Addressing Instream Flow in the Shasta River Temperature TMDL

> Matt St. John North Coast RWQCB

November 15, 2006 Item 18



Temperature objective not achieved without increasing dedicated cold water instream flow

> 45 cfs goal based on sensitivity analysis (Staff Report Sections 6.2.4 and 6.4.1)

Shasta River watershed characterized by constant source of cold water

Summary

> 60-year average August unimpaired flow at mouth = 353 cfs (CDWR 1994)

 > 60-year average August impaired flow at mouth = 39 cfs (USGS)

Spring flows contribute > 130 cfs near-constant flow

45 cfs goal can be achieved by available and existing management strategies and does not alter or reallocate water rights.

Water Quality Objective for Temperature

"The natural receiving water temperature of intrastate waters shall not be altered unless it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Regional Water Board that such alteration in temperature does not adversely affect beneficial uses."

Water Quality Objective for Temperature

No alteration of natural temperature



Protect beneficial uses

Water Quality Compliance Scenario

- Increased riparian shade
- Reduced irrigation tailwater return flow temperatures
- > Reduced tributary inflow temperatures

Increased dedicated cold water instream flow

Maximum Temperature





Water Quality Compliance Scenario:

1) Site potential shade

2) Irrigation tailwater return flow – zero net increase in temperature



River mile



Compliance with Temperature Objective

Factors	Natural Temperature	Altered Natural Temperature – Protect BU's
Shade		
Irrigation tailwater return flows		
Flow		

Compliance with Temperature Objective

Factors	Natural Temperature	Altered Natural Temperature – Protect BU's
Shade	Full site potential shade	
Irrigation tailwater return flows	None	
Flow	Full natural flow	

Compliance with Temperature Objective

Factors	Natural Temperature	Altered Natural Temperature – Protect BU's
Shade	Full site potential shade	Full site potential shade
Irrigation tailwater return flows	None	No net temperature increase
Flow	Full natural flow	+ 45 cfs of dedicated cold instream flow

[40 CFR 130.7(c)]

TMDLs must result in attainment of water quality standards throughout the year, including under critical conditions.



Riparian shade
Irrigation tailwater return flows
Flow

Flow



Average August Flow (cfs) Shasta River Near Mouth

Unimpaired Flow ¹	Existing Baseline	WQ Compliance Scenario
353	22	67

1. CDWR (1994) Preliminary Unimpaired Flow Study

Average Monthly Flows - Shasta River Mouth

Attributes of Shasta River

Mt. Shasta, Eddy Mountains, Cascade Range

- Provide constant source of cold spring and snow melt stream flows
- Water temperatures at the source of springs remain fairly constant year-round from about 11-13 degrees Celsius
- Largely volcanic soils
 - Naturally high nutrient concentrations
- > Agricultural economy
 - Irrigated pasture & alfalfa, hay, cow-calf
- Low rainfall, high desert environment
 - Irrigation; mostly flood irrigation at present

What is the basis for 45 cfs goal?

Flow Sensitivity Analysis

Purpose:

To evaluate the effect of dedicated cold water flow increase on Shasta River temperature.

Increased baseline flows by 50% at six select locations – one location for each simulation

Baseline temperature maintained
Flow maintained to the mouth

Flow analysis – maximum temperature results

50% flow increase downstream of Big Springs Creek has largest affect on Shasta River temperatures; = 45 cfs increase

Action Plan

- Water diverters should employ water management practices and activities that result in increased dedicated cold water instream flow in the Shasta River and its tributaries.
- Goal: Increase the dedicated cold water instream flow in the Shasta River by 45 cfs or alternative flow regime that achieves the same temperature reductions

Little Shasta River

Big Springs Lake, Big Springs Creek

Hole in the Ground Creek

Montague Irrigation District Diversion

Lake Shastina

Parks Creek

Shasta River

Explanation **Diversion (CFS)** 10 to 50 • >5 <10 5 or less Unknown Ditch Main River or Stream Montague Irrigation District Montague Irrigation District deeded land Shasta Water Association **Big Springs Irrigation District** Grenada Irrigation District Huesman Irrigated Areas di. Lake Shastina \sim Shasta River Subbasin

Management Strategies

- Water Use Efficiency
- System Reoperation
- Agricultural Lands Stewardship
- Groundwater Storage/ Conjunctive Management
- Municipal Water Reuse
- Ecosystem Restoration
- Economic Incentives
- Water Transfers/Water Trust

-CA Dept Water Resources, Water Plan Update 2005

Water Use Efficiency

- Ag production per unit of applied water for 32 important CA crops increased 38% from 1980 to 2000.
- > Hardware and infrastructure upgrades
 - Data acquisition and control systems
 - Changes in irrigation method
 - Lining of ditches and canals
 - Tailwater recovery
- > Water Management
 - Integrated monitoring and management
 - Water to meet crop requirements

System Reoperation

- Change time or volume of reservoir releases
- > Temperature control devices
- Groundwater banking
- Coordinate and interconnect storage, conveyance, and delivery systems
- > Risk management
- Change points of diversion
- > Pulse flows
- > Off-stream storage

Agricultural Lands Stewardship

Irrigation tailwater recycle/reuse
Crop shifting
Crop idling

Economic Incentives

- Low-cost loans
- > Grants, e.g., CA proposition programs
- Subsidies
- Water audits, rebates
- > Water pricing, rate structures
- > Water purchase

Water Transfers/Water Trust

- Change in point of diversion, place of use, purpose of use
- > Temporary or long-term
- Water is made available through means such as water use efficiency, crop idling, crop shifting, return flow reductions, conveyance loss reductions, groundwater conjunctive use
- > In 2001, 1,250,000 ac-ft, 20% long-term.
- Environmental programs: 200,000 ac-ft/yr 1995-2001
- Scott Valley exploring water trust idea

Little Shasta River

Big Springs Lake, Big Springs Creek

Hole in the Ground Creek

Montague Irrigation District Diversion

Lake Shastina

Parks Creek

Shasta River

Explanation **Diversion (CFS)** 10 to 50 • >5 <10 5 or less Unknown Ditch Main River or Stream Montague Irrigation District Montague Irrigation District deeded land Shasta Water Association **Big Springs Irrigation District** Grenada Irrigation District Huesman Irrigated Areas di. Lake Shastina \sim Shasta River Subbasin

Are these just big ideas or are they real?

Strategy	Scott	Shasta	California
Water use efficiency	Yes	Yes	Yes
Economic incentives	Yes	Yes	Yes
System reoperation	Possible	Possible	Yes
Ag. land stewardship	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water transfers	Proposed	Possible	Yes
GW/SW conjunctive	Yes	Possible?	Yes
Municipal water reuse	Possible?	Montague	Yes
Ecosystem restoration	Yes	Yes	Yes

Win-Win

Plenty of tools in the tool box
Solutions do not require reopening adjudications
All irrigators have the potential to

contribute