

Yee, Keri@Waterboards

From: Deng, Xin@CDPR <Xin.Deng@cdpr.ca.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 29, 2014 2:18 PM
To: Yee, Keri@Waterboards; clauss@lwa.com; County Ag Commissioner, Placer; denton.debra@epa.gov; ecallman@cityofsacramento.org; Fojut, Tessa@Waterboards; jcmarkle@sbcglobal.net; Johnson, Michael; jorlando@usgs.gov; kmoran@tdcenvironmental.com; rfiroved@calrice.org; slclark@pacificecorisk.com; Tadesse, Dawit@Waterboards
Cc: Karkoski, Joe@Waterboards; Fregien, Susan@Waterboards
Subject: Explanation for 'other related' chemicals from PUR

Hello All,

Here is the explanation for the mysterious term 'other related' in the PUR database from DPR's registration specialist:

The term 'other related' comes from product formulation labels. It is used to indicate the percent contents of chemicals that are produced as side products during the process of manufacturing active ingredients. The 'other related' chemicals could include intermediate chemicals or metabolites of a parent compound, and usually have less than 1 % in a formulation. The term had been used for older products but it's no longer used for newer products. In fact, US EPA is phasing out the term because of the difficulty in defining it. As a result, the previously labeled 'other related' would be accounted into the content of an active ingredient in newer products. It is not clear to me when the US EPA started phasing out the term. Since the amount of 'other related' is rather small in a formulation, use records for the 'other related' chemicals should be negligible in prioritizing pesticides for monitoring purposes. However, the 'other related' chemicals were grouped with their parent compound in the DPR's prioritization model.

Hope this will clear off the mystery.

Xin