Appendix D – Alternative Pollutant Load Expressions to Facilitate Implementation of the Concentration-based Allocations

The purpose of this appendix is to provide alternative, non-daily pollutant load expressions to facilitate implementation of the daily pollutant allocations. Daily allocations, as expressed in this TMDL, are on the basis of daily time-step concentrations (e.g., instantaneous receiving water concentrations represented in grab and field samples). Relevant guidance published by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) pertaining to alternative load expressions is presented below:

Facilitating Implementation of Waste Load Allocations and Load Allocations

"TMDL submissions may include alternative, non-daily pollutant load expressions in order to facilitate implementation of the applicable water quality standards*. To facilitate implementation of such a load in water bodies where the applicable water quality standard is expressed in non-daily terms, it may be appropriate for the TMDL documentation to include, in addition to wasteload allocations expressed in daily time increments, wasteload allocations expressed as weekly, monthly, seasonal, annual, or other appropriate time increments. The TMDL and its supporting documentation should clearly explain that the non-daily loads and allocations are implementation-related assumptions of the daily wasteload allocations and are included to facilitate implementation of the daily allocations as appropriate in NPDES permits and nonpoint source directed management measures."

From: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Memorandum, Nov. 15, 2006. Subject: Establishing TMDL "Daily" Loads in Light of the Decision by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit in Friends of the Earth, Inc. v. EPA, et al., No. 05-5015, and Implications, for NPDES Permits

* emphasis added by Water Board staff

In addition, non-daily and alternative load expressions of the concentration-based allocations may be needed to provide a meaningful connection with implementation efforts (such as nonpoint source best management practices) where averaging periods other than daily time steps, or expressions other than receiving water concentration allocations provide the basis for water quality-based control strategies. However, in accordance with USEPA guidance, all final TMDL submissions must contain a daily time-step load component; this requirement is satisfied by the proposed concentration-based TMDLs and allocations.

Table 1 and Table 2 present alternative, non-daily mass load expressions and estimated load reductions for nitrate to facilitate implementation of the TMDLs on an annual (Table 1) and seasonal (Table 2) basis. These alternative load expressions shall be considered implementation-related assumptions of the daily time-step concentration-based allocations.

It is important to recognize that there is uncertainty associated with these mass load expressions, as they are in many cases based on limited amounts of instantaneous flow data, or NHDplus modeled flow data and as such reflect coarser temporal load representations (annual and seasonal loads). In the absence of reliable continuous, or daily flow data (i.e., USGS gages or hydrologic modeling), there

could be a high degree of error associated with estimated daily flows from limited amounts of instantaneous flows¹. According to USEPA, the potential for error is particularly pronounced in arid areas, areas with few USGS gages, and areas where flows are highly modified by human activities (e.g., impoundments, regulated flows, and irrigation return flows)². Therefore, as noted previously, this TMDL and associated load allocation are based on instantaneous concentration-based loads – this satisfies the USEPA guidance to incorporate a daily time-step load. In addition, concentration is generally a more direct linkage to the protection of aquatic habitat, than annual or seasonal mass loads.

As more flow data, or better flow estimates become available in the future, these alternative, non-daily load expressions may be revised during reconsideration of the TMDL, scheduled for ten years after adoption.

Table 1. Alternative, non-daily load expressions and estimated load reductions to facilitate implementation of allocations.

| Stream Reach and associated Monitoring Site | Estimated Mean Annual Flow (cfs) A | Mean Annual Conc. (mg/L) | Mean Annual Existing Load (lbs.) | Mean Annual Loading Capacity (lbs.) | Estimated Load Reduction Necessary (lbs.) | Percent Reduction Goal ^B | Nitrate as N Numeric Target Used for Calculating Loading Capacity (mg/L) and Reduction Goal |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Carnadero Creek at private property access 305CAR | 14.04 | 8.78 | 242,032 | 220,533 | 21,499 | 9% | Wet season biostimulation target = 8 |
| Casserly Creek at Paulsen CA2 | 0.88 | 5.03 | 8,724 | 17,338 | 0 | 0% | MUN standard = 10 Anti-degradation requirements apply – maintain existing Water quality |
| Corralitos Creek at Freedom CORAA-21 | 16.9 | 5.31 | 167,280 | 252,033 | 0 | 0% | Wet season biostimulation target = 8 Anti-degradation requirements apply – maintain existing Water quality |
| Coward Creek at Carlton Rd CW | 0.17 | 20.4 | 6,826 | 3,358 | 3,468 | 51% | MUN standard = 10 |

¹ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2007. Options for Expression Daily Loads in TMDLs. June 22, 2007.

² Ibid.

| Stream Reach and associated Monitoring Site | Estimated Mean Annual Flow (cfs) ^A | Mean Annual Conc. (mg/L) | Mean Annual Existing Load (lbs.) | Mean Annual Loading Capacity (lbs.) | Estimated Load Reduction Necessary (lbs.) | Percent Reduction Goal ^B | Nitrate as N Numeric Target Used for Calculating Loading Capacity (mg/L) and Reduction Goal |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Furlong Creek at Fraiser Lake Rd 305FUF | 0.43 | 34.13 | 28,908 | 6,789 | 22,119 | 77% | Wet season biostimulation Target = 8 |
| Green Valley Creek at Green Valley Road GV | 0.27 | 3.84 | 2,044 | 4,271 | 0 | 0% | MUN standard = 10 Anti-degradation requirements apply – maintain existing Water quality |
| Green Valley Creek Tributary at Casserly Road GVT | 0.40 | 21.48 | 16,900 | 7,884 | 9,016 | 53% | MUN standard = 10 |
| Harkins Slough at Harkins Slough Rd 305HAR | 2.09 | 3.18 | 12,702 | 32,923 | 0 | 0% | Wet season biostimulation Target, total nitrogen as N = 8 Anti-degradation requirements apply – maintain existing Water quality |
| Hughes Creek at Casserly Road HC | 0.07 | 0.95 | 146 | 1,387 | 0 | 0% | MUN standard = 10 Anti-degradation requirements apply – maintain existing Water quality |
| Llagas Creek at Bloomfield Avenue 305LLA | 11.94 | 11.27 | 249,405 | 177,062 | 72,343 | 29% | Wet season biostimulation target = 8 |
| Llagas Creek at Southside 305LUC | 8.84 | 17.8 | 309,812 | 139,248 | 170,564 | 55% | Wet season biostimulation target = 8 |
| Pacheco Creek at San Felipe Rd 305PAC | 12.70 | 1.68 | 42,012 | 250,062 | 0 | 0% | MUN standard = 10 Anti-degradation requirements apply – maintain existing Water quality |

| Stream Reach and associated Monitoring Site | Estimated Mean Annual Flow (cfs) ^A | Mean Annual Conc. (mg/L) | Mean Annual Existing Load (lbs.) | Mean Annual Loading Capacity (lbs.) | Estimated Load Reduction Necessary (lbs.) | Percent Reduction Goal ^B | Nitrate as N Numeric Target Used for Calculating Loading Capacity (mg/L) and Reduction Goal |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Pajaro River at Thurwatcher Rd. 305THU | 109.59 | 5.01 | 1,081,057 | 1,726,268 | 0 | 0% | Wet season biostimulation target = 8 Anti-degradation requirements apply – maintain existing Water quality |
| Pajaro River at Chittenden 305CHI | 173.1 | 8.27 | 2,818,686 | 2,726,661 | 92,025 | 3% | Wet season biostimulation target = 8 |
| Salsipuedes Creek at Hwy 129 downstream of Corralitos Creek 305COR | 8.54 | 3.54 | 59,532 | 134,539 | 0 | 0% | Wet season biostimulation target = 8 Anti-degradation requirements apply – maintain existing Water quality |
| San Benito at Y Rd 305SAN | 38.60 | 1.46 | 110,960 | 760,040 | 0 | 0% | MUN standard = 10 Anti-degradation requirements apply – maintain existing Water quality |
| San Juan Creek at Anzar 305SJN | 1.06 | 29.13 | 60,809 | 16,681 | 44,128 | 73% | Wet season biostimulation target = 8 |
| Tequisquita Slough at Shore Rd 305TES | 4.23 | 5.57 | 46,392 | 66,613 | 0 | 0% | Wet season biostimulation target = 8 Anti-degradation requirements apply – maintain existing Water quality |
| Tres Pinos Creek at Southside Rd. (305TRE) | 18.2 | 0.42 | 15,038 | 358,357 | 0 | 0% | MUN standard = 10 Anti-degradation requirements apply – maintain existing Water quality |
| Watsonville Slough upstream Harkins Slough 305WSA | 4.46 | 10.77 | 94,572 | 70,263 | 24,309 | 26% | Wet season biostimulation Target, total nitrogen as N = 8 |

A See TMDL report Section 3.4 – Hydrology for source information on flow estimates.

B Percent reduction goals are for informational purposes only, and should not be viewed as the TMDL

Table 2. Alternative, non-daily (dry season – May. 1 to Oct. 31) load expressions and estimated dry season load reductions to facilitate

implementation of allocations.

| Stream Reach and associated Monitoring Site | Estimated Mean Dry Season Flow (cfs) ^A | Mean Dry Season Conc. (mg/L) | Mean Dry Season Existing Load (lbs.) | Mean Dry Season Loading Capacity (lbs.) | Estimated Load Reduction Necessary (lbs.) | Percent Reduction Goal ^B | Nitrate as N Biostimulation Numeric Target Used for Calculating the Loading Capacity (mg/L) and Reduction Goal |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| Carnadero Creek at private property access (305CAR) | 1.79 | 13.59 | 23,949 | 3,176 | 20,773 | 97% | dry season nitrate as N biostimulation water quality target = 1.8 |
| Carnadero Creek at Highway 25 (site 305CAN) | 6.51 | 14.17 | 90,817 | 11,534 | 79,283 | 87% | dry season nitrate as N biostimulation water quality target = 1.8 |
| Corralitos Creek at Brown Valley Road (CO-BVR) | 6.81 | 0.13 | 876 | 12,063 | 0 | 0% | dry season nitrate as N biostimulation water quality target = 1.8 |
| Furlong Creek at Fraiser Lake Rd (305FUF) | 1.1 | 32.67 | 35,387 | 1,953 | 33,434 | 94% | dry season nitrate as N biostimulation water quality target = 1.8 |
| Llagas Creek at Bloomfield Avenue (305LLA) | 2.2 | 13.01 | 28,178 | 3,906 | 24,272 | 86% | dry season nitrate as N biostimulation water quality target = 1.8 |
| Llagas Creek at Southside (305LUC) | 5.08 | 17.27 | 86,377 | 8,997 | 77,380 | 90% | dry season nitrate as N biostimulation water quality target = 1.8 |
| Llagas Creek at Monterey (305MON) | 14.09 | 0.2 | 2,774 | 24,966 | 0 | 0% | dry season nitrate as N biostimulation water quality target = 1.8 |
| Millers Canal at Frazier Lake Rd (305FRA) | 6.72 | 1.5 | 9,928 | 7,282 | 2,646 | 27% | dry season total nitrogen as N biostimulation water quality target = 1.1 |
| Pacheco Creek at San Felipe Road (305PAC) | 5.83 | - | - | Not applicable | 0 | 0% | Biostimulation water quality targets do not apply. Anti-degradation requirements apply – maintain existing water quality. |
| Pajaro River at Porter (305PJP) | 22.83 | 7,58 | 170,364 | 87,655 | 82,709 | 49% | dry season nitrate as N biostimulation water quality target = 3.9 |
| Pajaro River at Chittenden Gap (305CHI) | 24.2 | 9.90 | 235,863 | 92,911 | 142,952 | 61% | dry season nitrate as N biostimulation water quality target = 3.9 |
| Pajaro River at Betabel Rd (305PAJ) | 28.45 | 9.98 | 377,775 | 147,624 | 230,151 | 61% | dry season nitrate as N biostimulation water quality target = 3.9 |

Appendix D – Alternative Pollutant Load Expressions

| Stream Reach and associated Monitoring Site | Estimated Mean Dry Season Flow (cfs) ^A | Mean Dry Season Conc. (mg/L) | Mean Dry Season Existing Load (lbs.) | Mean Dry Season Loading Capacity (lbs.) | Estimated Load Reduction Necessary (lbs.) | Percent Reduction Goal ^B | Nitrate as N Biostimulation Numeric Target Used for Calculating the Loading Capacity (mg/L) and Reduction Goal |
|--|---|------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Salsipuedes Creek at Hwy 129 (305COR) | 5.28 | 5.28 | 27,448 | 9,362 | 18,086 | 66% | dry season nitrate as N biostimulation water quality target = 1.8 |
| San Benito at Y Rd (305SAN) | 0.4 | - | - | Not applicable | 0 | 0% | Biostimulation water quality targets do not apply. Anti-degradation requirements apply – maintain existing water quality. |
| San Juan Creek at Anzar Rd (305SJN) | 1.98 | 37.57 | 73,237 | 6,424 | 66,813 | 91% | dry season nitrate as N biostimulation water quality target = 3.3 |
| Tequisquita Slough at Shore Rd (305TES) | 0.73 | 6.72 | 4,836 | 1,588 | 3,248 | 67% | dry season nitrate as N biostimulation water quality target = 2.2 |
| Tres Pinos Creek at Southside Rd. (305TRE) | 2.93 | - | I | Not applicable | 0 | 0% | Biostimulation water quality targets do not apply. Anti-degradation requirements apply – maintain existing water quality. |
| Watsonville Slough upstrm of Harkins Slough (305WSA) | 0.5 | 14.8 | 7,282 | 1.040 | 6,278 | 86% | dry season total nitrogen as N biostimulation water quality target = 2.1 |

A See TMDL report Section 3.4 – Hydrology for source information on flow estimates.

B Percent reduction goals are for informational purposes only, and should not be viewed as the TMDL