Unless waived, a hearing before the Executive Officer of the Regional Board will be held on this Complaint pursuant to Executive Order No. EO-2014-01. Should the Permittee choose to waive its right to a hearing, an authorized agent must sign the waiver form attached to Complaint No. R4-2014-0129 and return it with full payment to the Regional Board by 5:00 pm on **October 5, 2015**. If we do not receive the waiver and full payment of the mandatory minimum penalty or the

waiver to engage in settlement negotiations by **October 5, 2015**, this matter will be heard before the Executive Officer on **December 2, 2015**. An agenda containing the date, time, location and specific procedures of the hearing will be mailed to you prior to the hearing date.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Miss Melissa Hall at (916) 341-5773 / melissa.hall@waterboards.ca.gov or Mr. Russ Colby at (213) 620-6373 / russ.colby@waterboards.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Paula Rasmussen
Assistant Executive Officer

Enclosures: Complaint No. R4-2014-0129
Exhibit "1"
Hearing Procedures

cc: *(via United States Postal Service)*

Mr. Jeff Rostek, The Concourse Hotel at Los Angeles International Airport, 6225 West Century Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90045
Ms. Antoinette Romero, The Concourse Hotel at Los Angeles International Airport, 6225 West Century Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90045
Humnit Hotel at LAX, LLC, c/o C T Corporation System, 555 Capitol Mall Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

(via electronic mail only)

Ms. Jennifer Fordyce, Office of Chief Counsel, SWRCB
Ms. Vanessa Young, Office of Enforcement, SWRCB
Ms. Kailyn Ellison, Office of Enforcement, SWRCB
Ms. Jacqueline Taylor, Bureau of Environmental Protection, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health [jactaylor@ph.lacounty.gov]
Ms. Kathleen Johnson, Enforcement Division, USEPA
Ms. Nancy Woo, Water Division, USEPA
Ms. Alix Hobbs, Heal the Bay [ahobbs@healthebay.org]
Los Angeles Water Keeper [info@lawaterkeeper.org]
Mr. Graham Hamilton, West LA/Malibu Chapter, Surfrider Foundation [ghamilton@wlam.surfrider.org]
Mr. Seamus Ian Innes, Long Beach Chapter, Surfrider Foundation [chair@lbsurfrider.org]

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
LOS ANGELES REGION

In the matter of:	)	**Complaint No. R4-2014-0129**
	)	**Mandatory Minimum Penalty for**
RadLAX Gateway Hotel, LLC, and	)	**Violation of California Water Code**
Portfolio Hotels and Resorts, LLC	)	**Sections 13376 and 13385**
The Concourse Hotel	)	**and**
(former Radisson Hotel) at the	)	**Order Nos. R4-2004-0058 and**
Los Angeles International Airports	)	**R4-2009-0047**
Los Angeles, California	)	**(NPDES No. CAG994003)**

This Complaint to assess the mandatory minimum penalty pursuant to California Water Code (Water Code) section 13385, subdivisions (h) and (i), and section 13385.1 is issued to RadLAX Gateway Hotel, LLC, and Portfolio Hotels and Resorts, LLC (hereinafter Permittee) based on a finding of violations of waste discharge requirements prescribed in Order Nos. R4-2004-0058 and R4-2009-0047 (NPDES No. CAG994003, CI No. 4581).

The Chief Prosecutor of the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region (Regional Board) alleges the following:

1. The Permittee owned and operated the former Radisson Hotel at the Los Angeles International Airport (hereinafter Facility) located at 6225 West Century Boulevard, Los Angeles, California. The Permittee was authorized to discharge up to 5,000 gallons per day of wastewater generated from swimming pool filter backwash, pool deck drainage, spa generated drainage water, and cooling tower bleed off operations. The discharge from the Facility flows into a nearby storm drain that flows into Dominguez Channel, a water of the United States. The wastewater is susceptible to containing high levels of pH, total suspended solids, turbidity, biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), settleable solids, total residual chlorine, and copper, which can degrade water quality and impact beneficial uses of water, and which are defined as wastes under the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act (Water Code § 13000 *et seq.*).

2. On April 1, 2004, the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region (Regional Board) adopted Order No. R4-2004-0058, NPDES Permit and Waste Discharge Requirements for *Discharges of Nonprocess Wastewater to Surface Waters in Coastal Watersheds of Los Angeles and Ventura Counties.* On July 13, 2005, the Executive Officer determined that the waste discharges from the Permittee's Facility met the conditions to be enrolled under Order No. R4-2004-0058.

3. On February 18, 2008, the Permittee submitted a request for transfer of NPDES permit coverage from the previous owner to itself, with a transfer effective date of November 1, 2007.

4. On April 2, 2009, the Regional Board adopted Order No. R4-2009-0047 NPDES Permit and Waste Discharge Requirements for *Discharges of Nonprocess Wastewater to Surface Waters in Coastal Watersheds of Los Angeles and Ventura Counties.* On July 13, 2010, the Executive

Officer approved the Permittee's continued enrollment under Order No. R4-2009-0047 for the waste discharges from the Facility. Order No. R4-2009-0047 became effective to the Permittee's discharge on July 13, 2010, and superseded Order No. R4-2004-0058 except for enforcement purposes.

5. The Facility was acquired from the Permittee by the current owner, Humnit Hotel at LAX, LLC in June 2014.

6. Order No. R4-2004-0058, (E.1.a. Table i – General Constituents, page 12; and E.1.b. Table i – Hardness-dependent metals, page 13) contains the following effluent limitations for residual chlorine and copper:

Constituent	Unit of Measure	Discharge Limitations	
		Daily Maximum	Monthly Average
Residual Chlorine	mg/L	0.1	--
Copper	µg/L	44.4	22.1

mg/L = milligrams/liter; µg/L = micrograms/liter

7. Order No. R4-2004-0058, (E.2, page 13) requires the pH of the discharge from the Facility to at all times be within the range of 6.5 and 8.5.

8. Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) No. CI 4581 to Order No. R4-2004-0058, (I.A, page T-1), required the Permittee to submit monitoring reports to the Regional Board by May 15 for the January through March reporting period, August 15 for the April through June reporting period, November 15 for the July through September reporting period, and February 15 for the October through December reporting period (*i.e.*, one month and fifteen days from the end of the calendar quarter).

9. Order No. R4-2009-0047, (V.A.a, Table 1 – General Constituents, pages 13 and 14; and V.A.b, Table 3 – Hardness-dependent Metals, page 15), contains the following effluent limitations for total suspended solids, turbidity, biochemical oxygen demand, oil and grease, settleable solids, residual chlorine and copper:

Constituent	Unit of Measure	Discharge Limitations	
		Daily Maximum	Monthly Average
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	150	50
Turbidity	NTU	150	50
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	30	20
Oil and Grease	mg/L	15	10
Settleable Solids	mL/L	0.3	0.1
Residual Chlorine	mg/L	0.1	--
Coper	µg/L	44.4	22.1

mg/L = milligrams/liter; NTU = nephelometric turbidity units; mL/L = milliliters/liter; µg/L = micrograms/liter

10. Order No. R4-2009-0047, (V.A.e, page 18) requires the pH of the discharge from the Facility to at all times be within the range of 6.5 and 8.5.

11. MRP No. CI 4581 to Order No. R9-2009-0047, (IX.B.3, Table 4 – Monitoring Periods and Reporting Schedule, page 10) requires the Permittee to submit the results of continuous, weekly, monthly and quarterly monitoring data on a quarterly basis, with quarterly self-monitoring reports due 45 days from the end of the monitoring period.

12. On June 30, 2014, the Chief Prosecutor of the Regional Board issued the Permittee Settlement Offer No. R4-2014-0129 to participate in the Expedited Payment Program (Settlement Offer). The Settlement Offer was sent via certified mail and received by the Permittee on July 2, 2014. The Settlement Offer notified the Permittee of 179 effluent limit violations, all of which were cited in Exhibit "1", Table 1, of the Settlement Offer as subject to mandatory minimum penalties (MMPs) in the total amount of $537,000. The Settlement Offer also notified the Permittee of six monitoring reports more than 30 days late, all of which were cited in Exhibit "1", Table 2, as being subject to MMPs in the total amount of $294,000. The late reporting and effluent limit violation mandatory minimum penalties combined for a total of $831,000.

13. Since the date the Settlement Offer was made and received by the Permittee's agent for service of process, no response has been received from the Permittee or its representatives. As of the date of this Complaint, the number of days late for the still-delinquent 2nd Quarter 2010 and 1st Quarter 2011 reports has increased from 1,415 to 1,845, and from 1,142 to 1,572, respectively, as cited in Exhibit "1", Table 2. The number of 30-day periods by which these reports are late has increased from 47 to 61 for the 2nd Quarter 2010 report, and from 38 to 52 for the 1st Quarter 2011 report. The corresponding increase in MMPs for the 2nd Quarter 2010 and 1st Quarter 2011 is from $141,000 to $183,000, and from $114,000 to $156,000, respectively. The updated late reporting MMPs, as of the date of this Complaint, now total $378,000.

14. Of the one hundred and seventy-nine effluent limit violations of Order Nos. R4-2004-0058 and R4-2009-0047 noted in the Permittee's self-monitoring reports for the period beginning November 1, 2007 and ending May 31, 2014, as cited in Exhibit "1", Table 1, nine biochemical oxygen demand, one hundred and nineteen copper, two oil and grease, one pH, twenty-four residual chlorine, ten settleable solids, four total suspended solids, and one turbidity effluent limitation violations are subject to MMPs in the amount of five hundred and thirty-seven thousand dollars ($537,000).

15. The total mandatory minimum penalties for effluent limitation violations and late reporting violations, as cited in Exhibit "1", Tables 1 and 2, is nine hundred and fifteen thousand dollars ($915,000).

16. Any discharge containing pollutants violating the effluent limitations set in the waste discharge requirements is prohibited by Water Code section 13376.

17. The maximum amount of administrative civil liability assessable pursuant to Water Code section 13385 subdivision (c) is $10,000 per day of violation plus $10 times the number of gallons by which the volume discharged but not cleaned up exceeds 1,000 gallons.

18. Water Code section 13385 subdivision (h) requires the Regional Board to assess an MMP of three thousand dollars ($3,000) for each serious violation. Pursuant to Water Code section 13385 subdivision (h)(2) "a serious violation is defined as any waste discharge that violates the effluent limitations contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements for a Group II pollutant by 20 percent or more, or for a Group I pollutant by 40 percent or more. Appendix A of Part 123.45 of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations specifies the Group I and II pollutants."

19. Water Code section 13385 subdivision (i) requires the Regional Board to assess an MMP of three thousand dollars ($3,000) for each violation whenever the permittee violates a waste discharge requirement effluent limitation in any period of six consecutive months, except that the requirement to assess the mandatory minimum penalty shall not be applicable to the first three violations within that time period.

20. Water Code section 13385.1 subdivision (a)(1) requires the Regional Board to assess an MMP of three thousand dollars ($3,000) for a "serious violation" defined by that section as "a failure to file a discharge monitoring report required pursuant to Section 13383 for each complete period of 30 days following the deadline for submitting the report, if the report is designed to ensure compliance limitations contained in waste discharge requirements that contain effluent limitations. This section applies to violations occurring on or after January 1, 2004.

YOU ARE HEREBY GIVEN NOTICE THAT:

21. The Chief Prosecutor proposes that the Permittee be assessed a mandatory minimum penalty in the amount of nine hundred fifteen thousand dollars ($915,000) for the violations cited in Exhibit "1". This Complaint addresses administrative civil liability for violations that are specifically identified in Exhibit "1" as subject to a mandatory minimum penalty. Refer to Exhibit "1" for the calculation of the amount of mandatory minimum penalty.

22. The Permittee may waive the right to a hearing and pay the recommended civil liability. Should the Permittee choose to waive its right to a hearing, an authorized agent must sign the waiver form attached to this Complaint and return it to the Regional Board by 5:00 pm on **October 5, 2015**. If the hearing is waived, a check in the amount of nine hundred fifteen thousand dollars ($915,000) (payable to the *State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account*) must be received by the Regional Board by 5:00 p.m. on **October 5, 2015**.

23. If the Regional Board does not either receive a waiver and full payment of the mandatory minimum penalty or a waiver to engage in settlement negotiations by **October 5, 2015**, the Complaint will be heard before the Executive Officer of the Regional Board pursuant to Executive Order No. EO-2014-01. The attached *"Hearing Procedures for Administrative Civil Liability Complaint No. R4-2014-0129"* contains that date, time, location and specific procedures of the scheduled hearing of this matter.

24. If a hearing on this matter is held, the Executive Officer of the Regional Board, pursuant authority delegated to the Executive Officer by Resolution No. R14-005, will hear evidence, determine facts, make conclusions of law and consider whether to issue an Administrative Civil Liability Order assessing the proposed liability, or a higher or lower amount. The Executive Officer may also decline to assess any liability, may continue the hearing to a later date or may refer the matter to the Attorney General for assessment of judicial civil liability.

25. There are no statutes of limitations that apply to administrative proceedings. The statutes of limitations that refer to "actions" and "special proceedings" and are contained in the California Code of Civil Procedure apply to judicial proceedings, not administrative proceedings. See *City of Oakland v. Public Employees' Retirement System* (2002) 95 Cal. App. 4th 29, 48; 3 Witkin, Cal. Procedure (4th ed. 1996) Actions, Section 405(2), p. 510.) Additionally, the State Water Resources Control Board determined that the equitable doctrine of laches does not apply to mandatory minimum penalties. (State Water Board Order Nos. 2013-0053, 2013-0054, 2013-0055, and 2013-0099.)

26. Notwithstanding the issuance of this Complaint, the Regional Board shall retain the authority to assess additional penalties for violations of the requirements of the Permittee's waste discharge requirements for which penalties have not yet been assessed or for violations that may subsequently occur.

27. This enforcement action is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act, California Public Resources Code section 21000 *et seq.*, in accordance with California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 15321.

28. Regulations of the United States Environmental Protection Agency require public notification of any proposed settlement of the civil liability occasioned by violation of the Clean Water Act including NPDES permit violations. Accordingly, interested persons will be given 30 days to comment on any proposed settlement of this Complaint.

Paula Rasmussen

September 3, 2015

Paula Rasmussen
Assistant Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board

WAIVER FORM

FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY COMPLAINT NO. R4-2014-0129

By signing this waiver, I affirm and acknowledge the following:

I am duly authorized to represent the RadLAX Gateway Hotel, LLC, and Portfolio Hotels and Resorts, LLC (hereinafter "Permittee") in connection with Administrative Civil Liability Complaint No. R4-2014-0129 (hereinafter the "Complaint"). I am informed that California Water Code section 13323, subdivision (b), states that, "a hearing before the regional board shall be conducted within 90 days after the party has been served [with the Complaint]. The person who has been issued a complaint may waive the right to a hearing."

□ ***OPTION 1: Check here if the Permittee waives the hearing requirement and will pay the recommended liability.***

a. I hereby waive any right the Permittee may have to a hearing before the Executive Officer.

b. I certify that the Permittee will remit payment for the civil liability imposed in the amount of **$915,000** by check that references "ACL Complaint No. R4-2014-0129" made payable to the "*Cleanup and Abatement Account*". Payment must be received by the Regional Board by **October 5, 2015** or this matter will be placed on the agenda for a hearing as initially proposed in the Complaint.

c. I understand the payment of the above amount constitutes a proposed settlement of the Complaint, and that any settlement will not become final until after the 30-day public notice and comment period expires. Should the Regional Board receive significant new information or comments from any source (excluding the Water Board's Prosecution Team) during this comment period, the Regional Board's Chief Prosecutor may withdraw the Complaint, return payment and issue a new complaint. I understand that this proposed settlement is subject to approval by the Regional Board, and that the Regional Board may consider this proposed settlement in a public meeting or hearing. I also understand that approval of the settlement will result in the Permittee having waived the right to contest the allegations in the Complaint and the imposition of civil liability.

d. I understand that payment of the above amount is not a substitute for compliance with applicable laws and that continuing violations of the type alleged in the Complaint may subject the Permittee to further enforcement, including additional civil liability.

☐ ***OPTION 2: Check here if the Permittee waives the 90-day hearing requirement in order to engage in settlement discussions.***

I hereby waive any right the Permittee may have to a hearing before the Regional Board within 90 days after service of the complaint, but I reserve the ability to request a hearing in the future. I certify that the Permittee will promptly engage the Regional Board Prosecution Team in settlement discussions to attempt to resolve the outstanding violation(s). By checking this box, the Permittee requests that the Regional Board delay the hearing so that the Permittee and the Prosecution Team can discuss settlement. It remains within the discretion of the Regional Board to agree to delay the hearing. Any proposed settlement is subject to the conditions described above under "Option 1".

RadLAX Gateway Hotel, LLC, *-OR-* Portfolio Hotels and Resorts, LLC

_____ _____ _____
(Signed Name) (Date)

_____ _____
(Printed or typed name) (Title)

EXHIBIT "1"
Violation Summary

RadLAX Gateway Hotel, LLC
Portfolio Hotels and Resorts, LLC
CI No. 4581

Date	Monitoring Period	Violation Type	Parameter	Reported Value	Permit Limit	Units	Pollutant Category	% Exceeded	Serious/ Chronic	Water Code Section 13385	Penalty
11/02/07	4th Quarter 2007	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	0.47	0.1	mg/L	2	370%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
11/02/07	4th Quarter 2007	Daily Maximum	Copper	216	44.4	µg/L	2	386%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
11/30/07	4th Quarter 2007	Monthly Average	Copper	216	22.1	µg/L	2	877%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
12/04/07	4th Quarter 2007	Daily Maximum	Copper	99.3	44.4	µg/L	2	124%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
12/31/07	4th Quarter 2007	Monthly Average	Copper	99.3	22.1	µg/L	2	349%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
01/04/08	1st Quarter 2008	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	0.2	0.1	mg/L	2	100%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
01/04/08	1st Quarter 2008	Daily Maximum	Copper	276	44.4	µg/L	2	522%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
01/31/08	1st Quarter 2008	Monthly Average	Copper	276	22.1	µg/L	2	1,149%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
02/01/08	1st Quarter 2008	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	0.18	0.1	mg/L	2	80%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
02/01/08	1st Quarter 2008	Daily Maximum	Copper	354	44.4	µg/L	2	697%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
02/29/08	1st Quarter 2008	Monthly Average	Copper	354	22.1	µg/L	2	1,502%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
03/07/08	1st Quarter 2008	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	0.5	0.1	mg/L	2	400%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
03/07/08	1st Quarter 2008	Daily Maximum	Copper	450	44.4	µg/L	2	914%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
03/31/08	1st Quarter 2008	Monthly Average	Copper	450	22.1	µg/L	2	1,936%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/04/08	2nd Quarter 2008	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	1.8	0.1	mg/L	2	1,700%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/04/08	2nd Quarter 2008	Daily Maximum	Copper	344	44.4	µg/L	2	675%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/30/08	2nd Quarter 2008	Monthly Average	Copper	344	22.1	µg/L	2	1,457%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/30/08	2nd Quarter 2008	Monthly Average	TSS	64	50	mg/L	1	28%	Chronic	(i)1	$3,000
05/02/08	2nd Quarter 2008	Instantaneous	pH	8.56	8.5	S.U.	OEV	N/A	Chronic	(i)1	$3,000
05/02/08	2nd Quarter 2008	Daily Maximum	Copper	172	44.4	µg/L	2	287%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
05/31/08	2nd Quarter 2008	Monthly Average	Copper	172	22.1	µg/L	2	678%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
06/06/08	2nd Quarter 2008	Daily Maximum	Copper	232	44.4	µg/L	2	423%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
06/30/08	2nd Quarter 2008	Monthly Average	Copper	232	22.1	µg/L	2	950%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
08/01/08	3rd Quarter 2008	Daily Maximum	Copper	548	44.4	µg/L	2	1,134%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
08/31/08	3rd Quarter 2008	Monthly Average	Copper	548	22.1	µg/L	2	2,380%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
09/05/08	3rd Quarter 2008	Instantaneous	pH	8.94	8.5	S.U.	OEV	N/A	Chronic	(i)1	$3,000
09/05/08	3rd Quarter 2008	Daily Maximum	Copper	56.3	44.4	µg/L	2	27%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
09/30/08	3rd Quarter 2008	Monthly Average	Copper	56.3	22.1	µg/L	2	155%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
10/03/08	4th Quarter 2008	Instantaneous	pH	8.65	8.5	S.U.	OEV	N/A	Chronic	(i)1	$3,000
10/03/08	4th Quarter 2008	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	0.2	0.1	mg/L	2	100%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
10/03/08	4th Quarter 2008	Daily Maximum	Copper	244	44.4	µg/L	2	450%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
10/31/08	4th Quarter 2008	Monthly Average	Copper	244	22.1	µg/L	2	1,004%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
11/30/08	4th Quarter 2008	Monthly Average	Copper	37.9	22.1	µg/L	2	71%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000

EXHIBIT "1"
Violation Summary

Date	Monitoring Period	Violation Type	Parameter	Reported Value	Permit Limit	Units	Pollutant Category	% Exceeded	Serious/ Chronic	Water Code Section 13385	Penalty
12/05/08	4th Quarter 2008	Instantaneous	pH	8.71	8.5	S.U.	OEV	N/A	Chronic	(i)1	$3,000
12/05/08	4th Quarter 2008	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	12	0.1	mg/L	2	11,900%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
12/05/08	4th Quarter 2008	Daily Maximum	Copper	84.2	44.4	µg/L	2	90%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
12/31/08	4th Quarter 2008	Monthly Average	Copper	84.2	22.1	µg/L	2	281%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
01/05/09	1st Quarter 2009	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	0.14	0.1	mg/L	2	40%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
01/05/09	1st Quarter 2009	Daily Maximum	Copper	128	44.4	µg/L	2	188%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
01/31/09	1st Quarter 2009	Monthly Average	Copper	128	22.1	µg/L	2	479%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
02/06/09	1st Quarter 2009	Instantaneous	pH	10.2	8.5	S.U.	OEV	N/A	Chronic	(i)1	$3,000
02/06/09	1st Quarter 2009	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	0.22	0.1	mg/L	2	120%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
02/06/09	1st Quarter 2009	Daily Maximum	Copper	156	44.4	µg/L	2	251%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
02/28/09	1st Quarter 2009	Monthly Average	Copper	156	22.1	µg/L	2	606%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
03/06/09	1st Quarter 2009	Instantaneous	pH	8.65	8.5	S.U.	OEV	N/A	Chronic	(i)1	$3,000
03/06/09	1st Quarter 2009	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	0.54	0.1	mg/L	2	440%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
03/06/09	1st Quarter 2009	Daily Maximum	Copper	331	44.4	µg/L	2	645%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
03/31/09	1st Quarter 2009	Monthly Average	Copper	331	22.1	µg/L	2	1,398%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/03/09	2nd Quarter 2009	Instantaneous	pH	8.78	8.5	S.U.	OEV	N/A	Chronic	(i)1	$3,000
04/03/09	2nd Quarter 2009	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	0.2	0.1	mg/L	2	100%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/03/09	2nd Quarter 2009	Daily Maximum	Copper	82.9	44.4	µg/L	2	87%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/30/09	2nd Quarter 2009	Monthly Average	Copper	82.9	22.1	µg/L	2	275%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
05/01/09	2nd Quarter 2009	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	0.34	0.1	mg/L	2	240%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
06/08/09	2nd Quarter 2009	Instantaneous	pH	8.53	8.5	S.U.	OEV	N/A	Chronic	(i)1	$3,000
06/08/09	2nd Quarter 2009	Daily Maximum	Copper	232	44.4	µg/L	2	423%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
06/30/09	2nd Quarter 2009	Monthly Average	Copper	232	22.1	µg/L	2	950%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
07/02/09	3rd Quarter 2009	Daily Maximum	Copper	180	44.4	µg/L	2	305%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
07/31/09	3rd Quarter 2009	Monthly Average	Copper	180	22.1	µg/L	2	714%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
08/07/09	3rd Quarter 2009	Daily Maximum	Copper	258	44.4	µg/L	2	481%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
08/31/09	3rd Quarter 2009	Monthly Average	Copper	258	22.1	µg/L	2	1,067%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
09/04/09	3rd Quarter 2009	Daily Maximum	Copper	80	44.4	µg/L	2	80%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
09/30/09	3rd Quarter 2009	Monthly Average	Copper	80	22.1	µg/L	2	262%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
10/02/09	4th Quarter 2009	Daily Maximum	Copper	289	44.4	µg/L	2	551%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
10/31/09	4th Quarter 2009	Monthly Average	Copper	289	22.1	µg/L	2	1,208%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
11/04/09	4th Quarter 2009	Instantaneous	pH	8.71	8.5	S.U.	OEV	N/A	Chronic	(i)1	$3,000
11/04/09	4th Quarter 2009	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	0.17	0.1	mg/L	2	70%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000

EXHIBIT "1"
Violation Summary

Date	Monitoring Period	Violation Type	Parameter	Reported Value	Permit Limit	Units	Pollutant Category	% Exceeded	Serious/ Chronic	Water Code Section 13385	Penalty
11/04/09	4th Quarter 2009	Daily Maximum	Copper	397	44.4	µg/L	2	794%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
11/30/09	4th Quarter 2009	Monthly Average	Copper	397	22.1	µg/L	2	1,696%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
12/04/09	4th Quarter 2009	Daily Maximum	Copper	194	44.4	µg/L	2	337%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
12/31/09	4th Quarter 2009	Monthly Average	Copper	194	22.1	µg/L	2	778%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
01/08/10	1st Quarter 2010	Daily Maximum	Copper	130	44.4	µg/L	2	193%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
01/31/10	1st Quarter 2010	Monthly Average	Copper	130	22.1	µg/L	2	488%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
02/05/10	1st Quarter 2010	Daily Maximum	Copper	127	44.4	µg/L	2	186%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
02/28/10	1st Quarter 2010	Monthly Average	Copper	127	22.1	µg/L	2	475%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
03/05/10	1st Quarter 2010	Daily Maximum	Copper	148	44.4	µg/L	2	233%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
03/31/10	1st Quarter 2010	Monthly Average	Copper	148	22.1	µg/L	2	570%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
08/05/10	3rd Quarter 2010	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	1.3	0.1	mg/L	2	1,200%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
08/05/10	3rd Quarter 2010	Daily Maximum	Copper	409	44.4	µg/L	2	821%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
08/31/10	3rd Quarter 2010	Monthly Average	Copper	409	22.1	µg/L	2	1,751%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
09/03/10	3rd Quarter 2010	Daily Maximum	Copper	177	44.4	µg/L	2	299%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
09/30/10	3rd Quarter 2010	Monthly Average	Copper	177	22.1	µg/L	2	701%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
10/01/10	4th Quarter 2010	Daily Maximum	Copper	192	44.4	µg/L	2	332%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
10/31/10	4th Quarter 2010	Monthly Average	Copper	192	22.1	µg/L	2	769%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
11/08/10	4th Quarter 2010	Daily Maximum	Copper	205	44.4	µg/L	2	362%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
11/30/10	4th Quarter 2010	Monthly Average	Copper	205	22.1	µg/L	2	828%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
11/30/10	4th Quarter 2010	Monthly Average	BOD_5	29	20	mg/L	1	45%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
12/03/10	4th Quarter 2010	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	2.5	0.1	mg/L	2	2,400%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
12/03/10	4th Quarter 2010	Daily Maximum	Copper	1590	44.4	µg/L	2	3,481%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
12/03/10	4th Quarter 2010	Daily Maximum	Settleable Solids	0.4	0.3	mL/L	1	33%	Chronic	(i)1	$3,000
12/31/10	4th Quarter 2010	Monthly Average	Copper	1,590	22.1	µg/L	2	7,095%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
12/31/10	4th Quarter 2010	Monthly Average	Settleable Solids	0.4	0.1	mL/L	1	300%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/01/11	2nd Quarter 2011	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	0.32	0.1	mg/L	2	220%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/01/11	2nd Quarter 2011	Daily Maximum	Copper	274	44.4	µg/L	2	517%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/30/11	2nd Quarter 2011	Monthly Average	Copper	274	22.1	µg/L	2	1,140%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
05/06/11	2nd Quarter 2011	Daily Maximum	Copper	128	44.4	µg/L	2	188%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
05/31/11	2nd Quarter 2011	Monthly Average	Copper	128	22.1	µg/L	2	479%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
06/03/11	2nd Quarter 2011	Daily Maximum	Copper	200	44.4	µg/L	2	350%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
06/30/11	2nd Quarter 2011	Monthly Average	Copper	200	22.1	µg/L	2	805%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
07/01/11	3rd Quarter 2011	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	1	0.1	mg/L	2	900%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000

EXHIBIT "1"
Violation Summary

Date	Monitoring Period	Violation Type	Parameter	Reported Value	Permit Limit	Units	Pollutant Category	% Exceeded	Serious/ Chronic	Water Code Section 13385	Penalty
07/01/11	3rd Quarter 2011	Daily Maximum	Copper	137	44.4	µg/L	2	209%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
07/31/11	3rd Quarter 2011	Monthly Average	Copper	137	22.1	µg/L	2	520%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
08/05/11	3rd Quarter 2011	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	0.18	0.1	mg/L	2	80%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
08/05/11	3rd Quarter 2011	Daily Maximum	Copper	130	44.4	µg/L	2	193%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
08/31/11	3rd Quarter 2011	Monthly Average	Copper	130	22.1	µg/L	2	488%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
09/02/11	3rd Quarter 2011	Daily Maximum	Copper	295	44.4	µg/L	2	564%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
09/30/11	3rd Quarter 2011	Monthly Average	Copper	295	22.1	µg/L	2	1,235%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
11/07/11	4th Quarter 2011	Daily Maximum	BOD_5	48	30	mg/L	1	60%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
11/07/11	4th Quarter 2011	Daily Maximum	Copper	180	44.4	µg/L	2	305%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
11/30/11	4th Quarter 2011	Monthly Average	BOD_5	48	20	mg/L	1	140%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
11/30/11	4th Quarter 2011	Monthly Average	Copper	180	22.1	µg/L	2	714%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/06/12	2nd Quarter 2012	Daily Maximum	Copper	197	44.4	µg/L	2	344%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/30/12	2nd Quarter 2012	Monthly Average	Copper	197	22.1	µg/L	2	791%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
05/04/12	2nd Quarter 2012	Daily Maximum	Copper	1930	44.4	µg/L	2	4,247%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
05/04/12	2nd Quarter 2012	Monthly Average	TSS	129	50	mg/L	1	158%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
05/31/12	2nd Quarter 2012	Monthly Average	Settleable Solids	0.3	0.1	mL/L	1	200%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
05/31/12	2nd Quarter 2012	Monthly Average	Copper	1930	22.1	µg/L	2	8,633%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
05/31/12	2nd Quarter 2012	Monthly Average	Turbidity	150	50	NTU	OEV	N/A	Chronic	(i)1	$3,000
06/01/12	2nd Quarter 2012	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	0.17	0.1	mg/L	2	70%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
06/01/12	2nd Quarter 2012	Daily Maximum	Copper	208	44.4	µg/L	2	368%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
06/30/12	2nd Quarter 2012	Monthly Average	Copper	208	22.1	µg/L	2	841%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
07/07/12	3rd Quarter 2012	Daily Maximum	Copper	151	44.4	µg/L	2	240%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
07/31/12	3rd Quarter 2012	Monthly Average	Copper	151	22.1	µg/L	2	583%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
08/03/12	3rd Quarter 2012	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	0.16	0.1	mg/L	2	60%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
08/03/12	3rd Quarter 2012	Daily Maximum	Copper	363	44.4	µg/L	2	718%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
08/31/12	3rd Quarter 2012	Monthly Average	Copper	363	22.1	µg/L	2	1,543%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
09/05/12	3rd Quarter 2012	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	0.17	0.1	mg/L	2	70%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
09/05/12	3rd Quarter 2012	Daily Maximum	Copper	418	44.4	µg/L	2	841%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
09/30/12	3rd Quarter 2012	Monthly Average	Copper	418	22.1	µg/L	2	1,791%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
10/05/12	4th Quarter 2012	Daily Maximum	Copper	741	44.4	µg/L	2	1,569%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
10/31/12	4th Quarter 2012	Monthly Average	Copper	741	22.1	µg/L	2	3,253%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
11/01/12	4th Quarter 2012	Daily Maximum	Copper	797	44.4	µg/L	2	1,695%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
11/30/12	4th Quarter 2012	Monthly Average	Copper	797	22.1	µg/L	2	3,506%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000

EXHIBIT "1"
Violation Summary

Date	Monitoring Period	Violation Type	Parameter	Reported Value	Permit Limit	Units	Pollutant Category	% Exceeded	Serious/ Chronic	Water Code Section 13385	Penalty
12/12/12	4th Quarter 2012	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	2.4	0.1	mg/L	2	2,300%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
12/12/12	4th Quarter 2012	Daily Maximum	Copper	174	44.4	µg/L	2	292%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
12/31/12	4th Quarter 2012	Monthly Average	Copper	174	22.1	µg/L	2	687%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/05/13	2nd Quarter 2013	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	0.19	0.1	mg/L	2	90%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/05/13	2nd Quarter 2013	Daily Maximum	Copper	357	44.4	µg/L	2	704%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/05/13	2nd Quarter 2013	Daily Maximum	Settleable Solids	0.45	0.3	mL/L	1	50%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/30/13	2nd Quarter 2013	Monthly Average	Copper	357	22.1	µg/L	2	1,515%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/30/13	2nd Quarter 2013	Monthly Average	Settleable Solids	0.45	0.1	mL/L	1	350%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
05/03/13	2nd Quarter 2013	Daily Maximum	Copper	282	44.4	µg/L	2	535%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
05/31/13	2nd Quarter 2013	Monthly Average	Copper	282	22.1	µg/L	2	1,176%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
05/31/13	2nd Quarter 2013	Monthly Average	BOD_5	22	20	mg/L	1	10%	Chronic	(i)1	$3,000
06/07/13	2nd Quarter 2013	Daily Maximum	Copper	2670	44.4	µg/L	2	5,914%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
06/07/13	2nd Quarter 2013	Daily Maximum	TSS	440	150	mg/L	1	193%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
06/07/13	2nd Quarter 2013	Daily Maximum	Settleable Solids	1.6	0.3	mL/L	1	433%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
06/30/13	2nd Quarter 2013	Monthly Average	Copper	2670	22.1	µg/L	2	11,981%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
06/30/13	2nd Quarter 2013	Monthly Average	TSS	440	50	mg/L	1	780%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
06/30/13	2nd Quarter 2013	Monthly Average	Settleable Solids	1.6	0.1	mL/L	1	1,500%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
07/12/13	3rd Quarter 2013	Daily Maximum	Copper	746	44.4	µg/L	2	1,580%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
07/12/13	3rd Quarter 2013	Daily Maximum	Settleable Solids	0.35	0.3	mL/L	1	17%	Chronic	(i)1	$3,000
07/31/13	3rd Quarter 2013	Monthly Average	Copper	746	22.1	µg/L	2	3,276%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
07/31/13	3rd Quarter 2013	Monthly Average	Settleable Solids	0.35	0.1	mL/L	1	250%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
08/02/13	3rd Quarter 2013	Instantaneous	pH	6.34	6.5	S.U.	OEV	N/A	Chronic	(i)1	$3,000
08/02/13	3rd Quarter 2013	Daily Maximum	Copper	304	44.4	µg/L	2	585%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
08/31/13	3rd Quarter 2013	Monthly Average	Copper	304	22.1	µg/L	2	1,276%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
08/31/13	3rd Quarter 2013	Monthly Average	BOD_5	26	20	mg/L	1	30%	Chronic	(i)1	$3,000
10/04/13	4th Quarter 2013	Daily Maximum	Copper	168	44.4	µg/L	2	278%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
10/31/13	4th Quarter 2013	Monthly Average	Copper	168	22.1	µg/L	2	660%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
11/05/13	4th Quarter 2013	Daily Maximum	Copper	198	44.4	µg/L	2	346%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
11/05/13	4th Quarter 2013	Daily Maximum	BOD_5	31	30	mg/L	1	03%	Chronic	(i)1	$3,000
11/30/13	4th Quarter 2013	Monthly Average	Copper	198	22.1	µg/L	2	796%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
11/30/13	4th Quarter 2013	Monthly Average	BOD_5	31	20	mg/L	1	55%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
12/06/13	4th Quarter 2013	Daily Maximum	Copper	173	44.4	µg/L	2	290%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
12/31/13	4th Quarter 2013	Monthly Average	Copper	173	22.1	µg/L	2	683%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000

EXHIBIT "1"
Violation Summary

Date	Monitoring Period	Violation Type	Parameter	Reported Value	Permit Limit	Units	Pollutant Category	% Exceeded	Serious/ Chronic	Water Code Section 13385	Penalty
01/03/14	1st Quarter 2014	Daily Maximum	Copper	125	44.4	µg/L	2	182%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
01/31/14	1st Quarter 2014	Monthly Average	Copper	125	22.1	µg/L	2	466%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
02/07/14	1st Quarter 2014	Daily Maximum	Copper	216	44.4	µg/L	2	386%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
02/07/14	1st Quarter 2014	Daily Maximum	BOD_5	48	30	mg/L	1	60%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
02/28/14	1st Quarter 2014	Monthly Average	Copper	216	22.1	µg/L	2	877%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
02/28/14	1st Quarter 2014	Monthly Average	BOD_5	48	20	mg/L	1	140%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
03/07/14	1st Quarter 2014	Daily Maximum	Copper	177	44.4	µg/L	2	299%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
03/31/14	1st Quarter 2014	Monthly Average	Copper	177	22.1	µg/L	2	701%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/04/14	2nd Quarter 2014	Daily Maximum	Residual Chlorine	1.2	0.1	mg/L	2	1,100%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
04/30/14	2nd Quarter 2014	Monthly Average	Settleable Solids	0.25	0.1	mL/L	1	150%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
05/02/14	2nd Quarter 2014	Daily Maximum	Oil and Grease	46.4	15	mg/L	1	209%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
05/02/14	2nd Quarter 2014	Daily Maximum	Copper	193	44.4	µg/L	2	335%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
05/31/14	2nd Quarter 2014	Monthly Average	Oil and Grease	46.4	10	mg/L	1	364%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
05/31/14	2nd Quarter 2014	Monthly Average	Copper	193	22.1	µg/L	2	773%	Serious	(h)1	$3,000
										Total	**$537,000**

EXHIBIT "1"
Late Reporting Violations

Reporting Period	Violation Type	Due Date	Received Date	Days Late	# of Complete 30-Day Periods	Serious/ Chronic	Water Code Section 13385	Amount Per 30-Day Period	Penalty
3rd Quarter 2009	Late Report	11/15/2009	06/29/2010	226	7	Serious	(h)(1)	$3,000	$21,000
4th Quarter 2009	Late Report	02/15/2010	06/29/2010	134	4	Serious	(h)(1)	$3,000	$12,000
1st Quarter 2010	Late Report	05/15/2010	06/29/2010	45	1	Serious	(h)(1)	$3,000	$3,000
2nd Quarter 2010	Late Report	08/15/2010	09/03/2015	1,845	61	Serious	(h)(1)	$3,000	$183,000
1st Quarter 2011	Late Report	05/15/2011	09/03/2015	1,572	52	Serious	(h)(1)	$3,000	$156,000
1st Quarter 2013	Late Report	05/15/2013	06/17/2013	33	1	Serious	(h)(1)	$3,000	$3,000
							Total		**$378,000**
							Settlement Total		**$915,000**

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region

HEARING PROCEDURES
FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY COMPLAINT
NO. R4-2014-0129

ISSUED TO
RADLAX GATEWAY HOTEL, LLC, AND PORTFOLIO HOTELS AND RESORTS, LLC
THE CONCOURSE HOTEL (FORMER RADISSON HOTEL) AT THE LOS ANGELES
INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

SCHEDULED FOR DECEMBER 2, 2015

PLEASE READ THESE HEARING PROCEDURES CAREFULLY. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE DEADLINES AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS CONTAINED HEREIN MAY RESULT IN THE EXCLUSION OF YOUR DOCUMENTS AND/OR TESTIMONY.

Overview

Pursuant to Water Code section 13323, the Assistant Executive Officer of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region ("Regional Board") has issued an Administrative Civil Liability (ACL) Complaint to RadLAX Gateway Hotel, LLC, and Portfolio Hotels and Resorts, LLC (hereafter collectively Discharger), alleging violations of Water Code section(s) 13385, subdivisions (h) and (i), and 13385.1 by exceeding effluent limitations for biochemical oxygen demand, copper, residual chlorine, oil and grease, pH, settleable solids, total suspended solids, and turbidity on 179 occasions during the period beginning November 1, 2007 and ending May 31, 2014, and by failing to timely submit required self-monitoring reports. Regional Board staff, represented by the Regional Board Staff Prosecution Team ("Prosecution Team") propose in the ACL Complaint that the Regional Board impose administrative civil liability on the Discharger in the amount of $915,000.

A hearing on this matter is currently scheduled to be conducted before the Executive Officer on December 2, 2015. Pursuant to Resolution No. R14-005, the Regional Board has delegated authority to the Executive Officer to hold evidentiary hearings to consider allegations in ACL Complaints and to issue final ACL Orders on the Regional Board's behalf in certain matters. The purpose of the hearing is to consider relevant evidence and testimony regarding the ACL Complaint. At the hearing, the Executive Officer will hear evidence, determine facts, make conclusions of law and consider whether to issue an ACL Order assessing the proposed liability, or a higher or lower amount. The Executive Officer may also decline to assess any liability, or may continue the hearing to a later date. The public hearing will commence at 9:00 a.m. or as soon thereafter as practical. The hearing will be held at:

California Regional Water Quality Control Board
Los Angeles Region
320 West 4th Street
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Hearing Procedures

The hearing will be a formal adjudicative proceeding and will be conducted in accordance with these Hearing Procedures. The Executive Officer has directed the use of these standardized hearing procedures for the adjudication of such matters. The procedures governing adjudicatory hearings before the Regional Board may be found at California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 648 *et seq.*, and are available at http://www.waterboards.ca.gov. Copies will be provided upon request.

In accordance with section 648(d), any procedure not provided by these Hearing Procedures are deemed waived. Except as provided in section 648(b) and herein, Chapter 5 of the California Administrative Procedure Act (Gov. Code, § 11500 *et seq.*) does not apply to this hearing.

Objections to these hearing procedures must be in writing and must be received by the Advisory Team no later than the deadline listed under "Important Deadlines" below, or they will be waived. Objections about the matters contained in these Hearing Procedures will not be entertained at the hearing. Failure to comply with the deadline and requirements contained herein may result in the exclusion of documents and/or testimony. The Discharger shall attempt to resolve objections to these Hearing Procedures with the Prosecution Team BEFORE submitting objections to the Advisory Team.

The procedures and deadlines herein may be amended by the Executive Officer or by the Advisory Team.

Separation of Prosecutorial and Advisory Functions

The Regional Board separates prosecutorial and adjudicative functions in matters that are prosecutorial in nature. To ensure the fairness and impartiality of this proceeding, those who will act in a prosecutorial role by presenting evidence for consideration by the Executive Officer (the "Prosecution Team") are separate from those who will provide legal and technical advice to the Executive Officer (the "Advisory Team"). Members of the Advisory Team are: Ms. Cris Morris, Senior Water Resources Control Engineer, and Ms. Jennifer Fordyce, Attorney III. Members of the Prosecution Team are: Ms. Paula Rasmussen, Assistant Executive Officer, Mr. Hugh Marley, Supervising Engineering Geologist, Miss Melissa Hall, Senior Water Resources Control Engineer, Mr. Russ Colby, Senior Environmental Scientist, and Vanessa Young, Attorney.

Any members of the Advisory Team who normally supervise any members of the Prosecution Team are not acting as their supervisors in this proceeding, and vice versa. Further, members of the Advisory Team have not exercised any authority over the Prosecution Team, or advised them with respect to this matter, or vice versa. Ms. Rasmussen regularly advises the Executive Officer in other, unrelated matters, but is not advising the Executive Officer in this proceeding. Other members of the Prosecution Team act or have acted as advisors to the Executive Officer in other, unrelated matters, but they are not advising the Executive Officer in this proceeding. Members of the Prosecution Team have not had any substantive *ex parte* communications with the Executive Officer or the Advisory Team regarding this proceeding.

Hearing Participants

Participants in this proceeding are designated as either "Designated Parties" or "Interested Persons."

Designated Parties are those subject to the ACL Complaint and other persons or organizations anticipated to have a substantial interest in the outcome of the hearing. Designated Parties may present written evidence, summarize their evidence orally at the hearing and cross-examine other parties' witnesses (if they are called). "Evidence" includes witness testimony, documents, and tangible objects that tend to prove or disprove the existence of any alleged fact. "Relevant evidence" is evidence that relates to any fact in dispute in the proceedings. Designated Parties are subject to cross-examination about any evidence they present.

The following participants are hereby designated as Designated Parties in this proceeding:

1. Regional Board Prosecution Team

2. RadLAX Gateway Hotel, LLC and
   Portfolio Hotels and Resorts, LLC

Interested Persons include any person or organization that is interested in the outcome of the hearing, but who has not been designated as a Designated Party. Interested Persons generally may not present evidence (*e.g.*, photographs, eye-witness testimony, and monitoring data), but may present written and/or oral non-evidentiary comments and policy statements. Interested Persons may not cross-examine witnesses and are not subject to cross-examination.

At the hearing, both Designated Parties and Interested Persons may be asked to respond to clarifying questions from the Executive Officer, Advisory Team, or others, at the discretion of the Executive Officer.

Requesting Designated Party Status

Persons or organizations who wish to participate in the hearing as a Designated Party must request designated party status by submitting a request in writing so that it is received no later than the deadline listed under "Important Deadlines" below. The request shall include an explanation of the basis for status as a Designated Party (*i.e.*, how the issues to be addressed at the hearing affect the person, the need to present evidence or cross-examine witnesses, *etc.*), along with a statement explaining why the Designated Parties listed above do not adequately represent the person's or organization's interest. Any objections to these requests for designated party status must be submitted so that they are received no later than the deadline listed under "Important Deadlines" below. All participants will be notified before the hearing whether the request for designated party status is granted.

Primary Contacts

Advisory Team:

Ms. Cris Morris, Senior Water Resources Control Engineer
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, CA 90013
Phone: (213) 620-2083
Email: cris.morris@waterboards.ca.gov

Jennifer Fordyce, Attorney III
State Water Resources Control Board, Office of Chief Counsel
Physical Address: 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 100, Sacramento, CA 95812
Phone: (916) 324-6682
Email: jennifer.fordyce@waterboards.ca.gov

Prosecution Team:

Miss Melissa Hall, Senior Water Resources Control Engineer
State Water Resource Control Board, Office of Enforcement
Physical Address: 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 100, Sacramento, CA 95812
Phone: (916) 341-5773
Email: melissa.hall@waterboards.ca.gov

Vanessa Young, Attorney I
State Water Resources Control Board, Office of Enforcement
Physical Address: 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 100, Sacramento, CA 95812
Phone: (916) 327-8622
Email: Vanessa.Young@waterboards.ca.gov

Discharger:

RadLAX Gateway Hotel, LLC and
Peter G. Dumon
1110 Jorie Boulevard, 3rd Floor
Oak Brook, IL 60523

Peter G. Dumon
555 N. Garfield Ave.
Hinsdale, IL 60521-3571

Portfolio Hotels and Resorts, LLC
c/o Corporation Service Company
2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 150N
Sacramento, CA 95833-3502

Ex Parte Communications

While this adjudicative proceeding is pending, the California Government Code forbids Designated Parties and Interested Persons from engaging in ex parte communications regarding this matter with the Executive Officer and the Advisory Team, except during the public hearing itself. An ex parte communication is a written or verbal communication, either direct or indirect, that relates to the investigation, preparation, or prosecution of the ACL Complaint between a Designated Party or an Interested Person and the Executive Officer or a member of the Advisory Team that occurs in the absence of other parties and without notice and opportunity for all parties to participate in the communication (*see* Gov. Code, § 11430.10 *et seq.*). However, if the communication is copied to all other persons (if written) or is made in a manner open to all other persons (if verbal), then the communication is not considered an ex parte communication. Therefore, any written communication to the Executive Officer or the Advisory Team before the hearing must also be copied to all other Designated Parties. Communications regarding non-controversial procedural matters, including a request for a continuance, are permissible ex parte communications and are not restricted.

The following communications to the Advisory Team must be copied to all Designated Parties: objections to these Hearing Procedures; requests for modifications to these Hearing Procedures; requests for designated party status, or objections thereto; and all written evidence, arguments, or policy statements from Designated Parties. This is not an all-inclusive list of ex parte communications.

Hearing Time Limits

To ensure that all participants have an opportunity to participate in the hearing, the following time limits shall apply: each Designated Party shall have a combined total of **30** minutes to present evidence (including evidence presented by witnesses called by the Designated Party), to cross-examine witnesses (if warranted), and to provide opening and/or closing statements. Each Interested Person shall have **3** minutes to present a non-evidentiary policy statement. Participants with similar interests or comments are requested to make joint presentations, and participants are requested to avoid redundant comments. Participants who would like additional time must submit their request to the Advisory Team so that it is received no later than the deadline listed under "Important Deadlines" below. Additional time may be provided at the discretion of the Advisory Team (prior to the hearing) or the Executive Officer (at the hearing) upon a showing that additional time is necessary. Such showing shall explain what testimony, comments, or legal or technical argument requires extra time, and why it could not have been provided in writing by the applicable deadline. Decisions will be based upon the complexity and the number of issues under consideration, the extent to which the Designated Parties have coordinated and/or have similar interests, and the time available for the hearing.

A timer will be used, but will not run during questions from the Executive Officer and the Advisory Team or the responses to such questions, or during discussions of procedural issues.

Submission of Evidence, Argument and Policy Statements

The Prosecution Team and all other Designated Parties (including the Discharger) must submit the following information in advance of the hearing, which must be received no later than the deadline listed under "Important Deadlines" below:

1. All evidence (other than witness testimony to be presented orally at the hearing) that the Designated Party would like the Executive Officer to consider. Evidence and exhibits already in the public files of the Regional Board may be submitted by reference, as long as the exhibits and their location are clearly identified in accordance with California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 648.3. The Executive Officer will not generally receive copies of materials incorporated by reference unless copies are provided by the Designated Party proffering the evidence as part of the Designated Party's evidentiary submission. Referenced materials are generally not posted on the Regional Board's website.
2. All legal and technical arguments or analysis.
3. The name of each witness, if any, whom the Designated Party intends to call at the hearing, the subject of each witness' proposed testimony, and the estimated time required by each witness to present direct testimony.
4. The qualifications of each expert witness, if any.

Prosecution Team: The Prosecution Team's information must include the legal and factual basis for its claims against each Discharger; a list of all evidence on which the Prosecution Team relies (which must include, at a minimum, all documents cited in the ACL Complaint or other material submitted by the Prosecution Team); and the witness information required under items 3-4 for all witnesses, including Regional Board staff. The Prosecution Team shall submit this information so that it is received no later than the deadline listed under "Important Deadlines" below.

Designated Parties (including the Discharger): All Designated Parties shall submit comments, arguments or analysis regarding the ACL Complaint along with any additional supporting evidence not cited by the Regional Board's Prosecution Team; and the witness information required under items 3-4

for all witnesses, including Regional Board staff. Designated Parties shall submit this information so that it is received no later than the deadline listed under "Important Deadlines" below.

Rebuttal: Any Designated Party who would like to submit evidence, legal or technical arguments, or policy statements to rebut information submitted by other Designated Parties, shall submit this rebuttal information so that it is received no later than the deadline listed under "Important Deadlines" below. "Rebuttal" means evidence, analysis, or comments offered to disprove or contradict other submissions. Rebuttal shall be limited to the scope of the materials previously submitted. Rebuttal information that is not responsive to information previously submitted may be excluded.

Final Hearing Package and Proposed Order: The Prosecution Team will submit the Final Hearing Package and a proposed Order so that it is submitted no later than the deadline listed under "Important Deadlines" below.

Copies: The Executive Officer and the Advisory Team will receive copies of all submitted materials. If hard copies of the submitted materials are provided to the Executive Officer and the Advisory Team, the materials will be printed or copied double-sided in black and white on 8.5"x11" paper. Designated Parties who are concerned about print quality or the size of all or part of their written materials should provide an extra four paper copies for the Executive Officer and the Advisory Team. For voluminous submissions, the Executive Officer and Advisory Team may receive copies in electronic format only. Electronic copies may also be posted on the Regional Board's website. Designated Parties without access to computer equipment are strongly encouraged to have their materials scanned at a copy or mailing center. The Executive Officer will not reject materials solely for failure to provide electronic copies.

Interested Persons: Interested Persons who would like to submit written non-evidentiary policy statements are encouraged to submit them to the Advisory Team as early as possible, but they must be received by the deadline listed under "Important Deadlines" below to be included in the Executive Officer's hearing package. Interested persons should be aware that this matter may settle without further notice, and therefore timely submittal by the deadline may be the only opportunity for an Interested Person to comment on the subject of the ACL Complaint. If the hearing proceeds as scheduled, the Executive Officer will also receive oral comments from Interested Persons during the hearing. Interested Persons do not need to submit written comments in order to speak at the hearing.

Prohibition on Surprise Evidence: In accordance with California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 648.4, the Regional Board endeavors to avoid surprise testimony or evidence. Absent a showing of good cause and lack of prejudice to the parties, the Executive Officer may exclude evidence and testimony that is not submitted in accordance with these Hearing Procedures. Excluded evidence and testimony will *not* be considered by the Executive Officer and will *not* be included in the administrative record for this proceeding.

Presentations: PowerPoint and other visual presentations may be used at the hearing, but their content shall not exceed the scope of other submitted written material. These presentations must be provided to the Advisory Team at or before the hearing in electronic format, and hard copy if requested by the Advisory Team, so that they may be included in the administrative record.

Witnesses: All witnesses who have submitted written testimony shall appear at the hearing to affirm that the testimony is true and correct, and shall be available for cross-examination by Designated Parties.

Administrative Record and Availability of Documents

The ACL Complaint and evidentiary documents submitted in accordance with these Hearing Procedures shall be considered part of the official administrative record for this matter. Other submittals received for this proceeding will be added to the administrative record absent a contrary ruling by the Executive Officer. Written transcriptions of oral testimony or comments that are made at the hearing will be included in the administrative record.

These documents may be inspected and copied between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. at the Regional Board's office located at 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200, Los Angeles, California 90013. Arrangements for document review and/or obtaining copies of the documents may be made by contacting the Prosecution Team Primary Contact above. Appointments are encouraged so the documents can be readily available upon arrival.

Questions

Questions concerning this proceeding may be addressed to the Advisory Team attorney (contact information above).

IMPORTANT DEADLINES

All submissions must be received by 5:00 p.m. on the respective due date below.[1,2] *Where both electronic and hard copy formats are required to be submitted to the Prosecution Team, a complete electronic copy must be received by 5:00 p.m. on the respective due date below, and a complete hard copy may follow via overnight delivery so that it is received by the Prosecution Team the next day.*

September 3, 2015	▪ Prosecution Team issues ACL Complaint, Hearing Procedures and other related materials. Hard Copies to: All other Designated Parties (by certified mail) Electronic *or* Hard Copies to: All known Interested Persons, Advisory Team
September 14, 2015	▪ Objections due on Hearing Procedures. ▪ Deadline to request "Designated Party" status. Electronic *or* Hard Copies to: All other Designated Parties, All known Interested Persons, Advisory Team Electronic *and* Hard Copies to: Prosecution Team
September 18, 2015	▪ Deadline to submit objections to requests for Designated Party status. Electronic *or* Hard Copies to: All other Designated Parties, All known Interested Persons, Advisory Team Electronic *and* Hard Copies to: Prosecution Team
October 5, 2015	▪ Discharger's deadline to submit *Hearing Waiver Form.*[3] Electronic *or* Hard Copy to: Prosecution Team ▪ Interested Persons' written comments are due. Electronic *or* Hard copies to: All Designated Parties, Advisory Team
October 7, 2015*	▪ Advisory Team transmits decision on requests for designated party status. ▪ Advisory Team transmits decision on objections to Hearing Procedures. Electronic *or* Hard Copies to: All Designated Parties, All known Interested Persons
October 14, 2015*	▪ Prosecution Team's deadline for submission of information required under "Submission of Evidence, Argument and Policy Statements," above. Electronic *or* Hard Copies to: All other Designated Parties, All known Interested Persons, Advisory Team
November 2, 2015*	▪ Remaining Designated Parties' (including the Discharger's) deadline to submit all information required under "Submission of Evidence, Argument, and Policy Statements" above. This includes all written comments regarding the ACL Complaint. Electronic *or* Hard Copies to: All other Designated Parties, All known Interested Persons, Advisory Team Electronic *and* Hard Copies to: Prosecution Team

[1] With the exception of the deadline to submit the Final Hearing Package and proposed Order.
[2] Where a deadline falls on a weekend or holiday, the deadline is extended to the next business day.
[3] Pursuant to California Water Code section 13323(b), persons subject to an ACL Complaint have the right to a hearing before the Regional Board within 90 days of receiving the ACL Complaint, but this right can be waived (to facilitate settlement discussions, for example). By submitting the waiver form, the Discharger is not waiving the right to a hearing; unless a settlement is reached, the Board will hold a hearing prior to imposing administrative civil liability. However, if the Board accepts the waiver, all deadlines marked with an "*" will be revised if a settlement cannot be reached.

November 16, 2015*	▪ All Designated Parties shall submit any rebuttal evidence, any rebuttal to legal/ technical arguments and/or policy statements and all evidentiary objections. ▪ Deadline to request Prehearing Conference. Electronic *or* Hard Copies to: All other Designated Parties, All known Interested Persons, Advisory Team Electronic *and* Hard Copies to: Prosecution Team
November 19, 2015*	▪ Deadline to submit requests for additional time at the hearing. Electronic *or* Hard Copies to: All other Designated Parties, All known Interested Persons, Advisory Team
November 20, 2015*	▪ Prosecution Team sends Final Hearing Package and proposed Order. Electronic *or* Hard Copies to: Executive Officer, Advisory Team, All other Designated Parties
November 25, 2015*	▪ Advisory Team transmits hearing time limits Electronic *or* Hard Copies to: All Designated Parties, All known Interested Persons
December 2, 2015*	▪ Hearing