

Table 1
Irrigated Lands Program Public Outreach Meetings April 05 - April 06

# of Attending Staff	Hours	Date	Location	Type	With Whom	Purpose
3	6	04/19/05	Modesto	meeting	5 Irrig. Dist.	Discuss draft WD MRP
3	3	05/04/05	RB Office	MPWG	CGs, CDFA, DPR	Discuss MRP MP language
7	8	05/09/05	Modesto	PAC	All stakeholders	Report on Program updates/progress
5	9	05/19/05	Modesto & surrounding area	WD Tour	5 Irrig. Dist.	Learn about WD operations
4	9	06/02/05	Oakdale & surrounding area	WD Tour	5 Irrig. Dist.	Learn about WD operations
3	8	06/08/05	Napa	meeting	Napa Co. interests	Discuss DeMinimis Waiver
3	3	06/13/05	RB Office	meeting	Coalition Groups	Discuss Waiver renewal
4	5	06/14/05	Nevada City	meeting	Nevada Co. interests	Discuss DeMinimis Waiver
3	3	06/16/05	RB Office	meeting	Dept. Pest. Reg.	Discuss Waiver renewal
3	3	06/20/05	RB Office	meeting	Dept. Food & Ag	Discuss Waiver renewal
4	9	06/24/05	Merced & surrounding area	WD Tour	5 Irrig. Dist.	Learn about WD operations
3	10	07/11/05		meeting	Feather River interests	Discuss DeMinimis Waiver
3	10	07/12/05	MacArthur	meeting	Pitt River interests	Discuss DeMinimis Waiver
3	4	07/13/05	Placerville	meeting	El Dorado interests	Discuss DeMinimis Waiver
6	8	07/18/05	Modesto	PAC	All stakeholders	Report on Program updates/progress
3	3	08/03/05	Sacramento	meeting	Farm Bureau	Discuss Waiver renewal
2	3	08/11/05	Zamora	meeting	Rachael Long	Discuss DeMinimis Waiver
11	8	08/29/05	Modesto	PAC/wkshp	All stakeholders	Report on Program and introduce potential changes
3	2	08/31/05	RB Office	meeting	Managed wetlands interests	Discuss DeMinimis Waiver
5	6	09/01/05	Colusa	workshop	All stakeholders	Introduce potential changes
3	9	09/08/05	Tulare	workshop	All stakeholders	Introduce potential changes
3	9	10/18/05	Tulare	workshop	All stakeholders	Get feedback on Tent. CW
3	4	10/20/05	RB Office	workshop (Bd Mtng)	All stakeholders	Get feedback on Tent. CW
4	6	10/25/05	Colusa	workshop	All stakeholders	Get feedback on Tent. CW
2	6	10/27/05	Modesto	workshop	All stakeholders	Get feedback on Tent. CW
3	2	10/31/05	RB Office	meeting	Coalition Groups & Farm Bureau	Discuss comments on Tent. CW
4	3	11/14/05	Sacramento	meeting	Ag Comm., DPR	Discuss comments on Tent. CW
3	10	11/18/05	San Diego	CARCD Conference	CARCD presentation	Present Waiver renewal
2	1	01/10/06	RB Office	meeting	Organic farming interests	Discuss DeMinimis Waiver
3	2	01/12/06	RB Office	meeting	5 Irrig. Dist.	Discuss WD MRP
2	1	02/07/06	RB Office	meeting	Wine interests & others	Discuss DeMinimis Waiver
2	5	02/15/06	Sacramento	PWG	Invited stakeholders	Discuss CW outstanding issues
4	5	03/09/06	Sacramento	PWG	Invited stakeholders	Discuss CW outstanding issues
1	10	03/24/06	MacArthur	Ann. Mtg.	NE CA Water Assoc.	Present Waiver renewal
3	5	03/27/06	Sacramento	PWG	Invited stakeholders	
4	4	04/03/06	Sacramento	PWGSC	Subcomm. Of PWG	Develop language for Tent. CW
3	5	04/06/06	Sacramento	PWG	Invited stakeholders	Discuss CW outstanding issues
2	2	04/07/06	On the phone	PWGSC	Subcomm. Of PWG	Develop language for Tent. CW
2	5	04/13/06	RB Office	meeting	2 CG reps, FB, 1 other	Go thru Tent. CW to describe changes from 2003 CW

TABLE 2: Coalition Group Membership Document Submittal Summary and Assessment

Coalition Group	List Type	Date Submitted	Status	Submittal Description	Staff Comments
Sacramento Valley Water Quality Coalition (SVWQC)	Membership Document Alternative	31 Jan 06; 16 Mar 06 (El Dorado)	Incomplete	The Butte-Sutter-Yuba, Lake-Napa County, Pitt River, Placer-North Sacramento, Sacramento-Amador, Shasta-Tehama, Upper Feather-Upper Yuba River Subwatersheds submitted participant lists information. The Colusa Basin and Solano-Yolo Subwatersheds submitted Non-Responder lists. The Non-Responder lists contain a list of names that did not respond to subwatershed solicitations made on behalf of the Coalition.	Staff cannot develop non-participant contact information from the Coalition subwatershed submittals. The data submitted for the 10 subwatershed groups within the Coalition are inconsistent in format and level of completeness. The 10 data sets ranged from being very complete providing address and agricultural land information to missing APN numbers, unlabeled, incomplete lists with poor quality information. Extensive staff time has been required to filter the data to a consistent format. Staff needs to coordinate with the SVWQC - data needs to be resubmitted for the subwatersheds that lacked essential information required to develop non-participant contact information. Data submitted must be in a consistent format for all subwatersheds consisting of the same level of information for all participants. See also the discussion at end of table.
Westside San Joaquin River Watershed Coalition (WSJRWC)	Membership Document Alternative	4 Oct 05	Complete	GIS map provided that included maps of Coalition boundaries, and areas within the Coalition that are not participating. Staff has been able to construct parcel data consistent with the Coalition boundaries. Staff now has the tools and capability to develop a database for non-participant contact information within the Coalition boundaries.	Good membership alternative. Coalition was very responsive to staff requests for additional information to Coalition membership alternative. Membership submittal is complete. Staff will continue to work with the Coalition to coordinate non-participant solicitation efforts.
East San Joaquin Water Quality Coalition (ESJWQC)	Membership Document Alternative	17 Feb 06	Incomplete	The Coalition removed Coalition Group participant names from a list of potential irrigated landowners prepared by staff with the use of public information. Modified lists for Madera, Merced, and Stanislaus counties have been received. The remaining county lists for Calaveras, Mariposa, and Tuolumne counties have been provided to the Coalition. Staff expects the Coalition to submit the remaining modified list by 15 June 2006.	This alternative has required extensive amounts of staff time to filter and construct reliable data that accurately reflects the Coalition's submitted information. Staff will need to further refine the information submitted by the Coalition to construct a reliable non-participant contact information dataset. See also the discussion at end of table.

Coalition Group	List Type	Date Submitted	Status	Submittal Description	Staff Comments
San Joaquin County and Delta Water Quality Coalition (SJC&DWQC)	Membership Document Alternative	28 Feb 06	Incomplete	The Coalition provided GIS maps of the Coalition boundaries depicting participating agricultural parcels and non-participating parcels for Contra Costa and San Joaquin counties. The parcel information for Contra Costa and San Joaquin counties included Assessor Parcel Numbers (APNs) only.	Good membership alternative. However, this alternative has required an extensive amount of staff time to merge publically accessible owner name information with the corresponding parcel APN provided. Staff continues to work with the information provided to develop a list of non-participating agricultural parcels within the Coalition boundaries. The Coalition has been very responsive in providing the information necessary for staff to assemble non-participating agricultural parcel contact information. Membership submittal is incomplete. The Coalition will need to provide staff with GIS maps for Alameda and Calaveras counties that indicate participating agricultural parcels and non-participating parcels consistent with the Coalition boundaries. Staff will continue to work with the Coalition to coordinate non-participant solicitation efforts. See also the discussion at end of table.
San Luis Water District	Membership Document Alternative	2 Feb 06	Complete	The Coalition provided an electronic 8.5 x 11-inch PDF map of the Coalition area. Parcel boundary lines are visible for parcels classified as irrigated agriculture within the San Luis Water District boundaries (with the exception of parcels within the Grasslands Drainage Area). Parcels owned or operated by participating growers are shaded blue, and parcels owned or operated by non-participating growers are shaded red.	Since the map was submitted in a published format (e.g. PDF format), there is no attribute table associated and there is no way to overlay the map onto the County Assessor maps. Staff had to visually match up the shape of parcels by looking back and forth from the Coalition map to the County Assessor shapefile maps to attain owner/operator name and mailing address. See also the discussion at end of table.
Southern San Joaquin Valley Water Quality Coalition	None	No submittal	N/A	N/A	Further contact with Coalition representatives is required to obtain information requested by the EO. See also the discussion at end of table.
Westlands Water District Coalition	None	No submittal	N/A	N/A	Further contact with Coalition representatives is required to obtain information requested by the EO. See also the discussion at end of table.
Goose Lake Coalition	None	No submittal	N/A	N/A	Further contact with Coalition representatives is required to obtain information requested by the EO. See also the discussion at end of table.

Discussion:

Working with the various types of data submitted by the Coalition Groups has allowed staff to identify the types of information needed to most efficiently and effectively identify non-participants and support program compliance efforts. This information includes a GIS map of Coalition boundaries showing participating and non-participating parcels; and in the attributes table(s) for the GIS file(s) the following information for participants and non-participants information is included: participant status, owner name, owner mailing address, assessor parcel number, use code description (e.g., irrigated acreage, wetland, rural residential, commercial nursery, etc.), parcel location or situs address, and parcel acres. Information requirements are specified in detail in Participant Information Submittal Alternative II (see Appendix A of the staff report).

Table 3. Major Changes Between the 2003 Conditonal Waiver & April 2006 Tentative Coalition Group CW

2003 Item No.	Tent. Item No.	Topic	Amended 2003 Conditional Waiver (2003 CW)	April 2006 Tentative Conditional Waiver (2006 Tent. CW)	Reason for Change
F33	F3	Definition of "Irrigated Lands"	Lists seven examples of irrigated land types	Lists ten examples of irrigated land types	More complete definition
F23, F37	F10	Description of who this Order waives from requirement to file RWDs and obtain WDRs	Lists the <i>types of discharges</i> as the entities that are conditionally waived from RWDs and WDRs	Lists the <i>dischargers</i> as the entities that are conditionally waived from RWDs and WDRs	Clarifies that dischargers are conditionally waived from RWDs and WDRs, not discharges. Also consolidates the info from 2003 CW F37 that there are two Conditional Waiver options: Individual or Coalition Group.
F14	F12	Description of Attachment A purpose and content	Attachment A identifies applicable regulatory requirements contained in the Basin Plans	Attachment A identifies the applicable regulatory requirements from several plans and policies and includes an Information Sheet that clarifies the "Tributary Rule."	New F12 clarifies that Attachment A describes applicable regulatory requirement from several plans and policies, not only those in the Basin Plans.
F20	F13	Description of who this Coalitin Group CW covers	Describes the adoption of two CWs; one for Coalition Groups and one for Individuals.	Describes the Coalition Group CW only and includes the requirement to "knowingly elect" to join a Coalition Group, which previously was in Attach. B, Item B.1.c.	There are now separate CWs for Coalition Groups and Individuals, where as in the 2003 CWs, there was one CW Resolution and attachments for the two CWs. Clarification also was needed about the "knowingly electing" requirement since this was in the 2003 CW.
--	F14	Participant Information	--	Describes why Central Valley Water Board needs Coalition participant info, provides the location (Attachment B) where conditions for the requirement can be found, and briefly describes the options to fulfill this requirement.	Clarification was needed for why the Board needs participant information and what formats are acceptable to fulfill the requirement. The 2003 CW required a <i>list</i> of members, while the Tentative CW allows other format options.
--	F18	Annual Fees	--	Cites the Water Code section that authorizes the Water Board to collect fees as a condition of the CW	Water Code was revised since the 2003 CW, and the Tentative CW requires payment of a fee as a condition of the CW.
F32	F40	Description of what the CW is not intended to cover	CW applies to discharges from irrigated lands to surface waters.	CW applies to discharges from irrigated lands to surface waters, but not intended to regulate irrigation water before it has left the irrigated land or to application of soil amendments or fertilizers.	Clarifies the scope of the CW as intended in 2003.

Table 3. Major Changes Between the 2003 Conditional Waiver & April 2006 Tentative Coalition Group CW

2003 Item No.	Tent. Item No.	Topic	Amended 2003 Conditional Waiver (2003 CW)	April 2006 Tentative Conditional Waiver (2006 Tent. CW)	Reason for Change
--	F41	Clarification of "discharger" within the scope of the CW	--	Recognizes the uncertainty that has arisen for some in determining if particular parcels discharge or have the potential to discharge waste. Explains that this CW provides clarification regarding this issue.	To address and clarify issues that have arisen since the 2003 CW was adopted. This additional information will help individuals determine if they are Dischargers.
--	F42	Clarification of "discharger" within the scope of the CW	--	The CW applies to discharges of waste from irrigated land that could directly or indirectly reach surface waters of the State.	To address and clarify issues that have arisen since the 2003 CW was adopted. This additional information will help individuals determine if they are Dischargers.
--	F43	Clarification of "discharger" within the scope of the CW related to stormwater runoff		CW not intended to apply to those lands that discharge only on rare occasions during large storm events.	To address and clarify issues that have arisen since the 2003 CW was adopted. This additional information will help individuals determine if they are Dischargers.
--	F44	Clarification of scope of CW with respect to lands receiving liquid waste	--	CW does not cover discharges of waste from irrigated land that receive liquid waste from operations such as dairies or food processors.	To address and clarify issues that have arisen since the 2003 CW was adopted. This additional information will help individuals determine if they are eligible to enroll in the CW.
--	F45	Clarification of scope of CW with respect to irrigated lands for personal consumption	--	Discharges of waste from irrigated lands used for personal consumption are not covered by this CW.	To address and clarify issues that have arisen since the 2003 CW was adopted. This additional information will help individuals determine if they are Dischargers.
--	F60-F63	CEQA	--	Describes the CEQA guidelines or conditions that would require a subsequent environmental document; explains that none of the conditions apply; new information has become available since the 2003 CW; and the new information confirms that a subsequent CEQA document is not required.	Justification for not preparing a subsequent environmental document.

Table 3. Major Changes Between the 2003 Conditional Waiver & April 2006 Tentative Coalition Group CW

2003 Item No.	Tent. Item No.	Topic	Amended 2003 Conditional Waiver (2003 CW)	April 2006 Tentative Conditional Waiver (2006 Tent. CW)	Reason for Change
Attachment A:					
Intro and first two sections	Intro and first two sections	Applicable plans and policies	Described only Basin Plans and Water Quality Objectives therein. Lists two other statewide policies.	Describes all of the applicable plans and policies, including the Basin Plans and water quality standards. Provides references for those plans and policies.	The additional information was added to make the CWs more complete. See also 2006 Tent. CW Item No. F12.
Water Quality Objectives	--	Water Quality Objectives	Listed some of the applicable water quality objectives.	Directs compliance with applicable water quality standards that are identified in MRPs for each coalition for water bodies within watersheds.	The water quality objectives were removed from the 2006 Tent. CW because a list to address all waterbodies is not feasible. The specifically applicable water quality standards will be identified through the MRPs.
9	2	"Discharger" definition	Defines discharger as the owner or operator of irrigated lands who discharges irrigation tailwater, wastewater and/or stormwater to waters of the State.	Defines discharger as the owner or operator of irrigated lands who discharges <i>or has the potential to discharge</i> waste that could directly or indirectly reach surface waters of the State.	Definition updated to match the language developed by the Policy Working Group (see F42)
--	3	"Discharges of waste from irrigated lands" definition	--	Provides a definition.	The information was previously provided several times throughout the CW, but now the definition is contained in one place and referenced throughout. The change eliminates redundant text and ensures consistent definition.
--	4	"Exceedance" definition	--	Provides a definition.	The definition was provided to clarify when Coalition Groups need to submit Exceedance Reports.
1	5	"Irrigated Lands" definition	Provides a definition.	Provides a more detailed definition.	Clarifies and provides additional examples of the types of operations which can be covered by the CW.
--	7	"Liquid Waste" definition	--	Provides a definition.	The definition was provided to clarify F44.
12	8	"Monitoring" definition	Provides a definition.	Provides a more detailed definition.	Update reflects additional purposes of monitoring in new Water Code Section 13269.
--	16	"Water District" definition	--	Provides a definition.	The definition was provided for clarity and consistency (see F11).
--	18	"Water Quality Standards"	--	Provides a definition.	The definition was provided for clarity and to be all-inclusive.

Table 3. Major Changes Between the 2003 Conditional Waiver & April 2006 Tentative Coalition Group CW

2003 Item No.	Tent. Item No.	Topic	Amended 2003 Conditional Waiver (2003 CW)	April 2006 Tentative Conditional Waiver (2006 Tent. CW)	Reason for Change
Attachment B:					
B.1.c.	A5	Submittal of Coalition Group participant information	A Coalition Group must maintain a membership document and submit it upon request of the EO.	A Coalition Group existing before the effective date of the 2006 Tent. CW must provide data sufficient for the Water Board to establish the participants in the Coalition Group Waiver. The CW allows options for submittal of data, including lists or maps.	Clarifies the options to fulfill the requirements to submit participant information for <i>existing Coalition Groups</i> .
--	A6	Submittal of participant information for new Coalition Groups	A Coalition Group must maintain a membership document and submit it upon request of the EO.	Coalition Groups receiving an NOA after the effective date of the 2006 Tent. CW shall submit a participant list by 31 July of each year.	Clarifies that new Coalition Groups must submit participant information in list form.
--	A7	Compliance with TMDLs and implementation plans	--	Coalition Groups and/or Dischargers shall comply with applicable TMDLs and implementation plans in the Basin Plans.	Although this requirement already existed, this item was added to clarify the requirement.
B1	B1	Notice of Intent (NOI) requirements	NOI due by 1 Nov 2003; NOI shall include all required info; identify one authorized representative; maintain Membership Document by 22 July 2004	NOI shall identify authorized representative(s); NOI shall include a list of participants in the Coalition.	These changes were needed to remove old dates; allow for more than one authorized representative; and clarify the NOI participant list requirement.
B4	B4	Monitoring and Reporting Program Plan (MRP Plan)	Description of the purposes of the MRP Plan; listed one of the purposes as determining if exceedances of receiving water limitations are occurring	Gives more complete description of the purposes of the MRP Plan, while also including the purposes and requirements of the Watershed Evaluation Report (WER), which will now be incorporated into the MRP Plan. Also clarifies that determination of exceedances of water quality standards is a goal of the MRP Plan, and adds other purposes of monitoring.	These changes will reduce the number of reports that a Coalition Group is required to submit. It also updates the MRP Order No., and clarifies that the MRP Plan is a required condition of the CW. Also clarifies how exceedances will be determined, and the other purposes of monitoring.

Table 3. Major Changes Between the 2003 Conditional Waiver & April 2006 Tentative Coalition Group CW

2003 Item No.	Tent. Item No.	Topic	Amended 2003 Conditional Waiver (2003 CW)	April 2006 Tentative Conditional Waiver (2006 Tent. CW)	Reason for Change
B6	B6	Management Plan	Coalition Group shall determine exceedances of Receiving Water Limitations and submit Management Plans as requested by the Executive Officer (EO).	Coalition Group shall determine exceedances of applicable water quality standards and submit Management Plans as requested by the EO. Management Plans must identify how the effectiveness of actions will be evaluated; must implement management practices to achieve water quality standards; and must designate person(s) who will implement, assess, and evaluate the Plan.	This clarifies that exceedances shall be determined based on applicable water quality standards, which may include but are not limited to, receiving water limitations. It also describes the Management Plan requirements in more detail.
C1	C1	Water Quality Standards	Lists the Receiving Water Limitations.	Removes the list of Receiving Water Limitations and states that Coalition Groups/Dischargers must comply with applicable water quality standards.	This change was needed because the list of Receiving Water Limitations did not include all water quality standards with which Coalition Groups/Dischargers must comply. Specific numeric levels to comply with these applicable water quality standards will be set forth in the MRP.
D	D	Time Schedule	Gives a list of tasks and the required compliance dates. Required an NOI, General Report, WER, MRP Plan, monitoring, Annual Monitoring Report (AMR), and Management Plans by specified dates.	Gives a list of tasks and updates the required compliance dates. Requires an MRP Plan, Wet Season MR, Participant Documentation, Irrigation Season MR, and Management Plans.	The compliance dates were old and not applicable; these needed to be updated. There have also been changes in report requirements which needed to be updated.
--	E	Fees	--	Each Discharger who participates in a Coalition Group, or the Coalition on behalf of its participants, shall pay a fee to the State Board.	Water Code was revised since the 2003 CW, and the Tentative CW requires payment of a fee as a condition of the CW.
Attach. D1	NOI	Notice of Intent (NOI) form	Includes the requirement to maintain a Membership Document	Includes the requirement to include in the NOI a list of Coalition Group participants.	The participant information requirement was updated on the NOI to be consistent with the 2006 Tent. CW.

Table 4. Other Changes Between the 2003 Conditional Waiver & April 2006 Tentative Coalition Group CW

Reason for Change ¹	2006 Tentative Conditional Waiver ²
Revision for clarity ³	F1, F2, F9, F17, F33, F35, F38, F39, F46-F49, F53, F56, F59, O1, O2, O6-O8 Attachment B: A1, A8, A9, B5, B8
Addition of clarity and detailed information ⁴	F4-F6, F11, F19-F21, F23-F25, F28, F29, F50-F52, F58, O3, O4, O9 Attachment B: B2b, B3, C2
Items moved to another location ⁵	F7, F8, F16, F54, F55, F57, O5 Attachment B: A10-A13, B7
California Water Code changes ⁶	F15, F34
Non-Point Source Policy changes ⁷	F22, F37
Updated Information ⁸	F26, F27, F30, F36, O11 Attachment B: Introduction, A4, Notice of Termination

¹ Some changes occurred for more than one reason, but were placed in the most appropriate reason category.

² F=Finding No., O=Order Item No., and items listed for Attachments follow the outline in the Attachments.

³ Specific reasons for changes that are grouped into this category include grammar, punctuation, and spelling adjustments; addition or subtraction of minor words or phrases to make the item's intent more clear; substitution of words of the same or similar meaning to make the item's intent more clear; or re-ordering of the words and/or sentences in an item to improve clarity.

⁴ These changes include the addition of phrases, sentences, or items to provide more detailed information.

⁵ These items were moved to another location within the Conditional Waiver, with no changes made to the text, in order to group similar items together.

⁶ The Legislature amended Section 13269 of the California Water Code after the July 2003 Conditional Waiver was adopted, so revisions were made to reflect these amendments.

⁷ The State Water Resources Control Board amended the Non-Point Source Policy after the July 2003 Conditional Waiver was adopted, so revisions were made to reflect these amendments.

⁸ These changes were made in order to update references to the Irrigated Lands Program .