

## Tahoe Sierra Integrated Regional Water Management Planning Partnership

The following information is provided from the Tahoe Sierra Integrated Regional Water Management Plan, July 2014, which can be found in its entirety, or by section, on the [www.tahoesierrairwm.com](http://www.tahoesierrairwm.com) website.

- **Mission statement:**

TSIRWM Plan, July 2014, ES-1 p. 14

“The intent of this IRWM Plan update is to address the many major water-related needs/challenges and conflicts within the Region, including water quality, local water supply reliability, groundwater management, ecosystem restoration and integrated watershed management throughout the Region. The Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) discussed in Section 1.2.2 identifies topics related to collaboration to achieve ecosystem restoration, water supply and water quality improvements, and integrated activities for increased environmental education and stewardship. These MOU topics have resulted in the following Goals, which are organizing principles for the IRWM Plan objectives, described below:

- Protect and Improve Water Quality
- Protect the Community Water Supply and Treatment/Delivery System
- Manage Groundwater Sustainable Yield
- Contribute to Ecosystem Restoration
- Implement Integrated Watershed Management throughout the Region”

- **A description of the organization’s governance structure:**

TSIRWM Plan, July 2014, ES-1 p. 14

“The Tahoe-Sierra IRWM Plan governance is comprised of several elements, the broader Partnership who are the heart of the Tahoe-Sierra IRWM, the Regional Water Management Group, which is a smaller group to meet the requirements of the DWR IRWM Program, and subcommittees which are formed on an as-needed basis. The Partnership consists of signatories to a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) that commits members to adopt and implement the Plan, and to revise and update it as needed. The 2007 MOU has been updated with this update to the Plan, and additional signatories have joined. Appendix 1-A includes the updated MOU with signatory pages or other proof of adoption. The Partnership along with other participants, their roles and the organizational structure and function is further detailed in Section 8.

Representatives of each member organization are responsible for implementation of the Plan within their organization. The lead agency role is shared and designated by the group when necessary. For the Proposition 50 IRWM Round 1 Implementation Grant and Supplemental Funding application processes, the Tahoe Resource Conservation District (TRCD) acted as lead agency and designated applicant. For the current Proposition 84 IRWMP Planning and Implementation Grant application processes, South Tahoe Public Utility District (South Tahoe PUD) is acting as lead agency and designated applicant. A subcommittee comprised of a smaller group of MOU signatories, was created for this IRWM update as described below. The Partnership agreed to a consensus based decision making process; whereby the subcommittee makes recommendations to the Partnership for consideration.

## Tahoe Sierra Integrated Regional Water Management Planning Partnership

Tahoe-Sierra Regional Water Management Group (RWMG) consists of at least three organizations, two of which have statutory authority for water management as identified in Table 1-1. The Tahoe-Sierra RWMG has been defined to consist of these entities only for the purpose of meeting grant application schedule constraints. The RWMG will not have any additional authority as such and is considered part of the Partnership. RWMG members will be selected annually at the Partnership Meeting and/or on an as-needed basis.”

Table 1-1 below from TSIRWM Plan, July 2014, 1-10 p. 37

**Table 1-1: Current<sup>(a)</sup> Partnership and MOU Signatories**

Organization	Responsibilities	Partnership Member/MOU Signatory	Subcommittee Member	Statutory Authority for Water Management <sup>(b)</sup>
Alpine County	Land Use, Water	X		
Alpine Watershed Group	Public Interest Group	X	X	
City of South Lake Tahoe	Land Use	X		
El Dorado County	Land Use, Water	X		
South Tahoe Public Utility District	Water, Wastewater	X	X	X
Squaw Valley Public Service District	Water, Wastewater, Fire, Solid Waste	X		X
Tahoe City Public Utility District	Water, Wastewater, Parks	X		X
Tahoe Resource Conservation District	Public Interest Group	X	X	
Town of Truckee	Land Use, Storm Water	X	X	
Truckee River Watershed Council	Public Interest Group	X	X	
North Tahoe Public Utility District	Water, Wastewater	X		X
Placer County	Land Use, Water, Wastewater, Storm Water,	X		
Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California	Tribal Government	X	X	
California Tahoe Conservancy	State Government	X	X	
Sierra County	Land Use, Water	X		
Friends of Squaw Creek	Public Interest Group	X		
American Rivers	Non-Governmental Organization	X		
Lukins Brothers Water Company	Private Water System	X		

(a) Table last updated May 30, 2014

(b) Potential RWMG Member

- **A description of how the organization’s governance structure addresses and ensures the following:**

Please see table and narratives below.

# Tahoe Sierra Integrated Regional Water Management Planning Partnership

Table 8-1 below from TSIRWM Plan, July 2014, 8-4 p. 174

**Table 8-1: Activities, Participants, and Roles for Implementing the Tahoe-Sierra IRWM Plan**

Potential Roles: Lead and Support

IRWM Activities	Leadership Team Role	Stakeholder/ Participant Role	Project Proponents Role	Other/Notes
<b>1. Public outreach and involvement processes -</b>				
a. Establish Point of Contact for IRWM Program	Lead			
b. Maintain e-mail list	Lead			both internal and external to the Region
c. Schedule and Announce meetings	Lead			both internal and external to the Region
d. Prepare agendas and content	Lead	Support		
e. Facilitate meetings	Lead			
f. Prepare meeting summaries	Lead	Support		
g. Administer website, and update content with meeting materials, and other relevant information	Lead			both internal and external to the Region
<b>2. Balanced access and opportunity for participation in the IRWM process</b>				
a. Monitor and maintain DAC and Tribal Contacts list through Notification Prior to Partnership Meetings	Lead	Support		
<b>3. Effective Communications External to the Region</b>				
a. Communication External to the Region	Lead	Support		See also 1
b. Coordination with neighboring IRWM efforts - Sierra Water Work Group and Lahontan Region IRWMs,	Lead	Support		See also 1
c. Coordination with state and federal agencies (e.g., RWQCB)		Lead and Report to LT		
<b>4. Long-term implementation of the IRWM Plan</b>				
a. Evaluate Plan Performance and Monitoring for Meeting Objectives	Lead	Support		
b. Review and act on objectives/targets not accounted for in projects	Lead			
c. Gather and synthesize data related to Plan projects and report to stakeholders	Lead	Support		
d. Manage and share-related data and information (also could be Data Management System)	Lead			
<b>5. Update Tahoe-Sierra IRWM Plan</b>				
a. Review and update objectives	Lead	Support		
b. Solicit new or revised/integrated projects, provide project evaluation/scoring and regularly revise project and update project priorities, as needed or at a minimum of every 2 years	Lead	Support	Support	
c. Revise Plan content at least every 5 years	Lead	Support	Support	LT to determine if Subcommittee should be convened

## Tahoe Sierra Integrated Regional Water Management Planning Partnership

IRWM Activities	Leadership Team Role	Stakeholder/ Participant Role	Project Proponents Role	Other/Notes
<b>6. Financing Plan Implementation</b>				
a. Evaluate IRWM Plan Implementation Administration (e.g. Local Staff in-kind contributions, and/or grants, or other financial sources)	Lead	Support		
b. Communicate information on upcoming funding	Lead	Support		See also 1
c. Improve project integration and select projects for inclusion in grant applications	Lead	Support	Support	
d. Prepare and submit grant applications	Support		Lead	

- Public outreach and involvement processes

TSIRWM Plan, July 2014, 8-7 p. 177

“All organizations and individuals with an interest in improving water management in the Region are invited to participate in Plan implementation. The Partnership recognizes that a committed public outreach and notification process is a necessary task to ensure the public is aware that there are multiple opportunities to become involved in the program. DACs and Tribes will continue to be an important aspect of outreach in the Region. The public involvement processes to be completed by the Partnership include:

- Coordinate Partnership Input meetings at least once per year to discuss relevant topics of progress on implementation of the Tahoe-Sierra IRWM Plan. The Partnership may convene additional meetings as desired to support fulfilling the objectives of the Plan.
- Maintain and update content to the Tahoe-Sierra IRWM Plan website
- Maintain a contact e-mail and phone number for people to send comments or ask questions about the Tahoe-Sierra IRWM Plan.
- Maintain the Tahoe-Sierra stakeholder e-mail list and send updates and meeting invitations as appropriate.”

- Effective decision making

TSIRWM Plan, July 2014, 8-8 p. 178

- “Decisions during implementation will continue to be made using consensus based agreement, as during Plan development with matters first considered by the LT for consideration and then by the entire Partnership. If for some reason broad agreement cannot be reached related to specific items within a reasonable amount of time and effort, the Partnership will discuss such items(s) and then decide by majority vote how to proceed.”

## Tahoe Sierra Integrated Regional Water Management Planning Partnership

- Balanced access and opportunity for participation in selecting potential SEP projects for consideration

TSIRWM Plan, July 2014, ES-9 p. 22

- “Section 6 describes the project solicitation, development, and review process that was used to select and prioritize projects for inclusion in the Tahoe-Sierra IRWM Plan. The project solicitation process began with a Sub-committee review of previous IRWM Plan project submittals and evaluation followed by a discussion of how potential project submittals would be evaluated and considered for inclusion into the IRWM Plan Update. A draft list of project scoring criteria was discussed and made available for comment to the Partnership at the time the draft Project Information Form was distributed. The potential project scoring criteria were chosen to facilitate project comparison, review, selection, and prioritization. The next step of the process was to receive, evaluate, and score all project submittals, after which a list of projects with recommended scoring for each project was included. The final step of the process was to discuss the recommendations made with project proponents and stakeholders at a Partnership Meeting to formally accept the projects into the Plan.”

- Effective communication – both internal and external to the watershed(s) area(s)

TSIRWM Plan, July 2014, 8-7 p. 177

- As summarized in Table 8-1, multiple avenues of internal and external communication will be facilitated by the Partnership including:
- “Prepare communication materials for distribution, posting on the project website, and for use in meetings with governing boards and other interested parties.
- Conduct meetings at least annually that are announced and open to any stakeholder.
- Ensure that individuals are assigned to meet and coordinate with neighboring IRWM planning efforts, other local, state, and federal agencies as they relate to accomplishing the objectives in the Tahoe-Sierra IRWM Plan.
- Ensure that engagement occurs with neighboring IRWM efforts and other state and federal agencies that have interests or could impact meeting the objectives of the Plan. The Partnership will continue to communicate with DWR regional representatives.”

- Long-term successful implementation of watershed projects

TSIRWM Plan, July 2014, ES-13 p. 26

- “In order to bring focus to specific implementation actions, and to support early and proactive progress, recommendations are provided below
- Establish an annual operating mechanism (Leadership Team or LT) for implementation support and manage expenditures of administration support activities.
- Convene Plan Implementation Meetings to develop proposed meeting schedule for 2015 and 2016. It is suggested that at minimum one Plan implementation meeting be held per year.
- Develop long-term DMS plan and pilot DMS for transition and maintenance by partner.
- Issue a Call for Projects to add, delete, or integrate new and existing projects and project status updates.

## Tahoe Sierra Integrated Regional Water Management Planning Partnership

- Prepare for applying for 2015 DWR Implementation Grant funds and other grant funding opportunities.
- Coordinate with neighboring IRWM regions and local, state and federal agencies.”
  - o Coordination with neighboring watershed efforts and state and federal agencies

TSIRWM Plan, July 2014, 9-2 p. 192

- “Continue to conduct outreach, create and distribute meeting agendas and content by e-mail and web posting, facilitate stakeholder input meetings, and help track and communicate progress toward Plan implementation.
- Continue to foster an open dialog with the Washoe Tribe and representatives of the DACs within the Region to help meet Plan objectives.
- Continue to conduct stakeholder input meetings as needed, which will be announced and open to any interested person or organization.
- The majority of the projects included in this Plan involve multiple agencies or organizations, which reinforces the need for collaboration to achieve efficient project execution. Many of the local water management agencies within the Region have developed cooperative relationships and processes for coordination with each other and with other local organizations. Some of those relationships have been strengthened during the development of this Plan and through the Partnership activities and meetings, it is anticipated that opportunities for future collaboration and coordination will occur. These strong working relationships serve as a basis for local water managers and other organizations to continue to collaborate in the future.
- Coordination with state and federal agencies has occurred during the initial formation of the Region and during Plan preparation. In the future, coordination with these agencies will occur on an as-needed basis for planning and implementation of specific projects and during future Plan updates.
- Representatives from federal and state organizations received emails and notifications related to Partnership meetings, opportunities to submit projects, and opportunities to review and comment on IRWM Plan sections; and/or are cooperating on a Plan project
- Initial outreach efforts have been conducted as part of the IRWM planning process to foster communication and program coordination with the neighboring IRWM regions through discussions, conversations and direct participation. Representatives of most of these adjacent IRWM regions or of organizations that participate in multiple IRWM groups receive e-mail notification of upcoming meetings.”

- o The collaborative process(es) used to select and prioritize potential watershed projects

TSIRWM Plan, July 2014, 6-1 p. 145

“The project solicitation process began with a sub-committee review of previous IRWM Plan project submittals and evaluation followed by a discussion of how potential project submittals would be evaluated and considered for inclusion into the IRWM Plan Update. A draft list of project scoring criteria was discussed and made available for comment to the Partnership at the time the draft Project Information Form was distributed prior to the September 24, 2013 Partnership meeting. The potential project scoring criteria were chosen to facilitate project comparison, review, selection, and prioritization. The next step of the process was to collect, evaluate, and review all project submittals. A list of projects was created, project scoring conducted and all scored projects were included in the

## Tahoe Sierra Integrated Regional Water Management Planning Partnership

IRWM Plan. The final step of the process was to discuss the recommendations made with project proponents and stakeholders at a Partnership Meeting to formally accept the projects into the Plan.”

- How interim changes and formal changes to watershed project lists will be performed, updating or amending watershed project lists

TSIRWM Plan, July 2014, 6-1 p. 145

- “The entire project list will be updated biennially following the same process for the 2013/2014 call for projects, and project proponents will provide a description of what has changed on the project since the 2013/2014 (or previous) call for projects. During the project update process “grandfathered” projects will not need to be re-scored. New projects must go through the project solicitation process and fill out a Project Information Form. The list of the IRWM projects is intended to grow and change as projects are completed and new project concepts added. During the biennial update process, new projects can be added by a simple majority vote and does not require the re-adoption of the Plan.”

TSIRWM Plan, July 2014, 8-15 p. 185

- “Update of status of the existing project list including project archival following completion of projects every two years.”

- **A description of the organization’s process to incorporate local stakeholder outreach and input in selecting and prioritizing potential watershed projects.**

TSIRWM Plan, July 2014, 1-11 p. 38

“Inclusion of stakeholders and a consensus-driven process has been a cornerstone since the inception of the IRWM and throughout the Tahoe-Sierra IRWM Plan Update process. Extensive stakeholder outreach was conducted to help ensure that the Plan reflects the water-related needs of the entire Region, promotes the formation of regional partnerships, and encourages increased coordination with state and federal agencies. The term stakeholders is used to refer to representatives of agencies, NGOs, nonprofit groups, governmental organizations, tribal communities, disadvantaged communities (DACs) and the public who were interested and participated in the development of the IRWM Plan. Stakeholders were invited to participate in the IRWM Update process through the attendance of Partnership meetings.

A benefit of the IRWM process is that it brings together a broad array of groups into a forum to discuss and better understand shared needs and opportunities. Members of the subcommittee participated in regular meetings/conference calls (often monthly), reviewed meeting materials that included handout materials prepared to discuss plan content, draft IRWM Plan sections, lead the project prioritization effort and provided extensive collaborative input to shape this IRWM Plan Update. In addition, through participation in meetings, stakeholders have been exposed to a variety of opportunities for discovering and establishing mutually beneficial partnerships.

A total of four (4) Partnership meetings were held as shown in Table 1-2. A list containing over eighty-five names and agencies, developed during the planning grant application process, was used as the basis for

## Tahoe Sierra Integrated Regional Water Management Planning Partnership

invitations to the Partnership meetings. Efforts were made to facilitate participation of a diverse group of stakeholders including tribal representation. Outreach efforts included an IRWM Program website, and invitation to the meetings by e-mail and phone. Meeting summaries, agendas, and handouts are available to be viewed on the Tahoe-Sierra’s IRWM website (<http://tahoesierrairwm.com/>). Appendix 1-D includes Partnership and Subcommittee meeting summaries.

Membership in the stakeholder group was broad including representation from agencies, organizations, and individuals with an interest in improving water supply reliability, water quality, water conservation, natural habitat, and land-use planning within the Region; the result was collaboration among a broadly varying stakeholder group that represents the entire Region. Neither a financial contribution nor agency status was required to be part of the collaborative IRWM Plan Update development process. All meetings were open to the public.”

- **The following stakeholders participated in the processes:**

TSIRWM Plan, July 2014, 1-12 p. 39

“A list of all of the agencies and organizations that were involved in the development of the Tahoe-Sierra IRWM Plan is provided in Table 1-3 (below). The broad array of stakeholders includes a mix of regulatory, environmental, tribal and land use planning entities that represent all areas of the Tahoe-Sierra Region including:

- Municipal and County Governments
- Water Purveyors, Wastewater Agencies, and Similar Special Districts
- State and Federal Regulatory and Resource Agencies
- Environmental Community
- Tribal Community
- Disadvantaged Community
- Others”

**Table 1-3: Participating Stakeholders**

Alpine County	Sierra County
Alpine Watershed Group	Sierra Nevada Conservancy
American Rivers	Sierra Watershed Education Partnerships
California Tahoe Conservancy	South Tahoe Public Utility District
Carson Water Subconservancy District	Squaw Valley Public Service District
City of South Lake Tahoe	Tahoe City Public Utility District
El Dorado County	Tahoe Regional Planning Agency
El Dorado County Water Agency	Tahoe Resource Conservation District
Friends of Squaw Creek	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District
Lake Tahoe Unified School District	Town of Truckee
Lukins Brothers Water Company	Truckee River Watershed Council
Markleeville Public Utility District	UC Davis Tahoe Environmental Research Center
Markleeville Water Company	US Environmental Protection Agency
North Tahoe Public Utility District	US Forest Service Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit
Placer County	Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California



## Tahoe Sierra Integrated Regional Water Management Planning Partnership

- **A description of the organization’s experience for completing water quality projects.**

TSIRWM Plan, July 2014, 6-1 p. 145

“The project solicitation process began with a sub-committee review of previous IRWM Plan project submittals and evaluation followed by a discussion of how potential project submittals would be evaluated and considered for inclusion into the IRWM Plan Update. A draft list of project scoring criteria was discussed and made available for comment to the Partnership at the time the draft Project Information Form was distributed prior to the September 24, 2013 Partnership meeting. The potential project scoring criteria were chosen to facilitate project comparison, review, selection, and prioritization. The next step of the process was to collect, evaluate, and review all project submittals. A list of projects was created, project scoring conducted and all scored projects were included in the IRWM Plan. The final step of the process was to discuss the recommendations made with project proponents and stakeholders at a Partnership Meeting to formally accept the projects into the Plan.

Following agreement on the process, the call for projects was initiated through an email to the Partnership on October 21, 2013 and also posted on the IRWM Plan website. A list containing over eighty-five names and agencies, developed during the planning grant application process, was used as the list for solicitation for the call for projects. The Project Information Form was provided as an Adobe Acrobat fillable form (.pdf format). A copy of the Project Information Form is included in Appendix 6-A. The call for projects was open for approximately 3 months from October 24, 2013 through January 17, 2014. Periodic email reminders were sent out to the Partnership and two webcasts were conducted; one on December 16th and the other on January 8th to assist project proponents with completion of the form. During the webcasts the following topics were completed: review of instructions for completing the Project Information Form, questions individuals had on the project review process, review of the types of projects to be submitted, and examples of a completed Project Information Form. Completed Project Information Forms were returned by email.

Projects that have been previously accepted in to the IRWM Plan are considered “grandfathered” and may be updated by project proponents as appropriate. Revisions to these projects will occur biennially or as needed. The entire project list will be updated biennially following the same process for the 2013/2014 call for projects, and project proponents will provide a description of what has changed on the project since the 2013/2014 (or previous) call for projects. During the project update process “grandfathered” projects will not need to be re-scored. New projects must go through the project solicitation process and fill out a Project Information Form. The list of the IRWM projects is intended to grow and change as projects are completed and new project concepts added. During the biennial update process, new projects can be added by a simple majority vote and does not require the re-adoption of the Plan.”

## Tahoe Sierra Integrated Regional Water Management Planning Partnership

**Table ES-2: Project Summary**

TSIRWM Plan, July 2014, ES-10 p. 24

<b>Project Number</b>	<b>Agency/ Organization</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>Project Type<sup>(a)</sup></b>
1	Town of Truckee	Aquatic Invasive Species Programs	R
2	South Tahoe PUD	BMP Implementation on STPUD Operating Sites	R
3	Town of Truckee	Permanent BMP Implementation, Inspection, and Maintenance Programs	SW
4	Town of Truckee	Truckee Coldstream Culvert Replacement Program	R
5	City of South Lake Tahoe	Bijou Area Erosion Control Project (Bijou Project)	SW
6	City of South Lake Tahoe	Ruby Way - Overlook Court	SW
7	City of South Lake Tahoe	Sierra Tract Erosion Control Project, Phase 3/4	SW
8	City of South Lake Tahoe	Sierra Boulevard	SW
9	City of South Lake Tahoe	South Lake Tahoe Integrated Roadway Management Strategy	SW
10	City of South Lake Tahoe	Tahoe Valley Stormwater Improvement Project (SWIP)	SW
11	El Dorado County	Meyers SEZ and Erosion Control Project	R
12	El Dorado County	Oflyng Erosion Control Project	SW
13	American Rivers	Hope Valley Meadow Restoration	R
14	South Tahoe PUD	Iroquois Pond SEZ Restorations	SW
15	California Tahoe Conservancy	Greenway Shared Use Trail	SW
16	Alpine Watershed Group	Grover Hot Springs State Park Meadow Restoration and ADA Access	R
17	Friends of Squaw Creek	Lower Squaw Creek Restoration Project	R
18	California Tahoe Conservancy	Upper Truckee River and Marsh Restorations	R

## Tahoe Sierra Integrated Regional Water Management Planning Partnership

19	Lukins Brothers Water Company, Inc.	Meter Conversion	W
20	Lukins Brothers Water Company, Inc.	Waterline Replacement 2a	W
21	Lukins Brothers Water Company, Inc.	Waterline Replacement 7a	W
22	Alpine County	Markleeville Creek Floodplain Restoration Project	R
23	South Tahoe PUD	Mountain View Well Ground Water Protections	W
24	Town of Truckee	Town of Truckee Stormwater Management and Retrofits	SW
25	South Tahoe PUD	Tahoe Keys Force Main Bypass	W
26	Tahoe Resource Conservation District	Regional Aquatic Invasive Species Prevention, Control and	R
27	Tahoe Resource Conservation District	Small-scale Testing of Micro Stormwater Infiltration Systems	SW
28	Tahoe Resource Conservation District	Groundwater Monitoring to support nearshore management	R
29	Tahoe Resource Conservation District	Regional Landscape Conservation Measures for Lake Tahoe	W
30	Tahoe Resource Conservation District	Analyzing LiDAR data to identify Micro Stormwater Infiltration Systems (MSIS) for the whole Lake Tahoe Basin	SW
31	Tahoe Resource Conservation District	Regional Stormwater Monitoring Program	SW
32	Town of Truckee	Trout Creek Trail	SW
33	Town of Truckee	Trout Creek Restoration	R
34	Town of Truckee	Truckee River Legacy Trail	SW
35	Truckee River Watershed Council	Dry Creek Restorations	R
36	Truckee River Watershed Council	First 4 Mile Restoration Project	R
37	Truckee River Watershed Council	Johnson Canyon Restoration	R
38	Truckee River Watershed Council	Lacey Meadows Restoration	R
39	Truckee River Watershed Council	Martis Watershed Restoration Plan Implementation	R
40	Truckee River Watershed Council	Non-native Invasive Plan Species	R
41	Truckee River Watershed Council	Truckee River Residential Voluntary BMP Implementation	SW
42	Truckee River Watershed Council	TMDL Monitoring for the Truckee River	SW
43	Truckee River Watershed Council	Truckee Wetlands Restoration	R
44	South Tahoe PUD	Regional Water Conservation Programs	W
45	Town of Truckee	Water Quality Monitoring	SW
46	South Tahoe PUD	Waterlines - Sierra Tract, Brockway, Black Bart	W

## Tahoe Sierra Integrated Regional Water Management Planning Partnership

47	Town of Truckee	West River Street Site Redevelopment and River Revitalization	R
48	Town of Truckee	West River Street	SW
49	Tahoe City PUD	West Lake Tahoe Regional Water Treatment Plant	W
50	North Tahoe PUD	Carnelian Woods Tanks Site EIPs	R
51	North Tahoe PUD	Dolly Varden Water Main Replacement Projects	W
52	North Tahoe PUD	Kingswood West Tank Site EIPs	R
53	Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California	Woodfords Community Wastewater Infrastructure	W
54	Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California	Woodfords Community Water Infrastructure	W
55	Squaw Valley PSD	Aquifer Monitoring	W
56	Squaw Valley PSD	Squaw Valley Mutual Water Co. Intertie	W
57	Squaw Valley PSD	Squaw Creek Siphon	W
58	Squaw Valley PSD	Truckee River Siphon	W
59	Squaw Valley PSD	Well 3 Replacement	W
60	Squaw Valley PSD	Redundant Water Supply	W

(a) R = Restoration, SW = Stormwater/Flood Control, W = Water Supply/Wastewater

### Table: Completed Projects to Date

The table of completed projects below has been adapted from the Tahoe-Sierra IRWM Data Management Application on <http://tahoesierrairwm.com/tahoe-sierra-irwm-project-management-tool/>

Year Completed	Agency/ Organization	Project Title	Project Type <sup>(a)</sup>
2012	Truckee River Watershed Council	Truckee River Watershed Voluntary Best Management Practice (BMP) Retrofit	SW
2013	Town of Truckee	Town of Truckee Stormwater Management Retrofits	SW
2013	Town of Truckee	Prop 50 Trout Creek Restoration	R
2012	Truckee River Watershed Council	TMDL Water Quality Monitoring for Truckee River	water quality

## Tahoe Sierra Integrated Regional Water Management Planning Partnership

2011	UC Davis Tahoe Environmental Research Center	Polaris Creek Wetland/Stream Environment Zone (SEZ) Restoration for Tahoe Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL), BMP Efficiency Testing, Habitat Enhancement, and Outreach	R
2013	Tahoe Resource Conservation District	Polaris Creek Wetland/Stream Environment Zone (SEZ) Restoration for Tahoe Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL), BMP Efficiency Testing, Habitat Enhancement, and Outreach	R
2011	South Tahoe Public Utility District	South Tahoe Public Utility District (STPUD) Waterline Replacement	W
2012	South Tahoe Public Utility District	South Tahoe Public Utility District (STPUD) Water Conservation Program	SW
2012	Tahoe Resource Conservation District	Best Management Practices (BMP) Retrofit for the Lake Tahoe Region	SW
2013	Tahoe Resource Conservation District	Lake Tahoe Schoolyard BMP Implementation	SW
2010	Markleeville Water Company	Markleeville Pipeline Replacement, Meter, and Hydrant Installation	W

R = Restoration, SW = Stormwater/Flood Control, W = Water Supply/Wastewater

- **A description of the organization’s accounting practices, including a description of how its annual financial statements are prepared and audited.**

South Tahoe Public Utility District will act as the fiscal lead for the Tahoe Sierra IRWM partnership. STPUD has a robust financial department that oversees all fiscal responsibilities for the District and this includes a full-time grant coordinator. The department utilizes an accounting software that allows for the preparation of a variety of financial reports. In addition, this software allows for individual projects, most especially grant funded projects, to be tracked separately from the general ledger, and are recorded under specific job ledger accounts. The Board of Directors approves all accounts payable claims at every board meeting, held the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Thursdays of every month. In addition, on a quarterly basis the Chief Financial Officer presents the Income/Expenditure Statements, the Balance Sheets, and the Treasury Report (a summation of Investments and Reserve Funding), to the Board for their review. STPUD is also required to conduct an annual audit, performed by an outside audit firm, that is responsible for conducting an audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the US. STPUD has won an Excellence Award for Comprehensive Annual Financial Reporting every year for the past 20 years.