



<i>Technical Report</i>	2009
-------------------------	------

San Joaquin River Basin: Main Stem and Drainage Basin Sites, October 2000 – September 2005

October 2009

This project was jointly funded by SWAMP and other partners, including Agricultural Subsurface Drainage Program, Total Maximum Daily Load Program, Watershed Management Initiative Program and the Grant Program



www.waterboards.ca.gov/swamp



State of California
Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor

California Environmental Protection Agency
Linda S. Adams, Secretary for Environmental Protection

**REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
CENTRAL VALLEY REGION**

Karl E. Longley, Chair
Katherine Hart, Vice- Chair
Nicole M. Bell, Member
Cheryl K. Maki, Member
Sandra O. Meraz, Member
Soapy Mulholland, Member
Dan Odenweller, Member

—
Pamela C. Creedon, Executive Officer

—
11020 Sun Center Drive #200
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

—
Phone: (916) 464-3291
Email: info5@waterboards.ca.gov
Web site: <http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/>

DISCLAIMER

*This publication is a technical report by staff of the
California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region.
No policy or regulation is either expressed or intended.*

REPORT PREPARED BY:

Victoria Bowles, Environmental Scientist
Chad Dibble, Environmental Scientist*
Jeremy Starr, Project Assistant
Lee Xiong, Student Intern

UNDER DIRECTION OF:

JEANNE CHILCOTT,
Chief, San Joaquin River Watershed Unit

**REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
CENTRAL VALLEY REGION**

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

This report has been many years in the making and would not be possible without support from the 32 students and staff that have contributed tremendously over the life of the project. Specifically, Lee Xiong contributed much time and effort. Major portions of the field, lab and analytical work were funded through coordination and cooperation with multiple Board Programs (e.g. Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program, Agricultural Subsurface Drainage Program, Total Maximum Daily Load Program, Watershed Management Initiative Program and the Grant Program).

*Formerly Environmental Scientist with Central Valley Water Board and currently a Staff Environmental Scientist with Department of Fish and Game

TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE
1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	8
2.0 GLOSSARY/KEY TERMS	12
3.0 INTRODUCTION	13
4.0 BACKGROUND	15
5.0 STUDY AREA	16
5.1 San Joaquin River Hydrology	16
5.2 San Joaquin River Sub-Basins	17
6.0 SAMPLING PROGRAM	18
6.1 Program Objectives	18
6.2 Design	19
6.3 Sampling Procedures	24
7.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL	24
8.0 PRECIPITATION AND FLOW: WATER YEARS 2000-2005	25
9.0 BENEFICIAL USES AND APPLICABLE WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES AND GOALS	28
9.1 Applicable Beneficial Uses	29
9.2 Applicable Water Quality Objectives and Goals	29
10.0 RESULTS	32
10.1 Result Summaries	32
12.0 DISCUSSION	43
12.1 Temporal and Spatial Trends	43
12.1.1 TEMPERATURE	43
12.1.2 SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE	46
12.1.3 MEASURED pH	51
12.1.4 DISSOLVED OXYGEN	54
12.1.5 TURBIDITY	56
12.1.6 TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS	61
12.1.7 TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON	65
12.1.8 BACTERIA	69
12.1.9 NUTRIENTS	73
12.1.10 BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND	77
12.1.11 MINERAL ANALYSIS	79
12.1.12 TRACE ELEMENTS	79
12.1.13 TOXICITY	81
12.2 Evaluation of Beneficial Uses	83
13.0 SUMMARY/CONCLUSION	93
14.0 FUTURE ACTIVITIES	95
15.0 REFERENCES	97

List of Figures

Figure 1: San Joaquin River Basin	15
Figure 2: San Joaquin River Sub-Basins	18
Figure 4: Merced River Near Stevinson (MST) Mean Daily Flow	26

Figure 5: San Joaquin River Near Newman (NEW) Mean Daily Flow	27
Figure 6: San Joaquin River Near Vernalis (VNS) Mean Daily Flow	27
Figure 7: San Joaquin River Watershed Monthly Rainfall Data Water Year 2001-2005	28
Figure 8: San Joaquin River Northeast Basin Temperature WY01-WY05.....	44
Figure 9: San Joaquin River Main Stem Temperature WY01-WY05.....	44
Figure 10: Basin Temperatures WY01-WY05	45
Figure 11: San Joaquin River Main Stem Temperature WY01-WY05.....	45
Figure 12: San Joaquin River Northeast Basin Specific Conductivity WY01-WY05	48
Figure 13: San Joaquin River Main Stem Specific Conductivity WY01-WY05	48
Figure 14: San Joaquin River Grassland Basin Specific Conductivity WY01-WY05.....	49
Figure 15: Basin Specific Conductivity WY01-WY05	49
Figure 15: Basin Specific Conductivity WY01-WY05	50
Figure 16: San Joaquin River Main Stem Specific Conductivity WY01-WY05	50
Figure 17: San Joaquin River Northeast Basin pH WY01-WY05	52
Figure 18: San Joaquin River Main Stem Specific Conductivity WY01-WY05	52
Figure 19: Basin pH WY01-WY05	53
Figure 20: San Joaquin River Main Stem pH WY01-WY05	53
Figure 21: San Joaquin River Main Stem Dissolved Oxygen WY01-WY05.....	55
Figure 22: San Joaquin River Northeast Basin Dissolved Oxygen WY01-WY05.....	55
Figure 23: San Joaquin River Northeast Basin Turbidity WY02-WY04.....	57
Figure 24: San Joaquin River Main Stem Turbidity WY01-WY05	57
Figure 25: Basin Turbidity WY02-WY04.....	58
Figure 26: San Joaquin River Main Stem Turbidity WY02-WY04.....	58
Figure 27: Turbidity Influences on the San Joaquin River from Lander to Airport Way/ Vernalis 3-25-03 and 3-27-03.....	59
Figure 28: Turbidity Influences on the San Joaquin River from Lander to Airport Way/ Vernalis 12-18-02 and 12-19-02.....	60
Figure 29: San Joaquin River Main Stem Total Suspended Solids WY01-WY05.....	62
Figure 30: San Joaquin River Westside Basin Total Suspended Solids Irrigation Season WY01 and WY05.....	62
Figure 31: Basin Total Suspended Solids WY01-WY05	63
Figure 32: San Joaquin River Main Stem Total Suspended Solids WY01-WY05.....	63
Figure 33: San Joaquin River Northeast Basin Total Suspended Solids WY01	64
Figure 34: San Joaquin River Eastside Basin Total Organic Carbon WY01-WY05	66
Figure 35: San Joaquin River Northeast Basin Total Organic Carbon WY01 – WY05	67
Figure 36: San Joaquin River Main Stem Total Organic Carbon WY01 – WY05.....	67
Figure 37: Basin Total Organic Carbon WY01-WY05	68
Figure 38: San Joaquin River Main Stem Total Organic Carbon WY01-WY05	68
Figure 39: San Joaquin River Northeast Basin Total Coliform WY02-WY05	70
Figure 40: San Joaquin River Northeast Basin <i>E. coli</i> WY02-WY05.....	71
Figure 41: San Joaquin River Main Stem <i>E. coli</i> WY01 – WY05	71
Figure 42: Basin <i>E. coli</i> WY02-WY05.....	72
Figure 43: San Joaquin River Main Stem <i>E. coli</i> WY02-WY05.....	72
Figure 44: San Joaquin River Main Stem Nitrate WY01 – WY04.....	74
Figure 45: San Joaquin River Northeast Basin Nitrate WY01	75
Figure 46: San Joaquin River Westside Basin Nitrate WY01	75
Figure 47: Basin Nitrate WY01-WY02	76
Figure 48: San Joaquin River Main Stem Nitrate WY02-WY04	76

Figure 49: Basin BOD-5 Day WY02-WY03.....	78
Figure 50: San Joaquin River Main Stem BOD-5 Day WY02-WY03.....	78
Figure 51: Percentage of Total Organic Carbon samples greater than the Bay-Delta Authority Target (3.0 mg/L).....	87
Figure 52: Percentage of <i>E. coli</i> samples greater than the USEPA Guideline: Designated Beach Area (235 MPN/100ml).....	91

List of Tables

Table 1: SJR SWAMP Station Information and Sampling Frequencies*	22
Table 2: Water Year Classifications.....	26
Table 3: Indicator and Beneficial Uses	30
Table 4: Applicable Beneficial Uses	31
Table 5a: Temperature, Specific Conductance (SC) and pH Results Summary	33
Table 5b: Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Turbidity and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) Results Summary.....	34
Table 5c: Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Total Coliform and <i>E. coli</i> Results Summary	35
Table 5d: Nitrate, Nitrate-N, and Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Results Summary	36
Table 5e: Ammonia as N, Phosphorus and Ortho-Phosphate as P Results Summary	37
Table 5f: Potassium, Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5-day) and Biochemical Oxygen Demand (10-day) Results Summary.....	38
Table 5g: Chloride, Sulfate, and Calcium Results Summary.....	39
Table 5h: Magnesium, Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), and Carbonate Results Summary	40
Table 5i: Bicarbonate, Total Alkalinity and Sodium Results Summary	41
Table 6: Toxicity Toxic Event Summary.....	42
Table 7: Selected Upstream Site Locations and Number of Turbidity Samples Greater than Selected Upstream site.....	84
Table 8: Summary of Potential Beneficial Use Concerns: San Joaquin River and Sub-Basin Sites (2000 to 2005).....	92

APPENDIX A: SJR Main Stem Results (A1-A8)

APPENDIX B: Northeast Basin Results (B1-B5)

APPENDIX C: Eastside Basin Results (C1-C7)

APPENDIX D: Southeast Basin Results (D1-D4)

APPENDIX E: Grasslands Basin Results (E1-E4)

APPENDIX F: Westside Basin Results (F1-F6)

APPENDIX G: South Delta Basin Results (G1-G4)

APPENDIX H: Parameters, Detection Levels, Holding Times and Analytical Recoveries

APPENDIX I: SJR Main Stem Site information and Photographic Documentation (I1-I8)

APPENDIX J: Northeast Basin Site information and Photographic Documentation (J1-J5)

APPENDIX K: Eastside Basin Site information and Photographic Documentation (K1-K7)

APPENDIX L: Southeast Basin Site information and Photographic Documentation (L1-L4)

APPENDIX M: Grasslands Basin Site information and Photographic Documentation (M1-M4)

APPENDIX N: Westside Basin Site information and Photographic Documentation (N1-N6)

APPENDIX O: South Delta Basin Site information and Photographic Documentation (O1-O4)

APPENDIX P: Exceedance/ elevated levels Summary

APPENDIX Q: Water Quality Objectives/Goals and Related Beneficial Use Tables (Q1-Q3)
APPENDIX R: Graphical summary of results collected

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board's (Central Valley Water Board) San Joaquin River Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP) was implemented in October 2000 as part of the statewide effort to assess and monitor California's surface water quality. The San Joaquin River (SJR) Basin covers 17,720 square miles (CVRWQCB, 1998) with fresh water flows originating from the Sierra Nevada along the east side and ephemeral streams providing seasonal flows along the west side and within the foothill regions. The hydrology of the basin has been highly modified and regulated since the advent of the Central Valley Project in the late 1940's, and the valley floor is dominated by irrigated agriculture (approximately 2.0 million acres (DWR, 2001)).

The SWAMP within the SJR Basin was designed with a 3-tiered monitoring framework: 1) long-term monitoring in the main stem of the river; 2) long-term monitoring in selected tributaries draining major sub-basins; and 3) more intensive monitoring on a 5-year rotation within the sub-basins themselves. This report focuses on the results for the first two tiers of the SWAMP effort, the main stem of the San Joaquin River and the major inflows from sub-watersheds, between October 2000 and September 2005. Results for the third tier, the Intensive Basin Monitoring Program (IBP), can be found on the following website:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/water_quality_studies/surface_water_ambient_monitoring/sjr_swamp.shtml

The final sampling design for the first two tiers included eight SJR main stem sites and 30 drainage basin sites, which were sampled monthly or weekly depending on the site, with a total of 39 separate constituents sampled over the course of the sampling period. At a minimum, each site was analyzed for standard field measurements (specific conductance, pH, temperature, turbidity, and dissolved oxygen) as well as total coliform and *E. coli*. Monthly photo documentation was taken at each site. Sampling expanded to include total organic carbon, total suspended sediments, trace elements (arsenic, copper, chromium, lead, nickel, zinc, and mercury), biochemical oxygen demand, mineral data (chloride, sulfate, calcium, magnesium, total dissolved solids, carbonate, bicarbonate, total alkalinity and sodium) and water column toxicity when additional funding was available.

The purpose of this report is to summarize the data gathered over the five year sampling period and attempt to address the SJR SWAMP's main questions within the SJR valley, which include evaluating spatial and temporal trends and providing a preliminary assessment of potential beneficial use concerns.

Constituents monitored displayed distinct spatial and temporal trends within the SJR watershed and some areas were identified for further review of potential impacts to beneficial uses.

Spatial Trend Findings:

Within the river, the majority of constituents, i.e. specific conductance, TSS, turbidity, and most minerals and metals demonstrated increasing concentrations from Sack Dam (essentially the headwaters of the lower SJR) to Hills Ferry (a main stem site just upstream of the first freshwater flow from the Sierra Nevada). Many of the increases corresponded with inflows from the Grassland sub-basin. A pattern of decreasing concentrations from Hills Ferry to Vernalis (the boundary of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta) corresponded to dilution from the high volume fresh water flows from the east-side rivers that drain the Sierras. Most constituent concentrations decreased to roughly the same concentrations at Sack Dam after the 110-mile journey to Vernalis.

Comparing tributary sub-basin inflows, those from the western side of the SJR Basin had higher concentrations of most constituents than those on the eastern side. The distribution may in part be due to minimal natural run off from the Coastal Range and replacement supply water from the Delta along the west side and more continuous fresh water flows and captured snowmelt as supply water for the east side.

Temporal Trend Findings:

Strong seasonal trends were found for temperature i.e., temperatures increase in the summer and decrease during the winter. Dissolved oxygen (DO) had the opposite seasonal trend of temperature with a decrease in DO in the summer months and an increase in the winter months. Specific conductivity (SC), total organic carbon (TOC), turbidity, and total suspended solids (TSS) were influenced both by storm events, especially SC during the first storm runoff, and the irrigation season. Concentrations tended to spike during storm events, but remain at a lower but still elevated level during the irrigation season.

Preliminary Assessment of Potential Beneficial Use Concerns:

Potential impacts to key beneficial uses were evaluated by using selected indicators and comparing results against published water quality goals, targets and/or guidelines as follows:

- Drinking Water (SC, TOC, Nitrate, trace elements (arsenic, cadmium, copper, mercury, nickel, lead and zinc) and *E. coli*)
- Aquatic Life (pH, temperature, DO, turbidity, trace elements (cadmium, copper, nickel, arsenic, lead, zinc, and mercury), chloride and water column toxicity)
- Irrigation water supply (SC, and minerals (chloride, sodium and total dissolved solids))
- Recreation (*E. coli*)

In summary:

Drinking Water/Municipal Supply: Total organic carbon was found to be elevated throughout the SJR Basin when compared to the 3.0 mg/L Bay Delta Authority's guideline for water quality in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta (Delta). The entire SJR Basin drains into the southern portion of the Delta.

Aquatic Life: Elevated temperatures during the spring and fall were a concern for fish passage along the SJR when compared to the Bay-Delta Authority target for the San Joaquin River at Vernalis of 20°C from April 1–June 30 and from September 1–November 30. Higher levels of turbidity in the Westside basin were a concern but the current Basin Plan objective is based on background concentrations so it was difficult to evaluate for the existing ephemeral streams. Various levels of water column toxicity were reported sporadically on multiple occasions around the basin. A higher percentage of chronic toxicity was reported as compared to acute toxicity. Samples for acute algae toxicity were collected less frequently but had a higher percentage (50 percent excluding Fremont Ford) of reduction and an increase in growth toxic events. For information on sediment toxicity around the basin see Sediment Toxicity Testing in the San Joaquin River Basin, October 2001 through September 2005 (http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/water_quality_studies/surface_water_environment_monitoring/swamp_water_quality_reports/index.shtml).

Irrigation Water Supply: Specific conductance was found elevated above optimal irrigation water supply concentrations throughout most of the SJR Basin when compared to the Water Quality Goal for Agriculture of 700 umhos/cm. In addition, individual samples were at times elevated above the Basin Plan objective for Vernalis of 700 umhos/cm April through August and 1000 umhos/cm September through March, although it should be noted that the objective is to be applied as a 30-day maximum running

average and was not intended to determine impairment using a single grab sample. The highest SC concentrations were measured within the Grasslands and Westside Basin. High salt concentrations can be attributed to a variety of components not limited to local geology/hydrology, importation and deportation of water from and to different basins, waste products from urban, industrial, and agricultural practices—and is being evaluated separately under the Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long-Term Sustainability (CV-SALTS) project.

Recreation: Occasional spikes of *E. coli* above the USEPA guideline of 235 MPN/100 ml (full contact recreation) were detected sporadically throughout the year, but the summer months were of particular concern due to the potential for recreational use increases for most of the waterways.

Future Activities

By the end of this study (2005), other Central Valley Water Board surface water monitoring efforts had expanded—notably the Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program (ILRP) and monitoring conducted under various grant efforts. The Central Valley Water Board SWAMP efforts became more focused on internal and external monitoring coordination rather than continuing to maintain a separate monitoring strategy with shrinking resources. Some of these efforts are listed below.

- Development of the Central Valley Regional Board SWAMP website that documents monitoring activities supported by SWAMP and provides links to final reports and selected water quality data (http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/water_quality_studies/surface_water_ambient_monitoring/index.shtml)
- Continued water quality monitoring support for the multi-agency Grassland Bypass Project (selenium control program)
- Leveraging funds with a separate USEPA project to continue development of a web-based monitoring directory designed to display active monitoring within the entire Central Valley (<http://www.centralvalleymonitoring.org>)
- Providing resources (staff and contract dollars) to facilitate development of a Regional Monitoring Program for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta
- Supporting Department of Water Resources staff to continue long-term trend monitoring at 41-sites in the northern Sacramento River Basin in exchange for the addition of selected constituents of concern identified through Central Valley Regional Board efforts (TOC, nutrients, and toxicity) and realignment of 11-sites to correspond with sites utilized by the state-wide SWAMP sediment toxicity study
- Providing resources to insure ILRP water quality information is captured in the state-wide SWAMP master data base
- Developing a region-wide, long-term trend monitoring framework based on the 30-sites within the Central Valley that are part of the state-wide SWAMP contaminant trend monitoring effort.
- Providing assistance to other monitoring efforts to facilitate SWAMP comparability (e.g. reviewing quality assurance project plans)

Efforts related specifically to the elevated *E. coli* concentrations found within the SJR Basin as well as in other areas of the Central Valley as part of ILRP monitoring, are as follows:

- A survey of *E. coli* concentrations in local swimming holes before during and after a holiday weekend (coordinated with Central Valley watershed groups during both 2007 and 2008)
- A pilot bacteria source identification project with the University of California, Davis, in selected streams demonstrating elevated *E. coli* concentrations
- Continued, seasonal *E. coli* monitoring at 30-major integrator sites throughout the Central Valley.

Recommendations for future monitoring for each sub-basin and river site are summarized in Table 8 within the discussion and conclusion section of this report. Data collected as part of this study has been posted annually on our website since 2003 and was assessed in combination with other available data during the development of the Clean Water Act Sections 305(b) and 303(d) Integrated Report for the Central Valley Region (CVRWQCB, 2009 Draft).