

**Brianna Bergen - Comments and documents for Nursery Products WDRs 4**

---

**From:** "D. Norman Diaz" <[REDACTED]>  
**To:** Brianna Bergen <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** 11/23/2009 2:29 PM  
**Subject:** Comments and documents for Nursery Products WDRs 4  
**Attachments:** 071221 lost hills liberty.pdf; 080706 Banning plan.pdf; 080707 rialto e-fuel.pdf; 080814 coltonThermoEnergy, STORS.pdf; ABT Odor Controàeport F[1].pdf; Beltsville Aerated Static Pile Composting-1.pdf; Compost operation on hold until renovations done | CourierPostOnline.com | Courier-Post.pdf; SlurryCarbOverview.pdf

---

Ms Bergen

Please consider these comments and documents for your WDRs for Nursery Products LLC or any other Nursery Products or sewage sludge permits:

A few enclosed cost effective sludge alternatives being started in Southern California shown here in these articles. If it is cost effective to build a \$200 million dollar facility in Banning for profit, then how can it be not cost effective in Hinkley? Where is the \$200 million Banning is spending on its facility compared with no cost to Nursery Products in Hinkley? Why is Banning's local water given stronger safety measures over Hinkley's?

thanks

Norman

D. Norman Diaz  
[REDACTED]