**Affidavit in support of request for exclusion from settlement agreement in**

***In re: Aqueous Film-Forming Foams Products Liability Litigation,* MDL No. 2-18-mn-2873-RMG;**

***City of Camden, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours and Company (n/k/a EIDP, Inc.), et al.,***

**2:23-cv-03230-RMG.**

1. I am the [ title ] of the [name of Public Water System] (“System”). In this role, I have authority over the supervision, management and control of the System, including provision of drinking water to individuals and businesses in [area of service] in California in the United States of America. This includes authority to oversee operations at the System.
2. The proposed Settlement Class in the above-referenced matter is defined as, “(a) All Public Water Systems in the United States of America that draw or otherwise collect from any Water Source that, on or before the Settlement Date, was tested or otherwise analyzed for PFAS and found to contain any PFAS at any level; and (b) All Public Water Systems in the United States of America that, as of the Settlement Date, are (i) subject to the monitoring rules set forth in UCMR 5 (i.e., “large” systems serving more than 10,000 people and “small” systems serving between 3,300 and 10,000 people), or (ii) required under applicable federal or state law to test or otherwise analyze any of their Water Sources or the water they provide for PFAS before the UCMR 5.” (Settlement Agreement at paragraph 5.1.1.)
3. Check one:

\_\_\_ The System has one or more PFAS-impacted water sources, as the [­name of impacted water source] provides it with water. As such, the System is a member of the above-referenced proposed Settlement Class under the Settlement Class definition, subsection (a).

*OR*

\_\_\_ The System is subject to the monitoring rules set forth in UCMR 5. As such, System is a member of the proposed Settlement Class under the Settlement Class definition, subsection (b)(i).

*OR*

\_\_\_ The System serves [ number of customers ] people with drinking water. As such, it is a member of the above-referenced proposed Settlement Class under the Settlement Class definition, subsection (b)(ii).

1. Check one*:*

\_\_\_ The System is listed on Exhibit I of the Settlement Agreement as a state—owned public water system that would be excluded from the Settlement Agreement if it could not sue or be sued in its own name. (Settlement Agreement paragraph 5.1.2, subsection (b). The System has the ability to sue and be sued in its own name. As such, it meets none of the exclusion criteria set forth in the Settlement Agreement at Paragraph 5.1.2.

*OR*

\_\_\_ The System is a state-owned system, but is not listed on Exhibit I of the Settlement Agreement as a state—owned public water system that would be excluded from the Settlement Agreement if it could not sue or be sued in its own name. (Settlement Agreement paragraph 5.1.2, subsection (b). The System has the ability to sue and be sued in its own name. As such, it meets none of the exclusion criteria set forth in the Settlement Agreement at Paragraph 5.1.2. .

1. My address is\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. My telephone number is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_and the facsimile number is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. My email is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.
2. The address of System is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. The telephone number is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_and the facsimile number is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ . The email address for the System is .
3. As [ title ] of the System, I have authority to make decisions on legal matters involving it, pursuant to [insert the ordinance, regulation or other legal authority to have standing to make the request]. I am, therefore, legally authorized to request to exclude System as a Settlement Class Member, from the Settlement Agreement. At this time, I am formally requesting that the System be excluded from the E.I. DuPont De Nemours and Company(n/k/a EIDP, Inc.), et al Settlement Agreement in the case of *In re: Aqueous Film-Forming Foams Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 2-18-mn-2873-RMG. This request relates to *City of Camden, et al. v.* *E.I. DuPont De Nemours and Company(n/k/a EIDP, Inc.), et al.*, 2:23-cv-03230-RMG

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

# Executed this \_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2023, at \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, California.

[Affiant’s Signature]\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Affiant

On behalf of

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

[Insert System Name]

Its

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

[Insert title]