

**CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
LAHONTAN REGION**

MEETING OF MAY 11, 2011
Victorville

ITEM: 5

SUBJECT: EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT

DISCUSSION: The Executive Officer's report includes the following:

May 2011

Enclosure 1: Discussion of Standing Items

Enclosure 2: Executive Officer's Written Report

Enclosure 3: Notification of Spills

Enclosure 4: NFAR Summary Report

March 16, 2011 – April 15, 2011

Enclosure 5: Quarterly Violations Report

January 1, 2011 – March 31, 2011

ENCLOSURE 1

Discussion of Standing Items

**CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY
CONTROL BOARD
LAHONTAN REGION**

REPORT ON STATUS OF STANDING ITEMS

May 11, 2011

The Water Board has requested that it be kept informed of the status of a number of issues. The following table lists the items, the reporting frequency and the dates the items are due.

NORTH BASIN		
ISSUE	FREQUENCY	DUE DATE
Lake Tahoe Nearshore Standards	Semi-Annual	Due October 2011
Status of Basin Plan Amendments	Semi-Annual	EO Report Item # 1
Status of Grants	Semi-Annual	Due October 2011
Caltrans Statewide General Permit/Tahoe Basin	Annually	Due April 2012
Tahoe Municipal Permit	Annually	Due July 2011
Wetland Restoration Mitigation - Mono County	Annually	Due November 2011
City of Barstow	Quarterly	Due June 2011
County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles - District No. 14	Semi-Annual	EO Report Item # 10
County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles - District No. 20	Semi-Annual	EO Report Item # 11
Status of Dairies	Semi-Annual	EO Report Item # 8
Searles Valley Minerals Operations - Compliance Status	Semi-Annual	Due September 2011

ENCLOSURE 2

Executive Officer's Written Report



EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT

May 2011

NORTH BASIN

1. ***Semiannual Status Report on Basin Plan Amendments***- *Judith Unsicker.*

In 2009 the Regional Water Board conducted a Triennial Review of the Lahontan Basin Plan and adopted a list of twelve priority topics for planning staff work between 2009 and 2012. A table showing the status of each of these topics as of April 2011 is attached at the end of this report.

Four items in the table (identified by shading) have been delayed significantly or will not be pursued further. Topics 2 and 4 should be delayed because those topics depend on products from the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, products which are delayed because of various matters including litigation. Topics 5 and 10, Mojave River water quality objectives and China Lake beneficial use assessment are no longer needed. The U.S. Navy is no longer interested in pursuing Basin Plan amendments to remove the Municipal and Domestic Supply beneficial use designation from two groundwater basins at China Lake Naval Air Weapons Station. The 2010 Mojave River Characterization Study prepared by consultants to the Victor Valley Wastewater Reclamation Authority (VWRA) concludes that

Basin Plan amendments to revise water quality objectives and beneficial uses are not needed in the river segment affected by VWRA's discharge

The staff time saved from working on these four Topics is being used to perform unanticipated work at the State Water Board level. The State Water Board has recently begun using a revised process for its approvals of Basin Plan amendments.

Under the new process, Regional Water Board staff will be responsible for preparing State Water Board agenda materials, briefing State Water Board members, preparing administrative records for the State Water Board's action, and other administrative tasks. Because the process will no longer involve intensive review of plan amendments and supporting documents by State Water Board staff, State Water Board actions will generally occur within a few months (rather than a year) after formal submission of amendments by a Regional Water Board. Additional demands on Regional Water Board staff time for activities related to the State Water Board approval process will need to be considered in developing annual workplans and determining future Triennial Review priorities.

Following State Water Board approval of the amendment, Regional Water Board staff prepares the Administrative Record (including both Regional and State Board's proceedings) and a concise summary of the amendment for submittal to the Office of Administrative Law (OAL). OAL ensures that agency regulations are clear, necessary, legally valid, and available to the public. OAL has 30 days to approve or disapprove the amendment.

Regional Water Board staff will send the Administrative Record to the US EPA at the same time as submittal to the OAL for a concurrent review. The US EPA has 60 days to approve (or 90 days to disapprove) the amendment. US EPA approval is the last step in the process.

Though Regional Water Board staff time will increase under the new process, less State and Regional Water Board resources will be required overall. With State Water Board staff working with Regional Water Board staff throughout, a mutual partnership has grown to make the new process as streamlined, efficient, and transparent as possible.

2. ***US Forest Service Petition of Investigative Order for the White Mountain Grazing Allotments*** – Laurie Scribe

In February 2011, the Assistant Executive Officer issued an Investigative Order requiring the US Forest Service to provide technical reports to investigate water quality impacts related to livestock grazing allotments. The US Forest Service authorized livestock grazing in four allotments within the Inyo National Forest (INF), covering approximately 84,000 acres of National Forest System lands in the White Mountains of California and Nevada. The US Forest Service's

environmental documents included substantial evidence indicating that grazing has the potential to violate fecal coliform bacteria water quality objectives.

The Investigative Order requires one season of monitoring and reporting of fecal coliform concentrations in receiving waters downgradient of each grazing allotment. This is necessary to determine whether grazing activities cause violations or threaten violations of water quality objectives for fecal coliform bacteria. If violations are detected the Order requires the US Forest Service to identify any additional management measures that would be necessary to maintain compliance with water quality standards.

In March 2011, the US Forest Service petitioned the Investigative Order to the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board). In its petition, the US Forest Service claimed that the Investigative Order exceeds the authority granted to the Water Boards in Water Code Section 13267, the Order is unreasonable and arbitrary, and the Petitioner is not the discharger. The US Forest Service did not request a stay but requested in its petition that the State Water Board set aside the Order or direct the Water Board to set aside the Order.

State Water Board staff is reviewing the petition and is expected to inform all parties, within about 90 days whether the petition will be heard or dismissed. Since a stay was not requested, the US Forest Service is still required to submit its surface water investigation work plan by April 30, 2011.

3. ***Bridgeport Rancher's Organization Meeting and Follow-up, Mono County*** - Bruce Warden

Water Board staff met with members of the Bridgeport Ranchers Organization (BRO) in March 2011 to discuss water quality monitoring data and the Bridgeport Grazing Waiver. On behalf of the BRO, Dr. Ken Tate of UC Davis

Cooperative Extension presented bacteria analyses data from 12 stream monitoring stations for the 2010 sampling season. Data showed similar trends with those of previous years: little or no impairment for above-valley upstream sites; slight impairment for above-valley sites with upstream grazing; and significant impairment for mid-valley and lower-valley sites.

The data suggest more effort is needed to comply with the Bridgeport Valley Grazing Waiver, which requires the dischargers to meet interim bacteria objectives downstream of grazing operations by December 15, 2011. A few additional monitoring sites should be added to further assess sources of discharge, but likely the ranchers should implement more management practices to better control the discharges.

Water Board staff informed the ranchers of potential resources to assist with management practice implementation, such as a Proposition 84 grant, and encouraged the ranchers to apply for project funding. The BRO agreed to meet Lahontan staff in May 2011 to continue discussion of improved management practices and monitoring.

4. ***Federal Bureau of Reclamation Stampede Dam - Safety of Dams Project - Alan Miller/Tobi Tyler***

Bureau of Reclamation staff met with State and Lahontan Water Board staff to discuss a proposed action to modify Stampede Dam as part of the Bureau's Dam Safety Program and to discuss associated Clean Water Act permitting, other permitting and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) issues. The Stampede Dam impounds waters of the Little Truckee River, a tributary to the Truckee River. Other permitting issues include consistency with

requirements associated with Lahontan Basin Plan discharge prohibitions applicable within the 100-year floodplain of the water body. The proposed project details are not public at this time but, based on scoping, the project may potentially occur on federal lands of the Bureau and United States Forest Service, with effects in both Sierra and Nevada Counties. State Water Board staff has made a determination that the project will not involve a change in water storage or diversion rights associated with the dam, and so the Lahontan Water Board will be the likely agency to act on any required water quality certification required by the Clean Water Act section 401. The Water Board will likely be CEQA Lead Agency for the project. It is our goal to perform a joint environmental analysis as the Bureau moves forward into its National Environmental Policy Act review.

5. ***Leviathan Mine Spring Treatment Update, Alpine County – Chuck Curtis***

The Lahontan Water Board's contractor has been successfully treating polluted water at the Leviathan Mine Superfund Site this spring to prevent ponds at the site from overflowing. The Water Board manages the site, a former sulfur mine, for the State of California, which owns the property. The site is located north of Monitor Pass at an elevation of approximately 7000 feet, between Markleeville, California and Topaz Lake, Nevada. Mining operations exposed sulfur in the rocks and mine wastes to air and water, and that caused the creation of sulfuric acid. The acid dissolves minerals, including arsenic, aluminum, nickel, and others, in the rock and waste materials. This metal-rich acidic wastewater is termed acid mine drainage, or AMD.

The State purchased the site in the early 1980s to build a pollution abatement project, as the owner at the time had no means to reduce the flow of AMD from discharging to Leviathan Creek. Without capture or treatment, the AMD created toxic conditions in the creek, effectively killing aquatic life as far

as about ten miles below the mine, where the creek joins the East Fork Carson River.

The State's pollution abatement project included construction of a series of evaporation ponds to collect two sources of the AMD discharge at the site. Starting in 1999, the Water Board's contractors have treated AMD in the ponds each summer to reduce pond overflows that happened in the spring following wet winters.

Due to heavy precipitation at the site this winter (160 percent of normal as of April 14, 2011), Water Board staff determined that the ponds would overflow this spring without emergency treatment actions. Water Board staff arranged an emergency contract to treat the AMD in the ponds to prevent an uncontrolled pond discharge.

On April 1st, the contractor cleared the ten-mile-long road to the site of snow, and, on April 5th, treatment operations at the site began. Those operations, in which lime is mixed with the water in the smallest of the ponds, have continued every day since. The lime neutralizes the acid, and the toxic metals in the AMD settle to the pond bottom.

Successful treatment and discharge of the first batch of pond water occurred on April 9th, and subsequent treatments resulted in batch discharges on April 14th and April 18th. More than one million gallons of AMD was treated and discharged to the creek in those three batches. Treatment operations will continue until the threat of pond overflow has passed. A significant precipitation event this spring could still overwhelm the ponds and our emergency treatment operations, but that possibility decreases with each day of treatment.

Doug Carey, Engineering Geologist with the Water Board's Leviathan Mine Unit, led a tour of the site for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Superfund project manager and U.S. Forest Service staff on April 13th. The USEPA was pleased with the actions that the Water Board has taken under difficult conditions to prevent pond overflow and its harmful effects on the creek and its aquatic life. Those difficult conditions have included snow storms and single digit night-time temperatures. The Forest Service acknowledged that snow removal was completed in compliance with its specifications, and no damage to the road base occurred as a result of snow removal activities; the Forest Service owns the Leviathan Mine Road that provides access to the site.

SOUTH BASIN

6. **Barstow Perchlorate Site Investigation Status Update** – Tim Post

The U.S. EPA conducted a site investigation at the former Poplar Street residence of the owner of Mojave Pyrotechnics Corporation during the week of March 21, 2011. The purpose of the investigation was to determine the extent of potassium perchlorate illegally discharged to land (dumped) on site. Limited soil sampling by U.S. EPA in December 2010 detected high concentrations of perchlorate in the soil just west of the residence, indicating the site is a potential source of perchlorate detected in the groundwater supply wells.

Field investigation methods used included ground penetrating radar and an electromagnetic survey. No evidence of buried containers was found.

Approximately 130 soil samples and 3 groundwater samples were collected using a Geoprobe® (which advances small 1.5-inch diameter tools into the subsurface for collecting one-time soil and groundwater samples).

During March and April 2011, Regional Board staff sampled an additional nine residential wells in the Poplar Street area. Perchlorate was not detected in the samples from the private wells and the analytical results were mailed to the residents.

U.S. EPA's analytical data from its March investigation should be available by the end of May 2011. The investigation results will be used to develop a strategy for further work at the Poplar Street site.

7. **The Affects of Hydromodification: An Example From Turner Wash, Victorville** – Jan Zimmerman

At the request of Water Board staff, the County of San Bernardino (County) organized a meeting with City of Victorville (City) engineering staff to inspect a portion of Turner Wash. The purpose of the meeting was to view and discuss the affects of hydromodification and the consequences of poorly planned development, using Turner Wash as an example.

Along this reach of the wash, the upstream portion is a highly modified, confined, concrete-lined channel that was constructed as part of a 160-acre residential tract development in 2005/2006. Stormwater and urban runoff from the tract is collected and discharged directly to the channel. Moving down stream the channel passes beneath a roadway through a double box culvert. Downstream of the box culvert, Turner Wash is characterized as a relatively natural, unconfined earthen channel. The transition from box culvert to earthen channel is abrupt and the increased peak flows due to upstream development are resulting in channel incision. In places, channel banks are near vertical and in excess of eight feet in height.

During the meeting, Water Board staff emphasized that projects must be evaluated for potential impacts both upstream and downstream, and that it is imperative that development proceed in a manner consistent with the design standards outlined in State Water Board Water Quality Order No. 2003-0005-DWQ, Storm Water Discharges From Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4), particularly by maintaining pre-

construction peak flow rates during the post-construction period. When this is not the case, often times the result is the need to engineer solutions to protect life and property downstream of a poorly planned development. For example, the County intends to mitigate for residential flooding in a small unincorporated community of Mountain View Acres, which we brought to you in the April 2011 Executive Officer's Report. Based on resident's input, the County's preferred alternative for the Mountain View Acres Project is the construction of an underground box culvert, which will discharge to Turner Wash. The County must design the project such that it will not exacerbate the degradation of the Turner Wash. Water Board staff found this meeting to be yet another opportunity to provide outreach to both County and City staff and to underscore the importance of working collaboratively to protect water quality.

8. **Status of Dairies** - *Ghasem Pour-ghasemi*

The Water Board is moving forward with the implementation of the May 2010 Dairy Strategy. A Cleanup and Abatement Order was issued to one of the dairies for the cleanup of manure stockpiles, and to develop, submit and implement a nutrient management plan (NMP). Stockpiles are reduced by more than 25 percent at this time and should be completely removed from the dairy by October. Also, the dairy has submitted its NMP.

The Water Board has issued 13267 Investigative Orders to four different dairies. The Orders require the dairies to sample for nitrate and total dissolved solids (TDS) at all residential wells around the dairies. The first round of sampling is completed and shows nitrate and TDS levels over the maximum contaminant level in some wells downgradient of these

dairies. Water Board staff has notified all residents of the sampling results for their wells. A final report, including results from the second round of required sampling done in late April is due from the dairy owners in June.

Water Board staff had another meeting with Dairymen, Western United Dairymen, The Mojave Desert Resource Conservation Service, and Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) 4 in April 2011 to discuss the importance of NMPS. The Water Board staff will be issuing Investigative Orders requiring all dairies to submit NMPs. The date for submittal of these NMPs will differ from one dairy to another and will be based on risks to water quality from existing practice on-site and availability of assistance from the NRCs. Therefore, it is possible that it could take another two years for all NMPs to be submitted. The Water Board staff will coordinate with NRCS to space the NMP required submittal dates in a manner that will allow NRCS adequate time to prepare NMPs for all dairies. NRCS will do NMP studies at no cost to the dairies.

9. **Riverside-Inyo-Mono-San Bernardino Counties Science Fair** – *Patrice Copeland and Brianna Bergen*

Water Board staff from our Victorville office volunteered to be judges for the Regional Inland Science and Engineering Fair held this year at the National Orange Show fairgrounds in San Bernardino on April 5, 2011. The annual competition is open to 4th through 12th grade students from Riverside, Inyo, Mono, and San Bernardino (RIMS) counties. About 832 participants and over 750 projects were judged during this year's science fair. Through their projects, the students expressed their creative abilities and knowledge and shared their scientific interests with other student participants.

Two first-place "gold" award winners from each category will be given an opportunity to compete at the state-wide level during the California State Science Fair, which will be held on May 2 and 3, 2011, in Los Angeles. Approximately 80 projects from the RIMS Inland Science and Engineering Fair will go on to compete at the state level. It is expected that about 950 participants from nearly 400 schools throughout the state will compete in that event.

Teams of three to six judges per category decided on two "gold" first place awards and five "silver" second place awards, with the remaining entries given "bronze" awards for participation. Patrice Copeland and Brianna Bergen of the S. Basin Land Disposal Unit helped in judging projects in the earth and environmental sciences category and critiqued the projects on the basis of use of the scientific method, organization and completeness, comprehension, clarity of presentation, effort and motivation, and originality. Ms. Copeland is also a member of Inland Geological Society (IGS), a local professional group, which awarded \$100 savings bonds to students for projects that exhibited outstanding geologic or water quality related work in grades 6 through 12. The following project received a first place award and the team of students received savings bonds from IGS: *Trilobite Leftovers: Mortality or Moulting?* (Junior Division). The second place award winner in the Junior Division was also given a savings bond from IGS members: *Grout vs. Graded Filter Method to Repair Cover Collapse Sinkholes in Karst Areas*. The Senior Division first place award went to *Lichen: The Next Pollution Indicator?* Several certificates of merit from IGS were given to other deserving projects in the Elementary, Junior, and Senior Divisions.

The Inland Science and Engineering Fair is an excellent forum for outreach and building partnerships to increase public awareness of water quality issues while encouraging youth to investigate potential environmental and water quality problems. In addition, these programs also allow students to interact with real scientists, ask questions, and get advice regarding how their projects may be improved – now and in the future, as many of them return next year to the science fair or continue on in their studies.

10. **County Sanitation District No. 14 of Los Angeles County (District), Lancaster Water Reclamation Plant, Los Angeles County – Mike Coony**

The Water Board adopted a Cease and Desist Order (CDO) in October 2004 and an Amended CDO in November 2007. A final compliance date of November 1, 2010 is included in the Amended CDO requiring the District to eliminate the effluent induced overflows from Piute (sometimes spelled as Paiute) Ponds to Rosamond Dry Lake. The District awarded two construction contracts for facilities needed to achieve final compliance, one for four lined storage reservoirs and the other for a tertiary treatment plant with nitrogen removal and a treatment capacity of 18 million gallons per day.

The District's January 2011 construction schedule shows a project completion date of August 30, 2011, which is 10 months later than the CDO compliance date of November 1, 2010. The District claims these delays were unavoidable due to unforeseen conditions and equipment delivery delays.

The CDO defines induced overflows as uncontrolled Piute Pond releases that would not occur if the ponds did not contain

Lancaster facility effluent. Rosamond Dry Lake, with an estimated area of 150 square miles, is located on the Edward Air Force Reservation (Air Force). The Air Force occasionally uses part of the dry lake for flight operations. Induced overflows condition causes a nuisance because the Air Force cannot freely use the dry lake for flight operations. This nuisance is the basis for the CDO and the amended CDO.

In November 2010, the Air Force requested the District to initiate releases from Piute Ponds for habitat management in portions of the dry lake. These releases are controlled in a manner to keep water away from the area of the dry lake used for flight operations. Thus, these controlled releases do not meet the definition of induced overflows and thereby effluent induced overflows have not occurred after the final compliance date of November 1, 2010. Therefore, Water Board staff has not recommended further enforcement action for project construction delays. The CDO also includes interim standards requiring the District to divert specific amounts of effluent that would otherwise be discharged into Piute Ponds. The District is in compliance with the diversion interim standards. A table showing the status of compliance is included at the end of this report.

11. **County Sanitation District No. 20 of Los Angeles County (District), Palmdale Water Reclamation Plant, Los Angeles County** – Mike Coony and Linda Stone

Cease and Desist Order

The Water Board adopted a Cease and Desist Order (CDO) in October 2004 and an Amended CDO for the District in November, 2007. The Amended CDO requires the District to achieve final compliance with Waste Discharge Requirements by June 18, 2010 by halting

discharges of nitrogen to groundwater that create a condition of pollution or that are in violation of Basin Plan water quality objectives.

To achieve compliance, the District expanded its agricultural reuse area in 2005 and 2006, and completed synthetic-lined storage reservoirs in December 2009. During the low crop agronomic demand period in early 2010, the Discharger diverted plant effluent to the storage reservoirs. During the high crop agronomic demand period of spring and summer 2010, the Discharger applied a combination of plant effluent and stored reservoir effluent to the agricultural reuse site. In this manner, the District achieved effluent application at crop agronomic needs in 2010. Water Board staff recommends rescission of the CDO and the amended CDO.

The District is in the final stages of constructing a new activated-sludge tertiary treatment plant (with nitrogen removal) at the existing treatment plant site. The District expects the new plant to be fully operational on November 4, 2011.

Cleanup and Abatement Order

The District is continuing work on achieving complete compliance with Cleanup and Abatement Order (CAO) issued for discharges of nitrogen to groundwater. The CAO requires the District to delineate groundwater nitrate contamination, develop a remediation plan, implement a remedial action plan, and reduce the amount of nitrate reaching groundwater. The District recently submitted Containment and Remediation Plan Supplement No. 4, which included an updated mathematical modeling and analysis plan of cleanup alternatives. Based on the model, areas of groundwater with nitrate (as N) concentrations exceeding 10 mg/L are

predicted to decrease and disappear in each alternative. Areas containing concentrations of nitrate (as N) exceeding 5 to 6 mg/L remain at the end of the 55-year simulation period, for all alternatives including the Aggressive Remediation Alternative. The concentrations and extent of nitrate in groundwater are predicted to decrease relatively slowly during the last 20 years of the simulated period for all four alternatives.

Status of Task Completions

A table showing the status of compliance is included at the end of this report.

12. Silverwood Lake Fish Tissue Study - Thomas Suk

In June of 2010, the Water Boards' Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP) published results of a state-wide survey of fish from California lakes and reservoirs. That survey identified two reservoirs in the Lahontan Region from which fish fillet tissue exceeded "No Consumption" criteria adopted by OEHHA: Silverwood Lake and Little Rock Reservoir (Los Angeles County). Largemouth bass from both reservoirs exceeded OEHHA's "No Consumption" criterion for mercury; those fish from Silverwood Lake also exceeded OEHHA's "No Consumption" criterion for PCBs. The source(s) of mercury and PCBs are not known.

The 2010 state-wide survey was only a "screening" study; it did not capture

sufficient numbers of fish, or enough species of fish, for OEHHA to develop detailed consumption guidance. Water Board Staff has finalized a workplan and contract for fish sampling at Silverwood Lake (San Bernardino County), and field collections are underway. The purpose of the study is to gather sufficient numbers, sizes, and species of sport fish so the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) can develop "safe eating guidelines" for Silverwood Lake. This study utilizes SWAMP funds to pay for additional field collections at Silverwood Lake, and laboratory analyses of fish fillet tissue for mercury and PCBs. The results will be provided to OEHHA so it can develop consumption guidelines, which will then be communicated to the public (using formats similar to the guidance developed recently for Donner Lake). Silverwood Lake was identified as our region's highest priority for follow-up because of the multiple contaminants, and also because the 2010 screening survey documented concentrations of PCBs in fish from Silverwood Lake to be among the highest in the state.

Water Board Staff currently estimates that it will take approximately 6-12 months to complete the project (i.e., field collections, laboratory analyses, and quality assurance checks by SWAMP staff, followed by data analyses and guidance development by OEHHA). A similar follow-up study will be planned for Little Rock Reservoir in the future, as funds become available.

STATUS OF 2009 TRIENNIAL REVIEW PRIORITIES FOR BASIN PLANNING ACTIVITIES

Topic No.	Topic	2009 Description and Estimated Completion Date	Status in April 2011
0	Complete Lake Tahoe TMDL and associated amendments to Chapter 5.	Ongoing work that will use TMDL program rather than Basin Planning program resources.	The TMDL was adopted in November 2010 and will be considered for approval by the State Water Board on April 19, 2011. If the State Water Board approves the TMDL, further approvals by the California Office of Administrative Law and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) will be necessary.
1	Complete amendments to the water quality objective for pesticides	Ongoing work (in FY 09-10 workplan). The estimated completion date was May 2010.	Public draft Basin Plan amendments and supporting documents were released in March 2011. Hearings to receive public testimony on the amendments and Substitute Environmental Document (SED) are scheduled for the April and May 2011 Water Board meetings, and a 45-day written comment period will end on May 13. Water Board action on the amendments and SED will be scheduled for a later meeting.
2	Complete amendments to plan provisions affecting the shorezone of Lake Tahoe	Ongoing work (in FY 09-10 workplan). The estimated completion date was July 2010.	Lahontan Water Board staff conducted the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) scoping process for this project in November and December 2009. A federal court decision in 2010 invalidated TRPA's 2008 revisions to its shorezone ordinances. TRPA has appealed the decision. Further work on the shorezone Basin Plan amendments has been suspended pending final resolution of the litigation.

STATUS OF 2009 TRIENNIAL REVIEW PRIORITIES FOR BASIN PLANNING ACTIVITIES

Topic No.	Topic	2009 Description and Estimated Completion Date	Status in April 2011
3	Complete Tahoe/Truckee prohibition/forestry amendments	Ongoing work (in FY 09-10 workplan). Revise exemption criteria for 100-year floodplain waste discharge prohibitions in the Lake Tahoe and Truckee River watersheds to be consistent and to clarify application of exemption criteria to forest fuel reduction activities. Update Chapter 4 and 5 discussions on timber harvest and vegetation management. The estimated completion date was October 2010.	The scope of this project has been expanded to include update of waste discharge prohibitions and exemption criteria for the entire Lahontan Region. The tentative schedule calls for CEQA scoping in the summer of 2011, release of public drafts in the fall, and Water Board action in early 2012.
4	Complete Chapter 5 amendments to incorporate Tahoe Regional Planning Agency's (TRPA's) new 20-year Regional Plan	Ongoing assistance to TRPA staff to ensure that TRPA Regional Plan is consistent with the Lake Tahoe TMDL. Additional water quality programs and implementation measures will be incorporated into Chapter 5 of the Basin Plan following TRPA's adoption of its Regional Plan. The estimated completion date was early 2012.	TRPA now plans to complete an EIS for a focused group of plan update recommendations by the end of 2011 so that "policy" changes for these recommendations may be adopted in 2012. It is not clear whether TRPA expects to update its detailed Code of Ordinances at the same time. The Chapter 5 Basin Plan amendments will be deferred until the TRPA Regional Plan update is complete

STATUS OF 2009 TRIENNIAL REVIEW PRIORITIES FOR BASIN PLANNING ACTIVITIES

Topic No.	Topic	2009 Description and Estimated Completion Date	Status in April 2011
5	Revise water quality objectives for Mojave River	Initial effort to gather information from Mojave Water Agency and other entities. Prepare workplan and resource estimate to complete Basin Plan amendment to revise objectives. The estimated completion date was June 2012.	A 2010 report by consultants to the Victor Valley Wastewater Reclamation Authority (VWVRA) suggests that Basin Plan amendments to revise water quality objectives are unnecessary for the majority of constituents sampled at 7 stations in the Mojave River. A literature review by Water Board staff showed that currently available surface water data for the Mojave River and its tributaries are inadequate to serve as the basis for updated objectives.
6	Modify waste discharge prohibitions to protect additional prime groundwater recharge areas of arid basins	Initial effort during this Triennial Review cycle. Prepare scope, workplan and resource estimate to complete basin plan amendment. The estimated completion date was June 2012.	No work on this topic has been done to date. The need for these amendments should be clarified upon completion of ongoing work by stakeholders on salt/nutrient management plans and Integrated Regional Water Management Plans for specific watersheds. Funding sources other than Basin Planning are being used for Water Board staff participation in these stakeholder planning efforts.
7	Revise bacteria objectives	Initial effort includes managing contract to collect data and compare fecal coliform bacteria levels to E. coli levels in waters of the Lahontan Region. and reviewing proposed State Water Board and USEPA criteria. Basin Plan amendment (post-2013 at the conclusion of a Proposition 84 grant study) will incorporate the State Water Board's bacteria policy when final and consider revisions to the Lahontan Region's bacteria-related objectives. The estimated completion date was June 2013.	The State Water Board has not yet released a public draft of its proposed bacteria policy. The grant funded study of bacteria in waters of the Lahontan Region is ongoing. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has recognized the Water Board's Bridgeport Valley grazing waiver as an alternative regulatory program that avoids the needs for TMDLs for five stream segments that are on the Clean Water Section 303(d) list for pathogens.

STATUS OF 2009 TRIENNIAL REVIEW PRIORITIES FOR BASIN PLANNING ACTIVITIES

Topic No.	Topic	2009 Description and Estimated Completion Date	Status in April 2011
8	Miscellaneous work that will not directly result in Basin Plan amendments	Work includes coordination with other states, agencies, tribes and TRPA regarding standards revisions, contract management for plan-related work, staff training, administrative staff updates of electronic plan, coordination with State Water Board Division of Water Rights and water purveyors in Squaw Valley, Placer County regarding ground water management issues, work with third parties on nutrient and salt management plans developed under State Water Board's Recycled Water Policy, etc.	Miscellaneous planning-related work, including coordination with stakeholders involved with aquatic invasive species is ongoing.
9	Update of entire Basin Plan	Update of the plan to improve its usability for staff and the public. Revisions will address new and revised State Water Board plans and policies, California Toxics Rule standards, Nonpoint Source Plan, waiver and enforcement provisions, Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program, Watershed Management Initiative, revised maps, a revised beneficial use table reflecting the CalWater watershed numbering system, etc. Salt/nutrient management plans completed in response to the State Water Board's recycled water policy may be incorporated into the Basin Plan as part of this project if they are available before public drafts are completed. Estimated completion date was Spring 2012.	Work on this topic has been delayed due to resource limitations and other planning priorities. Staff maintains a list of needed editorial and regulatory plan changes on an ongoing basis. Some of these changes (e.g., updated references to the current Water Code waiver provisions, the State Water Board Nonpoint Source Plan, NPDES compliance schedules, and the California Toxics Rule) will be proposed as part of the prohibition amendments (Topic No. 3).

STATUS OF 2009 TRIENNIAL REVIEW PRIORITIES FOR BASIN PLANNING ACTIVITIES

Topic No.	Topic	2009 Description and Estimated Completion Date	Status in April 2011
10	Remove MUN use from Eastern Indian Wells Valley and Salt Wells Valley Basins	This project was requested in comments from the China Lake. Naval Air Weapons Center. Staff will rely upon the Navy to provide adequate information and data to justify the amendments. Depending on the availability of data the project may or may not be completed within the next 3 years. The estimated completion date was "after 2012".	Water Board staff informed U.S. Navy staff about the Basin Planning process and the supporting information and data that would be necessary to justify removal of the uses. In discussions in 2010 the U.S. Navy indicated that it is no longer interested in pursuing these amendments.
11	2009 and 2012 Triennial Review	Resources are needed to develop a draft priority list and related documents, respond to public comments, and prepare agenda materials and administrative records.	The administrative record for the 2009 Triennial Review process was completed and submitted to the State Water Board in early 2010. State Water Board approval is not required for Triennial Reviews. Work on the 2012 Triennial Review is expected to begin during the next fiscal year.
12	Program Manager	Program manager participates in State/Regional Board roundtable meetings, aids in workplan development, provides information to the public, etc.	The Program Manager's duties are ongoing.

SCHEDULE OF TASKS
LANCASTER WATER RECLAMATION PLANT (LWRP)
COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 14 OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
(DISTRICT)

PERFORMANCE TASK	DUE DATE	STATUS
Required by Waste Discharge Requirements		
Board Order R6V 2002-053		
Board Order R6V 2002-053A1 (Adopted 7/13/2005)		
Nuisance Condition		
II.B.4. - Complete project to eliminate nuisance condition created by effluent induced overflow from Piute Ponds to Rosamond Dry Lake	August 25, 2005	(Extended under Cease and Desist Order R6V-2004-0038A1)
Required by: Waste Discharge Requirements		
Board Order R6V 2002-053A2 (Adopted 3/14/2007)		
Engineering Reports (Tertiary Treatment Plants)		
II.B.1. – Acceptance of engineering report for 18-mgd tertiary treatment plant by Executive Officer.	Before discharging from plant	
II.B.2. – Acceptance of engineering report for MBR tertiary treatment plant with UV disinfection by Executive Officer.	Before discharging from UV system	Issued July 9, 2009
Farm Management Plan (Agricultural Site)		
II.C.1. – Submit farm management plan for Fields 7 & 8, and 11 – 20	Submit report nine months before irrigation in fields	Met
Vadose Zone Monitoring (Agricultural Site)		
II.D.1. – Submit vadose zone monitoring plan (if an alternate plan is proposed) for Fields 1 - 6, 9 & 10	June 14, 2007	Met
II.D.1. – Implement vadose zone monitoring plan for Fields 1 - 6, 9 & 10	March 14, 2008	Met
I.H.3. (MRP) – Submit vadose zone monitoring plan for Fields 7 & 8 and 11 – 20	One year before irrigation	Met
Groundwater Monitoring (Agricultural Site)		
II.E.1. – Complete groundwater sampling for data needed to calculate existing water quality for Fields 1 through 8	June 30, 2007	Met
II.E.1. - Submit results of calculations for determining existing water quality for Fields 1 through 8	October 30, 2007	Met
II.E.2.a. - Submit workplan for installing additional monitoring wells for Fields 9 through 12	April 20, 2007	Met
II.E.2.a. - Complete installation of additional monitoring wells for Fields 9 through 12	June 15, 2007	Met
II.E.2.b. – Complete groundwater sampling for data needed to calculate existing water quality for Fields 9 through 12	September 30, 2007	Met

PERFORMANCE TASK	DUE DATE	STATUS
II.E.2.b. - Submit results of calculations for determining existing water quality for Fields 9 through 12	January 30, 2008	Met
II.E.3.a. - Submit workplan for installing additional monitoring wells for Fields 13 through 20	Submit report one year before irrigation in fields	Met
II.E.3.b. - Submit results of calculations for determining existing water quality for Fields 13 through 20	Complete before irrigation in fields	Met (Submitted on Mar 29, 2011)
Abandoned Wells (Agricultural Site)		
II.F. – Submit report demonstrating that destruction of abandoned wells have been completed for Fields 13 – 20	Submit report three months before irrigation in fields	Met (Submitted Feb 7, 2011)
Run On and Run Off Controls (Agricultural Site)		
II.G.1. – Submit report demonstrating that run on and/or run off controls have been implemented for Fields 1 - 6	Submit report one month before irrigation in fields	Met
II.G.1. – Submit report demonstrating that run on and/or run off controls have been implemented for Fields 7 - 20	Submit report one month before irrigation in fields	Submitted report for Fields 11 and 12
Required by: Waste Discharge Requirements Board Order R6V 2006-0051		
II.A. - Submit workplan for installing additional monitoring wells for the proposed storage reservoirs	April 9, 2007	Met (Submitted 16 days late)
II.B.1 - Submit the final design for the proposed storage reservoirs	Before constructing the reservoirs	Met
II.B.2 - Submit a construction QA/QC program for the proposed storage reservoirs	Before constructing the reservoirs	Met
II.B.3 - Submit certification that proposed reservoirs were constructed as proposed	Before use of the reservoirs	Met (Submitted Apr 13, 2011)
Required by: Cease and Desist Orders Board Order R6V-2004-0038 Board Order R6V-2004-0038A1 (Adopted 11/29/2007)		
I.A. – Divert 24 MG of effluent and discharge to an alternative legal disposal point (e.g., Apollo Park) other than Piute Ponds (Note: Contained in R6V-2004-0038. Not rescinded.)	Between December 1, 2004 and Mar 31, 2005	Less than 24 MG diverted
II.A. – Divert 192 MG of effluent that would otherwise be discharged to Piute Ponds and dispose of this volume at an alternative legal point of disposal.	Between April 1 and October 31 of each year	Met. In 2008, diverted 274 MG. In 2009, diverted 242 MG.

PERFORMANCE TASK	DUE DATE	STATUS
II.B. – Divert the effluent volume (calculated as specified in CDO) that would otherwise be discharged to Piute Ponds and dispose of this volume at an alternative legal point of disposal. Calculated volume equals 156 MG minus an adjustment if there is above-average rainfall.	Between November 1 and March 31 of the following year	Met in 2007-08, 2008-09, and 2009-10.
III. – Eliminate the effluent-induced overflows from Piute Ponds to Rosamond Dry Lake	November 1, 2010	Not met. Projected completion date is Fall 2011
V. – Submit quarterly status reports until final compliance achieved	February 1, May 1, August 1, and November 1	Ongoing

SCHEDULE OF TASKS
PALMDALE WATER RECLAMATION PLANT (PWRP)
COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 20 OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
(DISTRICT)

PERFORMANCE TASK	DUE DATE	STATUS
Required by Cease and Desist Orders R6V-2004-039 and R6V-2004-039-A01		
II. Interim Corrective Measures — Limit Excess Nitrogen at the Effluent Management Site:		
» In 2007, the limit was 125.4 tons	Feb 1, 2008	Met. District released 91.1 tons.
» In 2008, the limit was 129.2 tons	Feb 1, 2009	Met. District released 100 tons.
» In 2009, the limit was 135.7 tons	Feb 1, 2010	Met. District released 84 tons.
» In 2010, the limit was 148.1 tons	Feb 1, 2011	Met. District released 53 tons.
III. Achieve final compliance	June 18, 2010	In 2010, District diverted effluent to impoundments during low crop demand periods and used stored effluent and plant effluent during high crop demand periods. They thus achieved agronomic rates in 2010.
» Irrigate crops at the Effluent Management Site during the 2010 summer season that do not exceed the water or agronomic rates; and		
» completing storage impoundments, force man, and pump station facilities		
V.A. Submit quarterly status report	Feb 1, 2011	Met.
» Reports must include analysis towards completing facilities		
» Report must include an Excess Nitrogen statement for 2009		

PERFORMANCE TASK	DUE DATE	STATUS
V.B. Complete treatment plant construction » Based on Finding 4 of amended CDO R6V-2004-0039-A01 » District's proposal in amended CDO was July 25, 2011 » Independent Engineer's estimate was between Dec 25, 2010 and Nov 25, 2011.	Nov 25, 2011	Expected to meet.
Required by Cleanup and Abatement Order R6V 2003-056		
Plume Delineation		
1.1.1 – Submit a plan to delineate the nitrate plume to background levels	Feb 16, 2004	Met
1.1.2 – Complete plume delineation	Aug 15, 2004	Met
Plume Containment		
1.2.2 - Submit a final plan (including extraction well locations and pumping rates) and time schedule for containing the plume	Sept 15, 2004	Met
1.2.3 – Achieve plume containment	Sept 30, 2005	Not met
Plume Remediation		
1.3.1 - Submit a plan describing the proposed plume remediation describing how ground water will be restored to background or propose alternative cleanup levels pursuant to SWRCB Resolution 92-49	Sept 15, 2004	Not met - In progress
1.3.2 – Implement the proposed plan for ground water extraction and agricultural irrigation (or an equally acceptable alternative)	Sept 15, 2005	Not met — In progress
Abatement		
2.1 – Submit a plan describing proposed abatement actions	March 31, 2004	Met
Reporting		
3.2 – Submit quarterly status reports until remediation is complete including actions completed in the last three months and expected in the next three months report	February 1, May 1, August 1, and November 1	Ongoing
Required by: Monitoring and Reporting Program No. R6V-2011-0012		
Provide revisions to Sample and Analysis Plan at least 30 days before implementation	When revised	Met
II.B.5 – Submit an Annual Cropping Plan	Nov 15 of each year	Ongoing
II.B.1 – Submit monthly monitoring reports for - Flow Monitoring	15 th working day of the	Ongoing

PERFORMANCE TASK	DUE DATE	STATUS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Influent Monitoring Report - Effluent Monitoring Report - Operation and Maintenance Report - Recycled Water Treatment and Use Report 	second month following each monthly monitoring period	
<p>II.B.3 – Submit quarterly reports for</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Groundwater Monitoring Report - Groundwater Extraction Operations Report - Agricultural Site Monitoring Report - Agricultural Vadose Zone Monitoring Report - Agricultural Site Monitoring, Operations, and Chemical Use Monitoring Report - Chemical Use Monitoring Report - Storage Reservoir Site Vadose Zone Monitoring Report - Biosolids Storage and Disposal Report 	15 th working day of the second month following each quarterly monitoring period	Ongoing
<p>II.B.4. – Submit annual reports for</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Treatment plant - Groundwater monitoring 	March 1 st of each year	Ongoing
Required by Resolution No. R6V-2005-0010		
A. - Discharger should initiate cleanup project to reduce nitrate concentrations in groundwater to less than 10 mg/L as N, as soon as possible	As soon as possible	In progress
B. - Discharger should submit an evaluation for additional options for remediation of groundwater after the 10 mg/L as N level is achieved. Focus should be on less than 2 mg/L as N (background), which will be used to establish the final cleanup standard	Apr 13, 2006	Not met — further analysis on-going

ENCLOSURE 3

Notification of Spills (Unauthorized Waste Discharges)

EO'S Monthly Report
03/16/11 - 04/15/11
Unauthorized Waste Discharges

COUNTY: LOS ANGELES

Discharger/Facility	Location	Basin	Regulated Facility	Substance Discharged	Spill Date	Discharge Volume	Description of Failure	Discharge To	Status
Lockheed Martin / Lockheed Martin	Building 651, Palmdale	S	N	Chemical Processing Waste	3/16/2011	500 Gallons	Timer on mist eliminator stuck and caused an overflow from a tank. Approximately 7,500 gallons of water and 500 gallons of chemical processing waste ran over concrete to a catch basin, which then flowed to a perforated pipe where the waste percolated to ground.	Ground	Spill stopped and timer repaired. Soil sampling requested to determine extent of contamination. Further action pending sampling results.

COUNTY: SAN BERNARDINO

Discharger/Facility	Location	Basin	Regulated Facility	Substance Discharged	Spill Date	Discharge Volume	Description of Failure	Discharge To	Status
San Bernardino County / Heaps Peak Landfill	Overflow pond at base of landfill slope	S	Y	Landfill Leachate	3/15/2011	70,000 Gallons	Leachate overflowed concrete pond and into surface water. The cause is under investigation.	Shake Creek	System shut down and isolated overflow pond. Sampling of creek conducted by Discharger. Pending results of spill report, a Notice of Violation will be issued.
Molycorp Minerals / Mountain Pass Mine	Specialty Plant	S	Y	Industrial Process Solution	3/21/2011	600 Gallons	A break at an elbow in a wastewater pipeline caused a discharge of cerum 96 solution, which has a pH of 13.	Ground	Pipeline repaired. Soil removal and confirmation cleanup work complete. No further action recommended.
VVWRA / VVWRA	Discharge Point 001 to Mojave River, Victorville	S	Y	Treated Wastewater	3/23/2011	417 Gallons	Due to an operator error, the power was removed from the dechlorination system, allowing tertiary treated wastewater with high pH to flow into the Mojave River. The pH level was approximately 8.7, which exceeds the allowable limit of 8.5 pH units.	Mojave River	The power has been restored and the system is operating. No further action recommended.

05-00025

ENCLOSURE 4

Notification of Closure of Underground Storage Tank Cases

**Summary of
No Further Action Required Letters Issued
March 16-April 15, 2011
MAY 2011 EO Report**

State of California
Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board

Date Closure Issued	Site Name	Site Address	Case Number	Case Type	Groundwater Concentrations above Water Quality Objectives (in ug/L) [date sampled]	Residual Soil Concentrations (in mg/Kg) [date sampled]	Distance from Site to Nearest Receptor	Remedial Methods Used	Comments
March 30, 2011	Former Homewood Import Repair Facility	5395 West Lake Boulevard, Homewood	6T0172A	UST	677 TPHg [July 2010]	720 TPHg [October 2002]	Lake Tahoe is ~350' to the east (down-gradient)	Excavation, Soil Vapor Extraction, Groundwater Extraction, Ozone Sparging	Limited contaminant mass remains following remedial actions. Groundwater contaminant concentrations are anticipated to reach background within a reasonable amount of time.
March 30, 2011	Obexer Market	5340 West Lake Boulevard, Homewood	6T0286A	UST	NA	ND [June 1998]	Lake Tahoe is ~120' to the east (down-gradient)	Excavation, Soil Vapor Extraction, Groundwater Extraction, Ozone Sparging	Remedial actions were successful in removing detectable contamination.
March 30, 2011	Obexer Abandoned Service Station	E. West Lake Boulevard (APN 97-154-001), Homewood	6T0343A	UST	NA	ND [August 2002]	Lake Tahoe is ~150' to the east (down-gradient)	Excavation, Soil Vapor Extraction, Groundwater Extraction, Ozone Sparging	Remedial actions were successful in removing detectable contamination.
March 30, 2011	Obexer Marina	5300 West Lake Boulevard, Homewood	6T0344A	UST	433 TPHg [July 2010]	27 TPHg [August 2002]	Lake Tahoe is ~200' to the east (down-gradient)	Excavation, Soil Vapor Extraction, Groundwater Extraction, Ozone Sparging	Limited contaminant mass remains following remedial actions. Groundwater contaminant concentrations are anticipated to reach background within a reasonable amount of time.
April 1, 2011	Ft Irwin - Building 612	Ft Irwin San Bernardino County	T10000002476	MUST	NT	ND [October 2009]	Active well I-7 ~ 1,000 ft	Tank Removed - no further remediation	1 - 400 gal mobile AST removed
April 1, 2011	Ft Irwin - Building 367	Ft Irwin San Bernardino County	T10000002476	MUST	NT	7.9 TPHd 78 TPHm [October 2009]	Active well I-7 ~ 1,000 ft	Tank Removed - no further remediation	1 - 400 gal mobile AST removed
April 1, 2011	Ft Irwin - Building 646	Ft Irwin San Bernardino County	T10000002468	MUST	NT	ND [October 2009]	Active well I-7 ~ 2,500 ft	Tanks Removed - no further remediation	1 - 600 gal AST removed 1 - 10,000 gal UST removed
April 1, 2011	Ft Irwin - Building 614	Ft Irwin San Bernardino County	T10000002471	MUST	NT	ND [October 2009]	Active well I-7 ~ 1,000 ft	Tank Removed - no further remediation	1 - 400 gal mobile AST removed
April 1, 2011	Ft Irwin - Building 681	Ft Irwin San Bernardino County	T0607100846	MUST	NT	6.7 TPHd 30 TPHm [October 2009]	Active well I-7 ~ 1,500 ft	Units Removed - no further remediation	Following units removed 3 - 600 gal ASTs 1 - 4,000 gal UST & piping 1 - 1,200 gal OWS 1 - 1,000 gal Sump
April 1, 2011	Ft Irwin - Building 626	Ft Irwin San Bernardino County	T10000002470	MUST	NT	25 TPHd 180 TPHm [October 2009]	Active well I-7 ~ 1,750 ft	Tank Removed - no further remediation	1 - 600 gal AST removed
April 1, 2011	Ft Irwin - Building 639	Ft Irwin San Bernardino County	T10000002469	MUST	NT	6.2 TPHd [October 2009]	Active well I-7 ~ 2,250 ft	Tanks Removed - no further remediation	3 - 400 gal ASTs removed
April 1, 2011	Ft Irwin - FTIR-13 (Building 703)	Ft Irwin San Bernardino County	DOD100180700	Military Cleanup	NT	ND [October 2009]	No wells within 4,000 ft	No Further Remediation	Hazardous Waste Storage Facility upgraded

Notes:

TPHg - Total petroleum hydrocarbons quantified as gasoline
 TPHd - Total petroleum hydrocarbons quantified as diesel
 TPHm - Total petroleum hydrocarbons quantified as motor oil
 ug/L - micrograms per liter
 mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram
 ND- Not Detected
 NA-Not Applicable
 NT- Not Tested
 Receptor- surface water, private drinking water wells and municipal supply wells, etc.
 UST-Underground Storage Tank
 MUST- Military Underground Storage Tank
 SCP-Site Cleanup Program
 DoD- Department of Defense
 NFAR- No Further Action Required
 ~ - Approximately

05-00027

ENCLOSURE 5

Quarterly Violations Report

(January 1, 2011 – March 31, 2011)

Quarterly Violations Report January 1, 2011 - March 31, 2011

Priority	Agency	Facility	Violation ID	Violation Type	Violation Program	Date Occured	Violation Description	Comments	Corrective Action	Enforcement Action	County
1	Barstow City	Barstow WTF Mojave River Bed	892174	Water Quality -> Receiving Water -> Groundwater	NON15	1/11/2011	Exceeded MCL for Nitrate as Nitrogen MCL (10 mg/L) in multiple wells. Violates Board Order No. 6-94-26, WDR Req. I.B.5.	Well 3-4 [16 mg/L], Well 6 [13 mg/L], Well 7-1 [19 mg/L], and Well 7-2 [20 mg/L]		13267 Letter Clean-up and Abatement Order	San Bernardino
1	Barstow City	BARSTOW WTF MOJAVE RIVER BED	893745	Water Quality -> Receiving Water -> Groundwater	NON15	2/9/2011	Exceeded MCL for Nitrate as Nitrogen MCL (10 mg/L) in multiple wells. Violates Board Order No. 6-94-26, WDR Req. I.B.5.	Well 3-4 [14 mg/L] and Well 7-1 [18 mg/L]		13267 Letter Clean-up and Abatement Order	San Bernardino
1	Barstow City	BARSTOW WTF MOJAVE RIVER BED	896702	Water Quality -> Receiving Water -> Groundwater	NON15	3/14/2011	Exceeded MCL for Nitrate as Nitrogen MCL (10 mg/L) in multiple wells. Violates Board Order No. 6-94-26, WDR Req. I.B.5.	Well 2-3 [12 mg/L], Well 3-4 [12 mg/L] and Well 7-1 [19 mg/L]		13267 Letter Clean-up and Abatement Order	San Bernardino
1	Dutch Dairy-Mike Devries	Dutch Dairy	889575	Water Quality -> Receiving Water -> Groundwater	NON15	1/7/2011	Exceeded MCLs for Nitrate (NO3) (45 mg/L) and TDS (1000 mg/L) in multiple groundwater monitoring wells. Violates Board Order No. 6-95-02, WDR Req., I.B.5.	Nitrate (NO3): MW1- [87 mg/L], MW2- [190 mg/L], MW3- [310 mg/L],,TDS: MW1- [1900 mg/L], MW2- [2900 mg/L], MW3- [3500 mg/L],,,(Source: 1st SA 2010)			San Bernardino
1	Sierra Truck & Auto No 3	Sierra Truck & Auto No 3	S843946	SW - Unauthorized NSWD	INDSTW	3/8/2011	Discharge of wastes and fill in two washes on site. Vehicles, vehicle parts, batteries, motor oil containers, florescent light bulb, electronic components, and stock piles of soil were observed in two washes on site. Fill redirected flows from washes. Grade and channel of wash were altered at boundary between property and roadway. Extensive erosion was evident. Some vehicles had been partially buried from deposition in wash.			Notice of Violation Staff Enforcement Letter Verbal Communication	San Bernardino
1	Sierra Truck & Auto No 3	Sierra Truck & Auto No 3	S844074	SW - Failure to Obtain Permit	INDSTW	3/8/2011	Fill of wash without Dredge and Fill permit.			Notice of Violation Staff Enforcement Letter Verbal Communication	San Bernardino
1	Susanville Consol SD	SUSANVILLE CSD	894191	Water Quality -> Effluent -> OEV	NPDES	1/4/2011	Total Coliform 7-Day Median limit is 23 MPN/100 mL and reported value was 80 MPN/100 mL.	Sampled at EFF-002. Violated Board Order No. R6T-2008-002, Req. IV.A.2	Discharger intends to upgrade the disinfection filtration system facility in summer 2011.		Lassen

05-00029

**Quarterly Violations Report
January 1, 2011 - March 31, 2011**

Priority	Agency	Facility	Violation ID	Violation Type	Violation Program	Date Occured	Violation Description	Comments	Corrective Action	Enforcement Action	County
1	Susanville Consol SD	SUSANVILLE CSD	894197	Water Quality -> Effluent -> CAT1	NPDES	1/6/2011	Total Suspended Solids (TSS) Weekly Average (Mean) limit is 45 mg/L and reported value was 73 mg/L.	Limit at EFF-001. Violates Board Order No. R6T-2008-022, Req IV.A.1.c.	Discharger intends to upgrade the disinfection filtration system facility in summer 2011.		Lassen
1	Susanville Consol SD	SUSANVILLE CSD	894199	Water Quality -> Effluent -> CAT1	NPDES	1/13/2011	Weekly Average (Mean) limit is 45.0 mg/L and reported value was 54 mg/L.	Limit at EFF-001. Violates Board Order No. R6T-2008-022, Req IV.A.1.c.	Discharger intends to upgrade the disinfection filtration system facility in summer 2011.		Lassen
1	Susanville Consol SD	SUSANVILLE CSD	894200	Water Quality -> Effluent -> CAT1	NPDES	1/31/2011	Total Suspended Solids (TSS) Monthly Average (Mean) limit is 30 mg/L and reported value was 44.8 mg/L.	Limit at EFF-001. Violates Board Order No. R6T-2008-022, Req IV.A.1.c.	Discharger intends to upgrade the disinfection filtration system facility in summer 2011.		Lassen
1	Susanville Consol SD	SUSANVILLE CSD	894202	Water Quality -> Effluent -> CAT1	NPDES	1/31/2011	Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Percent Removal Monthly Average (Mean) limit is 85 % and reported value was 74 %.	Limit at EFF-001. Violates Board Order No. R6T-2008-022, Req IV.A.1.c.	Discharger intends to upgrade the disinfection filtration system facility in summer 2011.		Lassen
1	Susanville Consol SD	SUSANVILLE CSD	896511	Water Quality -> Effluent -> CAT2	NPDES	2/10/2011	Chlorine, Total Residual Instantaneous Maximum limit is 0.02 mg/L and reported value was 0.19 mg/L.	Sampled at EFF-001. Violates Board Order No. R6T-2008-0022, Req. IV.A.1.	Waste water treatment plant improvement project to begin this spring.		Lassen
1	Susanville Consol SD	SUSANVILLE CSD	896514	Water Quality -> Effluent -> CAT2	NPDES	2/14/2011	Chlorine, Total Residual Instantaneous Maximum limit is 0.02 mg/L and reported value was 0.078 mg/L.	Sampled at EFF-001. Violates Board Order No. R6T-2008-0022, Req. IV.A.1.	Waste water treatment plant improvement project to begin this spring.		Lassen
1	Susanville Consol SD	SUSANVILLE CSD	896510	Water Quality -> Effluent -> CAT1	NPDES	2/28/2011	Total Suspended Solids (TSS) Monthly Average (Mean) limit is 30 mg/L and reported value was 30.8 mg/L.	Sampled at EFF-001. Violates Board Order No. R6T-2008-0022, Req. IV.A.1.	Waste water treatment plant improvement project to begin this spring.		Lassen
1	Susanville Consol SD	SUSANVILLE CSD	896515	Water Quality -> Effluent -> CAT2	NPDES	2/28/2011	Chlorine, Total Residual Monthly Average (Mean) limit is 0.01 mg/L and reported value was 0.29 mg/L.	Sampled at EFF-001. Violates Board Order No. R6T-2008-0022, Req. IV.A.1.	Waste water treatment plant improvement project to begin this spring.		Lassen
1	Susanville Consol SD	SUSANVILLE CSD	896520	Water Quality -> Effluent -> CAT1	NPDES	2/28/2011	Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Percent Removal Monthly Average (Mean) limit is 85 % and reported value was 83 %.	Sampled at EFF-001. Violates Board Order No. R6T-2008-0022, Req. IV.A.1.	Waste water treatment plant improvement project to begin this spring.		Lassen
2	Amedee Geothermal Venture I	Amedee Geothermal Power Plant	892340	Reporting -> Deficient Reporting	NPDES	1/16/2011	Discharger failed to notify Water Board of missing flow monitoring. Violates Board Order No. 6-09-07. Required by MRP III.A.3. and IV.A.3.				Lassen

05-00030

**Quarterly Violations Report
January 1, 2011 - March 31, 2011**

Priority	Agency	Facility	Violation ID	Violation Type	Violation Program	Date Occured	Violation Description	Comments	Corrective Action	Enforcement Action	County
2	City of Victorville	La Mesa Nisqualli Interchange	S843753	SW - Deficient BMP Implementation	CONSTW	2/11/2011	There are currently no BMPs being implemented to stabilize the soil, prevent erosion, and keep the sediment and debris from leaving the site and entering the Oro Grande Wash. Violates CGP (2009-0009-DWQ) section XIV.A.3.			Verbal Communication	San Bernardino
2	City of Victorville	La Mesa Nisqualli Interchange	S843754	SW - Failure to Obtain Permit	CONSTW	2/11/2011	City has not obtained coverage under the CGP, violates 2009-0009-DWQ section II.B.1.			Verbal Communication	San Bernardino
2	CR Briggs Corp	BRIGGS MINE PROJECT	894188	Reporting -> Deficient Reporting	LNDISP	1/16/2011	Discharger did not identify its failure to monitor the total quantity of ore (tons) on the leach pad. Violates Board Order 6-01-33 MRP IX.B.	(Source: 4Q10)		Oral Communication	Inyo
2	CR Briggs Corp	BRIGGS MINE PROJECT	894193	Deficient Monitoring	LNDISP	1/16/2011	Discharger failed to monitor the total quantity of ore (tons) on the leach pad. Violates Board Order 6-01-33 MRP I.A.2.	(Source: 4Q10)		Oral Communication	Inyo
2	CR Briggs Corp	BRIGGS MINE PROJECT	S843793	SW - Unauthorized NSWD	INDSTW	2/24/2011	On February 24, 2011, approximately 90 gallons of diesel fuel was discharged to the ground. The spill was contained and impacted soil stockpiled pending removal offsite. Staff requested a written notification of the spill and a spill report to be submitted by March 10, 2011.			Verbal Communication	Inyo
2	Floriston Community of	Floriston Waste Trt Facility	890299	Reporting -> Deficient Reporting	NON15	1/16/2011	Discharger failed to notify the Water Board of missing flow monitoring, in gdp, for each week, as well as missing groundwater monitoring, and a missing brief summary of any operational problems and maintenance activities. Violates Board Order No. 6-88-50. Required by MRP I.B./I.D.1./I.F.1-5. Respectively.			Oral Communication	Nevada

05-00031

**Quarterly Violations Report
January 1, 2011 - March 31, 2011**

Priority	Agency	Facility	Violation ID	Violation Type	Violation Program	Date Occured	Violation Description	Comments	Corrective Action	Enforcement Action	County
2	Heavenly Ski Resort	Heavenly Ski Resort	892214	Reporting -> Deficient Reporting	NON15	2/2/2011	Failed to provide Erosion Control and Facilities Maintenance Monitoring for the month of October. Violates Board Order No. R6T-2003-0032, MRP Req. I.B.	No explanation for missing data was provided.		Staff Enforcement Letter	El Dorado
2	Hilton Creek CSD	Hilton Creek CSD Package STP	892213	Reporting -> Deficient Reporting	NON15	1/16/2011	Discharger failed to report MBAS and pH on a monthly basis for facility effluent monitoring. Violates BO R6V-2004-0018, MRP I.B.	Reported MBAS and pH on a quarterly basis, in the month of December.	Robert Lavagnino agreed to follow BO and include any and all information required by the BO.	Oral Communication	Inyo
2	Hilton Creek CSD	Hilton Creek CSD Package STP	892233	Deficient Monitoring	NON15	1/16/2011	Discharger failed to monitor MBAS and pH on a monthly basis for Facility effluent monitoring. Violates BO R6V-2004-0018, MRP I.B.	Monitored MBAS and pH in December, failed to monitor in October and November.	Robert Lavagnino agreed to follow BO and include any and all information required by the BO.	Oral Communication	Inyo
2	Inyo County IWM	Tecopa Class III Landfill	890204	Order Conditions	LNDISP	1/14/2011	Discharger has failed to protect against erosion which is in violation of BO 6-95-72, Section II.A.5 and Section II.A. 6 which prohibits surface drainage from contacting waste disposed at the Facility.	Water Board staff requests that the Discharger remediate the erosion that has occurred at this Facility immediately and submit a report that the erosion problems have been corrected no later than Feb 21, 2011		Notice of Violation	Inyo
2	Inyo County IWM	Shoshone Class III Landfill	890262	Order Conditions	LNDISP	1/14/2011	Discharger has failed to protect against erosion which is in violation of BO 6-95-71, Section II.A.5, and Section II.A.6 which prohibits surface drainage from contacting waste.	Water Board staff request that the Discharger remediate the erosion that has occurred at this Facility immediately and submit a report that the erosion problems have been corrected no later than Feb 21, 2011.		Notice of Violation	Inyo
2	Inyo County IWM	Tecopa Class III Landfill	890722	Reporting -> Deficient Reporting	LNDISP	1/14/2011	Discharger is in violation of B.O. 95-072 of the Standard Provisions for WDRs, Section IV.B.2.a. failed to report violations.			Notice of Violation	Inyo
2	Inyo County IWM	Shoshone Class III Landfill	890729	Reporting -> Deficient Reporting	LNDISP	1/14/2011	Discharger is in violation of B.O. 95-071 WDRs of the Standard Provisions Section IV.B.2.a.; failed to report violations.			Notice of Violation	Inyo
2	Lodging Enterprises Inc	Oak Tree Inn	891350	Water Quality -> Effluent -> OEV	NON15	2/16/2011	Flow Daily Maximum limit is 0.0051 MGD and reported value was 0.009 MGD.	Violates Board Order 6-01-32 WDR I. A. 1.		Notice of Violation	San Bernardino

05-00032

**Quarterly Violations Report
January 1, 2011 - March 31, 2011**

Priority	Agency	Facility	Violation ID	Violation Type	Violation Program	Date Occured	Violation Description	Comments	Corrective Action	Enforcement Action	County
2	Molycorp Minerals LLC	Onsite Evaporation Ponds	889042	Order Conditions	LNDISP	1/4/2011	Discharge of 50 gallons of waste leach solution (Hydrochloride leaching solution) to land. Violation of Board Order No. R6V-2005-0011, Reg. II.A.1. and 2. and 7.	Release occurred to ground on Mine property near the Separations Plant. Overflow from from leach sump tank, due to plug in line between No. 4 leach tank and sump tank.	Concrete berm constructed January 13, 2011 to contain and divert future overflows to lined concrete drain for the 204 separator.	Oral Communication	San Bernardino
2	Pacific Gas & Electric Company Hinkley	Three Surface Impoundments- Compressor Station	890654	Order Conditions	LNDISP	1/3/2011	Less than two feet of freeboard exists in wastewater ponds, violating permit conditions. Exceedance due to high precipitation in Dec. & Jan. and low evaporation rates.	Follow up with discharger if significant storm is expected in next two months. If a significant storm is predicted, may order temporary storage tanks to prevent ponds overflow. Otherwise, follow up by reviewing next SMR.	Taking daily water level measurements.		San Bernardino
2	Placer Mosquito Abatement Dist	PLACER CO AQUATIC PESTICIDES	896453	Reporting -> Deficient Reporting	NPDES	1/31/2011	Discharger failed to report summary including objectives of monitoring program/results, interpretation of data in realation to frequency duration and magnitude of impacts to beneficial uses. Violates Board Order No. 04-08 DWQ. Required by MRP C.				Placer
2	Reimers, Iris and Walter	Susanville MHP	892707	Reporting -> Deficient Reporting	NON15	1/16/2011	Failed to notify Water Board staff within the SMR that all required monitoring and analysis was not completed. Violates Board Order No. 6-84-021, MRP Sampling Provision 2.	Will be working with discharger to change MRP requirements.		Oral Communication	Lassen
2	Reimers, Iris and Walter	Susanville MHP	892776	Deficient Monitoring	NON15	1/16/2011	Discharger failed to conduct fecal streptococci monitoring. Violates Board Order No.6-84-021, MRP Sampling Provision #2.			Oral Communication	Lassen
2	San Bernardino Cnty Waste	Heaps Peak SWDS Post Closure	890453	Order Conditions	LNDISP	1/5/2011	On January 5, 2011, discovered possible estimated discharge of 15,750 gallons of landfill leachate to land. Threatened water quality of groundwater, Mojave Watershed. Discharger is in violation of Board Order No. 6-01-40. Leachate contained low concentrations of volatile organic compounds.	Violation of section II.A.4. and section II.A.8. of the Board Order No. 6-01-40. Due to failure of tank level sensors combined with above average rate of rainfall, volume of leachate generated in LCRS, the lower leachate holding tank appeared to have overflowed to ground.	On January 5, 2011, the secondary return valve was closed allowing leachate to be pumped to topdeck baker tanks. On January 25, 2011, the sensors were repaired.	Oral Communication	San Bernardino

05-00033

**Quarterly Violations Report
January 1, 2011 - March 31, 2011**

Priority	Agency	Facility	Violation ID	Violation Type	Violation Program	Date Occured	Violation Description	Comments	Corrective Action	Enforcement Action	County
2	Searles Valley Minerals	West End Plant	896575	Water Quality -> Effluent -> OEV	NON15	1/5/2011	Formaldehyde Monthly Average (Mean) limit is 10 ug/L and reported value was 32 ug/L.	Jan-11			San Bernardino
2	Shell Oil Co/Billiton Minerals	Standard Hill Project	888982	Deficient Monitoring	LNDISP	1/16/2011	Failure to perform groundwater monitoring second half of 2010 Self Monitoring and Reporting Program (2nd semi-annual MRP).	Violation of California Water Code Section 13267(b) as specified in Board Order No. 6-00-19, Section III.C.1., Monitoring and Reporting Program No. 00-19 (MRP).	None provided.	Notice of Violation	Kern
2	Sierra Truck & Auto No 3	Sierra Truck & Auto No 3	S843943	SW - Unauthorized NSWD	INDSTW	3/8/2011	Discharge to pit filled with greasy sludge in machine dismantling area. A four inch diameter hole in the bottom of the pit appears to discharge to ground. Multiple greasy/oily stains to ground throughout the facility.			Notice of Violation Staff Enforcement Letter Verbal Communication	San Bernardino
2	Sierra Truck & Auto No 3	Sierra Truck & Auto No 3	S843945	SW - Deficient BMP Implementation	INDSTW	3/8/2011	Motor vehicles and vehicle parts were dismantled and stored outdoors without adequate BPS to prevent contamination of stormwater. Runoff from facility goes to two washes observed on site. There may have been an additional third wash to the rear of the property.			Notice of Violation Staff Enforcement Letter Verbal Communication	San Bernardino
2	Southern Calif Gas Co	Newberry Compressor Station	892169	Water Quality -> Receiving Water -> Groundwater	LNDISP	2/25/2011	Fluoride Limit: 2mg/L; MW-1: 8.4 mg/L; MW-2: 4.4 mg/L; MW-3: 10 mg/L; MW-4: 7.2 mg/L; MW-5: 6.9 mg/L; MW-6: 6.9 mg/L; MW-7: 9.3 mg/L; MW-8: 4.0 mg/L; MW-9: 4.2 mg/L; MW-10: 4.1 mg/L; MW-11: 4.5 mg/L; TDS Limit: 1000mg/L; MW-1: 4000 mg/L; MW-2: 3400 mg/L; MW-3: 4400 mg/L; MW-4: 3400 mg/L; MW-5: 4800 mg/L; MW-6: 5200 mg/L; MW-7: 3500 mg/L; MW-8: 1200 mg/L; MW-9: 2500 mg/L; MW-10: 1100 mg/L; MW-11: 1200 mg/L	Violates Board Order 6-86-120 WDR I. B. 2. (Source: 4th Qtr 2010)			San Bernardino

05-00034

**Quarterly Violations Report
January 1, 2011 - March 31, 2011**

Priority	Agency	Facility	Violation ID	Violation Type	Violation Program	Date Occured	Violation Description	Comments	Corrective Action	Enforcement Action	County
2	Squaw Valley Ski Corp	Squaw Valley Ski Area	893321	Reporting -> Deficient Reporting	NON15	2/2/2011	Discharger failed to notify Water Board of missing surface water monitoring constituents of ph and flow. Violates Board Order No. 6-93-25-A3. Required by MRP III.			Staff Enforcement Letter	Placer
2	St Mary Medical Center	SMMC Administration Building	S844039	SW - Deficient BMP Implementation	CONSTW	2/24/2011	Tracking BMP was missing from site entrance that was in use				San Bernardino
2	St Mary Medical Center	SMMC Administration Building	S844040	SW - Unauthorized NSWD	CONSTW	2/24/2011	Sediment was observed tracked onto road during construction activity.				San Bernardino
2	Susanville Consol SD	SUSANVILLE CSD	894203	Reporting -> Deficient Reporting	NPDES	2/16/2011	Failure to ID all violations; failure to provide the total volume of influent. MRP Req. Attachment E. X.B.5.b and III.A.1.	Total coliform bacteria (median) not identified as violation (EFF-002).	Discharger intends to upgrade the disinfection filtration system facility in summer 2011.	Oral Communication	Lassen
2	Susanville Consol SD	SUSANVILLE CSD	896516	Reporting -> Deficient Reporting	NPDES	3/16/2011	Failure to ID all violations; failure to provide the total volume of influent. MRP Req. Attachment E. X.B.5.b and III.A.1.	Residual chlorine exceedences at EFF-002 not reported as violations in cover letter.			Lassen
2	US Marine Corps Coleville	USMC-MWTC WWTC	895131	Water Quality -> Effluent -> CAT1	NON15	1/11/2011	Nitrate, Total (as NO3) Daily Maximum limit is 10 mg/L and reported value was 14 mg/L.				Mono
2	Vallor Equity Partners	Mountain High Resort	896671	Water Quality -> Effluent -> OEV	NON15	1/16/2011	Flow Daily Maximum limit is 0.027 MGD and reported value was 0.062 MGD.	Violates Board Order 6-85-114 WDR I. A. 1.			Los Angeles
2	Victor Valley Wastewater Reclamation Authority	VICTOR VALLEY MUNI WTP	896454	Deficient Monitoring	NPDES	2/2/2011	Discharger failed to monitor at locations RSW-03 and RSW-04. Violates BO R6V-2008-004 MRP IX.A.1.	Received information regarding constraints of access for monitoring locations RSW-03 and RSW-04. Working with VVWRA to fix the issue.			San Bernardino
2	Victorville City	SCLA Central WWTP-Victorville Water Dist	895876	Reporting -> Deficient Reporting	REC	2/2/2011	Discharger failed to report Turbidity and Total Coliform for Effluent Quality Monitoring. Violates BO R6V-2010-0023 MRP I.D.		Faxed Turbidity and Total Coliform data on 4/5/2011.	Oral Communication	San Bernardino
2	Victorville City	SCLA Central WWTP-Victorville Water Dist	895934	Deficient Monitoring	REC	2/2/2011	Discharger failed to monitor maximum instantaneous flow rate for the 4th Quarter 2010 period. Violates BO R6V-2010-0023 MRP I.A.4.	Plant is still in construction phases and SCADA programming is not complete.			San Bernardino

05-00035

**Quarterly Violations Report
January 1, 2011 - March 31, 2011**

Priority	Agency	Facility	Violation ID	Violation Type	Violation Program	Date Occured	Violation Description	Comments	Corrective Action	Enforcement Action	County
2	Victorville Redevelopment Agency	La Mesa-Nisqualli Interchange	891204	BMP	CER	2/11/2011	There are currently no BMPs being implemented to stabilize the soil, prevent erosion, and keep the sediment and debris from leaving the site and entering Oro Grande Wash. Violates CGP (2009-0009-DWQ) section XIV.A.3.	Significant soil stockpiles have been developing in the location of the proposed La Mesa/Nisqualli Interchange adjacent the Oro Grande Wash. In addition to soil, there are a number of smaller stockpiles of ground asphalt and concrete debris which cannot be placed as these materials are not soil. Burial of such waste would be considered a land disposal issue, subject to additional permitting requirements. There are currently no best management practices (BMPs) being implemented to stabilize the soil, prevent erosion, and keep the sediment and debris from leaving the site and entering Oro Grande Wash.	No action reported	Oral Communication	San Bernardino
2	Victorville Redevelopment Agency	La Mesa-Nisqualli Interchange	891205	Stormwater Non-filer	CER	2/11/2011	City has not obtained coverage under the CGP prior to stockpiling soil at the site. Violates CGP (2009-0009-DWQ) section II.B.1.	The stockpiles observed at the location of the La Mesa/Nisqualli interchange cover a combined area greater than one acre and therefore would be subject to coverage under the CGP. Yet we have no record to indicate that the City has obtained coverage under the CGP for this project.	No action reported.	Oral Communication	San Bernardino
3	American Organics	VICTOR VALLEY REGIONAL COMPOST	896583	Reporting -> Late Report	NON15	1/15/2011	Report was due on 1/15/2011 and was received on 2/16/2011, violates board order 6-00-65 MRP IV.A.	Report was 32 days late. [4th QRT 2010]			San Bernardino
3	Big Pine Indian Reservation	Big Pine Indian Res WTF	892785	Reporting -> Late Report	NON15	1/16/2011	Failure to submit the 4th QRT 2010 SMR by January 15, 2010, violates Board Order R6V-2002-0039 MRP II. B.	SMR 33 days late, received on February 17, 2011.			Inyo
3	BNSF Railway Co	FORT IRWIN RD LAND TREATMENT	896425	Reporting -> Late Report	LNDISP	1/15/2011	Report was due on 1/15/2011 and was received on 2/2/2011. Report was 18 days late. Violates board order 6-01-017 MRP IV. C.	2nd SA 2010			San Bernardino

05-00036

**Quarterly Violations Report
January 1, 2011 - March 31, 2011**

Priority	Agency	Facility	Violation ID	Violation Type	Violation Program	Date Occured	Violation Description	Comments	Corrective Action	Enforcement Action	County
3	Ca Dept of Forestry Bishop	OWENS VALLEY CONSER CAMP WTF	896424	Reporting -> Late Report	NON15	1/16/2011	Submitted quarterly SMR report 16 days late. Violates Board Order No. 6-96-53, MRP Req. III. C.	Report was due 1/15/2011 and received on 1/31/2011.			Inyo
3	Hettinga, Hein	HEIN HETTINGA/A & H DAIRY 1&2	896660	Reporting -> Late Report	NON15	1/16/2011	Failure to submit Self Monitoring Report by January 15, 2011. violates Board Order R6V-2002-0022 MRP II	Received February 22, 2011			
3	LEE VINING PUD	LEE VINING WTF	896456	Reporting -> Late Report	NON15	1/15/2011	Report was due on 1/15/2011 and was received on 2/11/2011. Report was 26 days late, violates board order 6-84-090 MRP	4th QRT 2010			Mono
3	Mono Cnty DPW	CHALFANT CLASS III LANDFILL	895235	Reporting -> Late Report	LNDISP	2/16/2011	Failure to submit 2nd SA 2010 Self Monitoring Report, violates Board Order No. R6V-2009-0018, MRP V. A. 2.	The report was due on 2/15/2011.	NOV Reg Measure 378365 report must be submitted by May 30, 2011.	Notice of Violation	Mono